

High-fidelity simulations of a rotary bell atomizer with electrohydrodynamic effects

Venkata Krisshna, Wanjiao Liu, Mark Owkes

© This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

High-fidelity simulations of a rotary bell atomizer with electrohydrodynamic effects

Venkata Krisshna^{a,*}, Wanjiao Liu^{b,1}, Mark Owkes^a

^aMontana State University, Department of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering, P.O. Box 173800, Bozeman, Montana 59717-3800, USA

^bFord Motor Company, Research and Innovation Center, 2101 Village Road, Dearborn, Michigan 48121, USA

Abstract

Electrostatic rotary bell atomizers are extensively used as paint applicators in the automobile industry. Paint undergoes atomization after exiting the edge of a high-speed rotating bell. In most setups, the paint is electrically charged and a background electric field is applied between the nozzle and the target surface to increase the transfer efficiency (TE). The atomization process directly determines the droplet size and droplet charge distributions which subsequently control TE and surface finish quality. Optimal spray parameters used in industry are often obtained from expensive trial-and-error methods. In this work, three-dimensional near-bell atomization is computationally simulated using a high-fidelity volume-of-fluid transport scheme that includes electrohydrodynamic (EHD) effects. We find that electrifying the setup results in the production of smaller droplets. The distribution of charge in droplets after atomization is found to be insufficient to cause Coulombic fission breakup for the range of parameters studied. Additionally, the electric field has a minor effect on primary atomization but a negligible effect on the size and stability of atomized droplets after secondary breakup. This cost-effective method of simulating EHD-assisted atomization allows for the understanding of the effect of the electric field and the extraction of droplet charge characteristics which is otherwise challenging to obtain experimentally.

Keywords: NGA, CFD, electric field, charge distribution, electrospray, rotary atomizer

1. Introduction

With the world becoming increasingly dependent on automotive means of transportation and motor vehicle production approaching 100 million units per year [66], automobile manufacturers are looking for ways to minimize production costs. In an automobile manufacturing facility, the paint shop can account for up to 70% of the total energy costs [36], demand up to 50% of the electricity and up to 60% of the fossil fuel energy [55] used in the facility. These costs are associated with the energy used in operating and maintaining the HVAC equipment of the painting booth, paint drying, and control of pollutants like volatile organic compounds generated by paint overspray [36]. The overspray leads to significant material waste causing environmental and cost concerns. This makes the paint shop one of the most ex-

pensive aspects of automobile manufacturing, accounting for up to 50% of its total costs [3, 12]. The global automotive paints and coatings market size was valued at \$17.34 bn in 2019 and is expected to be about \$26.8 bn by 2027 [78]. Additionally, the paint shop is responsible for over 80% of the environmental concerns in a manufacturing facility [37].

Rotary bell atomizers (RBAs) (Fig. 1) are extensively used as paint applicators in the automobile industry. In addition to automobile paint shops, rotary atomizers are used in several other applications such as agricultural spraying [16], food processing [73], and pharmaceutical drug-delivery [57].

An RBA is a high-speed rotating nozzle that atomizes paint into droplets that range from a few micrometers to tens of micrometers in diameter. Paint is injected onto the inner surface of a bell-shaped nozzle where it spreads into a thin film on the surface due to centrifugal forces. The fluid film, on reaching the edge of the high-speed rotating bell, exits as multiple ligaments which further atomize into droplets [34]. It is common practice to electrically charge the paint and apply a back-

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: venkat.krisshna@student.montana.edu (Venkata Krisshna), lwanjiao@ford.com (Wanjiao Liu), mark.owkes@montana.edu (Mark Owkes)




Figure 1: A rotary bell atomizer in operation. Photograph courtesy of RISE Research Institutes of Sweden and Fraunhofer-Chalmers Centre.

ground electric field to enhance the transfer efficiency (TE) of the device [24, 45]. Atomized droplets, which also carry electric charge, move toward the grounded target surface under the influence of the electric field.

Metrics of significant importance such as the droplet size uniformity, surface finish quality, TE, deposition thickness, and environmental impact are directly dependent on the atomization process in the nozzles [24, 2, 15]. The nozzle operating conditions used in industry that optimize these metrics are often obtained from expensive trial-and-error experimental methods. The optimal setup of RBAs can still be improved, for example, painters currently are required to over-coat the target surface to ensure sufficient finish quality using excess paint [83]. Due to the high expense of the painting process, small improvements can result in significant cost savings and waste reduction.

Early research conducted in RBAs investigated the physics behind the atomization process [44, 8, 22, 58, 64, 15, 56, 21]. These works identified four crucial processes leading up to atomization - film formation, ligament formation, ligament thinning, and ligament breakup. Several articles have studied and characterized the process of film formation as paint flows along the inner surface of the bell [96, 25, 53, 59, 95] and ligament formation at the edge of the bell [82, 88, 85]. In this work, ligament thinning and ligament breakup are the relevant physical processes. While many RBAs are equipped with shaping air (an annular stream of focused air around the bell) to increase TE, the system modeled here does not include it.

Multiple research groups have studied the operation of non-electrified RBAs focusing on various as-

pects such as the bell geometry [22, 90, 49, 74], the effect of shaping air [92, 18], the breakup process [87, 65, 50, 85], and the droplet size distribution [1].

As mentioned, RBAs are often operated in a background electric field that helps improve their TE. The electric field interacts with the charged liquid and affects its flow near the bell. Electrified flows are described by electrohydrodynamics (EHD) - the science of characterizing interactions between fluid dynamics and electrostatics [11, 35]. EHD has seen several decades of research and is now employed in various engineering applications including food technology [4, 51, 38], inkjet printing [79], electrostatic precipitation [105], powder coating [48], biochemistry [93], microfluidics [6], and biomedical applications [29, 26, 32]. It is common practice to use electric fields to control uncharged liquid jets [100] and charged fuel sprays [33, 89]. EHD-assisted atomization has been an increasingly important means of producing liquid droplets that is well-established to offer advantages over other industrial spraying processes [7, 42, 39].

Previous studies on electrified RBAs that have included an electrostatic model have explored its effects as a macroscopic phenomenon focusing on the resulting spray pattern, paint film thickness, over-spray control, and TE [5, 47, 23, 14, 27, 91, 77, 60, 46, 45, 43, 102]. Experimental studies on near-bell atomization in electrified RBAs have been limited in their ability to understand droplet charge characteristics [104]. Recent numerical efforts have characterized the effects of various operating parameters on droplet trajectories, droplet size distribution, and charge evaporation in electrified RBAs [13, 28, 75, 76, 80, 40]. Generally, most prior models have given little or no attention to electric effects on multiphase transport phenomena driving atomization. Moreover, high-speed cameras and imaging equipment are seldom placed in electrified RBA setups to prevent electric arcing [41]. For these reasons, experimental studies of the atomization process in electrified RBAs are limited in detail. Additionally, there is currently no experimental data on the charge distribution in atomized droplets in RBAs. Although some research has been conducted on the effect of charge density on the surface finish quality [98] and charge distribution in atomized droplets [61] in electrostatic sprays, they have not been studied for charged rotary atomizers.

The process of atomization in the presence of an electric field can be modeled using the incompressible Navier-Stokes and EHD governing equations. In this way, the operation of this device can be studied computationally for a wide range of parameters without the cost of operating the device in a lab. Moreover, numer-

ical analysis allows varying fluid properties with ease thus avoiding the expensive process of meticulously fabricating an appropriate fluid for experimental procedures.

In this project, we investigate the microscopic effects of EHD on atomization by computationally simulating three-dimensional RBA near-bell atomization using a high-fidelity volume-of-fluid transport scheme that includes EHD effects. We perform numerical experiments to understand the effect of EHD on atomization to obtain and examine the resulting droplet size distributions and droplet charge distributions in the atomized droplet cloud near the nozzle. Ultimately, this research contributes to the understanding of the underlying physics in electrically-assisted atomization processes and provides insight into the droplet conditions after atomization. These conditions can serve as initial conditions for a Lagrangian flow solver that predicts the trajectories of the atomized charged droplets toward the target surface in a background electric field.

The governing equations of the physics modules used to model liquid flows in electrified RBAs are detailed in Section 2. The validation efforts of the physics modules, details of the domain geometry, and the mesh sensitivity of the tool are presented in Section 3. Inferences from a parameter study and atomization statistics are addressed in Section 4. Section 5 contains the summary of this project and potential future work that can be conducted with the tool built to study atomization in electrified RBAs.

2. Governing equations

The physics modules discussed below have been implemented within a code called NGA - a high-order, fully conservative, variable density, low Mach number Navier-Stokes solver that contains various multiphysics modules implemented in parallel using message passing interface (MPI). The formulation discretely conserves mass and momentum in a periodic domain. NGA uses a conservative unsplit geometric volume-of-fluid (VOF) scheme as described in the works of Blanquart et al. [10], Desjardins et al. [19, 20] and Owkes and Desjardins [71, 70, 69, 72]. NGA has been developed by several groups to solve multiphase EHD flows, details of which can be found in Van Poppel et al. [101] and Sheehy and Owkes [86].

2.1. Multiphase fluid dynamics

For low-Mach number, variable density, multiphase flows, mass and momentum conservation laws in both

phases can be written as follows

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{u}_i) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i \mathbf{u}_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{u}_i) = -\nabla p_i + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^f + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^e) + \gamma \kappa \mathbf{n} \delta_s + \mathbf{f}_{\text{external}} \quad (2)$$

where ρ is the density, $\mathbf{u}$ is the velocity field vector, t is time, and p is the hydrodynamic pressure. The subscript i denotes the fluid phase (gas or liquid). The term $\gamma \kappa \mathbf{n} \delta_s$ denotes surface tension force, where γ is the surface tension coefficient, κ is the local curvature of the interface computed using the ACES technique [68], $\mathbf{n}$ is the normal vector to the interface, and δ_s is a Dirac-delta function that is nonzero only on the interface [71]. The viscous stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^f$ in Eq. 2 is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^f = \mu_i (\nabla \mathbf{u}_i + \nabla \mathbf{u}_i^T) - \frac{2}{3} \mu_i (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbb{I} \quad (3)$$

where μ is the dynamic viscosity and $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity tensor. These equations form the basis of the fluid dynamics module in this work and further details can be found in Owkes and Desjardins [72, 69], Desjardins et al. [19, 20]. $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^e$ is the Maxwell stress tensor which will be described in the next section. $\mathbf{f}_{\text{external}}$ is a combination of the forces experienced by a fluid element in the system.

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{external}} = \mathbf{f}_{\text{centrifugal}} + \mathbf{f}_{\text{Coriolis}} + \mathbf{f}_{\text{gravity}} \quad (4)$$

2.2. Electrohydrodynamics

The Maxwell stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^e$ in Eq. 2 is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^e = \varepsilon_i \mathbf{E}_i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i - \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2} \mathbf{E}_i \cdot \mathbf{E}_i \left(1 - \frac{\rho_i}{\varepsilon_i} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \rho_i} \right) \mathbb{I} \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field vector and ε is the electric permittivity equal to the product of the relative permittivity κ_i and the vacuum permittivity space ε_0 . Magnetic effects have been ignored since the EHD time scale is several orders of magnitude larger than the magnetic time scale [84] in the atomization process that is of interest here. This electrostatic assumption eliminates the effect of the velocity of the charges (i.e., current) on the electric field thus dictating that the electric field is only influenced by the instantaneous electric charge distribution. The electric force can be expressed as the divergence of the Maxwell stress tensor,

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^e = q_i \mathbf{E}_i - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_i^2 \nabla \varepsilon_i + \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \rho_i} \mathbf{E}_i^2 \right) \quad (6)$$

where q is the volumetric electric charge density. The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. 6 is the Coulomb (or Lorentz) force. The second and third terms denote the dielectric and the electrostrictive forces respectively, which are only significant in a transient electric field with time scales several orders of magnitude larger than what is encountered in atomization problems [52].

As the electric field vector is irrotational it can be expressed in terms of the scalar electric potential ϕ as

$$\mathbf{E}_i = -\nabla\phi. \quad (7)$$

The electric potential Poisson equation describes the relationship between charge density q_i and ϕ as

$$-\nabla \cdot (\epsilon_i \nabla \phi) = q_i. \quad (8)$$

The electric Poisson equation is solved using the hypre library of routines for scalable parallel solutions of linear systems [30, 31].

The accumulation of bulk volumetric charge as a surface charge in a thin electric boundary layer much smaller than the hydrodynamic boundary layer is a commonly made assumption in charged jet simulations [103, 99]. The electric charge has previously been modeled in two ways: either a constant bulk volumetric charge or a fully relaxed surface charge. According to the classic leaky dielectric model [63, 97, 84] that is commonly used to describe the effects of electric charge in dielectric liquids, the fundamental underlying assumption is that bulk volumetric electric charge has sufficient time to fully relax to a surface charge. However, for atomizing flows the advection time scale is similar to the charge relaxation time scale and a fully relaxed charge assumption is invalid. The charge relaxation time τ_q represents the time required for volumetric charge q_l to relax to the surface [17, 52]. The fluid advection timescale τ_f is a characteristic time for a fluid element to move across a relevant length scale L_0 . The ratio of the two time scales called the electric Reynolds number Re_e was formulated in Stuetzer [94] as

$$\tau_q = \frac{\epsilon_l}{\psi_l q_l}, \quad (9)$$

$$\tau_f = \frac{L_0}{\mathbf{u}}, \quad (10)$$

$$Re_e = \frac{\tau_q}{\tau_f} \quad (11)$$

where values of the quantities that correspond to typical automotive paints and RBA operations listed in Table 2 yield a value of Re_e approximately equal to

8.93. This suggests that the advection and charge relaxation timescales are comparable in this application. The above time scale analysis highlights the necessity to model the process of charge relaxation by migration and diffusion in addition to advection with the fluid velocity. The common assumption of charges to be accumulated on the surface disallows the charge migration dynamics and limits the accuracy of the droplet charge distribution after atomization. In this work, we assume a constant bulk volumetric charge at the injector and allow charges to relax as the jet propagates through the domain. Charge transport is described by the conservation equation

$$\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_i = 0 \quad (12)$$

where the current density $\mathbf{J}_i$ is formulated as [62, 101]

$$\mathbf{J}_i = q_i \mathbf{u}_i + q_i \psi_i \mathbf{E}_i - \mathcal{D}_i \nabla q_i \quad (13)$$

where ψ_i is the charge mobility coefficient and $\mathcal{D}_i$ is the molecular diffusivity. The three terms that contribute to the current density can be described as advection due to the velocity field, advection due to the electrical velocity, and diffusion. In summary, the charge density field (q) and boundary conditions (BCs) in electric potential are used to obtain the electric potential field (ϕ) using Eq. 8. The electric field ($\mathbf{E}$) is then obtained using Eq. 7 after which Eq. 6 allows for the calculation of the Coulomb force ($\mathbf{f}_{\text{electric}}$) on the charged fluid. More details on the equations involved in the formulation of the EHD module can be found in Sheehy and Owkes [86].

2.3. Rotating reference frame

In an RBA undergoing ligament breakup mode, each ligament exiting the serrated bell edge behaves similarly with statistically similar droplet size distribution and charge transport behavior. Therefore, we focus on one ligament ejected from the bell edge in our numerical simulations. We attach our reference frame to the rotating nozzle and ligament.

To account for the rotating nozzle and the consequent forces, we include equations that describe the rotating reference frame. This subjects the liquid to centrifugal and Coriolis forces which can be formulated as

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{centrifugal}} = -\rho_i \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{Coriolis}} = -2\rho_i \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{u}_i \quad (15)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the angular velocity and $\mathbf{r}$ is the radial distance from the axis of rotation.




Figure 2: Validation of centrifugal force: Numerical results of a rotating tank experiment where cases 1, 2, and 3 correspond to rotation rates of 4, 10, and 14 rad/s respectively. The analytical solution of the interface (Eq. 16) at various locations is plotted with red circles. The numerically computed liquid interface and liquid bulk are shown as black lines and blue areas respectively. The dashed line represents the axis of rotation for each case.

3. Numerical simulations of RBA jets

3.1. Validation of physics modules

The EHD module in NGA has seen continued development and validation efforts have been presented in Sheehy and Owkes [86].

To validate the implementation of centrifugal force (described in Section 2.3) into the EHD formulation, numerical experiments of a rotating tank configuration are conducted. The solution for the steady-state interface profile $h(x)$ of a liquid in a rotating tank is analytically derived as

$$h(x) = h_0 + \frac{1}{2g} (\omega x)^2 \quad (16)$$

where h_0 is the height of the liquid at the axis of rotation and g is the gravitational acceleration [54]. Numerical results show excellent agreement with the analytical solution for varying rotation rates (Fig. 2).

The numerical implementation of the Coriolis force (described in Section 2.3) is tested by simulating a liquid jet in a rotating frame. The path of an object experiencing the centrifugal and Coriolis forces follows a circle with a growing radius, i.e., a spiral. The analytical solution for the position of the object (x, y) at a given time t is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= (x_0 + v_{x0}t) \cos(\omega t) + t(v_{y0} + \omega x_0) \sin(\omega t) \\ y(t) &= -(x_0 + v_{x0}t) \sin(\omega t) + t(v_{y0} + \omega x_0) \cos(\omega t) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where v_{x0} and v_{y0} are the x and y components of the initial velocity of the jet and x_0 is the position of the jet at $t = 0$. The derivation of this analytical solution is provided in Appendix A. This solution is derived for a rigid point-like object with its all mass concentrated at its center. Liquid jets, however, are not point-like

approximations and include effects of viscosity and surface tension. Despite these challenges, we proceeded with validating the effect of the Coriolis force on liquid jets in a rotating reference frame and obtained satisfactory results. Numerically simulated liquid jets follow the analytically predicted trajectory for varying rotation rates (Fig. 3).

3.2. Using e-Mesh

An addition to the EHD module of NGA developed for this project involves using a domain called e-Mesh. e-Mesh is much larger than the flow-solver domain (henceforth called the NS-Mesh) where the equations described in Section 2 are solved. Since our interest lies in primary and secondary atomization, NS-Mesh lies at the edge of the bell and extends a few millimeters outside of it. NS-Mesh requires a well-defined electric potential field (ϕ) to obtain the electric field ($\mathbf{E}$). We solve for ϕ using appropriate BCs at the boundaries of NS-Mesh. However, Fig. 4(a) highlights that ϕ is well defined (labeled Dirichlet) only at the nozzle (bell electric potential) and at the target surface (grounded) but is not readily available at the boundaries of NS-Mesh. Instead of assuming values of BCs on NS-Mesh, a new domain called e-Mesh that spans between regions of well-defined ϕ is initialized and used to obtain accurate BCs on NS-Mesh. The volume of e-Mesh is about 8000 times larger than that of NS-Mesh, i.e., the region of interest in this project lies in about 0.0125% of the volume of e-Mesh. Making NS-Mesh this large would require significantly more computational resources to solve the fluid dynamics far from the bell edge. The breakup activity and charge transport within the liquid, which are the processes of interest in this work, are already completed within the NS-Mesh domain. To avoid solving hydrodynamics outside of NS-Mesh, we create





Figure 3: Validation of Coriolis force: Numerical results of the liquid jet interfaces in a reference frame rotating counter-clockwise about an axis that points out of the 2D plane. The analytical solution (Eq. 17) is shown as a superimposed solid line for each case.


e-Mesh - a domain spanning the entire region between the bell and the target surface - to be used exclusively as an electric potential solver. A Dirichlet BC of the value of the bell electric potential is imposed on the top boundary where the nozzle overlaps e-Mesh. A zero potential boundary condition is imposed on the bottom boundary which represents the target surface. Periodic BCs are imposed on the z^+ and z^- boundaries of e-Mesh and Neumann BCs are imposed on its remaining boundaries. The right boundary (x^+) was chosen in such a way that it is far enough away that the electric potential field lines are perpendicular to the target surface, allowing for a Neumann BC to be imposed. In addition to the BCs, e-Mesh is populated with the charge density field (q) which is used to solve for ϕ (on e-Mesh) (Fig. 4(b)). Values of ϕ are interpolated from e-Mesh (which has a stretched grid and is coarser than NS-Mesh) to cells that lie on the boundaries of NS-Mesh using a tri-cubic interpolation method. These interpolated values are then used as BCs to compute ϕ on NS-Mesh.

3.3. Domain geometry

The domain geometry is represented schematically in Fig. 5. NS-Mesh, where the electrohydrodynamics is solved, has dimensions of $12.96 \text{ mm} \times 960 \text{ }\mu\text{m} \times 360 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$. e-Mesh, which spans from the bell edge to the target surface, has dimensions of $0.2 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ m} \times 360 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$. In RBAs, the bell edge plane is angled away from the plane perpendicular to the axis of rotation. In the numerical setup, the jet is injected into the domain from the top wall with initial velocity components that correspond to an edge angle of 25° . Some RBAs are equipped with serrations present at the edge of the bell which causes the fluid to be ejected as thin jets. In the absence of these serrations, the fluid forms liquid sheets on the inner surface of the bell. These liquid sheets may



(a) e-Mesh shown in context with NS-Mesh and available ϕ BCs



(b) Electric potential field (ϕ) on e-Mesh

Figure 4: Boundary conditions and electric potential field (ϕ) on e-Mesh (not to scale)

Table 1: Mesh sensitivity simulation domain parameters

Mesh ID	Cells per diameter	Total cells	Cell width	Processor count	No. of structures
05 CPD	5	2.592×10^6	$12 \mu\text{m}$	16	74
10 CPD	10	20.73×10^6	$6 \mu\text{m}$	96	121
15 CPD	15	69.98×10^6	$4 \mu\text{m}$	256	705

be subject to interfacial instability and form periodic ligaments at the edge of the bell or break up in sheet mode depending on the operating conditions. In this work, a single jet of diameter $60 \mu\text{m}$ flowing out of a serrated bell is simulated.

The top boundary is a wall that allows slip velocity and the left boundary is a no-flux wall. the bottom and right boundaries are convective outflows. Periodic BCs are imposed on the front and back walls. Although the periodic BCs on a Cartesian mesh would misrepresent jet interaction far from the bell edge, the angular separation of the jets close to the bell edge is not significant enough to account for its curvature. In addition to mass and momentum (and charge) being transported across the periodic z boundaries, the electric field is also computed with the effect of the periodicity. This means that at any instance the single jet simulated in the computational domain is solved with full consideration of its nearest neighboring jets.

The axis of rotation, which is the center of the bell, is located one radial length away (in the negative x direction) from the inflow at a distance of 0.025 m . As mentioned previously, the model does not currently include a shaping air setup. Breakup is induced by imparting velocity modulations to the inflow. The inflow velocity ($\mathbf{u}_{\text{inflow}}$) is modulated by adding sinusoidal perturbations at a superposition of three (40, 50, and 60 kHz) frequencies (ω) at an amplitude (A) which is 9% of its original velocity ($\mathbf{u}$) (Eq. 18).

$$\mathbf{u}_{\text{inflow}} = \mathbf{u} + \sum_{n=1}^3 A \sin(2\pi\omega_n t). \quad (18)$$

3.4. Mesh Sensitivity

To determine the effect of mesh resolution on atomization and to help choose an optimal numerical setup, three simulations are performed with varying mesh resolutions (Table 1). We note from Fig. 6 that droplets larger than $10 \mu\text{m}$ are resolved in a 5 cells-per-diameter (CPD) mesh while the 10 CPD mesh captures droplets smaller than $10 \mu\text{m}$. Additionally, the 15 CPD mesh captures the droplets even smaller than $5 \mu\text{m}$. Larger droplets are similarly resolved for all meshes. Between

the two finer meshes the smaller droplets are increasingly well resolved.

We note the necessity for a mesh finer than 5 CPD since the coarseness of the mesh does not allow for charge migration to be captured. All liquid structures in the 5 CPD mesh contain the injected charge density value of 2.879 C/m^3 . Using 10 and 15 CPD meshes, we are able to resolve the charge migration process and investigate the resulting droplet charge distribution. Most of the droplets contain a charge density close to the initial bulk charge density value. Compared to the initial charge density, it is more probable to find a droplet with a lower charge density. Fig. 7 highlights that charge migration is more resolved in a 15 CPD mesh and is consistent in its trend with the 10 CPD mesh. Although there is uncertainty with the smallest scales of droplet sizes, the number of droplets larger than $20 \mu\text{m}$ does not vary on increasing the mesh resolution, suggesting that the larger droplets are well resolved in a 15 CPD mesh. Since the goal of this project is to demonstrate the development of the tool, we believe that the underlying physical processes are sufficiently captured when using a 15 CPD mesh.

4. Results and discussion

Simulations are performed in a domain as described in Section 3.3. Table 2 contains a list of parameter values used in simulations. The non-dimensional numbers corresponding to the simulations are listed in Table 3.

In the interest of understanding the effect of EHD on the flow, we present a brief discussion on the relevant non-dimensional numbers. The electric Reynolds number [94] is the ratio of the charge convection timescale to the charge mobility timescale while the electric Péclet number [86] is a measure of the charge mobility timescale to the charge diffusion timescale. Based on the values of the electric Reynolds and Péclet numbers corresponding to this setup, it is reasonable to model all three charge dynamics processes, i.e., convection, diffusion, and migration, since their timescales are comparable to one another. The electric Bond number [9] quantifies the importance of the deforming electrical force compared to the restoring surface tension force. A low value signifies the dominance of surface tension driven breakup activity. The electro-inertial number [86] denotes the importance of EHD forces compared to inertial advective forces. A low value implies that inertial forces predominantly drive the hydrodynamics of the flow in this setup.

Figs. 8 and 9 show the positions of the liquid interface of the jet at different instances in time as viewed




Figure 5: A schematic representation of the domain geometry of the numerical problem showing positions and dimensions (not to scale) of NS-Mesh and e-Mesh.

Table 2: Standard values of parameters in the RBA simulations

Property	Symbol	Value	Unit
Bell rotation rate	ω	40×10^3	RPM
Bell radius	R_{bell}	0.025	m
Edge angle	-	25	deg
Inlet jet diameter	D_{jet}	60×10^{-6}	m
Liq. flow rate	-	7.96×10^{-9}	m^3/s
Liq. viscosity	μ_l	0.1	Pa.s
Liq. density	ρ_l	1000	kg/m^3
Surface tension	γ	0.03	N/m
Bell electric potential	V_{bell}	80×10^3	V
Liq. charge density	q_l	2.879	C/m^3
Liq. rel. permittivity	κ_l	50	-
Liq. molecular diff.	$\mathcal{D}_l$	2×10^{-6}	m^2/s
Liq. ionic mobility	ψ_l	1.79×10^{-8}	$\text{m}^2/\text{V.s}$




Figure 6: Probability distribution of droplet sizes for varying mesh resolutions. The lines show a log-normal fit of the data.

Table 3: Non-dimensional numbers used in the RBA simulations

Number	Definition	Value
Density ratio	ρ_l/ρ_g	830.56
Viscosity ratio	μ_l/μ_g	5546.31
Permittivity ratio	κ_l/κ_g	50.00
CFL	$ \mathbf{u}_{\text{max}} \Delta t/\Delta x$	0.30
Reynolds	$\rho_l \mathbf{u}_{\text{jet}} D_{\text{jet}}/\mu_l$	1006.24
Weber	$\rho_l \mathbf{u}_{\text{jet}} ^2D_{\text{jet}}/\gamma$	77.87
Ohnesorge	$\sqrt{\text{We}}/\text{Re}$	0.0088
Electric Reynolds	$\varepsilon_l \mathbf{u}_{\text{jet}} /(Lq_l\psi_l)$	8.93
Electric Péclet	$q_l\psi_lL^2/(\mathcal{D}_l\varepsilon_l)$	0.21
Electric Bond	$\varepsilon_l \mathbf{E} ^2L/\gamma$	0.091
Electro-inertial	$q_l^2L^2/(\varepsilon_l\rho_l \mathbf{u}_{\text{jet}} ^2)$	0.0017




Figure 7: Volume weighted charge density probability distributions for varying mesh resolutions. Note the logarithmic scale on the y-axis.

from different viewing planes. We can capture complex and chaotic breakup activity comprising primary and secondary atomization that begins approximately 6 mm away from the bell edge.

4.1. Parameter study

A parameter study is performed on a 15 CPD mesh to understand the effects of changing four parameters - nozzle rotation rate, liquid flow rate, liquid charge density, and bell electric potential. Results of the parameter study are shown in Fig. 10 as snapshots of the liquid interface after 200 μ s. The standard values of all varied properties are listed in Table 2 unless otherwise stated in the figure.

As the nozzle rotation rate increases, centrifugal forces are stronger on the jet and stretch it out faster leading to early elongation and breakup (Fig. 10(a)). Slower rotation rates do not stretch the jet out as much in the same duration. A higher flow rate through the same jet diameter acts in the same way as increasing jet velocity, which initially pushes the jet out further before centrifugal forces take over (Fig. 10(b)). These results

are in agreement with experimental observations conducted by Corbeels et al. [15].

An increase in either q_{in} or ϕ_{bell} stretches the jet along its downstream direction (Figs. 10(c), 10(d)). This is because the Coulomb force vectors point towards the direction of propagation of the liquid jet, i.e., the electric potential field contour lines are approximately perpendicular to the liquid velocity at that location.

4.2. Effect of electrifying the atomizer

Fig. 11(a) shows that an electrified jet produces more droplets than a non-electrified one. In this particular simulation after 300 μ s, an electrified jet contains about 40% more droplets than a non-electrified jet. A lateral shift is evident in the probability distribution of the size distribution between the two cases (Fig. 11(b)), i.e., the most probable diameter in an electrified jet is about 8% smaller than a non-electrified jet. Moreover, both the number and probability distributions show that significantly more droplets of smaller size are produced when the jet is electrified. This behavior is in agreement with the findings presented in Pendar and Páscoa [77] where a similar shift towards smaller droplets has been observed in the number distribution for increasing background potentials. According to Pendar and Páscoa [77], the presence of an electric field causes a net reduction in the effective surface tension in large droplets and ligaments and therefore an increase in the local Weber number of the structures. This can be the reason behind the increased rate of breakup and the production of smaller droplets in an electrified setup. Fig. 11(c) highlights that droplets are created at a higher rate in an electrified domain. The delay in the first instance of atomization in a charged jet is speculated to be due to a stabilizing force provided by the electric field during the initial dynamics of the liquid core. However, once the breakup begins, the electric field accelerates the process. This phenomenon will be further investigated in future work.

It is valuable to know the charge density in droplets after atomization. Fig. 12(a) shows that a majority of the droplets contain a charge density that is very close to the input charge density (shown as a black dashed line). Additionally, compared to the initial charge density, it is more probable to find a droplet with a lower charge density. This can be explained as follows - as charges relax and move to the surface of the ligament, the charge density in the bulk of the liquid reduces. Since a majority of the liquid structures break off the bulk volume (simply because of a greater liquid volume in the bulk than near the surface), most droplets contain a charge density slightly lower than the input value. The process of




Figure 8: Liquid interface positions of a single jet exiting the edge of the bell at different times as viewed from an x-y plane. The nozzle is shown for reference at the top left corner of each image and is not to scale.

charge relaxation determines the distribution of charge in droplets. The electric Reynolds number listed in Table 3 is the ratio of the residence time of liquid in the domain to the charge relaxation timescale. The moderate value of 8.93 indicates that the charges have time to relax to some degree, but not enough time to fully relax to the surface. Furthermore, the computed electric Reynolds number is an overestimation of its true value as the velocity used to calculate the liquid advective timescale is at the bell edge - which is a significant underestimation. The velocity of the jet rapidly increases by about 30 times due to the centrifugal force. It is therefore possible that the charges do not fully relax through the ligament before atomization occurs. This is supported by the observation that most generated droplets contain a charge density close to the initial bulk charge value. Further studies are required to fully understand the relaxation of charges in the ligament and the factors influencing the distribution of charges in atomized droplets.

A common question in industrial applications is whether the droplets contain enough charge to overcome surface tension and undergo fissive breakup. Lord Rayleigh considered the balance of the destabilizing electrical force arising from the charges within a small droplet and the opposing capillary force due to its surface tension [81]. The result of this analysis based on the linear stability theory was the Rayleigh charge limit that defines the surface charge required to cause the fissive rupture of a charged droplet. Assumptions are often made regarding the charge contained in droplets after at-

omization [23]. We find that most droplets are charged to less than 5% of their corresponding Rayleigh limit (Fig. 12(b)). However, if the bulk charge density imparted to the liquid is increased, charge-driven Coulomb breakup might be observed.

Despite the charge contained in droplets being insufficient to cause Coulomb force driven fissive rupture, it does play a role in the breakup process by causing more atomization. Electric charge can have an effect, albeit small, on primary atomization of ligaments and large droplets but a negligible effect on the size and stability of atomized droplets after secondary breakup. The feebleness of the electric field on atomization is further supported by the low value of the electric Bond number in the setup (Table 3). The effect of the electric charge on the droplet trajectories becomes significant at larger distances from the bell edge [75, 47]. However, small droplets are sometimes undesirable in the droplet cloud as they tend to disperse and escape as over-spray after atomization due to the weakness of the Coulomb force acting on them. Therefore, we believe that optimal operating conditions (electric field strength, liquid charge density, nozzle rotation rate, shaping air properties, liquid flow rate, etc.) that yield the highest TE and a suitable surface finish quality need to be determined carefully.

5. Conclusions

Electrostatic rotary bell atomizers are popular paint application devices used in the automotive industry. The




Figure 9: Liquid interface positions of six individual jets exiting a serrated bell edge at different times as viewed from an x - z plane. The nozzle is rotating clockwise and is shown for reference at the left edge of each image and is not to scale. The simulation domain is outlined by a dashed box. Owing to the periodic boundary conditions along the z -axis, an arc along the bell edge spanning six serrations is depicted in this view by stacking the domains in an attempt to vaguely recreate some experimental images available in Shirota et al. [88], Oswald et al. [67], Domnick [22] and Wilson et al. [104].







Figure 10: A comparison of snapshots of the liquid interface positions after $200\ \mu\text{s}$ in the parameter study simulations. The nozzle is shown for reference at the top left corner of each image and is not to scale.




(a) Number distribution of droplet sizes. Note the logarithmic scale on the y-axis.




(b) Probability distribution of droplet sizes.



(c) Number of droplets in the domain. Note the logarithmic scale on the y-axis.



(a) Charge density probability distribution with the input bulk charge density shown as a black dashed line.



(b) Droplet charge density probabilities expressed in terms of their Rayleigh limit.

Figure 12: Volume weighted charge density characteristics in atomized droplets. Note the logarithmic scales on the y-axes.

Figure 11: A comparison of the atomization statistics between non-electrified and electrified simulations.

charged setup that includes a background electric field increases the transfer efficiency of the device. In this work, we have built a tool to understand the effect of the electric field on near-bell droplet size and charge characteristics. This cost-effective method of simulating electrohydrodynamic atomization allows for the extraction of atomization statistics that are challenging to obtain experimentally in electrified setups.

A numerical model has been developed to simulate liquid jets ejected from an electrostatic RBA. The formulation is built in a code called NGA that includes physics modules that accurately model EHD and a rotating frame. A parameter study is performed to investigate the effect of varying nozzle rotation rate, liquid flow rate, liquid charge density, and bell electric potential on the jet trajectory. Observed results agree with the expected physical jet behavior and experimental results.

From the charge density distribution of atomized droplets, it is evident that most droplets contain a charge close to the initial bulk charge density value. Additionally, compared to the initial charge density, it is more probable to find a droplet with a lower charge density. Most droplets are charged to less than 5% of their corresponding Rayleigh limit suggesting that breakup is not primarily driven by fission due to charge accumulation. The presence of charges reduces the effective surface tension in large droplets and ligaments which leads to the production of smaller droplets. If the charge density in the bulk liquid is increased, charge-driven Coulomb breakup might be observed. However, since smaller droplets tend to escape as over-spray, optimal operating conditions need to be determined carefully.

The tool developed can be employed to provide a set of initial conditions to a droplet cloud that includes information on position, diameter, velocity, and charge distributions to a flow solver that models the Lagrangian motion of droplets toward the target surface. In the future, this work will be extended to extract droplet genealogy statistics to identify the means of atomization in electrostatic RBAs. The simulation tool will also be used to conduct simulations on a finer mesh to understand the charge relaxation dynamics. A non-Newtonian model has been implemented into NGA and will be used to model the shear-thinning behavior of paint more accurately. Such development will allow for a deeper understanding of the charge distribution dynamics for industrial applications of RBAs.

6. Acknowledgments

The project is supported and funded by the Ford Motor Company. This material is based upon work sup-

ported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1749779. The authors are grateful to Dr. Kevin Ellwood (Ford Motor Company) for many insightful discussions and guidance. The authors extend their gratitude to Dr. Erick Johnson, Dr. Yaofa Li, and Mr. Brendan Christensen for useful conversations and suggestions. Computational efforts were performed on the Hyalite High Performance Computing System and the Tempest High Performance Computing System, operated and supported by University Information Technology Research Cyberinfrastructure at Montana State University.

Appendix A. Trajectory of an object influenced by the Coriolis force

To validate the implementation of the Coriolis force in the flow solver, we performed a simulation of a liquid jet in a two-dimensional rotating frame and compared its trajectory to that provided by the analytical solution. Consider a point-like object of mass m at position $\mathbf{r}_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ moving with an initial velocity $\mathbf{v}_0 = (v_{x0}, v_{y0})$ in a frame rotating about the z axis with an angular velocity ω .

A force balance equation on the object influenced by centrifugal and Coriolis forces (14) can be formulated as

$$\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a} = -2m\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{v} + m\boldsymbol{\omega} \times (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (\ddot{x}, \ddot{y})$ and $\mathbf{v} = (\dot{x}, \dot{y})$. On expanding the terms and separating the x and y components, we get

$$m(\ddot{x}\hat{x} + \ddot{y}\hat{y}) = 2m\omega [\dot{x}(-\hat{y}) + \dot{y}(\hat{x})] + m[\omega^2 x(\hat{x}) + \omega^2 y(\hat{y})].$$

We now separate the x and y components of the force balance equation to yield the following pair of coupled differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} m\ddot{x} &= 2m\omega\dot{y} + m\omega^2 x \\ m\ddot{y} &= -2m\omega\dot{x} + m\omega^2 y. \end{aligned}$$

We now cancel out the mass terms and define $\eta = x + iy$. On adding i times the $\ddot{y}$ equation to the $\ddot{x}$ equation, we get

$$\ddot{x} + i\ddot{y} = \omega^2(x + iy) - 2i\omega(\dot{x} + i\dot{y})$$

which reduces to the form

$$\ddot{\eta} = \omega^2\eta - 2i\omega\dot{\eta}.$$

This linear second order differential equation has a solution of the form

$$\eta(t) = e^{-i\omega t} (C_1 + iC_2).$$

After imposing initial conditions of r_0 and v_0 and assuming $y_0 = 0$, we get $C_1 = x_0$ and $C_2 = v_{x0} + i(v_{y0} + \omega x_0)$. This gives the solution to η as

$$\eta(t) = x_0 e^{-i\omega t} + t e^{-i\omega t} [v_{x0} + i(v_{y0} + \omega x_0)]$$

which can be decomposed to yield the x and y components of the object trajectory r by examining the real and imaginary parts as

$$x(t) = (x_0 + v_{x0}t) \cos(\omega t) + t(v_{y0} + \omega x_0) \sin(\omega t)$$

$$y(t) = -(x_0 + v_{x0}t) \sin(\omega t) + t(v_{y0} + \omega x_0) \cos(\omega t).$$

References

- [1] Ahmad, A.D., Singh, B.B., Doerre, M., Abubaker, A.M., Arabghahestani, M., Salaiameh, A.A., Akafuah, N.K., 2019. Spatial positioning and operating parameters of a rotary bell sprayer: 3D mapping of droplet size distributions. *Fluids* 4.
- [2] Akafuah, N., Poozesh, S., Salaiameh, A., Patrick, G., Lawler, K., Saito, K., 2016. Evolution of the Automotive Body Coating Process — A Review. *Coatings* 6.
- [3] Akafuah, N.K., Toda, K., Salazar, A., Saito, K., 2013. *Automotive Paint Spray Characterization and Visualization*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. pp. 121–165.
- [4] Anukiruthika, T., Moses, J., Anandharamakrishnan, C., 2021. Electrohydrodynamic drying of foods: Principle, applications, and prospects. *Journal of Food Engineering* 295, 110449.
- [5] Arumugham-Achari, A.K., Grifoll, J., Rosell-Llompart, J., 2015. A Comprehensive Framework for the Numerical Simulation of Evaporating Electrospays. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 49, 436–448.
- [6] Azizian, P., Azarmanesh, M., Dejam, M., Mohammadi, M., Shamsi, M., Sanati-Nezhad, A., Mohamad, A.A., 2019. Electrohydrodynamic formation of single and double emulsions for low interfacial tension multiphase systems within microfluidics. *Chemical Engineering Science* 195, 201–207.
- [7] Bailey, A.G., 1974. Electrostatic atomization of liquids. *Science Progress* (1933-) 61, 555–581.
- [8] Balachandran, W., Bailey, A.G., 1984. The Dispersion of Liquids Using Centrifugal and Electrostatic Forces. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications* IA-20, 682–686.
- [9] Berthier, J., Brakke, K.A., 2012. *The physics of microdroplets*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [10] Blanquart, G., Pepiot-Desjardins, P., Pitsch, H., 2009. Chemical mechanism for high temperature combustion of engine relevant fuels with emphasis on soot precursors. *Combustion and Flame* 156, 588–607.
- [11] Chen, X., Cheng, J., Yin, X., 2003. Advances and applications of electrohydrodynamics. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 48, 1055–1063.
- [12] Clément, Z., Fredrik, E., Andreas, M., Oliver, H., 2014. Efficient Numerical Simulation of Spray Painting Processes in Automotive Manufacturing, in: 23rd SAE Brasil International Congress and Display, SAE Technical Paper.
- [13] Colbert, S.A., 2007. Numerical simulations of droplet trajectories from an electrostatic rotary-bell atomizer. Ph.D. thesis. Drexel University.
- [14] Colbert, S.A., Cairncross, R.A., 2005. A computer simulation for predicting electrostatic spray coating patterns. *Powder Technology* 151, 77–86.
- [15] Corbeels, P.L., Senser, D.W., Lefebvre, A.H., 1992. Atomization Characteristics of a high speed rotary-bell paint applicator. *Atomization and Sprays* 2, 87–99.
- [16] Craig, I.P., Hewitt, A., Terry, H., 2014. Rotary atomiser design requirements for optimum pesticide application efficiency. *Crop Protection* 66, 34–39.
- [17] Crowley, J.M., 1986. *Fundamentals of applied electrostatics*. volume 1. 1st ed., Wiley.
- [18] Darwish Ahmad, A., Abubaker, A.M., Salaiameh, A.A., Akafuah, N.K., 2018. Schlieren Visualization of Shaping Air during Operation of an Electrostatic Rotary Bell Sprayer: Impact of Shaping Air on Droplet Atomization and Transport. *Coatings* 8.
- [19] Desjardins, O., Blanquart, G., Balarac, G., Pitsch, H., 2008a. High order conservative finite difference scheme for variable density low mach number turbulent flows. *Journal of Computational Physics* 227, 7125–7159.
- [20] Desjardins, O., Moureau, V., Pitsch, H., 2008b. An accurate conservative level set/ghost fluid method for simulating turbulent atomization. *Journal of Computational Physics* 227, 8395–8416.
- [21] Dombrowski, N., Lloyd, T., 1974. Atomisation of liquids by spinning cups. *The Chemical Engineering Journal* 8, 63–81.
- [22] Domnick, J., 2010. Effect of Bell Geometry in High-Speed Rotary Bell Atomization.
- [23] Domnick, J., Scheibe, A., Ye, Q., 2005. The Simulation of the Electrostatic Spray Painting Process with High-Speed Rotary Bell Atomizers. Part I: Direct Charging. *Particle & Particle Systems Characterization* 22, 141–150.
- [24] Domnick, J., Thieme, M., 2006. Atomization Characteristics of High-Speed Rotary Bell Atomizers. *Atomization and Sprays* 16, 857–874.
- [25] Domnick, J., Yang, Z., Ye, Q., 2008. Simulation of the film formation at a high-speed rotary bell atomizer used in automotive spray painting processes.
- [26] Eagles, P., Qureshi, A., Jayasinghe, S., 2006. Electrohydrodynamic jetting of mouse neuronal cells. *Biochemical Journal* 394, 375–378.
- [27] Ellwood, K.R., Braslaw, J., 1998. A finite-element model for an electrostatic bell sprayer. *Journal of Electrostatics* 45, 1–23.
- [28] Ellwood, K.R., Tardiff, J.L., Alaie, S.M., 2014. A simplified analysis method for correlating rotary atomizer performance on droplet size and coating appearance. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research* 11, 303–309.
- [29] Enayati, M., Chang, M.W., Bragman, F., Edirisinghe, M., Stride, E., 2011. Electrohydrodynamic preparation of particles, capsules and bubbles for biomedical engineering applications. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* 382, 154–164.
- [30] Falgout, R.D., Jones, J.E., Yang, U.M., 2004. Pursuing Scalability for hypre's Conceptual Interfaces. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.
- [31] Falgout, R.D., Jones, J.E., Yang, U.M., 2006. The design and implementation of hypre, a library of parallel high performance preconditioners, in: *Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations on Parallel Computers*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg. pp. 267–294.
- [32] Farook, U., Stride, E., Edirisinghe, M.J., Moaleji, R., 2007. Microbubbling by co-axial electrohydrodynamic atomization. *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing* 45, 781–789.
- [33] Fredrich, D., Weiland, E., Giusti, A., 2022. Electrostatic fields for the control of evaporating charged fuel sprays. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* , 104312.
- [34] Frost, A.R., 1981. Rotary atomization in the ligament formation mode. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* 26,

- 63–78.
- [35] Fylladitakis, E.D., Theodoridis, M.P., Moronis, A.X., 2014. Review on the History, Research, and Applications of Electrohydrodynamics. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science* 42, 358–375.
 - [36] Galitsky, C., Worrel, E., 2008. Energy Efficiency Improvement and Cost Saving Opportunities for the Vehicle Assembly Industry: An Energy Star Guide for Energy and Plant Managers. Technical Report. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, University of California: Berkeley, CA, USA.
 - [37] Geffen, C., Rothenberg, S., 2000. Suppliers and environmental innovation: the automotive paint process. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management* .
 - [38] Gorty, A.V., Barringer, S.A., 2011. Electrohydrodynamic spraying of chocolate. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation* 35, 542–549.
 - [39] Grace, J., Marijnissen, J., 1994. A review of liquid atomization by electrical means. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 25, 1005–1019.
 - [40] Guettler, N., Knee, P., Ye, Q., Tiedje, O., 2020. Initial droplet conditions in numerical spray painting by electrostatic rotary bell sprayers: A framework for optimization of injection model coefficients. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research* 17, 1091–1104.
 - [41] Gödeke, L., Oswald, W., Willenbacher, N., Ehrhard, P., 2021. Dimensional analysis of droplet size and ligament length during high-speed rotary bell atomization. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research* 18, 75–81.
 - [42] Hayati, I., Bailey, A.I., Tadros, T.F., 1986. Mechanism of stable jet formation in electrohydrodynamic atomization. *Nature* 319, 41–43.
 - [43] Hines, R.L., 1966. Electrostatic atomization and spray painting. *Journal of Applied Physics* 37, 2730–2736.
 - [44] Hinze, J.O., Milborn, H., 1950. Atomization of Liquids by Means of a Rotating Cup. *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 17, 145–153.
 - [45] Im, K.S., Lai, M.C., Liu, Y., Sankagiri, N., Loch, T., Nivi, H., 2000. Visualization and Measurement of Automotive Electrostatic Rotary-Bell Paint Spray Transfer Processes. *Journal of Fluids Engineering* 123, 237–245.
 - [46] Im, K.S., Lai, M.C., Yoon, S.J., 2003. Spray characteristics on the electrostatic rotating bell applicator. *KSME International Journal* 17, 2053–2065.
 - [47] Im, K.S., Lai, M.C., Yu, S.T.J., Matheson, R.R.J., 2004. Simulation of Spray Transfer Processes in Electrostatic Rotary Bell Sprayer. *Journal of Fluids Engineering* 126, 449–456.
 - [48] Jaworek, A., Sobczyk, A., Krupa, A., 2018. Electro spray application to powder production and surface coating. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 125, 57–92.
 - [49] Kazama, S., 2003. Steady-state paint flow under high centrifugal force: atomization in spray painting. *JSAE Review* 24, 489–494.
 - [50] Keshavarz, B., Houze, E.C., Moore, J.R., Koerner, M.R., McKinley, G.H., 2020. Rotary atomization of newtonian and viscoelastic liquids. *Physical Review Fluids* 5.
 - [51] Khan, M.K.I., Schutyser, M.A., Schroën, K., Boom, R., 2012. The potential of electrospraying for hydrophobic film coating on foods. *Journal of Food Engineering* 108, 410–416.
 - [52] Kourmatzis, A., Shrimpton, J.S., 2009. Electrohydrodynamics and charge injection atomizers: A review of the governing equations and turbulence. *Atomization and Sprays* 19, 1045–1063.
 - [53] Kuhnenn, M., Joensen, T.V., Reck, M., Roisman, I.V., Tropea, C., 2018. Study of the internal flow in a rotary atomizer and its influence on the properties of the resulting spray. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* 100, 30–40.
 - [54] Laplace, P.S., 2009. Mechanism of the Heavens. Cambridge Library Collection - Physical Sciences, Cambridge University Press.
 - [55] Leven, B., Weber, C., 2001. Energy efficiency in innovative industries: Application and benefits of energy indicators in the automobile industry. In *Proceedings ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Industry* 1,67–75.
 - [56] Loch, T., Nivi, H., Liu, Y., Lai, M.C., Im, K.S., 1998. An Experimental Investigation of Spray Transfer Processes in an Electrostatic Rotating Bell Applicator, in: *International Body Engineering Conference & Exposition*, SAE International.
 - [57] Mackaplow, M.B., Zarraga, I.E., Morris, J.F., 2006. Rotary spray congealing of a suspension: Effect of disk speed and dispersed particle properties. *Journal of Microencapsulation* 23, 793–809.
 - [58] Mahmoud, A., Youssef, M.S., 2014. Influence of spinning cup and disk atomizer configurations on droplet size and velocity characteristics. *Chemical Engineering Science* 107, 149–157.
 - [59] Makarytchev, S., Xue, E., Langrish, T., Prince, R., 1997. On modelling fluid flow over a rotating conical surface. *Chemical Engineering Science* 52, 1055–1057.
 - [60] Mark, A., Andersson, B., Tafuri, S., Engstrom, K., Sorod, H., Edelvik, F., Carlson, J.S., 2013. Simulation of electrostatic rotary bell spray painting in automotive paint shops. *Atomization and Sprays* 23, 25–45.
 - [61] McCarthy, J.E., Senser, D.W., 2005. Specific charge measurements in electrostatic air sprays. *Particulate Science and Technology* 23, 21–32.
 - [62] Melcher, J.R., 1981. Continuum electromechanics. volume 2. MIT press Cambridge, MA.
 - [63] Melcher, J.R., Taylor, G.I., 1969. Electrohydrodynamics: A Review of the Role of Interfacial Shear Stresses. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* 1, 111–146.
 - [64] Naoki, I., Takuro, I., Yu, N., Seiichiro, I., Yu, F., 2019. Numerical simulation of droplet-formation in rotary atomizer. *Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters* 9, 202–205.
 - [65] Ogasawara, S., Daikoku, M., Shirota, M., Inamura, T., Saito, Y., Yasumura, K., Shoji, M., Aoki, H., Miura, T., 2010. Liquid Atomization Using a Rotary Bell Cup Atomizer. *Journal of Fluid Science and Technology* 5, 464–474.
 - [66] OICA, 2019. World Motor Vehicle Production - 2019 Production Statistics. Technical Report. Organisation Internationale des Constructeurs d'Automobiles.
 - [67] Oswald, W., Lauk, J., Gödeke, L., Ehrhard, P., Willenbacher, N., 2019. Analysis of paint flow pulsations during high-speed rotary bell atomization. *Coatings* 9, 1–9.
 - [68] Owkes, M., Cauble, E., Senecal, J., Currie, R.A., 2018. Importance of curvature evaluation scale for predictive simulations of dynamic gas–liquid interfaces. *Journal of Computational Physics* 365, 37–55.
 - [69] Owkes, M., Desjardins, O., 2014. A computational framework for conservative, three-dimensional, unsplit, geometric transport with application to the volume-of-fluid (VOF) method. *Journal of Computational Physics* 270, 587–612.
 - [70] Owkes, M., Desjardins, O., 2015a. Consistent and conservative framework for incompressible multiphase flow simulations, in: *APS Division of Fluid Dynamics Meeting Abstracts*, pp. H7–007.
 - [71] Owkes, M., Desjardins, O., 2015b. A mesh-decoupled height function method for computing interface curvature. *Journal of Computational Physics* 281, 285–300.
 - [72] Owkes, M., Desjardins, O., 2017. A mass and momentum conserving unsplit semi-Lagrangian framework for simulating multiphase flows. *Journal of Computational Physics* 332, 21–

- 46.
- [73] Oxley, J., 2012. Spray cooling and spray chilling for food ingredient and nutraceutical encapsulation, in: Encapsulation technologies and delivery systems for food ingredients and nutraceuticals. Elsevier, pp. 110–130.
- [74] Panneton, B., 2002. Geometry and Performance of a Rotary Cup Atomizer. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture* 18, 435–441.
- [75] Pendar, M.R., Páscoa, J.C., 2019. Numerical modeling of electrostatic spray painting transfer processes in rotary bell cup for automotive painting. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow* 80, 108499.
- [76] Pendar, M.R., Páscoa, J.C., 2020. Atomization and spray characteristics around an ERBS using various operational models and conditions: numerical investigation. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 161.
- [77] Pendar, M.R., Páscoa, J.C., 2021. Numerical analysis of charged droplets size distribution in the electrostatic coating process: Effect of different operational conditions. *Physics of Fluids* 33, 033317.
- [78] Precedence Research, 2019. Automotive Paints & Coatings Market Growth, Report 2020–2027 Automotive. Technical Report. Precedence Research.
- [79] Raje, P., Murmu, N.C., 2014. A Review on Electrohydrodynamic-inkjet Printing Technology.
- [80] Ray, R., Henshaw, P., 2018. Evaporation of clearcoat solvents from a rotary bell atomizer and its relationship with bell speed, flow rate, and electrostatic potential. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research* 15, 41–49.
- [81] Rayleigh, L., 1882. On the equilibrium of liquid conducting masses charged with electricity. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* 14, 184–186.
- [82] Rezayat, S., Farshchi, M., 2019. Spray formation by a rotary atomizer operating in the coriolis-induced stream-mode injection. *Atomization and Sprays* 29, 937–963.
- [83] Sadegh, P., Nelson, A., Kozo, S., 2018. Effects of automotive paint spray technology on the paint transfer efficiency – a review. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part D: Journal of Automobile Engineering* 232, 282–301.
- [84] Saville, D.A., 1997. Electrohydrodynamics: The Taylor-Melcher Leaky Dielectric Model. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* 29, 27–64.
- [85] Saye, R.I., Sethian, J.A., Petrouskie, B., Zatorsky, A., Lu, X., Rock, R., 2023. Insights from high-fidelity modeling of industrial rotary bell atomization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 120, e2216709120.
- [86] Sheehy, P., Owkes, M., 2017. Numerical study of electric Reynolds number on electrohydrodynamic assisted atomization. *Atomization and Sprays* 27, 645–664.
- [87] Shen, B., Ye, Q., Guettler, N., Tiedje, O., Domnick, J., 2019. Primary breakup of a non-Newtonian liquid using a high-speed rotary bell atomizer for spray-painting processes. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research* 16, 1581–1596.
- [88] Shirota, M., Hatayama, Y., Haneda, T., Inamura, T., Daikoku, M., Saito, Y., Aoki, H., 2012. Formation and breakup of ligaments from a rotary bell cup atomizer.
- [89] Shrimpton, J.S., Laoonual, Y., 2006. Dynamics of electrically charged transient evaporating sprays. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 67, 1063–1081.
- [90] Sidawi, K., Moroz, P., Chandra, S., 2021a. Bell-cup serrations and their effect on atomization in electrostatic rotating bell atomizers. *Experiments in Fluids* 62, 1–16.
- [91] Sidawi, K., Moroz, P., Chandra, S., 2021b. On surface area coverage by an electrostatic rotating bell atomizer. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research* 18, 649–663.
- [92] Stevenin, C., Bereaux, Y., Charneau, J.Y., Balcaen, J., 2015. Shaping Air Flow Characteristics of a High-Speed Rotary-Bell Sprayer for Automotive Painting Processes. *Journal of Fluids Engineering, Transactions of the ASME* 137, 1–8.
- [93] Stimpson, B.P., Evans Jr, C.A., 1978. Electrohydrodynamic ionization mass spectrometry of biochemical materials. *Biomedical Mass Spectrometry* 5, 52–63.
- [94] Stuetzer, O.M., 1962. Magnetohydrodynamics and electrohydrodynamics. *The Physics of Fluids* 5, 534–544.
- [95] Symons, D.D., Bizard, A.F.M., 2015. Measurement of Fluid Flow Thickness Within a Rotating Cone. *Journal of Fluids Engineering* 137.
- [96] Tatsuya, S., Tomoyuki, K., Junichi, T., Yasuhiro, S., Yohsuke, M., Hideyuki, A., Daichi, N., Genki, K., Masanari, M., Takukatsu, A., Masatoshi, D., Toshiki, H., Yohsuke, H., Minori, S., Takao, I., 2015. Liquid film flow on a high speed rotary bell-cup atomizer. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* 70, 96–103.
- [97] Taylor, G., 1966. Studies in Electrohydrodynamics. I. The Circulation Produced in a Drop by Electrical Field. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A* 291, 159–166.
- [98] Toljic, N., Adamiak, K., Castle, G.S., Kuo, H.H., Fan, H.T., 2011. Three-dimensional numerical studies on the effect of the particle charge to mass ratio distribution in the electrostatic coating process. *Journal of Electrostatics* 69, 189–194.
- [99] Turnbull, R., 1989. Self-acceleration of a charged jet. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications* 25, 699–704.
- [100] Vajdi Hokmabad, B., Faraji, S., Ghaznavi Dizajyekan, T., Sadri, B., Esmailzadeh, E., 2014. Electric field-assisted manipulation of liquid jet and emanated droplets. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* 65, 127–137.
- [101] Van Poppel, B., Desjardins, O., Daily, J.W., 2010. A ghost fluid, level set methodology for simulating multiphase electrohydrodynamic flows with application to liquid fuel injection. *Journal of Computational Physics* 229, 7977–7996.
- [102] Viti, V., Kulkarni, J., Watve, A., 2010. Computational fluid dynamics analysis of the electrostatic spray painting process with a rotating bell cup. *Atomization and Sprays* 20, 1–17.
- [103] Wang, X.T., Ning, Z., Lü, M., 2019. Linear instability of a charged non-Newtonian liquid jet under an axial electric field. *Journal of Applied Physics* 126, 135301.
- [104] Wilson, J.E., Grib, S.W., Darwish Ahmad, A., Renfro, M.W., Adams, S.A., Salameh, A.A., 2018. Study of Near-Cup Droplet Breakup of an Automotive Electrostatic Rotary Bell (ESRB) Atomizer Using High-Speed Shadowgraph Imaging. *Coatings* 8.
- [105] Yamamoto, T., Velkoff, H., 1981. Electrohydrodynamics in an electrostatic precipitator. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 108, 1–18.