



Contrasting soil development on the sedimentary Kootenai Formation and granitic Boulder batholith in southwestern Montana
by Roger Joseph Veseth

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE
in SOILS
Montana State University
© Copyright by Roger Joseph Veseth (1981)

Abstract:

Characteristics of soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith were compared to demonstrate relationships between soils and geologic units in Montana. The Early Cretaceous Kootenai Formation includes clayey shale, fine-grained sandstone, limestone and a basal conglomeratic sandstone. The batholith is composed of a uniform coarse-grained granitic rock, 25 pedons on each parent material were selected by point intercept transects for description, sampling and laboratory analysis.

Thirty of 44 soil properties contrasted sharply between the two parent materials. Boulder batholith soils have sandy loam or loamy sand textures; 40 percent higher frequency of a C horizon in the upper 100 cm; mean pH 0.6 unit higher; larger structure size and weaker grade; and 2-22 percent coarse fragment content. Kootenai Formation soils are more variable, having 7 textural classes ranging from sandy loam to clay and a clay content range of 3 to 74 percent. They have 20 cm greater mean solum thickness; more variable consistence; redder color hues; and a 0-65 percent coarse fragment content. There are 6 family textural classes identified in Kootenai Formation soils compared to 2 on the Boulder batholith.

Less than 10 samples (generally < 5) are required to estimate mean pH; coarse fragment content; sand fraction contents; and sand, silt and clay contents in all horizons of Boulder batholith soils within + 5 units (0.5 for pH) about the mean. Thickness of the B2t and C horizons and depth to the C are the most variable properties, requiring up to 50 samples. In Kootenai Formation soils, about 25 percent of these horizon properties require 10-35 samples and 25 percent require > 30 samples. Coarse fragment content, depth and thickness of the B2t and C horizons, and fine sand, total sand and clay contents of the C horizon require 34-72 samples; clay content being the most variable.

From laboratory analyses of five soils on each parent material, Kootenai Formation soils have 1/3 larger available water holding capacities in the B2t and C horizons; higher extractable Ca, CEC and percent BS; and more variable clay mineralogy ranging from dominantly montmorillonite to kaolinite, illite and interstratified 2:1 clays. Boulder batholith soils are dominated by illite clay with < 25 percent montmorillonite.

This documentation of soil differences related to geologic units will allow more accuracy and efficiency in soil mapping and management .

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO COPY

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for an advanced degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for extensive copying of this thesis for scholarly purposes may be granted by my major professor, or, in his absence, by the Director of Libraries. It is understood that any copying or publication of this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature

Roger Veseth

Date

April 17, 1981

CONTRASTING SOIL DEVELOPMENT ON THE SEDIMENTARY KOOTENAI
FORMATION AND GRANITIC BOULDER BATHOLITH
IN SOUTHWESTERN MONTANA

by

ROGER JOSEPH VESETH

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

SOILS

Approved:

Cliff Montagne

Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Dwane H. Miller

Head, Major Department

Michael Malone

Graduate Dean

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

April, 1981

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his deep appreciation and gratitude to Dr. Clifford Montagne for his constructive criticism, continual support and friendship throughout the pursuit of this degree.

A sincere thanks is also extended to Drs. Gerald Nielsen, Paul Kresge and John Montagne for serving on my graduate committee and providing invaluable suggestions and guidance.

A special thanks to my family and to Claire Barreto for their unending support and cheerful encouragement, to whom I dedicate this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
VITA	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.	ix
ABSTRACT	xi
INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE REVIEW.	3
Description of the Geologic Parent Materials	3
Studies of Geology-Soil Relationships.	12
Parent Material--Clay Mineralogy Relationships	15
Determining Soil Variability	20
MATERIALS AND METHODS.	26
Study Area Descriptions.	26
Site Selection	28
Soil Site Description and Sampling	31
Physical Analyses.	31
Soil Water Holding Capacity.	32
Clay Mineralogy.	32
Chemical Analyses.	33
Statistical Comparisons.	33
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	35
Rockiness and Stoniness.	35
Depth to Bedrock	36
Solum Thickness.	39
Horizon Frequency.	39
Horizon Thickness and Depth.	43
Soil Color	43
Soil Structure	49
Soil Consistence	52
Field pH	55
Coarse Fragment Content.	57
Sand Size Fraction.	59
Soil Texture	71
Soil Classification.	80
Water Holding Capacity	84

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	<u>Page</u>
Clay Mineralogy.	88
Soil Chemistry	93
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.	98
LITERATURE CITED	109
APPENDIX	117
APPENDIX I: EXPLANATION OF DESCRIPTIVE TERMINOLOGY IN APPENDIX II and III.	118
APPENDIX II: SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS FOR PEDONS FORMING ON THE KOOTENAI FORMATION	119
APPENDIX III: SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS FOR PEDONS FORMING ON THE BOULDER BATHOLITH	148
APPENDIX IV: COARSE FRAGMENT CONTENT; COARSE, MEDIUM AND FINE SAND CONTENT; AND SAND, SILT AND CLAY CONTENT BY HORIZON IN 25 SOILS ON THE KOOTENAI FORMATION.	177
APPENDIX V: COARSE FRAGMENT CONTENT; COARSE, MEDIUM AND FINE SAND CONTENT; AND SAND, SILT AND CLAY CONTENT BY HORIZON IN 25 SOILS ON THE BOULDER BATHOLITH.	180

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
1 Frequency distribution of rockiness and stoniness classes in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	37
2 Frequency distribution of the depth to bedrock in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	38
3 Statistical data on solum thickness in the upper 100 cm in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	40
4 Number of samples required to estimate the mean solum thickness of soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	40
5 Frequency distribution of the presence of selected horizons in the upper 100 cm of soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	41
6 Statistical data on thickness and depth to the top of selected horizons in the upper 100 cm of soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	44
7 Number of samples required to estimate the mean thickness and depth to the top of selected horizons in the upper 100 cm of soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	45
8 Frequency distribution of dry soil color by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	46
9 Frequency distribution of moist soil color by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	48
10 Frequency distribution of soil structure grade, size and type by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	50
11 Frequency distribution of soil consistence by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	53
12 Statistical data on pH by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	56

LIST OF TABLES (cont'd)

<u>Tables</u>	<u>Page</u>
13 Number of samples required to estimate the mean pH by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	56
14 Statistical data on percent (by vol) coarse fragment content by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	58
15 Number of samples required to estimate the mean coarse fragment content (percent by volume for 20 mm, 20 - 2 mm, and total) by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	63
16 Statistical data on three sand fraction contents (by wt) in the < 2 mm fraction by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	65
17 Number of samples required to estimate the mean coarse, medium and fine sand contents (by wt) of the 2 mm fraction by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	69
18 Statistical data on the sand, silt and clay contents (by wt) of the < 2 mm fraction by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	72
19 Number of samples required to estimate the mean sand, silt and clay contents (by wt) of the 2 mm fraction by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	78
20 Frequency distribution of textural classes (< 2 mm fraction) by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	79
21 Frequency distribution of family textural classes of soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	82
22 Frequency distribution of taxonomic classifications to the soil family level of soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	83

LIST OF TABLES (cont'd)

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
23	Percent water holding capacity (by wt) at 1/3 and 15 atmospheres tension, and available water holding capacity (AWHC) of five selected soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	85
24	Clay mineralogy of five selected soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	89
25	Chemical data on five selected soil profiles on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	94
26	Comparison of the relative ranking of variability of soil properties with quantitative data from soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	103

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figures</u>	<u>Page</u>
1 Exposure of coarse- to medium-grained intrusive igneous rocks in Montana.	4
2 Schematic portrayal of Boulder batholith landscapes.	6
3 Exposure of soft red-varicolored shales and hard sandstones in Montana.	8
4 Schematic portrayal of Kootenai Formation landscapes in the Big Snowy Mountain foothills in central Montana	10
5 Kootenai Formation exposure with transect and point observation locations.	27
6 Boulder batholith exposure with transect and point observation locations.	29
7 Means, standard deviations and ranges of the total coarse fragment content by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	60
8 Means, standard deviations and ranges of the coarse fragment content > 20 mm by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	61
9 Means, standard deviations and ranges of the coarse fragment content 20 mm - 2 mm by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith.	62
10 Means, standard deviations and ranges of coarse sand content by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	66
11 Means, standard deviations and ranges of medium sand content by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	67
12 Means, standard deviations and ranges of fine sand content by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	68

LIST OF FIGURES (cont'd.)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
13	Means, standard deviations and ranges of sand content by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	74
14	Means, standard deviations and ranges of silt content by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	75
15	Means, standard deviations and ranges of clay content by horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	76
16	Textural distribution of the < 2 mm fraction in the A1, B2t and C horizon in soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith	81

ABSTRACT

Characteristics of soils on the Kootenai Formation and Boulder batholith were compared to demonstrate relationships between soils and geologic units in Montana. The Early Cretaceous Kootenai Formation includes clayey shale, fine-grained sandstone, limestone and a basal conglomeratic sandstone. The batholith is composed of a uniform coarse-grained granitic rock. 25 pedons on each parent material were selected by point intercept transects for description, sampling and laboratory analysis.

Thirty of 44 soil properties contrasted sharply between the two parent materials. Boulder batholith soils have sandy loam or loamy sand textures; 40 percent higher frequency of a C horizon in the upper 100 cm; mean pH 0.6 unit higher; larger structure size and weaker grade; and 2-22 percent coarse fragment content. Kootenai Formation soils are more variable, having 7 textural classes ranging from sandy loam to clay and a clay content range of 3 to 74 percent. They have 20 cm greater mean solum thickness; more variable consistency; redder color hues; and a 0-65 percent coarse fragment content. There are 6 family textural classes identified in Kootenai Formation soils compared to 2 on the Boulder batholith.

Less than 10 samples (generally < 5) are required to estimate mean pH; coarse fragment content; sand fraction contents; and sand, silt and clay contents in all horizons of Boulder batholith soils within ± 5 units (0.5 for pH) about the mean. Thickness of the B2t and C horizons and depth to the C are the most variable properties, requiring up to 50 samples. In Kootenai Formation soils, about 25 percent of these horizon properties require 10-35 samples and 25 percent require > 30 samples. Coarse fragment content, depth and thickness of the B2t and C horizons, and fine sand, total sand and clay contents of the C horizon require 34-72 samples; clay content being the most variable.

From laboratory analyses of five soils on each parent material, Kootenai Formation soils have 1/3 larger available water holding capacities in the B2t and C horizons; higher extractable Ca, CEC and percent BS; and more variable clay mineralogy ranging from dominantly montmorillonite to kaolinite, illite and interstratified 2:1 clays. Boulder batholith soils are dominated by illite clay with < 25 percent montmorillonite.

This documentation of soil differences related to geologic units will allow more accuracy and efficiency in soil mapping and management.

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

The importance of geologic parent material in soil development has long been recognized, being included by Jenny (1941) as one of the five independent soil forming factors. However, lack of knowledge of geologic weathering products and soil-geology relationships in Montana has limited the potential value of this factor in predicting soil properties. The influence of geologic parent material on soil physical and chemical properties is most pronounced in cool, dry regions and in the early stages of soil development (Birkland, 1974; Stauffer, 1935). Montana's young, often glaciated landscapes and relatively cool, dry climate should provide for a strong expression of geologic parent material properties in soils.

The writer did not find any studies that statistically compare the properties in soils developed on contrasting geologic parent materials. This study documents two contrasting examples of geologic parent material influences on soil development in Montana. It statistically compares the predictability and range in variation of selected properties in soils derived from the two parent materials. Efforts are made to explain the occurrence and variability of soil properties in relation to properties of the parent materials.

The granitic Boulder batholith was chosen as one of the parent materials because its relatively uniform composition should be reflected in uniformity of some soil properties. This study also provides baseline information for the recently initiated Butte-Whitehall soil survey which includes portions of the batholith exposure. The data are applicable to other exposures of geologic materials of similar origin and composition in the State.

The Early Cretaceous Kootenai Formation, in contrast, contains a variety of lithologies including clayey shales, sandstones, limestones and conglomeratic sandstone, and should contribute to greater soil variability. Although the Formation contains a variety of rock types, it remains fairly consistent in most exposures in the State. Soils on the Kootenai Formation elsewhere in Montana should have properties similar to those of this report.

This study will hopefully stimulate further interest in soil-geology relationships for their potential value as predictors of soil properties.

Chapter 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

Description of the Geologic Parent Materials

The Boulder batholith is an intrusive igneous body formed by the intrusion of molten magma into older geologic materials and subsequent slow cooling beneath the surface. It covers over 2850 km² of western Montana, extending 113 km along the Continental Divide between Butte and Helena (Knopf, 1957). Emplacement of the magma occurred during late Cretaceous time, roughly 78-69 million years ago (Knopf, 1957; Robinson et al., 1960; Tilling et al., 1968). Subsequent erosion, mainly during the Eocene Epoch of the Tertiary Period, removed up to 1.6 km of the overlying rock, exposing the igneous rock beneath (Perry, 1962). Figure 1 shows the exposures of intrusive rocks in Montana.

A review of the geologic studies in the area show that the most extensive rock type in the Boulder batholith is quartz monzonite with subordinate granodiorite (Becraft et al., 1963; Klepper et al., 1957; Knopf, 1957; Roberts and Gude, 1953; Robertson et al., 1960; Ruppel, 1963; Smedes, 1966). Rock mineral composition is typically plagioclase and potassium feldspar, with about 20 percent quartz and 15 percent biotite mica and hornblende. The most common grain size is very coarse sand (Wentworth scale), typically 1-3 mm (Becraft et al., 1963; Roberts and Gude, 1953; Ruppel et al., 1963).

Near the margins of the batholith, the rock composition tends to change somewhat. It becomes darker with an increasing content of iron, calcium and magnesium due to the assimilation of these elements from the "country rock" the igneous rock was intruded into (Perry, 1962; Sahinen, 1950; Tansley et al., 1933). The quartz content decreases and rocks become more basic, grading into granodiotite, diorite and other rock types.

Weathering and erosion along joint planes leave cores of sub-rounded boulders called tor piles, which project above the general land surface (Becraft et al., 1963; Sahinen, 1950). On a larger scale, the batholith landscapes are usually gently rounded, grass and forest covered mountains and foothills. A typical landscape is illustrated in the schematic block diagram in Figure 2.

The rocks have been variably affected by hydrothermal alteration resulting in a variable resistance to disaggregation and erosion (Becraft et al., 1963; Ruppel, 1963). Potassium feldspar and mica are often partially altered to clays by the hydrothermal activity and natural weathering processes (Becraft et al., 1963; Clayton, 1974). The batholith rock commonly disaggregates to a coarse, loose material called grus from mechanical weathering (freeze-thaw, wetting-drying, etc.) and expansion due to clay formation (Arnold et al., 1975; Becraft et al., 1963; Clayton, 1974). On the Idaho batholith to the west, illite, kaolinite and halloysite clay materials predominate in

