



Comparisons of phenological variability and precipitation of ecoregion and grassland cover types in the northern Great Plains from 1988 to 1993
by Linda E Gillett

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science In
Earth Sciences
Montana State University
© Copyright by Linda E Gillett (1999)

Abstract:

The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is useful in identifying land cover types and in monitoring land cover changes through time. This study compared selected temporal NDVI metrics and evaluated their association with precipitation in the northern Great Plains during the period .1988-93. The study area covered parts of Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, and measured 364,400 km² in extent. Nine unique ecoregion/ grassland areas were identified from Omerik's ecoregion map (42-Northwestern Glaciated Plains; 43-Northwestern Great Plains; 46-Northern Glaciated Plains) and the EROS land cover database (1-grass/crop; 2-grassland; 3-grass/shrub), although 46-3 was later eliminated because of its small area. Two-week maximum composite NDVI values were calculated for 1 km² cells and monthly precipitation totals were compiled for 69 stations during the 1988-93 growing seasons. The 1993 mean total NDVI was 20.5 percent larger than the 1988 mean and these years also displayed the lowest (1993) and highest (1988) variability. 1988 was very dry and 1993 very wet. The 1990-92 NDVI values were very similar and the 1989 values split the difference between these and the 1988 values. The largest NDVI values occurred in ecoregion/grassland types 46-1 and 46-2. Types 43-1 and 42-1 came next. Types 43-2, 42-2, and 42-3 displayed intermediate values. Type 43-3 recorded much lower NDVI values and greater year-to-year variability. ANOVA was used to test for significant differences between the eight ecoregion/grassland areas. The null hypothesis was rejected when ecoregions, grasslands, and ecoregion/grassland cover types were separated in five, six, and three years, respectively. This result was repeated when multiple regression models were constructed to examine the relationships between growing season NDVI and monthly precipitation. Slightly less than 50 percent of the variability in NDVI were explained by ecoregion/grassland areas (included as indicator variables), prior monthly precipitation, and their interactions. Overall, the results demonstrate that different ecoregion/grassland cover types have unique NDVI signatures that respond to the timing and quantity of precipitation in the northern Great Plains. Additional work to characterize the lag between precipitation events and NDVI metrics may permit the construction of more powerful regression models.

**COMPARISONS OF PHENOLOGICAL VARIABILITY AND PRECIPITATION
OF ECOREGION AND GRASSLAND COVER TYPES IN THE
NORTHERN GREAT PLAINS FROM 1988 TO 1993**

by

Linda E. Gillett

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

In

Earth Sciences

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

August 1999

N376
G4131

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Linda E. Gillett

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

8/31/99
Date

J.P. White
Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

8/10/99
Date

James Smith
Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

Bruce R. McLeod
Date

8-27-99
Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under the rules of the Library.

If I have indicated my intention to copyright this thesis by including a copyright notice page, copying is allowable only for scholarly purposes, consistent with "fair use" as prescribed in the U.S. Copyright Law. Requests for permission for extended quotation from or reproduction of this thesis in whole or in parts may be granted only by the copyright holder.

Signature June E. Hillier

Date Aug 20 1998

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to thank those people who have contributed to the completion of my thesis project. My special thanks to my major advisor and committee chair, Dr. John P. Wilson, Dr. William P. Kemp, and Dr. Andrew Marcus, committee members, for their financial assistance and guidance throughout the project. I also wish to acknowledge the support of the Geographic Information and Analysis Center staff: Bob Snyder, Christine Ryan, Lisa Landenburger and Lou Glassy. Also, my thanks to Matt Dreyer for his programming suggestions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
Scope and Purpose.....	1
Literature Review	6
Land Cover Identification and Temporal Analysis	6
NDVI and Climate Studies	9
Description of the Study Area.....	13
2. DATA SOURCES AND METHODS	18
Data Sources	18
EROS Data.....	18
Ecoregions	20
Precipitation Data	21
Data Processing.....	21
EROS Image Data.....	21
Precipitation Data	33
Statistical Analysis Design.....	37
Mean NDVI.....	37
Other NDVI Metrics.....	38
NDVI and Precipitation.....	38
3. RESULTS	40
Variability of NDVI	40
NDVI Metrics	40
ANOVA	51
NDVI and Precipitation.....	52
4. DISCUSSION	66
Sources of Error.....	69
Conclusions	71
REFERENCES CITED.....	74
APPENDIX.....	81

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. AVHRR, SPOT, and LANDSAT satellite data comparisons	3
2. Lloyds' (1990) phytophenological variables	8
3. Land cover reclassification from 159 EROS cover classes to three grassland subsets	27
4. Total cell count of final ecoregion and grassland classification zones.....	27
5. Final list of images used in analysis: year, image number, dates and julian day midpoint of NDVI images.....	30
6. Names and geographic coordinates of precipitation stations	35
7. Selected NDVI metrics by ecoregion/grassland cover type and year.....	44
8. NDVI metrics summarized by ecoregion and grassland cover type ...	47
9. NDVI metrics summarized by year.....	50
10. Type III general linear models procedure (GLM) ANOVA results.....	52
11. Multiple regression results for NDVI regressed against precipitation by year.....	54
12. Multiple regression results for NDVI regressed against ecoregion type and precipitation during period 1988-93.....	58
13. Multiple regression results for NDVI regressed against grassland cover type and precipitation during period 1988-93.....	60

LIST OF TABLES---Continued

Table	Page
14. Multiple regression results for NDVI regressed against grassland cover and precipitation during period 1988-93.....	62
15. Final multiple regression models for each ecoregion/grassland cover type	65

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Map of 1990 EROS prototype land cover classification	4
2. Map of Omernik's (1995) ecoregions 42, 43 and 46	14
3. Map of spatial extent of 1988 EROS NDVI images.....	15
4. Map of major ecoregion/grassland cover types used in current study.....	16
5. Flowchart showing processing steps for EROS NDVI, land cover and precipitation data.....	22
6. Map of precipitation stations (69) used in current study.....	34
7. Seasonal mean NDVI curves for eight ecoregion/grassland zones of the northern Great Plains from 1988 -1993	41

ABSTRACT

The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is useful in identifying land cover types and in monitoring land cover changes through time. This study compared selected temporal NDVI metrics and evaluated their association with precipitation in the northern Great Plains during the period 1988-93. The study area covered parts of Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, and measured 364,400 km² in extent. Nine unique ecoregion/grassland areas were identified from Omerik's ecoregion map (42-Northwestern Glaciated Plains; 43-Northwestern Great Plains; 46-Northern Glaciated Plains) and the EROS land cover database (1-grass/crop; 2-grassland; 3-grass/shrub), although 46-3 was later eliminated because of its small area. Two-week maximum composite NDVI values were calculated for 1 km² cells and monthly precipitation totals were compiled for 69 stations during the 1988-93 growing seasons. The 1993 mean total NDVI was 20.5 percent larger than the 1988 mean and these years also displayed the lowest (1993) and highest (1988) variability. 1988 was very dry and 1993 very wet. The 1990-92 NDVI values were very similar and the 1989 values split the difference between these and the 1988 values. The largest NDVI values occurred in ecoregion/grassland types 46-1 and 46-2. Types 43-1 and 42-1 came next. Types 43-2, 42-2, and 42-3 displayed intermediate values. Type 43-3 recorded much lower NDVI values and greater year-to-year variability. ANOVA was used to test for significant differences between the eight ecoregion/grassland areas. The null hypothesis was rejected when ecoregions, grasslands, and ecoregion/grassland cover types were separated in five, six, and three years, respectively. This result was repeated when multiple regression models were constructed to examine the relationships between growing season NDVI and monthly precipitation. Slightly less than 50 percent of the variability in NDVI were explained by ecoregion/grassland areas (included as indicator variables), prior monthly precipitation, and their interactions. Overall, the results demonstrate that different ecoregion/grassland cover types have unique NDVI signatures that respond to the timing and quantity of precipitation in the northern Great Plains. Additional work to characterize the lag between precipitation events and NDVI metrics may permit the construction of more powerful regression models.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Scope and Purpose

The Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) sensor onboard the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) series of polar orbiting satellites has been an important source of data for numerous investigations of vegetation cover and dynamics at regional, continental and global scales for more than a decade. These studies have shown that the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), derived from a ratio of Channels 1 and 2 of the AVHRR sensor, is useful in identifying land cover types (e.g., Justice et al., 1985; Goward et al., 1987; Townshend et al., 1987; Loveland et al., 1991; Soriano and Pareulo, 1992), monitoring land cover over time (e.g., Malingreau, 1986; Andres et al., 1994), and measuring phenological response to climatic fluctuations (e.g., Hellden and Eklundh, 1988; Paruelo et al., 1993) over large areas. NDVI shows significant correlation to green biomass and net primary production (e.g., Tucker et al., 1983, 1985; Prince, 1991; Mougín et al., 1995), and is related to rangeland and crop vitality (e.g., Wade et al., 1994; Hobbs,

1995), crop production (e.g., Gallo and Flesch, 1989; Nicholson et al., 1990; Benedetti and Rossini, 1993; Quarmby et al., 1993; Fischer, 1994), soil moisture (e.g., Nicholson and Farrar, 1994) and precipitation (e.g., Tucker and Choudhury, 1987; Johnson et al., 1993). Goward et al. (1985) demonstrated that AVHRR NDVI temporal curves correspond to the known seasonal variability of vegetation in North America, and that they are also valuable in monitoring the extent and diversity of vegetation spatially and temporally over large areas. Loveland et al. (1991) suggest that the importance of using AVHRR data resides in its capability to monitor seasonal plant variations within and between years.

AVHRR NDVI information is currently a primary data source in surface cover identification because the low cost and timely, continuous coverage is unmatched by any other sensor. Though SPOT and Landsat images offer much greater resolution for land cover studies, their infrequent coverage and cloud contamination results in land cover information derived from only one, or at best, a few images during a growing season (Table 1).

To date, applications using AVHRR NDVI have concentrated on a continental or global scale using 4 km² or 16 km² resolution data, which may be too coarse for some regional studies. Regional analysis is a crucial step in monitoring environmental phenomena, and choosing and evaluating environmental management options. Many researchers (e.g., Roller and Colwell, 1986; Lozano-Garcia et al., 1991; Paruelo and Laurenroth, 1995) recommend partitioning landscapes into homogenous units for description and statistical analysis.

Table 1. AVHRR, SPOT and Landsat Satellite Data Comparisons.

Satellite	Orbit Repeat	Altitude	Resolution	Price
AVHRR ¹	12 hours	833 km	1.1 km ²	\$ 32 per CD
SPOT ²	26 days	832 km	20 meter	\$ 2000 + per img
Landsat ²	16 days	705 km	30 meter	\$ 2000 + per img

¹ Kidwell (1991)

² Lillesand and Kiefer (1987)

One logical, natural stratification for regional studies at the 1 km² scale is the ecoregion (Kemp et al., 1996). Omernik (1995) describes ecoregions as mosaics of distinct patterns reflecting land use, land cover, weather patterns, soil, altitude, and topography. He also suggested that further analysis of pattern differences within these subsystems to investigate their utility in examining variations in land cover is needed. A current, comprehensive land cover database was developed by Loveland et al. (1993) (Figure 1) based on AVHRR NDVI and ancillary data, and this was used in conjunction with Omernik's (1995) ecoregion classes to differentiate regional-scale study zones within the Northern Great Plains for this project.

In an effort to improve ecosystem studies, some researchers have recently expanded their analysis of NDVI temporal signatures beyond the traditional minimum and maximum NDVI, and mean and total seasonal NDVI (Σ NDVI) metrics. The time of minimum and maximum NDVI, rate of green-up and

