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Coal bed natural gas development in northeastern Wyoming has increased surface water in ranching and agricultural areas over undeveloped land. This increase of water increases larval habitat for mosquitoes, potentially increasing adult populations of West Nile virus vector mosquitoes. I compared adult and larval mosquito populations in four different habitat types in the Powder River basin including agricultural, natural, CBNG and upland sagebrush steppe.

Adult mosquitoes were sampled weekly (2004) or bi-weekly (2005) using CDC miniature black-light traps baited with dry ice. A fixed-effect mixed model indicated that in a normal rainfall year (2005) mature CBNG ponds had the highest adult mosquito populations of all sites sampled, and the highest population of the WNV vector *Culex tarsalis*. In a drought year (2004) where total rainfall from May – August was 59% of the seasonal average, agricultural areas had the highest mosquito abundance, likely due to increased irrigation. Adult *Culex tarsalis* tested positive for WNV across the PRB in 2004 and 2005, with highest minimum infection rates in those areas with large *Culex tarsalis* populations.

Larval mosquitoes were sampled bi-weekly from 13 May - 24 August 2005, using a 350 ml dipper in a 20 point vegetated transect along the pond perimeter. Pond vegetation characteristics were recorded between 3 and 17 August including vegetation density, type and class. Larval *Culex tarsalis* were the most abundant mosquito in the region, representing 47.7% of the total sampled population. A fixed-effects mixed model found *Culex tarsalis* produced at similar rates in natural, new, old and outlet CBNG sources; irrigated agriculture produced significantly less (*P* ≤ 0.02) *Culex tarsalis* in 2005. New and old CBNG ponds and outlets also produced *Culex tarsalis* over a longer period of time than natural or irrigated agricultural sites.

This study indicates that CBNG ponds are significantly increasing the overall population of vector mosquitoes in the PRB, as well as adding to the duration of larval habitats that would normally be ephemeral. Thus CBNG ponds and associated habitats enhance mosquito abundance and may serve to increase pathogen transmission in an otherwise arid ecosystem.
CHAPTER 1

REVIEW OF RELEVANT LITERATURE

Introduction

The Powder River basin (PRB) includes the Powder River and its tributaries in northeast Wyoming and southeastern Montana. This area reaches east from Gillette, Wyoming, west to the Bighorn Mountains, and north to Miles City, Montana (Environmental Protection Agency 2006) (Figure 1). The PRB is in a semi-arid habitat dominated by sagebrush grassland primarily used for grazing and wildlife management. The dominant shrubs in this system are Wyoming big sagebrush, *Artemisia tridentata wyomingensis* Beetle and Young, and silver sagebrush, *Artemisia cana* Pursh. Smaller patches of native short grass prairie, conifer forest, greasewoods, riparian woodlands and non-native grasses are common throughout the region (Hemstrom et al. 2002; Walker et al. 2004).

Historically, the major industries in the Powder River basin include cattle ranching and coal mining. The latter has now expanded to include coal bed natural gas (CBNG) production (formerly termed coal bed methane). This process extracts natural gas from sub-surface coal seams. Fifteen surface coal mines are located around Gillette, Wyoming, and several large sub-surface coal seams extend west from Gillette toward the Bighorn Mountains (Vicklund 2000). These coal seams contain large amounts of natural
Figure 1. Study site locations for adult mosquito trapping in 2004 and 2005 within the Powder River basin of Wyoming and Montana.
gas (61 Tcf: trillion cubic feet $\approx 1.83 \times 10^{12}$ cubic meters), which is currently being extracted for commercial use by the natural gas industry at the rate of $\approx 2.33 \times 10^7$ m$^3$ per day (DOE 2002). Methane extraction includes the removal of groundwater from a coal seam to allow confined natural gas to flow in sub-surface voids. The effluent water is discharged into existing stock ponds, newly constructed ponds, or surface drainages that do not continue in to larger water bodies (Clark et al. 2001). Since 1999, an estimated 19,000 CBNG well heads have been constructed in the PRB, with 20,000 more projected in the next ten years, each of which will produce an estimated 400 barrels of discharge water per pond per day (DOE 2002; USGS 2000). A recent GIS study on mosquito habitat in the PRB indicates that CBNG development has increased potential mosquito larval habitat by 75.2% from 1999 to 2004 (Zou et al. 2006). This corresponds with a recent land-use change study in the same region that indicates a 9-fold increase in surface water in ranching areas, and a 2-fold increase in surface water in agricultural zones (Naugle et al. unpublished data).

Concerns have been expressed by the public as well as local natural resource agencies regarding the environmental impacts of CBNG, including surface disturbances from roads, wells, power lines and ponds; dewatering of local aquifers, and methane discharge water quality (Regele and Stark 2000). While these ponds do provide water to native wildlife and habitat for migratory duck species, CBNG ponds have the potential to produce mosquitoes that could transmit pathogens such as West Nile virus (WNV). An increase in mosquitoes and pathogen transmission in the PRB could pose a health risk to human, livestock and native wildlife in the region. The research project reported here
was initiated to monitor WNV in 2003 after the first sage-grouse was detected with WNV in Northeastern Wyoming. My objectives were to assess adult and larval mosquito population trends as well as the impacts of CBNG development on mosquito populations in areas of sage-grouse use in the PRB.

**West Nile Virus**

**Historical Distribution**

West Nile virus is an encephalitic virus and a member of the Japanese encephalitis group in the genus *Flavivirus*, family Flaviviridae. WNV is closely related to both eastern equine encephalitis (EEE) and Saint Louis encephalitis (SLE), which are endemic to North America. West Nile virus was first isolated from a febrile woman near the Nile River in Uganda in 1937, and has since caused large human epidemics in Africa, Europe and Asia (Smithburn et al. 1940; Baqar et al. 1993). Human outbreaks of WNV have been documented in southern France in 1962, southern Russia in 1963, Belarus in 1977, the Ukraine in 1985, Romania in 1996, the Czech Republic in 1997 and again in Russia in 1999 (Hubalek and Halouzka 1999). These outbreaks have been geographically associated with wetlands and flooding from heavy rains and are more likely to occur in the summers of warm, wet years.

Eurasian and African outbreaks of WNV have been closely associated with ornithophilic mosquitoes. The virus has been isolated from 43 Old World species of mosquitoes in the genera *Culex* and *Aedes* including the trans-Atlantic species *Culex pipiens* L. and *Aedes aegypti* L. (Hubalek and Halouzka 1999). The primary Old World
vectors of WNV include *Culex univittatus* Theobald in Africa, *Culex modestus* Kamimura and Wada and *Culex pipiens* in Europe, and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say in Asia (Hayes 2005). Further research has shown that WNV is enzootic in wild birds migrating between Africa and Asia, and these animals are considered the primary vertebrate hosts for this disease in the Old World (Hayes 1989). Mammals, reptiles and amphibians do not play a large role in maintaining transmission cycles in natural populations in the Old World; although, horses, lemurs and frogs have been shown to obtain transmissible infection rates in the laboratory (Rodhain et al. 1985).

**North American Distribution**

West Nile virus was first detected in the Western Hemisphere in New York City in the summer of 1999. That year, there were 62 human infections in the New York City area, and 7 deaths. Since its introduction to North America, WNV has spread westward across the United States, as well as into Canada, Mexico and parts of the Caribbean (Rochrig et al. 2002).

It is not known how WNV was introduced into the U.S. in the initial 1999 outbreak of WNV in New York City. Speculations regarding WNV transmission to New York include movement of infected mosquitoes via air transportation, illegal importation of exotic birds, lost migrant birds and possible terrorist acts. Biologists confirmed mortalities due to WNV infections in 18 species of native and non-native birds in 1999 including more than 3,000 American crows (*Corvus brachyrhynchos* Brehn). It had been anticipated that, among birds, corvids would be most vulnerable to the virus, as they were highly susceptible to WNV infection via mosquito bites, and had mortality rates >40%
once infected (Hayes 2005; Steele et al. 2000). Since 1999 WNV has spread at a rate of approximately 67 km per month throughout the spring and summer, and now has been found in 284 species of birds in North American (Rappole and Hubalek 2003; CDC 2006). The rapid spread of WNV and its annual reoccurrence in native biota indicates that it will likely remain an enzootic disease in North America.

As of the October 2007, there had been 24,447 human cases of WNV in the United States, with 920 fatalities (CDC 2007). Of the 4,146 reported human WNV cases in the U.S., 71% were neuroinvasive, 28% were uncomplicated West Nile fever, and 6.8% were fatal (O’Leary et al. 2004). The median age for fatal cases in the U.S. is 77.5 years, with the fatality-to-case ratio increasing significantly with age. The risk of WNV is also significantly higher in males among middle aged (>40 years) and elderly individuals, with the fatality-to-case ratio 1.3 times higher for men > 70 years old (O’Leary et al. 2004).

There are several methods used by monitoring agencies in the United States for detecting WNV in the environment. These methods include 1) pooling collected adult mosquitoes for virus detection, 2) collecting dead birds for virus detection, 3) drawing and testing of sentinel chicken blood for antibodies indicating exposure to WNV, and 4) testing non-human mammal serum for WNV antibodies (primarily equine) (Morris et al. 1994). Data collected in 2002 using these methods indicated that 72% of primary detections were from virus-infected dead birds, 18% were from non-human mammals, 6% were from infected mosquitoes, and 2% were from sentinel birds (O’Leary et al. 2004). While it seems that dead bird surveillance is the most effective monitoring
technique for WNV surveillance, it is more effective in densely populated areas where dead birds are noticed and reported to the proper authorities. In rural areas, methods such as mosquito monitoring and use of sentinel chickens are the most effective methods for disease monitoring. Dead bird surveillance may become a less effective form of virus monitoring in the future if native bird species acquire immunity to WNV through repeated exposures.

**Northeastern Wyoming Distribution**

West Nile virus was first documented in Wyoming on 18 August 2002 in a horse in Goshen County, three years after WNV was found in New York. This case, along with reports of two infected humans and 95 other horse cases were reported in the fall of 2002 (Wyoming Department of Health 2006). In 2003 a major outbreak of WNV occurred throughout the western United States including Wyoming, Montana and Colorado. In 2003 Wyoming had a total of 393 human and 230 horse cases, with 10 human fatalities (Table 1).

On 24 July 2003 WNV was detected in a radio-collared greater sage-grouse, *Centrocercus urophasianus urophasianus* Aldrich, hen on the Montana/ Wyoming border. That summer 18 sage-grouse died from WNV among radio-marked individuals in four populations in the western US and southern Canada, creating a 25% average decline in survival for this time period (Naugle et al. 2004). Late-summer survival of sage-grouse in the northern PRB was markedly lower at 1 site with confirmed WNV mortalities (20% survival) than at 2 sites without (76% survival) (Walker et al. 2004). Moreover, declines in male and female lek attendance at the WNV site in spring 2004
indicated that outbreaks have threatened local populations with extirpation (Walker et al. 2004). In 2004 WNV spread to sage grouse populations in Colorado and California, and female survival in late summer was 10% lower at 4 sites with confirmed WNV mortalities (86% survival) than at 8 sites without WNV (96%). West Nile virus mortality decreased to 2% during the cool summer of 2005 (mean temperature = 19°C), increased again in 2006 when hot temperatures (mean temperature = 22°C) returned in 2006 (D. Naugle, University of Montana, unpublished data).

**Wildlife Susceptibility to West Nile Virus**

Historically, the impact of emerging diseases on wildlife populations has not been given much notice by the general public. However, attention has been elevated around WNV outbreaks in wildlife populations because of its potential threat to human health. While we do not know how WNV spread into the Western Hemisphere, we know that wildlife disease emergences historically are amplified by changes in host pathogens or the environment (Daszak et al. 2000). Often these changes introduce pathogens to naïve hosts who have no natural resistance. In the case of WNV, almost all of our North American wildlife fauna was naïve to infection, and it is unknown which species will acquire resistance through immune response (i.e., antibody production); which will become amplifying hosts to the pathogen; and which will remain susceptible.

**Clinical Symptoms in Wildlife**

West Nile virus is an encephalitic pathogen that affects the brain and neural tissues, causing bleeding, fever, and cell death in infected animals. In general, birds are
more susceptible to this virus than other groups of animals. Clinical signs of this disease in birds include weight loss, head tremors, blindness, ataxia, weakness in the legs, and seizures. Birds that survive a WNV infection may have neural damage as well as damage to the pancreas, kidney, and heart (Steele et al. 2000). Detection of WNV in avian carcasses can be done through necropsies of natal bird organ tissues or oral and cloacal swabs, followed by vero cell plaque assays and confirmatory RT-PCR assays to detect WNV (Komar et al. 2002). WNV has also been found in ovarian and testicular tissues in birds, suggesting that infected adults may be able to pass an infection to their offspring, or so-called vertical transmission (Komar et al. 2003).

**Avian Susceptibility**

While many different species of birds have been found to be infected with WNV, only those that have high viremias can be considered amplifying hosts. Certain birds are the only known amplifying hosts for this pathogen in the Western Hemisphere. In order for a feeding mosquito to become infected, a bird must have a viremic titer of at least $10^{7.1}$ plague forming units (PFU/ml) (Komar et al. 2003). Birds that have been challenged with WNV in the laboratory, and have reached sufficient titers to serve as an amplifying host include those of the orders Passeriformes (perching birds), Charadriiformes (wading shore birds), Strigiformes (owls), and Falconiformes (diurnal birds of prey) (Molaei et al. 2006). Birds able to sustain high viremic levels have a high susceptibility to the disease. Mean infectiousness was ranked for reservoir competence by Komar et al. (2003). The blue jay (*Cyanocitta cristata* L.), the common grackle (*Quiscalus quiscula* L.), the house finch (*Carpodacus mexicanus* Muller) and the
American crow were the top four species of 25 tested as competent reservoirs for WNV in southern California. Of these birds, blue jays and American crows transmitted the virus between infected animals and non-exposed cage mates through fecal and salivary secretions with a cage transmission rate of 1.0 (on a 0 – 1 scale) for both species (Komar et al. 2003). This may have contributed to the high infection rate and mortality seen in the field, because both of these species of birds have social or semi-social behaviors. Young, altricial birds may also be more exposed to mosquito feeding due to incomplete feather covering and immobility. Colonial species, such as the American white pelican *Pelecanus erythrorhynchos* L., may occupy habitats near mosquito production areas, which increases exposure to juvenile birds, and may concentrate the mosquito-avian amplification cycle in some areas (Rocke et al. 2005).

Sage-grouse infected with WNV show symptoms similar to other avian groups. Radio-marked grouse rarely move more than a few meters during the two days before death, and have a weak flight when flushed (Walker et al. 2004). Intact sage-grouse that died from WNV were often found facedown in good condition with no external signs of trauma. Infected grouse may also be at elevated risks of predation, potentially contributing to a reduced survival rate in 2004 and 2005. A total of 363 sera samples were taken from wild grouse across Wyoming, Montana and Alberta; in 2004 and none tested positive for WNV antibodies, indicating that these birds had not yet developed an immune response to this pathogen (Naugle et al. 2005).
Mammal Susceptibility

Equines, as well as several other domestic animals have exhibited WNV symptoms. These symptoms include symmetrical or asymmetrical ataxia, staggering, stumbling, toe dragging, leaning, and wide-based stance (McLean et al. 2002). The strain of WNV that occurs in North America is particularly virulent in horses, causing a clinical infection rate of 42% in seropositive animals and a death rate of 36% in those animals with clinical symptoms (Bunning et al. 2002). A vaccine is available to protect equines from WNV, and its use has greatly reduced the WNV morbidity and mortality. Other mammals that have been experimentally tested for WNV infections include dogs, cats, cattle, sheep, chickens, turkeys, domestic geese, pigs, and goats. None of these animals, including horses, has been found to carry a virus titer high enough for them to serve as amplifying hosts for the New York strain of WNV (Bunning et al. 2002; Austgen et al. 2004; McLean et al. 2002). Many of these animals, including house pets such as dogs and cats, have been found to develop antibodies to this disease, and occasionally mild symptoms such as lethargy and a loss of appetite occur. These symptoms are not debilitating and may go unnoticed (Austgen et al. 2004).

Most wild mammals in the New World appear to be resistant to WNV. Some species including several lagomorphs carry high viremias without showing clinical symptoms, indicating they may serve as reservoir hosts within their range. The majority of those mammals that have been challenged with WNV in the laboratory do not get viremias higher than $10^{7.1}$ PFU/ml, which is the level required for acquisition of virus by a feeding mosquito (Bunning et al. 2002; Austgen et al. 2004). An exception to this is the
cottontail rabbit (*Sylvilagus floridanus* L.), which carried WNV titers of $\geq 10^{4.3}$ PFU/ml for approximately 2.2 days (Tiawsirisup et al. 2005). Cottontail rabbits do not show clinical signs of infection and are able to infect *Cx. pipiens* and *Cx. salinarius* with minimum estimated infection rates of 11.5/1000 ± 5.5 and 20.5/1000 ± 6.4% respectively (Tiawsirisup et al. 2005). While little research has been done on their role in WNV amplification in the field, cottontail rabbits, as well as other lagomorphs, are widespread across the Western Hemisphere south of Canada, and may play a role in virus amplification or virus overwintering in some systems.

**West Nile Virus Implication for Wildlife**

The effects of WNV on wildlife populations are virtually unknown for any species in the Western Hemisphere. However, research is being conducted to determine which species will experience the greatest consequences from this disease (Marra et al. 2004). The sage-grouse and other birds that are already under stresses due to habitat changes from CBNG, may need additional conservation management in areas affected by WNV to sustain current population levels. There is also some indication that scavenger and predatory species may contract WNV from consuming infected prey, and their populations may be at risk in outbreak years (McLean et al. 2002). Domestic cats presented with up to three infected mice contracted WNV from consuming infected carcasses in the laboratory (Austgen et al. 2004), and there have been several incidental cases of predatory birds such as Cooper’s hawks (*Accipiter cooperii* Bonaparte) and great horned owls (*Bubo virginianus* Gmelin) succumbing to WNV after consuming infected prey in the wild (McLean et al. 2002). As more research is done on WNV epidemiology
in natural systems, we will be able to build better models to assess risk factors to wildlife populations, and be more equipped to make informed decisions for wildlife management.

**West Nile Virus Vector Biology**

Since its appearance in the western United States in 2002, WNV has been one of the most important vector-borne diseases in the region. The competency of the local mosquito vector *Cx. tarsalis*, public and equine health risks, and threat to native wildlife populations has generated many research programs to investigate the biology and ecology of mosquitoes and epidemiology of WNV. We now have a basic knowledge of regional vectors and mosquito infection rates in North America, and are continuing to learn about the regional methods of over-wintering and competent reservoir hosts.

The primary mode of transmission for WNV in North America is by the bite of an infected mosquito. In the United States, WNV has been isolated from 60 mosquito species; however, many of these species are not bridge vectors for this pathogen (Turell et al. 2001, Molaei et al. 2006). Mosquitoes that are bridge vectors must feed on both avian and mammalian hosts forming a link between the amplifying and susceptible hosts (Riesen and Reeves 1990). These are the mosquitoes of greatest concern for human health.

The isolation of WNV from a mosquito does not necessarily mean that a mosquito species is capable of transmission. Primary vectors are insects that are (1) physiologically competent to acquire virus from an infected host and transmit to a susceptible host, (2) are frequently infected with a virus in nature, and (3) naturally occur in areas that are foci for virus transmission (Molaei et al 2006). These insects must feed
on both avian and mammalian hosts, and disseminate virus through the midgut in order to transmit virus through the salivary gland. Vector mosquitoes spread WNV between amplifying hosts, thus amplifying the virus in the ecosystem.

In North America, there are fewer than 10 species of mosquitoes that are considered bridge vectors for WNV (Turell et al. 2001). *Culex pipiens* is considered a moderately efficient vector of WNV, and is the primary vector of WNV in the northeast and midwest along with *Culex restuans* and *Culex salinarius* Coquillett (Nasci et al. 2001, Molaei et al. 2006). *Culex pipiens* has the highest percentage of reported positive pools in the United States, 57% in 2001 and 47% in 2002. Outbreaks of Saint Louis encephalitis have been reported in humans with minimum infection rates of 3 per thousand, indicating that this species of mosquito has the ability to spread encephalitic viruses at low infection rates (Nasci et al. 2001). After 2002, infection rates have dropped yet this species remains in the top three for percentage of total positive pools in the U. S. (Hayes 2005).

In the southeastern United States, the southern house mosquito, *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, is a bridge vector of WNV with 51.4% of total positive mosquito pools from the U.S. in 2004 (Hayes 2005). While this species was considered a low to moderate vector of WNV in a laboratory study, its abundance and preference to feed on both birds and mammals make it a competent vector for WNV in the southern U. S. (Turell 2005). *Culex quinquefasciatus* has also been found to undergo non-viremic transmission between infected and non-infected mosquitoes feeding simultaneously on naïve mice, with infection rates as high as 5.8% (Higgs et al. 2005). No detectable
viremia was found in the host mice after feeding, and transmission was thought to be through high virus titers secreted in mosquito saliva while feeding at high densities. This phenomenon has not been described in the field or in other vector species of mosquitoes in North America. Non-viremic transmission may however explain high WNV infection rates within the *Cx. quinquefasciatus* geographical range, as the mosquito infection rate could increase much faster if mosquitoes are able to obtain WNV infections by feeding adjacent to an infected mosquito rather than having to obtain an infected bloodmeal from a viremic host.

Other species of mosquitoes that may be important vectors of WNV in the United States include *Culex restuans* Theobald, *Culex nigripalpus* Theobald and *Culex salinarius* Coquillett (Turell 2005). These species are all found in the eastern United States, and have been found to be competent WNV vectors under laboratory conditions.

The most common mosquitoes in the PRB of Wyoming and Montana include the floodwater mosquitoes *Aedes vexans* Meigen, *Aedes melanimon* Dyar, and *Aedes dorsalis* Meigan, and *Cx. tarsalis*, a species which colonizes newly-created surface pools. Each of these species has a unique life history as both immature and adults which allow them to survive in this region. I will first discuss basic mosquito biology, and then describe species-specific characteristics.

**Larval Distribution**

Immature mosquitoes pass through four larval stages in aquatic habitats before pupating and emerging as adult mosquitoes. Each species of mosquito has different habitat requirements for optimal development ranging from flooded grasses to stagnant
wastewater treatment plants. Within a given body of water, microhabitats may exist that support different species of mosquitoes. A study in Iowa found that temporary pools supported *Cx. tarsalis*, *Cx. pipiens* and *Ae. vexans*, while intermittently flooded vegetation areas around the perimeter of their study site included species such as *Anopheles punctipennis* Say, *Culiseta inornata* Williston and *Cx. pipiens* (Mercer et al. 2005). Of the total larval mosquito population within their study areas, 65.7% of mosquitoes were found in temporary pools with intermittently flooded and permanently flooded areas providing habitat for the remaining 34.3%. Open-water habitats contained no mosquito larvae in this study, and generally provide habitat for very few mosquitoes in wetland areas (Thullen et al. 2002). Factors such as vegetation density, dissolved nitrogen content, organic matter, and phosphate availability contribute to the productivity of a wetland for mosquito development, and the availability of these resources in any given microhabitat may be the determining factor on the species that will live in that habitat (Lawler and Dritz 2005; Jiannino and Walton 2004).

Laboratory results show that mortality among larvae at densities greater than 500 per mosquito rearing pan was increased by 60% in *Cx. tarsalis*, *Cx. restuans* and *Cs. inornata* (Buth et al. 1990). A shorter development time due to warmer water temperatures reduced mortality under laboratory conditions, but was not seen in the field, likely due to fluctuating ambient temperatures. *Culex tarsalis* and *Cs. inornata* occurring concurrently under natural conditions can have higher densities than single species populations, indicating that these two species may fill different niches within the same aquatic environment (Fanara and Mulla 1974).
Adult Dispersal Patterns

Distribution of adult mosquitoes after eclosion vary both among species and environmental conditions. Mosquito flights have been classified as migratory, appetential and consummatory, and commence for one of five reasons: (1) resting sites, (2) carbohydrate sources, (3) blood meals, (4) ovipositional sites, or (5) mates (Bidlingmayer 1985, Service 1997). Migratory flights have been observed in Cx. tarsalis in southern California in pre-diapausal insects including unidirectional flights of up to 17.7 km (Bailey et al. 1965). This type of dispersal may be common in the Powder River basin where overwintering habitat is sparse. Appetential flights are upwind searching flights for olfactory host clues, mates or carbohydrate sources (Bidlingmayer 1985). Once a food source or mate is detected, consummatory flight begins in which a food source is sought and consumed. In cases where food sources are sparse, adult mosquitoes may fly several kilometers in the appetential flight mode, often moving long distances from their original larval habitat. Cases have been observed where high larval densities have also increased dispersal distances by newly emerged adults spiraling several meters upwards in an attempt to catch wind currents (Bailey et al. 1965). In any case, once a mate and or blood meal is found, appetential flight mode begins again in search of a suitable oviposition site based on a species individual needs.

One of the main reasons that Cx. tarsalis is such an efficient vector of WNV in the western United States is that it feeds on both birds and mammals. A study conducted in central California indicates 97.2% of all blood-fed mosquitoes in the spring fed on host birds, whereas between May and October, 58.5% of blood meals were from avian hosts,
and 41.4% were from mammals (Tempelis and Washino 1967). This shift in feeding habits is most likely due to avoidance behavior by avian host species or the relatively high availability of mammalian over avian hosts in late summer when altricial nestling birds have fledged (Kilpatrick et al. 2006). A shift in feeding hosts may contribute to the spread of WNV among mammals (Kilpatrick et al. 2006).

After a female mosquito takes an infected blood meal, a specific amount of time called the extrinsic incubation period (EIP) is required before that insect is capable of transmitting the virus. The EIP is dependent on the species of vector mosquito, virus replication rate and ambient weather temperatures. The movement of adult mosquitoes to cool, shaded resting places during the day, and subsequent host-seeking behaviors at night allows them to maintain themselves in a thermal environment with lower temperature variation than in the surrounding habitat (Meyer et al. 1990). This may reduce the EIP in insects that occupy environments with a wide range of maximum and minimum temperatures. *Culex tarsalis* in southern California had an estimated EIP of 5-7 days at 28°C, which would allow for virus transmission within 1 – 2 gonotrophic cycles (Riesen et al. 2006). Reisen indicates that virus activities in the western United States were closely linked to above-average temperatures in 2004 and 2005, where EIP’s were likely reduced to a point where transmission could occur after two gonotrophic cycles and viremic mosquitoes were more prevalent in the environment.

Information regarding EIP and temperature relationships has been used to create a predictive model for WNV outbreaks based on degree-day accumulations over time. In a hot year (2003), this model predicted the WNV cases in Wyoming with a 91.3% total
accuracy, and was 65.2% accurate in 2004, which was relatively cool and dry (Zou et al. In press). Predictive modeling such as the proceeding degree-day model may be useful in the future to forecast WNV outbreak in high risk areas along with proper surveillance.

Mosquitoes have several different survival strategies for overwintering in cool climates. Some species over-winter as adults in diapause, others lay eggs that remain viable over the winter, and several species survive the winter as larvae (Clements 1992). Mosquitoes that over-winter as adults have a higher rate of survival if they enter diapause directly, rather than taking a blood meal first. Female mosquitoes are stimulated to enter diapause by short day lengths and low water temperatures as early instar larvae (Tauber and Tauber 1976). As these mosquitoes prepare for dormancy the development of the primary ovarian follicles stops and production of trypsin and chymotrypsin-like proteases that are used for digesting bloodmeals are reduced (Tauber and Tauber 1976; Robich and Denlinger 2005). These females switch from blood meals to sugar gluttony shortly before entering diapause as a way to increase hypertrophy of the fat bodies before winter (Robich and Denlinger 2005). The only exception to this is when females take a blood meal and develops fat body rather than eggs, a process called gonotrophic disassociation. This is the only known way that an adult mosquito can over-winter WNV without undergoing vertical transmission of the disease (Turell et al. 2002).

**Species Specific Biology**

*Culex tarsalis.* *Culex tarsalis* is a widely distributed mosquito species preferring rural areas west of the Mississippi River from Canada into Mexico. This species is a
highly efficient vector of WNV, and it has remained one of the top four species of mosquitoes in the United States for total positive pools since WNV spread west of the Mississippi River in 2002 (Hayes 2005, Turell 2005). This species of mosquito has been widely studied throughout its range because of its ability to transmit pathogens such as WNV, St. Louis encephalitis, and western equine encephalitis between birds and mammals. *Culex tarsalis* was the only species of mosquito collected in abundance in the PRB that regularly takes both avian and mammalian blood meals, and thus it has the most veterinary and medical importance.

*Culex tarsalis* populations have been reported to have high numbers of host-seeking females in August and September in northern climates, as their populations build though the summer from over-wintered females (Knight et al. 2003). *Culex tarsalis* emerges from diapause during the spring, seeks a bloodmeal and completes a gonotrophic cycle. Adults mate in large swarms at dusk, with males copulating each evening, and most females mating 1-2 days post emergence (Riesen et al. 2002). Females lay eggs on the surface of freshwater pools in rafts of 100 eggs or more, seeking out suitable ovipositional habitats by using non-volatile chemical cues (Isoe et al. 1995). Some of the ovipositional cues that female *Cx. tarsalis* use include flooded and decomposing grasses, cattle manure and aquatic bacterial composition. *Culex tarsalis* larvae have been observed at highest densities in vegetation cover dominated by cattails (*Typhia* spp.) root masses and high stem density (Walton et al. 1990). The eggs that are laid are not drought resistant and will hatch several days after being deposited depending on environmental conditions. (Clements 1992)
Larvae of *Cx. tarsalis* are found in newly flooded habitats, and are often the first species of mosquito to colonize a water source (Fanara and Mulla 1974). Flooded areas with high percentages of plant cover, like saltgrass, have the highest larval populations of *Cx. tarsalis* in California, and this affinity for colonizing freshly flooded grasslands probably is true for this species throughout its range (De Szalay and Resh 2000). The two factors that were found to be most significant in predicting larval abundance of this mosquito in California include maximum water temperature and pond age with newly flooded habitats as the most productive. In this system, duck ponds are flooded annually to provide waterfowl with winter habitat, and gravid *Cx. tarsalis* females are the first mosquito species to utilize this resource. This behavior may be initiated to avoid predators who take 3-4 weeks to reach abundance levels that have a significant effect on larval mosquito populations (Walton et al. 1990). The range of temperatures that are optimal for larval *Cx. tarsalis* development in the laboratory is between 10°C and 37°C, with a mean of 32°C (Fanara and Mulla 1974). The development time for *Cx. tarsalis* larvae under natural conditions ranges from 19.8 to 25.3 days in Southern Manitoba, and may be shorter in warmer climates (Buth et al. 1990).

Adult females are opportunistic feeders, taking bloodmeals from either birds or mammals (Gunstream et al. 1971). *Culex tarsalis* are crepuscular/night feeders, and spend most of their days resting under vegetation (Turell et al. 2005). The highest activity levels of host seeking females occurs between 10 PM and 1 AM (Bast 1961; Knight et al. 2003; Riesen et al. 1997). In the spring and early summer, females preferentially seek avian blood meals, many of which are from nestlings (Blackmore and
Dow 1958). Catches of host-seeking *Cx. tarsalis* are found at highest densities in traps surrounded by elevated vegetation, and lowest over tree snags, open water, sandbars and in urban areas. In areas of southern California surrounding the Salton sea, proportions of blood meals taken from avian hosts were directly related to the density of host seeking females. Abundances of host seeking females may preferentially feed on young altricial birds in the nest, which have few defensive behaviors. These birds however quickly mature and develop defensive behaviors to reduce insect feeding (Lothrop and Riesen 2001; Bast 1961). This leads to a change in feeding behavior by *Cx. tarsalis* from birds to mammals in the late summer and fall (Gunstream et al. 1971). Those insects that have been infected with WNV in the early summer may transmit the virus to humans and horses by this shift in feeding.

Laboratory studies indicate that 74-100 of *Cx. tarsalis* become infected with WNV after taking blood meals with $10^{7.1}$ PFU/ml, which is a common virus titer in many North American birds (Goddard et al. 2002). These infected mosquitoes have an estimated WNV transmission rate of 81 and 91% after ingesting blood-meals containing $10^{6.5}$ and $10^{7.3}$ PFU/ml respectively (Turell et al. 2002b). A female *Cx. tarsalis* requires 35-40 days between egg cycles, and in northern climates they average 2.6-2.9 generations per season (Buth et al. 1990). This requires female mosquitoes to acquire an infected blood meal in her first gonotrophic cycle, survive at least 35 days, and then probe a susceptible host such as a human, horse or sage-grouse to transmit virus.

*Culex tarsalis* must either be re-infected with WNV each spring while taking a bloodmeal, undergo diapause as an infected adult or vertically transmit virus from gravid
female to egg. Laboratory studies have shown vertical transmission from infected females to F1 progeny with a minimum mosquito infection rate of 6.9 per thousand; however, this mechanism was not seen in all *Cx. tarsalis* samples tested, and may change between local populations (Goddard et al. 2003). This overwintering mechanism is most likely coupled with others such as reservoir hosts and infectious migratory birds, with variations in composition between regions.

*Culex tarsalis* is the primary vector for several encephalitic diseases including western equine encephalitis, Saint Louis encephalitis in the western United States, and West Nile virus (Knight et al. 2003). These pathogens are amplified in the enzootic cycle between birds and mosquitoes, most likely among passeriform birds. Encephalitic diseases can affect humans and domestic mammals; however, they are dead end hosts to the pathogen, not developing high enough viremias to infect subsequent feeding mosquitoes.

*Aedes vexans*. *Aedes vexans* is a floodwater mosquito commonly found around flood irrigation systems and spring snowmelt locations across North America (Knight et al. 2003). This species of mosquito is a crepuscular/night feeder that prefers to take blood meals on large mammals such as cattle and white-tailed deer, and is rarely collected with evidence of an avian blood meal (Gunstream et al. 1971; Turell 2005). Females of this species lay individual eggs in moist soils subject to flooding. Floodwater mosquitoes, such as *Ae. vexans*, have desiccation-proof egg shells that allow an embryo to survive long periods in a dry environment. Eggs with this adaptation can remain viable for several years and will be stimulated to hatch when the right environmental and
physical conditions such as flooding and snowmelt occur (Clements 1992). These eggs must undergo a period of desiccation prior to inundation in a low oxygen environment as well as exposure to cold to stimulate hatching (Bates 1970).

Laboratory and field-testing indicate the *Ae. vexans* is not a primary vector of WNV in North America although studies indicate that they do transmit the pathogen at low rates (Turell et al., 2001). *Aedes vexans* is not an ornithophagic mosquito, and thus is unlikely to obtain WNV from a viremic bird. Laboratory testing has shown that even after being orally challenged with an infected blood meal, these insects were refractory to infection with dissemination rates of 8%. Of those insects where virus passes through the midgut, 100% were able to transmit virus to a new host, and would be a potential vector in the field (Turell et al. 2001). *Aedes vexans* can transmit western equine encephalitis virus in the western United States. These cases are also incidental as WEE is amplified by avian hosts in the same manner as WNV except when secondary amplification cycles occur involving small mammals such as hares (*Lepus americanus* Erxleben), and ground squirrels (*Spermophilus richardsoni* Elegans) (Knight et al. 2003).

*Aedes dorsalis. Aedes dorsalis* is a floodwater mosquito that is often attracted to ephemeral areas with high salt contents for oviposition (Knight et al. 2003). This species of mosquito is found as adults throughout the summer in the western and northeastern United States and southern Canada (Darcie and Ward 1981). *Aedes dorsalis* requires habitat that is relatively wet, and is common in areas flooded by snowmelt and irrigation events in dryer climates. Host-seeking females are considered opportunistic blood feeders, and take a majority of their blood meals from large mammals. They prefer to
feed at night, but they will feed during the day if a suitable host enters their resting area (Turell et al. 2005).

*Aedes dorsalis* is not considered a primary vector of WNV in North America but is involved in WEE transmission in some parts of their range (Gunstream et al. 1971; Turell et al. 2005). Research in California indicates that *Ae. dorsalis* as well as *Ae. melanimon* and *Ae. campestris* can perpetuate a secondary transmission cycle of WEE among mammals, especially lagomorphs (Riesen et al. 1998). Larvae of *Aedes dorsalis* have tested positive for WEE in the lab at low rates, indicating vertical transmission which would allow for virus overwintering.

*Aedes melanimon*. *Aedes melanimon* is a floodwater mosquito found across the western United States and southwestern Canada (Darsie and Ward 1981). This species lays eggs in areas of flooded vegetation with gonotrophic cycle, varying from 4 to 5 days (Jensen and Washino 1991). Female *Ae. melanimon* feed on mammals including cattle and humans, seeking hosts at dusk. This species of mosquito has high adult survivorship and abundance across the summer, along with a short gonotrophic cycle length all of which contribute to the increased probability of obtaining and disseminating a pathogen by an individual vector (Goddard et al. 2002).

The CDC considered *Ae. melanimon* a competent vector for WNV in the United States although it is not considered a primary vector (CDC 2006; Goddard et al. 2002). *Aedes melanimon* has been implicated as a secondary vector of WEE in parts of California because of its contribution to the amplification and transmission of a secondary virus cycle in cottontail rabbits (*Sylvilagus floridanus*) in WEE outbreak years.
(Jensen and Washino 1991). The primary vector for WEE in the western U. S. is *Culex tarsalis*, with wild bird populations serving as the basic viral reservoir (CDC 2006). *Culex tarsalis* may also feed on mammalian hosts and transmit WEE, providing an opportunity for *Ae. melanimon* to acquire the WEE pathogen. *Ae. melanimon* that obtain a bloodmeal on WEE infected mammalian hosts can quickly transmit the WEE pathogen through the susceptible host population including horses and humans, thus creating a secondary transmission cycle absent of primary vectors and hosts.

**Mosquito Control Strategies**

Tactics used for mosquito control in the United States include chemical, biological and physical control mechanisms. Each of these tactics has positive and negative attributes that should be assessed on a case by case basis before being implemented. These attributes are cost, environmental effects, duration of control, and ease of use.

Biological control includes the introduction and conservation of natural mosquito predators to maintain mosquito populations at a reduced level. This incorporates the introduction of invertebrate and vertebrate predators such as Coleoptera adults and larvae, Odonata adults and larvae as well as several predatory fish species. Invertebrate predators such as naiad Odonata and Notonectidea can significantly reduce larval mosquito populations in habitats that are greater than 1 month old, and become increasingly effective at controlling mosquito populations in mature ponds (Riesen et al. 1989; Walton et al. 1990). *Mesocyclops longisetus* Thiebaud and *Macrocyclops albidus* Jurine have been introduced in Louisiana rice fields, marshes and ditches to effectively control
Anopheles spp. and Culex quinquefasciatus (Marten et al. 1994). Although these invertebrates may not eliminate mosquito populations, they may be used to suppress populations in small aquatic habitats.

Vegetation management in larval mosquito habitats is also a viable mosquito control strategy in some situations, especially in man-made or intensively managed aquatic habitats. Methods used in vegetation management include burning aboveground plant material, intermittently thinning, deepening of shallow areas to reduce emergent vegetation and turning soils of ephemeral habitats during dry seasons. In general, opening densely vegetated areas reduces mosquito habitat while increasing the habitats of mosquito predators and wildlife species (De Szalay and Resh 2000; Batzer and Resh 1992; Jiannino and Walton 2004). Specifically, if densely vegetated areas are modified to contain small hummocks of emergent vegetation dispersed within deepened open water, mosquito refuge is decreased while predator habitat is increased. This results in adult mosquito emergence 100- and ten-fold lower in hummock and thinned treatments than in densely vegetated control treatments (Thullen et al. 2000). This practice allows for mosquito management while maintaining wildlife habitat without the use of pesticides or labor-intensive annual treatments.

Fish have been used extensively across the United States for mosquito larval control purposes for more than 50 years with varying effects (Walton 2007). The most commonly stocked fish is the mosquitofish (Gambusia affinis Baird and Girard and Gambusia halbrooki Girard), but there has been some interest in the use of native fishes for mosquito control purposes (Knight et al. 2003). Mosquitofish are effective predators
in man-made environments, however they do not over-winter well in cool climates making them difficult to maintain in some areas (Cech and Linden 1987). Where mosquitofish are stocked they are efficient predators of mosquito larvae in habitats that contain little or no vegetation, however both fry and adults have a higher survival rate in areas with vegetation to act as shelter from predators (Walton 2007). Dense floating vegetation, as well as decaying emergent vegetation provides cover for mosquito larvae, and reduces the efficacy of the mosquitofishes biocontrol abilities (Berkelhamer and Bradley 1989). Other fishes that have been tested for larvivorous activity include the Sacramento blackfish (Orthodon microlepidotus Ayres), Pacific blue-eye (Pseudomugil signifier Knar), and the killifish (Rivulus marmoratus Poey) with varied results (Taylor et al. 1992; Willems et al. 2005). Many of these fishes are effective predators at the juvenile stage, and then move on to larger prey as they grow. These species may be valuable in an integrated pest management program where the juveniles are allowed to control mosquito populations at a given period of their development, and then other control measures are used for the subsequent portion of the mosquito season.

Pesticide use, including adulticides and larvicides, is common in urban areas with high mosquito populations, and has been used as a preventative measure in parts of the PRB. Larvicides are more effective at controlling mosquito populations because larvae are in a confined area compared to widely dispersed like adults. Products such as Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis (Bti) are microbial larvicides that disrupt the insect's digestive system, and provide a 90-100% reduction in Ae. vexans and Culex spp.(Berry et al. 1987, Russel et al. 2003). Larviciding oils are also used as a larviciding
material, controlling mosquito larvae and pupae by creating a thin film on the water surface that disrupts the insect's ability to obtain atmospheric oxygen through its siphon. Larviciding oils are most effective in habitats with little emergent vegetation and little wind (Lampman et al. 2000). Products such as Golden bear have a LD$_{50}$ activity of 3.6 µl/ 54 cm$^2$ and have an activity time of more than 16 hours in the field (Lampman et al. 2000).

Mosquito adulticides are often distributed as a mist or aerosol, using aerial application, truck foggers, or backpack foggers in areas of high adult mosquito density (CDC 2006). Some products that are commonly used by the mosquito control industry are pyrethrins and 5% malathion (AMCA 2006). These products can be very effective, but require specific environmental conditions for proper use including wind speed, temperature and humidity and do not have long term treatment effects. These conditions often make adulticides less effective than larval treatments, and many mosquito abatement districts choose to use these products as a back-up to larval treatments.

Ponds from coal bed natural gas development in the Powder River basin vary in shape, size, vegetation cover and maturity. Regardless of their individual mosquito production, as a whole they greatly increase the potential for mosquito abundance in this region. Recent research comparing the mosquito abundance of various pond types in Delaware indicate that shallow sided, highly vegetated habitats produce the largest number of mosquito larvae overall (Gingrich et al. 2006). Mosquito abundance in the PRB will most likely be highest in those habitats that remain wet throughout the season, and have a high density of vegetation around the shorelines. Those CBNG ponds that fit
this description may be very productive, while newer ponds may take time to develop these mosquito production characteristics. Finding ways to reduce mosquito production in existing ponds, and modify the design of future ponds to reduce their utility as larval mosquito habitat may greatly decrease the overall mosquito production of the PRB, and reduce the risk of WNV transmission among humans, livestock and wildlife in this region.
CHAPTER 2

ADULT MOSQUITO ABUNDANCE AND WEST NILE VIRUS INFECTION RATES IN NATURAL, AGRICULTURAL AND COALBED NATURAL GAS PONDS

Introduction

West Nile virus was first detected in Wyoming on 18 August 2002, resulting in 96 equine, 2 human and 17 avian cases across the state by the end of the year. An epidemic occurred in 2003, with 393 human cases and 9 fatalities, 230 positive horses, and 182 confirmed bird deaths (Table 1) (Wyoming Department of Health 2006). Of those cases, 23.4% of the human and 19.5% of the equine reports in Wyoming were from Sheridan, Johnson and Campbell counties, all within the geographic boundaries of the Powder River Basin (PRB). The PRB has been under development for coal bed natural gas (CBNG) extraction for the past 16 years, with the majority of development taking place after 1996. This development includes the creation of effluent CBNG ponds. Prior to 2003 no quantitative or qualitative data regarding mosquito production had been collected from these ponds. However there is concern over the potential they may produce putative vectors of WNV and have a negative impact on human, equine, and wildlife health.

The 2003 WNV outbreak included the first reported case of WNV in a greater sage-grouse (Centrocercus urophasianus; “sage-grouse”) near Spotted Horse,
Table 1. 2002 and 2003 West Nile Virus infections in Wyoming by County. The counties of the Powder River Basin (italics) account for 30% of the human WNV cases in Wyoming in 2002, and 70% in 2003 (Wyoming Department of Health 2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Human Infections</th>
<th>Human Deaths</th>
<th>Horse Infections</th>
<th>Avian Infections</th>
<th>Total Infections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Horn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Campbell</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>71</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goshen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Springs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Johnson</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laramie</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natrona</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niobrara</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platte</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sheridan</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sublette</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweetwater</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teton</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uinta</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washakie</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weston</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>393</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td><strong>96</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wyoming, causing a 75% decline in the local radio collared population (Naugle et al. 2004). These mortalities were closely associated with sage-grouse habitats undergoing development for CBNG extraction, including the development of holding ponds for effluent water extracted in the drilling process. This research project was developed to
quantify the differences in mosquito populations when aquatic habitats (e.g., CBNG ponds) are increased on the landscape, and the infection rates of WNV vectors in this region. I hypothesized that the presence of CBNG impoundments in the PRB will be associated with a greater abundance of larval and adult mosquitoes, specifically *Culex tarsalis*.

My primary objective in 2004 was to quantify the adult mosquito populations in five different types of aquatic habitats that were suspected of producing mosquitoes in the PRB, Wyoming. In 2005, I continued to sample adult mosquito populations in four of the 2004 study sites. I also compared larval mosquito production and vegetation habitat characteristics in each of these study sites to test for differences in larval mosquito production in the available aquatic habitats in the PRB (Chapter 3).

**Materials and Methods**

**Field Methods**

**Experimental Design.** In 2004 and 2005 adult mosquitoes were collected using battery operated CO₂-baited CDC miniature black light traps (John W. Hock Company, Gainsville, FL). Lights were removed from the traps to exclude non-mosquito fauna. Traps with approximately 1 kg dry ice were set out in the evening and programmed to turn on at dusk and operate until collection the next morning. Upon retrieval, adult mosquito samples were transported on wet ice until they could be euthanized with tri-ethylamine and stored at -10°C for later processing (identification and virus assay).
Individual trap sites were randomly selected from aquatic habitats identified using hardcopy USGS EROS data center Landsat telocomposit 7,4,3 band combinations (red, green, blue) for each study area. These color bands highlight riparian habitats when viewing satellite imagery maps (Randy McKinley USGS, personal communications). Forty-five trap sites were selected in 2004 between five different study areas in Campbell and Johnson counties, Wyoming. These sites included natural (7 sites), and irrigated agriculture water sources (8 sites), sagebrush steppe (2 study areas, 20 sites) and a combination of mature and new coal bed natural gas ponds (10 traps). Adult mosquitoes were sampled twice weekly from 11 July – 9 September (Julian dates 193 – 253). Some missing samples were due to weather and landowner restrictions.

Adult mosquitoes were sampled from 20 trap locations in 2005 in Campbell and Johnson Counties, Wyoming. The total number of trap sites was reduced in 2005 because sagebrush steppe study areas were omitted to allow time for larval sampling, and adult collections in 2004 were very low. These trap locations were in four different study areas including natural water sources, irrigated agriculture, mature CBNG ponds, and new CBNG ponds. Each site was randomly selected from those sampled in 2004 for a total of 5 ponds per study site. Light traps were placed in habitats between emergent aquatic vegetation and flooded grasses whenever possible based on the vegetation characteristics at each individual pond. When these habitats were not available, light traps were placed within 2 m of the shoreline near shallow water. Light traps were set bi-weekly in each study area from 15 May - 23 August (Julian dates 134 – 246). Larval samples were taken the day adult traps were set.
Study Sites

The research area was split into five blocks in 2004, each representing a unique aquatic habitat in the PRB. These sites included; 1) developed CBNG, 2) irrigated agriculture, 3) undeveloped sagebrush steppe, 4 and 5) (Figure 1). In 2005, I modified the design and selected 1) mature CBNG ponds, 2) new CBNG ponds, 3) irrigated agriculture and 4) sagebrush steppe under CBNG development. These study areas were chosen for their current land use, proximity to radio-collared sage-grouse habitats, landowner cooperation and aquatic habitat resources. A detailed description of each site follows:

Sagebrush Steppe under CBNG Development. Sagebrush steppe under CBNG development (natural water sources) was sampled in 2004 and 2005 and included springs, drying river beds, oxbow lakes, and stock ponds. Qualifying stock ponds were not artificially filled from anthropogenic sources (e.g., CBNG water). These natural ponds were part of the PRB landscape prior to CBNG development in northeastern Wyoming. The ponds used in this block were in a study area located 24 km south of Buffalo, Wyoming off Interstate 90 ( 13T 0390639, 4917115, elevation 1220 m) in land grazed by cattle during the course of the study. Water sources in this area are ephemeral. They are filled with runoff from snowmelt and rain water early in the season and then become dry in mid to late summer. Several small rainstorms occurred throughout the summer, allowing these aquatic habitats to stay wet into August in 2005, but precipitation was insufficient either field season to fill natural depressions to early spring levels.
Aquatic vegetation was sparse around natural water sources in northeastern WY due to the ephemeral nature of natural springs in this dry environment. Average vegetation cover around the natural water sources sampled was 63% (n=5), which included bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata* Pursh), western wheatgrass (*Agropyron smithii* Rydb), prairie junegrass (*Koeleria macrantha* Ledeb), blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis* Vasey), Japanese brome (*Bromus japonicus* Thunb.), cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum* L.), crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum* L.), sage brush (*Artemisia* spp.) and cattail (*Typha* spp.).

**Sagebrush Steppe with Limited CBNG Development: CX Ranch.** This site was north of Sheridan, Wyoming on the Montana/Wyoming border (13T 0348842, 4990002, elevation 1120 m). Upland sagebrush-steppe habitat in the PRB was dominated by Wyoming big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata wyomingensis* Beetle) and intermixed native and non-native grasses such as bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata* Pursh), western wheatgrass (*Agropyron smithii* Rydb), prairie junegrass (*Koeleria macrantha* Ledeb), blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis* Vasey), Japanese brome (*Bromus japonicus* Thunb.), cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum* L.) and crested wheatgrass (*A. cristatum* L.). Plains silver sagebrush (*Artemisia cana cana* Pursh) was also present in drainages but at much lower abundance. This sagebrush-steppe habitat has limited CBNG development. The few CBNG ponds that are present are approximately 1 acre in size, shallow, and subject to heavy cattle use. Light traps were set in 2004 near naturally occurring water sources (5 traps), and in upland sage areas (3 traps) where sage-grouse
were radio-tracked in high densities in 2003 and 2004. This area was not sampled in 2005.

Sagebrush Steppe with Limited CBNG Development: Padlock Ranch. This study area is north of Sheridan Wyoming on the Montana/ Wyoming border and east of the CX ranch (13T 0380795, 4984181, elevation 1160 m). No CBNG ponds are currently filled in this area. Naturally occurring water sources include man-made stock ponds, overflowing stock tanks and one naturally occurring ephemeral pool. The sites of proposed CBNG ponds are known in this study area, and several of our 2004 light traps were placed where CBNG ponds will be located once gas extraction starts. This area was not sampled in 2005.

Irrigated Agricultural Water Sources. Agricultural water sources included small ponds and ditches from flood irrigated agricultural such as hay and alfalfa. Study locations were (1) 32 km south of Buffalo, Wyoming on interstate 25 (13T 0361201, 4897075, elevation 1550 m), (2004 and 2005) and (2) 8 km east of Buffalo, Wyoming on Wyoming highway 16 (2005 only). Water sources for flood irrigation included Upper Crazy Woman Creek, and Clear Creek in privately managed fields. In 2004, two flood irrigation events occurred the weeks of May 27th and June 25th (Julian dates 147, 176). In 2005, one flooding event occurred from June 8th – June 10th, based on the regular irrigation practices of the landowner (Julian date 159 – 161). After each irrigation event, water persisted in 3 of 5 impoundments throughout the season, while the remaining 2 evaporated within two weeks (personal observational). Aquatic vegetation in agricultural
water sources (n=5) were predominately cattails (*Typha* spp.) with various rushes (*Juncaceae* spp.).

**Mature Coal Bed Natural Gas Ponds.** Mature coal bed natural gas ponds were located around Spotted Horse Wyoming, on Wyoming highway 16 (13T 0436498, 4948103, elevation 1.23 km). Mature CBNG ponds received effluent CBNG water for ≥ 5 years and vegetation covered more than 50% of the shoreline. Many of these ponds were previously used as livestock watering ponds by private landowners and were excavated and enlarged to accommodate larger water influxes from CBNG development. Effluent water from CBNG development was added to these ponds at various rates, maintaining relatively stable water levels throughout the field season. Vegetation cover ranged from 45.6% to 89% between ponds, including sedges, rushes, forbs and flooded upland grasses, with an average vegetation cover of 54.5%.

**New Coal Bed Natural Gas Ponds.** New CBNG ponds were also located near Spotted Horse Wyoming, on Wyoming highway 16 (13T 0433045, 4949482, elevation 1.2 km). These ponds received effluent CBNG water for ≤ 5 years and vegetation covered less than 50% of the shoreline. Several of these ponds were also former stock ponds, and were recently excavated for effluent CBNG water storage. Other ponds were constructed specifically for CBNG water use and were occasionally used for livestock watering. Many of these ponds are continuously filled with water from CBNG wells and maintained relatively constant water levels with the exception of one pond (Smith pond) where water level fluctuated several feet over the course of the summer. Average
vegetation cover per sampling point was 21%, and was predominately flooded upland grasses, algae and forbs.

The CX upland sagebrush-steppe and padlock upland sagebrush-steppe study sites were combined to represent one upland sagebrush habitat block in the final statistical analysis after preliminary statistical tests indicated no significant differences between these study sites for variables tested.

**Laboratory Methods**

Mosquito samples were stored at -10°C and sorted on a laboratory chill table (BioQuip 1431) using a 63–500x stereomicroscope. All mosquito specimens collected in 2004 were identified to genus using the key of Darcie and Ward (1981), with putative WNV vectors in the *Culex* or *Aedes* genera identified to species for Padlock and CX Ranch upland sagebrush-steppe areas by members of USDA ARS Arthropod-Borne Animal Disease Research Laboratory (ABADRL) in Laramie, Wyoming. *Aedes* and *Culex* mosquitoes captured from other study areas in 2004 and all study areas in 2005 were sorted to species.

RNA extractions for WNV were conducted on pools of female mosquitoes in 2004 and 2005 by USDA ARS ABADRL. A maximum of 50 and minimum of 20 specimens were tested per pool with a total of 923 pools in 2004 and 244 in 2005. Those light trap collections that contained < 20 mosquitoes of the same species were pooled with other samples for the same trapping location in a given month. If 20 insects were not collected from a trap site in a month, the pool was run with < 20 specimens, and is later noted as such.
RNA extraction was conducted with the RNeasy 96 kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Samples were ground in liquid nitrogen, mixed with 1 mL buffer RLT and centrifuged at 8000 x g for 10 minutes. Half of the supernatant was stored at -80 °C, and the remaining was used in the extraction according to manufacturer’s specifications. Approximately 50 µL of eluate was recovered per sample and stored at -20 °C until used in the TaqMan assay. RT-PCR was run (Lanciotti et al. 2000) on the ABI Prism 7000 sequence detection system with TaqMan one step RT-PCR master mix reagents (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Primer and probe combinations (DNA Technologies Inc., Coralville, IA, USA) were then synthesized (Lanciotti et al. 2000; Lanciotti and Kerst 2001). Positive samples from the WNENV primer/probe were tested with the WN3’NC primer/probe set. Pools were considered positive when CT values were <37, and the normalized fluorescent signal (Rn) was 2x greater than the average of eight non-template controls for both primer/probe sets.

**Statistical Methods**

Data from the 2004 and 2005 field seasons were analyzed separately due to differences in study designs and data collection protocols. Differences in adult mosquito abundance between habitat types were analyzed in SAS PROC MIXED by species with a generalized mixed effect linear model. In 2004, the sagebrush-steppe study areas were combined to represent one upland sagebrush steppe habitat after an initial PROC MIXED model was run and no significant differences in mosquito populations were found between sampling sites. Because sequential mosquito counts can be serially-correlated and mosquito counts estimated for the same habitat closer in time are more likely to be
correlated than measures more distant in time, I modeled the appropriate covariance 
structure that best represented the data in SAS PROC MIXED (Littell et al. 1996, 1998). 
The covariance structure is derived from variances at individual times and correlations 
between measures at different times on the same habitat (Littell et al. 1998). I used a 
compound symmetry (CS) error structure where all measures at all times have the same 
variance and all pairs of measures on the habitat have the same correlation (Littell et al. 
1996). SAS PROC MIXED is a generalization of a standard linear model and data are 
permitted to exhibit correlation and nonconstant variability (SAS 8.2 online doc.). I used 
the REPEATED statement in PROC MIXED to model the covariation within habitats, 
which accounts for the violation of independence of the observations on the same pond at 
different times (Littell et al. 1998). The RANDOM statement was used to model the 
variation between habitats, which accounts for heterogeneity of variances from individual 
ponds (Littell et al. 1998). The random effects factor was the sub-sample of ponds within 
treatment group that were randomly chosen from all available ponds in the study area. In 
this manner, my results are able be to extrapolated to all ponds in the study area. All 
other factors in the model were fixed effects. Maximum likelihood methods were then 
used to fit a mixed-effects (both random and fixed effects) general linear model in SAS 
PROC MIXED.

Minimum infection rates of mosquito pools were calculated using the Pooled 
Infection Rate add-in for Microsoft Excel® (Biggerstaff 2006). Infection rates were first 
calculated for each species, and then re-grouped and analyzed by study area and study 
site for those species found to have positive pools in a given year.
Weather data were obtained from the United States National Weather Service archival climatological data for Sheridan, Wyoming (National Weather Service 2006). Average monthly temperatures from May-August were recorded, including the departure from normal. Precipitation data were recorded as monthly totals including the departure from normal, as well as the number of days with 0.02, 0.3, 1.3, and 2.5 centimeters or more of rainfall.

**Results**

**2004 Mosquito Collections**

A total of 38,543 adult mosquitoes representing 10 taxonomic groups were sorted from 554 trap nights in 2004. *Culex tarsalis* accounted for 37% of the total catches, followed by *Ae. dorsalis* (31.4%), *Ae. vexans* (16.7%), *Ae. melanimon* (10.9%), *Psorophora* spp. (1.6%) and *Ochleratatus* spp., (1.9%). *Cu. inornata*, *Cx. pipiens*, *Culiseta* spp., *Anopheles* spp. each comprised ≤1% of the catches (Figure 2).

Total mosquito collections in 2004 varied by site (DF = 3, 587, F = 3.00, \( P = 0.03 \)), and weeks (\( P = 0.0001 \)), with highest weekly collections in the months of May and June. Overall, more mosquitoes were collected from irrigated agriculture sites in 2004 than any other study area with an average of 171.6 (SE = 27.0) specimens collected per trap night. CBNG and natural areas averaged 109.0 (SE = 24.4) and 163.1 (SE = 27.2)
Figure 2. Percent composition of adult mosquito species collected by CDC black light traps, Powder River Basin, Wyoming 2004 and 2005.
Figure 3. Average mosquitoes collected per trap night by study area with standard errors, Powder River Basin, Wyoming 2004.
mosquitoes per trap night, respectively (Figure 3). Sagebrush-steppe study sites had the lowest average mosquito counts of all study sites, with a mean of 102.0 (SE = 17.7) and were significantly lower than mosquito populations from natural ($P = 0.013$) and irrigated agricultural sites ($P = 0.03$).

_Culex tarsalis_ collections in 2004 differed ($3, 587$ df, $F = 10.3$, $P < 0.0001$) between the five study areas sampled. They were significantly higher in irrigated agricultural sites than natural or sage-steppe study areas ($\text{mean} \pm \text{SE} 44.3 \pm 6.9, P \leq 0.007$) (Figure 4, Figure 5). _Culex tarsalis_ populations were the lowest in sagebrush steppe sites ($10.1 \pm 4.9$). Sagebrush steppe populations were significantly lower than all other populations sampled ($P \leq 0.05$), though the presence of adult mosquitoes in this area is perhaps unusual considering that these traps were not near aquatic habitats.

_Culex tarsalis_ collections in 2004 varied by week (DF = 8, $F = 4.8$, $P < 0.0001$). The highest mean estimates for the entire PRB were found at week 7 (Julian date 176) ($48.2 \pm 6.6$, $P = 0.0001$), and the lowest estimate were found at week 12 (Julian date 246) ($2.5 \pm 8.5$, $P = 0.77$). Differences in least square means indicate a significant difference between weeks 4 and 7 ($P = 0.001$), 6 and 7 ($P = 0.006$), and 8 - 12 and 7 ($P \leq 0.01$) (Table 2). No differences were found between other weeks sampled.

_Aedes vexans_ was most abundant in irrigated agriculture areas ($3, 586$ df, $F = 10.13$, $P < 0.0001$) with significantly higher collections than any other sampled habitat (Figure 5). Mean collection sizes in agricultural areas were 58.1 mosquitoes per trap night (SE = 10.1). There were no significant differences by week found for this species.
Figure 4. Means and standard errors for *Culex tarsalis* per trap night by study site in the Powder River basin, Wyoming, 2004 and 2005.
Figure 5. Means and standard errors by study area for the four most abundant mosquito species collected in the Powder River basin, Wyoming, 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Julian date and week of sampling</th>
<th>134</th>
<th>148</th>
<th>162</th>
<th>176</th>
<th>190</th>
<th>204</th>
<th>218</th>
<th>232</th>
<th>246</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species and habitat type</td>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>Week 9</td>
<td>Week 10</td>
<td>Week 11</td>
<td>Week 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culex tarsalis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>66.50</td>
<td>30.30</td>
<td>22.38</td>
<td>37.81</td>
<td>56.25</td>
<td>101.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>35.70</td>
<td>54.12</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>77.90</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>12.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>17.07</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>75.21</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>17.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagebrush</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>10.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aedes melanimon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>40.90</td>
<td>19.88</td>
<td>104.44</td>
<td>32.88</td>
<td>12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagebrush</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aedes vexans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>485.50</td>
<td>41.50</td>
<td>77.88</td>
<td>79.44</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>39.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagebrush</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aedes dorsalis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>16.88</td>
<td>36.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>10.42</td>
<td>37.83</td>
<td>47.80</td>
<td>92.88</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>183.86</td>
<td>28.14</td>
<td>92.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagebrush</td>
<td>39.50</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>15.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of mosquito across the PRB ($P = 0.48$), likely due to low *Ae. vexans* collections in CBNG, sagebrush steppe and natural areas (Table 2).

Abundance of *Ae. dorsalis* was significantly higher in natural aquatic habitats ($48.2 \pm 8.7, P \leq 0.04$) than any other study area (Figure 5). *Aedes dorsalis* collections indicated no difference between irrigated agriculture and CBNG ($3, 587$ df, $F = 8.59, P = 0.32$). Sagebrush steppe areas supported the lowest catches of *Ae. dorsalis* ($4.8 \pm 6.0$) which was significantly lower than natural or CBNG sites ($P = 0.03, P < 0.0001$) (Table 2). Weekly collections of *Ae. dorsalis* across the PRB were highest in mid-summer ($P = 0.043$) (Julian date 213), with abundances decreasing in late August and September likely due to ephemeral larval habitats in natural areas, decreased photoperiod and cool summer temperatures.

The majority of the *Ae. melanimon* collected in 2004 was found in the agricultural sites, with an average of 33.6 specimens per trap night (SE = 5.79, $3, 587$ df, $F = 7.08, P = 0.0001$). All other study sites averaged less than 1.2 specimens per trap night and were not found to be a significant source for this species. No weekly significant differences were found for *Ae. melanimon* in the 2004 field season (Table 2).

*Culex pipiens* was rarely caught in 2004, with no significant difference between study areas, and a maximum average collection of 0.04 in the agricultural study site (SE = 0.02). Other species of mosquitoes captured representing <1% of the total population included *Ae. campestris* Dyar, *Ae. implicatus* Vockeroth, *Ae. trivittatus* Coquillet, *Ae. nigromaculus* Ludlow, *Ae. c. canadensis* Theobald, *Ae. provocans* Walker, *Ae.*

2005 Mosquito Collections

Overall, 6,469 adult mosquitoes representing 16 taxonomic groups were sorted and pooled for WNV testing in 2005 from 160 trap nights. From these samples *Cx. tarsalis* was the most abundant mosquito collected, representing 56.6% of the total mosquito population. Other species that were identified include *Ae. vexans* (29.4%), *Ae. melanimon* (8.1%) and *Ae. dorsalis* (7.8%). *Ae. campestris*, *Ae. implicates*, *Anopheles* spp., *Psorophera* spp., *Ae. trivittatus*, *Ae. nigromaculus*, *Ae. c. canadensis*, *Cx. pipiens*, *Ae. provocans*, *Ae. cataphylla*, *Ae. idahoensis*, and *Ae. hendersoni* all comprised ≤ 1% of the total collection in 2005.

Total mosquito populations were significantly different from one another at the $P = 0.10$ level in 2005 (3, 129 df, $F = 2.68$, $P = 0.049$), with irrigated agriculture areas producing the highest total mosquito counts over the field season (107.6 ± 23.3). These irrigated sites were significantly different from natural ($P = 0.05$) and old CBNG ($P = 0.02$) sites, with most of the specimens in this area identified as *Ae. vexans* followed by *Cx. tarsalis*, *Ae. melanimon* and *Ae. dorsalis* (Figure 6). Significant differences were found between weekly total mosquito production (8, 129 df, $F = 3.03$, $P = 0.004$), with week 5–7 having higher total mosquito counts than any other week sampled (Julian date 162–178) (Figure 7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species and habitat type</th>
<th>Julian date and week of sampling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>134 Week 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Culex tarsalis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old CBM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New CBM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aedes melanimon</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old CBM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New CBM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aedes vexans</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old CBM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New CBM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aedes dorsalis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old CBM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New CBM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. Means and standard errors by study area for the four most abundant mosquito species collect in the Powder River Basin, Wyoming, 2005.
Figure 7. *Culex tarsalis* mean catch counts over time by study area, Powder River basin, Wyoming, 2005.
*Culex tarsalis* was the most abundant mosquito collected during 2005 (Figure 2), with old CBNG sites producing significantly more mosquitoes than irrigated agriculture or natural water sources (33.9 ± 8.9, 3, 129 df, F = 2.41, $P \leq 0.03$) (Figure 6). Weekly population counts were significant for *Cx. tarsalis* in 2005, with weeks 5–8 (Julian date 162–188) producing more mosquitoes than all other weeks sampled (8, 129 df, F = 11.3, $P \leq 0.008$) (Figure 7, Table 3). Week six had the largest average catch of all weeks sampled, with mean counts of 86.3 *Cx. tarsalis* per trap night (SE = 9.5).

*Aedes vexans* were most abundant in irrigated agricultural areas in 2005, similar to 2004 sampling (3,129 df, F = 3.43, $P = 0.019$). Mean counts of *Ae. vexans* in agricultural areas were 56.3 mosquitoes per trap night, which was significantly higher than all other study sites sampled (Figure 6) ($P \leq 0.015$). While irrigated agricultural areas were significantly more productive for *Ae. vexans* than other study site there was no significant weekly population trend seen in 2005 (8, 129 df, F = 1.04, $P = 0.41$) (Table 3). Abundances of *Ae. dorsalis* in 2005 were much lower than 2004 samples, with no significant differences between study areas (Figure 6) (3, 129 df, F = 1.54, $P = 0.20$). The highest abundances were around natural water sources, as in 2004, however mean catches were much lower (8.9 ± 2.8), with no significant differences from other study areas. No significant weekly trends were seen in *Ae. dorsalis* populations in 2005 (8, 129, F = 1.28, $P = 0.26$) (Table 3).

*Aedes melanimon* population trends were similar in 2005 to the previous year samples, with abundances higher in irrigated agriculture than other sampled water sources (Figure 6). In 2005 these differences were not significant (3, 129 df, F = 1.9, $P =$
There were no significant weekly trends for *Ae. melanimon* in 2005 (8, 128 df, F = 0.96, *P* = 0.47) (Table 3), likely due to the reduced irrigation practices in 2005 from that seen in 2004.

**Mosquito Infection Rates**

A total of 923 and 244 pools of insects were tested for WNV using PCR assays in 2004 and 2005 respectively, with WNV isolation from 16 pools between both years. Species that were tested for WNV included *Cx. tarsalis* (241, 125 mosquitoes tested in 2004 and 2005 respectively), *Ae. vexans* (52, 22), *Ae. provocans* (1- 2005), *Ae. nigromaculus* (2, 1), *Ae. melanimon* (38, 8), *Psorophera* spp. (10- 2004), *Ochleratatus* spp. (21- 2004), *Culiseta* spp. (8- 2004), *Ae. implicates* (1- 2005), *Ae. dorsalis* (124, 11), *Ae. campestris* (1- 2005) and the biting midge *C. sonorensis* (428, 75). All the positive pools detected were from *Cx. tarsalis* samples, with minimum infection rate of 1.22 per thousand from 2004, and 0.84 per thousand from 2005 (Table 4).

Infected pools of mosquitoes were collected in different study areas in 2004 and 2005. Of the 12 infected pools found in 2004, 8 were from agricultural areas, 2 were from CBNG and 2 were from CX sagebrush steppe with minimum infection rates of 2.90, 0.60 and 1.48 per thousand respectively. In 2005, all the positive pools detected were from CBNG areas. Two infected pools were found at old CBNG ponds with an infection rate of 0.99, and 2 infected pools were detected in new CBNG areas with an infection rate of 1.96.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Infection Rate</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>Number Pools</th>
<th>Number Positive Pools</th>
<th>Number Individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td><em>Cx. tarsalis</em></td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td><em>Cx. tarsalis</em></td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4,804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Culex tarsalis* infection rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Infection Rate</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>Number Pools</th>
<th>Number Positive Pools</th>
<th>Number Individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004 Agriculture</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBNG</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX Sagebrush steppe</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padlock Sagebrush steppe</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005 Agriculture</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old CBNG</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New CBNG</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Average Monthly Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Departure from Normal (°C)</th>
<th>Total Monthly Precipitation (centimeters)</th>
<th>Departure from Normal (centimeters)</th>
<th>Days with total rainfall ≥ (inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Weather Data

Average monthly temperature and rainfall data for Sheridan, WY, May-August 2004 and 2005 indicate normal to below average temperatures in 2004 and 2005 (National Weather Service 2006). 2004 average temperatures ranged from 11 - 20 °C in 2004, and 11 - 22 °C in 2005 (Table 5). Departures from normal temperatures were -3 °C in 2004 and -0.1 °C in 2005. Average monthly rainfall in 2004 ranged from 1.4 – 4.4 centimeters in 2004 and 2.6 – 15.7 centimeters in 2005. Departures from normal rainfall was -5.5 centimeters in 2004 and +12.7 centimeters in 2005, indicating major changes in total rainfall between 2004 and 2005 field seasons. A total of 52 days accumulated > 0.25 centimeters of total rainfall between May and August 2004, with zero days accumulating >2.54 centimeters total rainfall. The 2005 field season included 64 days with > 0.25 centimeters total rainfall, with three days accumulating >2.54 centimeters total rainfall in May 2005.

Discussion

The Powder River Basin of Wyoming is currently undergoing both local and landscape scale changes in land use and development due to the production of coal bed natural gas. Satellite imagery shows that CBNG development has had a 2-fold increase in road, 2-3x increase in powerlines, 5x increase in number of total ponds in ranching areas with a 9x increase in total area of water, and a 2x increase in area of ponds and water in agricultural areas (Naugle et al. in press). Further imagery indicates that these ponds have contributed to a 75% increase in potential Cx. tarsalis habitat area across this region.
(Zou et al. 2006). *Culex tarsalis*, the vector responsible for transmitting WNV in northeastern Wyoming, is a species of mosquito native to the PRB (Hayes 2005, Turell et al. 2005); however, their population levels have increased in some areas due to human development in both agriculture and CBNG fields. This in combination with my research data allows me to reject my hypothesis that CBNG development has not increased mosquito production in the PRB including the WNV vector *Cx. tarsalis*.

In 2004 *Cx. tarsalis* was the most abundant mosquito collected across the PRB and was second in abundance to *Ae. vexans* in 2005. *Culex tarsalis* populations were highest in irrigated agriculture and CBNG sites, both of which are artificially supplemented with water throughout the summer. These sites were vegetated by sedges, rushes, forbs and flooded upland grasses. Many of these ponds also included inlets and outlets, which were significant production areas for *Cx. tarsalis* larvae in 2005 (Chapter 3). *Culex tarsalis* populations have been observed in southern California with high densities around irrigated agriculture (Riesen et al. 1992), and are known to be one of the first mosquito species to colonize wastewater ponds in the southwestern United States (Walton et al. 1990; Fanara and Mulla 1974). Our *Cx. tarsalis* collections show similar patterns to those observed in anthropogenic water sources in California, with the highest catch counts in Wyoming observed around irrigated and CBNG habitats.

In 2004 high populations of *Cx. tarsalis* were observed in agricultural sites, followed by sites under CBNG development. That summer had below average precipitation in northeastern Wyoming (-41.7% average, National Weather Service 2006) and subsequently our study sites had a 2-fold increase in irrigation of hay fields (Sparo
Zezas, personal communications). In contrast, rainfall in 2005 was 12.7 centimeters above the seasonal average, with normal seasonal temperatures and irrigation practices. This was reflected in adult mosquito populations with total mosquito production in irrigated agricultural areas increasing by 27% above average under drought conditions, and *Cx. tarsalis* production increasing by 39%. In comparison, natural sites saw a 10% decrease in *Cx. tarsalis* production from 2005 to 2004. These mosquitoes have been observed under drought conditions in California, and have demonstrated similar trends, with increased populations in irrigated agriculture during a dry year (1990) (Riesen et al. 1992). Overall, drought conditions may facilitate increased mosquito production in agricultural areas by increasing flood irrigation habitats when naturally occurring habitats are drying down due to lower precipitation.

Seasonal trends in mosquito populations for both the 2004 and 2005 field season were strongest in *Cx. tarsalis* populations across the PRB. These populations increased over the course of the spring and summer, with peak population the week of 22 July (x = 86.3 per trap). Similar population trends have been observed in California with peak *Cx. tarsalis* populations the first week of July (Isoe and Millar 1995, Knight et al. 2003). No other strong weekly trends were seen in other species of mosquitoes collected in the PRB. *Aedes vexans* were slightly more abundant in the early spring, with no significant differences found between sampling weeks in 2004 or 2005.

West Nile virus mosquito infection rates varied between study years and study sites across the Powder River basin. In 2003, female *Cx. tarsalis* caught in CDC light traps tested positive for WNV with an infection rate of 7.16 per thousand, and *Culicoides*
sonorensis were found with a WNV infection rate of 2.31 per thousand (Naugle et al. 2004). In 2004 and 2005, study areas with the highest adult Cx. tarsalis population also had the highest mosquito infection rates, with agricultural sites having infection rates of 2.90 in 2004, and old CBNG sites had infection rates of 1.96 in 2005. Culex tarsalis average 2.6- 2.9 generations per season in northern climates, with infected females needing to survive a minimum of 2 gonotrophic cycles in warm years to infect a susceptible host and continue amplifying WNV in the environment (Riesen et al. 2006). Because this is a relatively long time for adult mosquito survival, population levels may need to be above a given threshold to maintain WNV primary infection cycles within an ecosystem. Threshold modeling of local mosquito populations including regional temperature data may be a potential predictive tool for WNV monitoring in the future.

Landscape changes due to CBNG development and irrigated agriculture in the PRB have created habitats with significantly higher mosquito populations than natural landscapes of northeastern Wyoming. CBNG ponds placed in upland sagebrush steppe habitat have created areas with significantly more mosquitoes than the original landscape, including the WNV vector Cx. tarsalis. These mosquitoes have been detected with WNV in 2003, 2004 and 2005 and WNV has been documented in greater sage grouse in CBNG fields. Modifications to current water usage practices will likely be required to mitigate the potential threat of WNV to human health and wildlife.
CHAPTER 3

COMPARITIVE LARVAL MOSQUITO ABUNDANCE IN NATURAL, AGRICULTURAL AND COAL BED NATURAL GAS PONDS

Introduction

The effects of energy development on the economy, environment, and wildlife populations of western North America is an issue of concern as new energy resources are explored across the west. The PRB coal seam boundary which spatially defines where CBNG development occurs is ~ 2.4 million ha; roughly the size of New Hampshire. Within this area the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has already authorized plans to drill 51,000 CBNG wells on federal mineral holdings in the PRB of Wyoming and the potential exists for another 15,000 in Montana (BLM 2003 a, b). Coal bed natural gas is currently being extracted for commercial use in the Powder River basin by the natural gas industry at the rate of 23 million m$^3$ per day (Department of Energy 2002). Methane extraction includes the removal of groundwater to allow confined gases to flow to well heads. This groundwater is discharged into existing cattle ponds, newly constructed ponds, or surface drainages (Clark et al. 2001). Coal bed natural gas development and associated infrastructure in the PRB has caused rapid, large-scale changes to sagebrush habitats of Montana and Wyoming. The potential impacts that could result from the high density of wells, power lines, roads, increased vehicle traffic, pipelines, compressor stations, and water storage ponds within a gas field this size is of concern to wildlife managers tasked with conservation of sensitive species. Since 1999, an estimated 19,000
CBNG well heads have been constructed in the PRB, with 20,000 more projected in the future, each of which will produce discharge water that must be held in CBNG ponds, re-injected into the aquifer, or otherwise dispersed (Department of Energy 2002).

Coal bed natural gas ponds vary in shape, age and structure creating varied types of aquatic habitats in a region that has previously been considered semi-arid (Hemstrom et al. 2002; Walker et al. 2004). These ponds are potential habitats for mosquito production, including the mosquito Culex tarsalis, the main vector for West Nile virus (WNV) in the western United States (Hayes 2005; Turell et al. 2005; Zou et al. 2006).

Coal bed natural gas development has affected several species of wildlife native to the PRB (Daszak et al. 2000; Marra et al. 2004), including the greater sage-grouse (Centrocercus urophasianus) (Naugle et al. 2004, 2005; Walker et al. 2004). The new networks of roads, power lines, pipelines, compressor stations and wellheads from energy development result in cumulative impacts that are detrimental to sage-grouse survival (Holloran 2005; Aldridge and Boyce In Press). Along with these habitat changes, the introduction of new pathogens to the sage-grouses native range may cause population declines that, when compounded, are beyond the scope of recovery for this species. The introduction of WNV to the PRB reduced late summer survival of female sage grouse by 75% in some areas in 2003. Additional vectors of WNV in the PRB from CBNG ponds may increase WNV sage grouse mortality in this region.

Populations of adult Cx. tarsalis mosquitoes have been found throughout the PRB including in natural, agricultural and CBNG habitats. This species was positive for WNV in select areas of the PRB and is the likely vector of this pathogen to human, equine, and
wildlife species (Hayes 2005, Turell 2005). Migratory flights of host-seeking or ovipositional-site-seeking female *Cx. tarsalis* have been found to travel up to 17.7 km in California (Bailey et al. 1965), indicating that females caught in a CO₂ baited light trap may have emerged in a different aquatic habitat than where they were collected as adults. To identify where mosquitoes are being produced in the PRB and the specific habitats preferred for larval mosquitoes, I sampled four different types of aquatic habitats including CBNG, natural and irrigated agriculture. I hypothesized that the type of habitat created by CBNG development would have larger populations of mosquitoes than are present in natural and agricultural water sources in the same region.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Sites**

Aquatic habitats sampled for adult mosquitoes were also sampled for mosquito larvae production. A complete description of these study sites is found in Chapter 2. Five habitats were sampled; these included sagebrush steppe under CBNG development (natural water sources), irrigated agricultural water, new CBNG ponds, mature CBNG ponds and CBNG pond outlets.

Coal bed natural gas outlets were also sampled for larval production separately from the CBNG ponds. These areas were not sampled for adult mosquitoes because they are contiguous with the ponds. These outlets are a result of water seeping under the earthen dam created to hold CBNG water. Neither age nor vegetation type of the contributing CBNG pond was included in the classification of CBNG outlets. Outlets
were treated as a separate block in the analysis, as they had different vegetation and shoreline characteristics, and they produced mosquitoes independently of their contributing CBNG pond. These outlets were small areas, generally less than 50 m in length and 3 m in width and no more than 46 cm in depth. Water levels were relatively stable throughout the 2005 field season, although outlet lengths were often reduced during hot, dry weather. Average vegetation cover was 40% in late August, predominately covered by rushes, sedges, flooded upland grasses and emergent wetland grasses.

**Field Methods**

Mosquito larvae were collected bi-weekly from 13 May–24 August (Julian date 114-226), 2005 in each of the five habitat blocks. Each block contained five randomly selected aquatic habitats which were sampled at 20 points along a transect at 5 m intervals. Each point was sampled four times using a 350 ml standard dipper. A sample was taken at 0.5 m intervals in each of the cardinal directions while I stood in the water and faced the body of the pond to be sampled with the shoreline behind me. All larvae collected from a sampling points were pooled and concentrated into 20 ml vials and preserved in 95% alcohol for processing.

I characterized pond vegetation on 3-17 August 2005 when vegetation had matured enough to be accurately identified to major groups (e.g., rushes, sedges, flooded upland grasses and forbs). I used a standard 46 x 46 cm Daubenmire (1959) frames to sample each larval sampling point for vegetation variables including plant cover (%), cover type and plant type. Cover variables included emergent, submersgent, open water,
and flooded upland vegetation. Plant type variables included algae, forbs, grasses, rushes, sedges woody plants, and open water. I converted categorical estimates of plant cover to percentages using methods developed by Daubenmire (1959) (1 = 2.5%, 2 = 15%, 3 = 37.5%, 4 = 62.5%, 5 = 85%, 6 = 97.5%) for each larval sampling point, and averaged these values for each pond, and for each study site.

Weather data obtained from the United States National Weather Service archival climatological data for Sheridan, Wyoming (National Weather Service 2006). Average monthly temperatures from May - August were recorded, including the departure from normal. Precipitation data were recorded as monthly totals including the departure from normal, as well as the number of days with 0.02, 0.25, 1.27 and 2.54 centimeters or more of rainfall.

Laboratory Methods

Second, third and fourth stage larvae were counted and identified to genus and/ or species (Darsie and Ward 1981). *Aedes* and *Culex* larvae were identified to species; *Culiseta* and *Anopheles* were identified to genus. First instar and pupae were recorded but were not identified due to lack of appropriate morphological characteristics for species keys in this region. All specimens were stored in 70% ethanol for future reference.

Statistical Methods

For data analysis comparing mosquito abundance among aquatic habitats, mean values were calculated for each mosquito species from the 20 points sampled per pond to avoid pseudoreplication (Hulbert 1984). Data analysis conducted to assess the impact of
different aquatic vegetation characteristics among pond types used each larval sampling point individually, as vegetation characteristics could vary from point to point within a pond.

Larval abundance of mosquitoes between pond types was analyzed in SAS PROC MIXED with a generalized mixed effect linear model (Littell et al. 1996). Number of mosquito larvae per time period was transformed as $\ln (x + 1)$ to meet the assumption of normality. Because sequential larval counts can be serially-correlated and larval counts estimated for the same pond closer in time are more likely to be correlated than measures more distant in time, I modeled the appropriate covariance structure that best represented the data in SAS PROC MIXED (Littell et al. 1996, 1998). The covariance structure is derived from variances at individual times and correlations between measures at different times on the same pond (Littell et al. 1998). I used a compound symmetry (CS) error structure where all measures at all times have the same variance and all pairs of measures on the pond have the same correlation (Littell et al. 1996). SAS PROC MIXED is a generalization of a standard linear model and data are permitted to exhibit correlation and non-constant variability (SAS 8.2 online doc.). I used the REPEATED statement in PROC MIXED to model the covariation within ponds, which accounts for the violation of independence of the observations on the same pond at different times (Littell et al. 1998). The RANDOM statement was used to model the variation among ponds, which accounts for heterogeneity of variances from individual ponds (Littell et al. 1998). The random effects factor was the sub-sample of ponds within treatment group that were randomly chosen from all available ponds in the study area. All other factors in the
model were treated as fixed effects. Maximum likelihood methods were then used to fit a mixed-effects (both random and fixed effects) general linear model in SAS PROC MIXED.

Timing of larval production between aquatic habitats for each of the four most abundant species was assessed using a 1-way ANOVA blocked by week. I used a 1-way ANOVA to assess differences in larval populations on a week-by-week basis because these were only within week comparisons, and ponds were not repeatedly sampled within weeks.

I also used a 1-way ANOVA to assess whether the production of *Cx. tarsalis* was related to vegetation characteristics in the four habitat types that were sampled. I used *Cx. tarsalis* because it is the most abundant mosquito species in the PRB and is known to vector WNV in the western U.S. Only larval counts taken the week that vegetation characteristics were measured were used in analyses.

**Results**

**Mosquito Populations**

A total of 6,483 mosquito larvae was captured and identified from 12,636 individual dips. The dominant species identified across all study sites was *Cx. tarsalis*, which accounted for 47.8% of the individual larvae collected (Figure 7). *Culiseta* spp. represented 20.8% of the collections, followed by *Ae. vexans* (4.2%), *Ae. dorsalis* (3.1%), *Ae. melanimon* (2.3%) and *Ae. campestris* (0.1%). Unidentified 1st instar larvae and pupae accounted for 20.9% and 0.08% of the total collection, respectively.
Culex tarsalis abundance was significantly different at the 90% level (df = 4, \( P = 0.09 \)) between the five sampled aquatic habitats. Post-hoc tests showed that Cx. tarsalis abundance was similar across all types of CBNG and natural sites (\( P \geq 0.41 \), Figure 8). Culex tarsalis abundance was lowest in agricultural sites, with a mean count of 0.47 larvae per sampling point (post hoc \( P = 0.03 \)) (Table 6). Culex tarsalis showed strong seasonal differences (\( P < 0.0001 \)) with a peak in larval populations the week of 18 July (Julian date 184) (Figure 9). Culex tarsalis abundance increased precipitously from mid-June to mid-July, (Julian date 142–184) and sustained high production through mid-August (Figure 9). The habitat type that contributed most to this peak was CBNG outlet ponds (141.6 ± 1.7, \( P = 0.03 \); Figure 10). Culex tarsalis abundance in new CBNG, old CBNG and natural sites also increased the week of 18 July, but with no significant differences between group means (\( P \geq 0.95 \)), and to a lesser extent when compared to CBNG outlet ponds (\( P = 0.03 \)).

Abundance of Culiseta differed (\( P = 0.05 \)) between the five sampled aquatic habitats. Culiseta abundance was similar in agricultural, natural and CBNG outlets (\( P \geq 0.001 \)), and was lowest in new and old CBNG sites (\( P = 0.196 \) and \( P = 0.053 \), Table 6). Unlike other species, Culiseta did not show strong seasonal differences in 2005, but timing of abundance peaks was variable between aquatic habitats (\( P = 0.09 \)). Culiseta populations in CBNG outlets and natural sites peaked in mid-summer (Julian date 142-184; Figure 8).
Figure 8. Mosquito larvae collected by taxon in the Powder River Basin, Wyoming, 2005.
Figure 9. Mean larval production (SE bars) of *Culex tarsalis* per dip from 5 aquatic habitats types in the Powder River Basin, Wyoming, 2005. (Statistical differences $> 0.05$ denoted by letters).
Figure 10. Timing of larval production for four species of mosquitoes in the Powder River Basin, WY, 13 May – 24 August, 2005.
Table 6. Weekly larval mosquito mean counts per dip (SE) by study area for the four most abundant larval species collected, Powder River basin, Wyoming, 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Julian date and week of sampling</th>
<th>Species and habitat type</th>
<th>128</th>
<th>142</th>
<th>156</th>
<th>170</th>
<th>184</th>
<th>198</th>
<th>212</th>
<th>226</th>
<th>Season Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culex tarsalis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.00(0)</td>
<td>0.38(0.71)</td>
<td>0.25(0.67)</td>
<td>0.38(1.01)</td>
<td>1.13(1.32)</td>
<td>1.07(1.16)</td>
<td>1.43(1.21)</td>
<td>0.37(0.85)</td>
<td>0.47(0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.00(0)</td>
<td>2.84(0.71)</td>
<td>1.06(0.67)</td>
<td>5.07(1.01)</td>
<td>7.32(1.57)</td>
<td>32.55(1.37)</td>
<td>29.45(1.43)</td>
<td>1.45(2.17)</td>
<td>4.28(0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.64(0.71)</td>
<td>0.32(0.67)</td>
<td>0.78(1.01)</td>
<td>5.48(1.32)</td>
<td>13.97(1.16)</td>
<td>7.01(1.21)</td>
<td>2.06(1.07)</td>
<td>2.97(0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.93(0.71)</td>
<td>2.33(0.67)</td>
<td>2.76(1.01)</td>
<td>1.96(1.32)</td>
<td>23.85(1.16)</td>
<td>1.30(1.21)</td>
<td>13.01(1.07)</td>
<td>4.12(0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBNG Outlet</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.00(1.00)</td>
<td>0(0.94)</td>
<td>1.72(1.47)</td>
<td>10.87(1.97)</td>
<td>141.59(1.71)</td>
<td>32.55(1.37)</td>
<td>29.45(1.43)</td>
<td>1.43(0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aedes vexans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.80(1.25)</td>
<td>0.64(0.60)</td>
<td>0.00(0.25)</td>
<td>0.00(0.10)</td>
<td>0.15(0.07)</td>
<td>0.43(0.14)</td>
<td>0.43(0.21)</td>
<td>0.53(0.21)</td>
<td>0.36(0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>1.69(1.25)</td>
<td>4.44(0.60)</td>
<td>0.48(0.25)</td>
<td>0.25(0.10)</td>
<td>0(0.07)</td>
<td>0.15(0.15)</td>
<td>0.19(0.24)</td>
<td>0.00(0.43)</td>
<td>0.70(0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.38(0.60)</td>
<td>0.15(0.25)</td>
<td>0(0.10)</td>
<td>0(0.07)</td>
<td>0(0.14)</td>
<td>0.15(0.21)</td>
<td>0(0.25)</td>
<td>0.09(0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.65(0.60)</td>
<td>0.25(0.25)</td>
<td>0(0.10)</td>
<td>0(0.07)</td>
<td>0(0.14)</td>
<td>0(0.21)</td>
<td>0(0.25)</td>
<td>0.20(0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBNG Outlet</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0(0.84)</td>
<td>0(0.32)</td>
<td>0(0.14)</td>
<td>0(0.07)</td>
<td>0(0.18)</td>
<td>0(0.29)</td>
<td>0(0.34)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aedes melanimon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1.54(0.59)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0.20(0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0.22(0.10)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0.03(0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBNG Outlet</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culiseta spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.59(0.43)</td>
<td>1.90(1.02)</td>
<td>4.99(1.18)</td>
<td>2.96(0.97)</td>
<td>3.23(0.90)</td>
<td>0.78(0.87)</td>
<td>6.61(1.51)</td>
<td>0.63(0.22)</td>
<td>2.02(0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.25(0.43)</td>
<td>2.23(1.02)</td>
<td>0.97(1.18)</td>
<td>2.10(0.97)</td>
<td>6.46(1.06)</td>
<td>6.55(1.01)</td>
<td>0.57(0.59)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.67(0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.31(1.02)</td>
<td>1.56(1.18)</td>
<td>0.15(0.97)</td>
<td>0(0.90)</td>
<td>0.15(0.87)</td>
<td>0(0.51)</td>
<td>0(0.26)</td>
<td>0.38(0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old CBNG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.80(1.02)</td>
<td>2.61(1.18)</td>
<td>0(0.97)</td>
<td>0(0.90)</td>
<td>0.59(0.87)</td>
<td>0(0.51)</td>
<td>0(0.26)</td>
<td>0.54(0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBNG Outlet</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0(1.48)</td>
<td>0(1.74)</td>
<td>3.60(1.41)</td>
<td>10.20(1.30)</td>
<td>6.63(1.24)</td>
<td>0(0.71)</td>
<td>0.82(0.35)</td>
<td>1.56(0.39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agricultural sites produced two population peaks, one in early summer and another later in the year; both peaks coincided with the release of irrigation water on fields. The second peak produced more larvae of *Culiseta* in agricultural sites ($P = 0.02$) than in any other habitat type at that time of the year (Table 6).

Abundance of *Aedes vexans* differed ($P = 0.030$) between the five sampled aquatic habitats, being highest in natural habitats ($P = 0.030$), intermediate in agricultural and new and old CBNG sites, and absent from CNBG outlets (Table 6). Timing of production varied seasonally ($P = 0.0005$) and was highest across all habitat types in late May (Table 6). Natural water sources produced the highest mean *Ae. vexans* counts per dip on 22 May, 2005 (Julian date 142), likely due to flooding from snowmelt and spring rain events.

Abundance of *Aedes melanimon* was similar in agricultural and natural sites ($P = 0.27$); no larvae were captured in CBNG habitats of any type (Figure 6). Abundance of *Ae. melanimon* varied seasonally ($P = 0.085$) with a peak in early summer (26 June, Julian date 177) (Table 6).

### Larval Use of Vegetative Cover Types

Abundance of *Cx. tarsalis* differed ($P = 0.056$) between the four vegetative cover types (Figure 12). Abundance was greater in flooded upland vegetation than in open water, emergent, or submersent cover types ($P < 0.00001$); very few larvae were collected from open water habitats that lacked vegetative cover ($0.0 \pm 0.1$) (Figure 12).

Abundance of *Cx. tarsalis* also differed ($P = 0.01$) between plant types encountered during larval sampling (Figure 11). *Culex tarsalis* abundance was highest in forbs ($1.0 \pm 0.1$).
0.1) followed by flooded upland grasses (0.9 ± 0.1). Open shoreline with no vegetation, non-vegetated sampling points and those with woody plant cover harbored almost no larvae over the 2005 sampling season, and were not good predictors for *Cx. tarsalis* larval habitats.

**Weather Data**

Average monthly temperature and rainfall data for Sheridan, WY, May - August 2005 indicate normal to below average temperatures (National Weather Service 2006). 2005 average temperatures ranged from 11 - 22 °C (Table 3). Departures from normal temperatures were -0.1 °C in 2005, and average monthly rainfall 2.6 – 15.7 centimeters. Departures from normal rainfall in 2005 was +12.7 centimeters. The 2005 field season included 64 days with > 0.02 inch total rainfall, with three days accumulating >2.54 inch total rainfall in May 2005.

**Discussion**

New and mature CBNG ponds are producing *Cx. tarsalis* larvae similar to or above levels occurring in natural water sources in northeastern Wyoming. These sites also produce *Cx. tarsalis* over longer intervals than natural sites with peak larval production the week of 18 July (Julian date 198). This is comparable to *Cx. tarsalis* production in Nebraska, where the first larvae were found on 25 May, with peak production the week of 11 July (Julian date 191) (Edmunds 1955). The most productive areas for *Cx. tarsalis* larvae were CBNG pond outlets, which have been observed to fluctuate in water level in 2005 (personal observation).
Figure 11. *Culex tarsalis* production over time by aquatic habitat in the Powder River basin, Wyoming, 2005.
Figure 12. *Culex tarsalis* production by local habitat plant type across the Powder River basin, Wyoming for the week of 4 August 2005 (Julian date 216).
Figure 13. *Culex tarsalis* production by local habitat cover type across the Powder River basin, Wyoming for the week of 4 August 2005.
In other areas *Cx. tarsalis* have been found in high abundances in freshly flooded ponds in Southern California, with peak populations several days after flooding (x = 7) (Beehler and Mulla 1995). Fluctuating water levels of CBNG ponds and pond outlets are similar to the flooded habitats studied in California, and provide more oviposition sites for *Cx. tarsalis* than other aquatic habitats in this region.

High larval production of *Cx. tarsalis* in CBNG sites is consistent with high capture rates of adult *Cx. tarsalis* in light traps in 2005, showing that increased larval populations equate to an increased abundance of host-seeking vectors that can potentially spread WNV. Study areas with the highest adult *Cx. tarsalis* population also had the highest mosquito infection rates in 2004 and 2005, with mature CBNG sites having infection rates of 1.96 infected mosquitoes per 1000 in our 2005 study. In 2003, the U. S. Geological Survey indicated that 70% of WNV cases in humans in Wyoming were from the PRB, which accounts for approximately 11% of the counties in the state (3 counties). That same year, survival of sage-grouse in natural gas fields in the Spotted Horse area of the PRB showed a 75% decline due to WNV infection, and demonstrated little ability to develop antibodies to this pathogen (Naugle et al. 2004, 2005; Walker et al. 2004).

Coal bed natural gas ponds do not currently produce many *Ae. vexans*, which are known vectors for Rift Valley Fever (RVF) in Eurasia and Africa (Ba et al. 2005). They also do not produce significant *Ae. melanimon*, which vector Western Equine Encephalitis (WEE) and Saint Louis Encephalitis (SLE) in the western hemisphere (Jensen and Washino 1991). Larvae of *Ae. vexans* or *Ae. melanimon* were most abundant in natural and irrigated agricultural sites, likely because these sites are ephemeral,
providing muddy substrate for oviposition. I recommend that these habitats be closely monitored if the risk of RVF, WEE, or SLE increases regionally.

Field studies in southern California indicated that Cx. tarsalis prefer aquatic habitats surrounded by grasses and annual vegetation with large populations of protozoans, and bacteria, as well as decay of elevated vegetation (Beehler and Mulla 1995; Fanara and Mulla 1974). Vegetation and high primary productivity provide food and cover for larval mosquitoes, making them an important component for oviposition sites. My vegetation assessment indicates that both new and mature CBNG ponds as well as natural water sources are fulfilling these requirements for Cx. tarsalis habitats. Recent research using Landsat satellite imagery from the PRB found that CBNG development has resulted in a 75% increase of potential larval habitat for Cx. tarsalis (Zou et al. 2006). My larval sampling indicates that CBNG sites are good larval habitats for Cx. tarsalis, especially those with flooded grasses and vegetation. As such CBNG ponds are producing mosquitoes at a rate at or above natural water sources in this region.

Culex tarsalis do not prefer open water habitats as oviposition sites throughout their range (Jiannino and Walton 2004). In the PRB, I found no Cx. tarsalis larvae in open water habitats throughout the 2005 field season. Modifying existing CBNG ponds by reducing aquatic vegetation and making shorelines steeper may reduce Cx. tarsalis production in this region without providing habitats for other disease vectors such as C. sonorensis. Habitat modifications for Cx. tarsalis production have been used with some success in wastewater treatment ponds in southern California (Batzer and Resh 1992; DeSzalay and Resh 2000; Thullen et al. 2002). Coal bed natural gas ponds provide us an
opportunity to experiment with habitat manipulation practices as vegetation can be completely removed from these areas without reducing the efficiency of the site as in a wastewater treatment facility.

Management Recommendations

Based on available information that I obtained in this study, I recommend a multi-dimensional approach (AMCA 2006) to reduce mosquito production from CBNG ponds across the PRB. A three-pronged approach for mosquito control of *Cx. tarsalis* at CBNG sites would include 1) modifying new CBNG ponds for primary source reduction, 2) site modifications to new CBNG sites and retro-fitting existing ponds to reduce larval production, and 3) initiating mandatory use of larval control methods at existing CBNG sites.

The most effective way to reduce future mosquito production is to limit construction of additional CBNG ponds. One way to limit the number of newly created CBNG ponds is to re-inject water produced during the extraction process into sub-surface voids after gas is removed (USGS 2000; Department of Energy 2002). A new technology for water re-injection is currently being tested in the PRB where no treatment chemicals are needed, and approximately 75% of CBNG production water is capable of being received by the aquifer (Society of Petroleum Engineers 2007). This technology, called the Aquifer Recharge Injection Device (ARID), is currently being tested by Marathon Oil in eleven wells in the PRB with permits for more to come. If new CBNG
ponds are not eliminated, then modifications such as the ones listed below to new and existing ponds would likely reduce mosquito production from these habitats.

The following are seven distinct site modifications that if adhered to, would minimize exploitation of CBNG ponds by *Cx. tarsalis*:

1. Increase the size of ponds to accommodate a greater volume of water than is discharged. This will result in un-vegetated and muddy shorelines that breeding *Cx. tarsalis* avoid (De Szalay and Resh 2000). This modification may reduce *Cx. tarsalis* habitat but could create larval habitat for *Culicoides sonorensis*, a vector of blue tongue disease, and should be used sparingly (Schmidtmann et al. 2000). Steep shorelines should be used in combination with this technique whenever possible (Knight et al. 2003).

2. Build steep shorelines to reduce shallow water (>60 cm) and aquatic vegetation around the perimeter of impoundments (Knight et al. 2003). Construction of steep shorelines also will create more permanent ponds that are a deterrent to colonizing mosquito species like *Cx. tarsalis* which prefer newly flooded sites with high primary productivity (Knight et al. 2003).

3. Maintain the water level below that of rooted vegetation for a muddy shoreline that is unfavorable habitat for mosquito larvae. Rooted vegetation includes both aquatic and upland vegetative types. Avoid flooding terrestrial vegetation in flat terrain or low lying areas. Aquatic habitats with a vegetated inflow and outflow separated by open water produce 5-10 fold fewer *Culex* mosquitoes than completely vegetated wetlands (Walton and Workman 1998). Wetlands with
open water also had significantly fewer stage III and IV instars which may be attributed to increased predator abundances in open water habitats (Walton and Workman 1998).

4. Construct dams or impoundments that restrict down slope seepage or overflow by digging ponds in flat areas rather than damming natural draws for effluent water storage, or lining constructed ponds in areas where seepage is anticipated (Knight et al. 2003).

5. Line the channel where discharge water flows into the pond with crushed rock, or use a horizontal pipe to discharge inflow directly into existing open water, thus precluding shallow surface inflow and accumulation of sediment that promotes aquatic vegetation.

6. Line the overflow spillway with crushed rock, and construct the spillway with steep sides to preclude the accumulation of shallow water and vegetation.

7. Fence pond site to restrict access by livestock and other wild ungulates that trample and disturb shorelines, enrich sediments with manure and create hoof print pockets of water that are attractive to breeding mosquitoes.

The third and final part of my suggested three-pronged approach is to initiate the use of larval control methods at CBNG ponds that have been sampled and are positive for mosquito larvae. Treating CBNG ponds with larvicides such as *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* (Bti) have been shown to provide a 90-100% reduction in *Ae. vexans* and *Culex* spp. larvae in California, and these materials could be used in CBNG ponds to control larvae during weeks of peak densities (Berry et al. 1987; Russel et al. 2003).
Larvicide treatments of CBNG ponds should be conducted by certified pesticide applicators that have been trained to identify mosquito breeding habitats in the field, and can efficiently distribute larviciding materials according to product guidelines. The key to managing mosquito production with larvicide materials is to place the product in areas of high larval densities (Berry et al. 1987). Trained field personnel will need to visit potential mosquito production areas on a weekly or bi-weekly basis during the growing season to check for mosquito production. Treatment will then need to be administered when 1) appropriate larval densities are found (e.g., 5 larvae per dip) and 2) when larvae sampled are in a target genus (e.g., *Culex* spp.). When larvicides are applied they should be used in concentrations according to product guidelines, and only in aquatic areas that are known larval mosquito habitats including flooded upland grasses and emergent aquatic vegetation.

Lastly, additional research is being conducted to assess the efficacy of using native larvivorous fishes to control mosquito population CBNG ponds. It is possible that a combination of water re-injection, CBNG pond modification and larvivorous fishes could be used to reduce the overall mosquito production without the need for a long-term labor-intensive mosquito management programs surrounding CBNG development. Until this is known, this three-pronged approach to managing mosquito production is prudent to reducing the risk of disease to humans and wildlife in the PRB.
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