

Water-use efficiency & transpiration across European forests during the Anthropocene

Authors: D.C. Frank, B. Poulter, M. Saurer, J. Esper, C. Huntingford, G. Helle, K. Treydte, N. E. Zimmermann, G. H. Schleser, A. Ahlström, P. Ciais, P. Friedlingstein, S. Levis, M. Lomas, S. Sitch, N. Viovy, L. Andreu-Hayles, Z. Bednarz, F. Berninger, T. Boettger, C.M. D'Alessandro, V. Daux, M. Filot, M. Grabner, E. Gutierrez, M. Haupt, E. Hilasvuori, H. Jungner, M. Kalela-Brundin, M. Krapiec, M. Leuenberger, N.J. Loader, H. Marah, V. Masson-Delmotte, A. Pazdur, S. Pawelczyk, M. Pierre, O. Planells, R. Pukiene, C.E. Reynolds-Henne, K.T. Rinne, A. Saracino, E. Sonninen, M. Stievenard, V. R. Switsur, M. Szczepanek, E. Szychowska-Krapiec, L. Todaro, J.S. Waterhouse, and M. Weigl

This is a postprint of an article that originally appeared in [Nature Climate Change](#) in May 2015.

Frank, D. C., B. Poulter, M. Saurer, J. Esper, C. Huntingford, G. Helle, K. Treydte, et al. "Water-Use Efficiency and Transpiration Across European Forests During the Anthropocene." *Nature Climate Change* 5, no. 6 (May 11, 2015): 579–583.
doi:10.1038/nclimate2614.

Water-use efficiency and transpiration across European forests during the Anthropocene

D. C. Frank^{1,2*}, B. Poulter^{3,4*}, M. Saurer⁵, J. Esper⁶, C. Huntingford⁷, G. Helle⁸, K. Treydte¹, N. E. Zimmermann¹, G. H. Schleser^{8,9}, A. Ahlström^{10,11}, P. Ciais⁴, P. Friedlingstein¹², S. Levis¹³, M. Lomas¹⁴, S. Sitch¹², N. Viovy⁴, L. Andreu-Hayles¹⁵, Z. Bednarz¹⁶, F. Berninger¹⁷, T. Boettger¹⁸, C. M. D'Alessandro¹⁹, V. Daux⁴, M. Filot²⁰, M. Grabner²¹, E. Gutierrez²², M. Haupt¹⁸, E. Hiltunen²³, H. Jungner¹⁷, M. Kalela-Brundin²⁴, M. Krapiec²⁵, M. Leuenberger^{2,20}, N. J. Loader²⁶, H. Marah²⁷, V. Masson-Delmotte⁴, A. Pazdur²⁸, S. Pawelczyk²⁸, M. Pierre⁴, O. Planells²², R. Pukienė²⁹, C. E. Reynolds-Henne⁵, K. T. Rinne⁵, A. Saracino³⁰, E. Sonninen¹⁷, M. Stievenard⁴, V. R. Switsur³¹⁺, M. Szczepanek²⁸, E. Szychowska-Krapiec²⁵, L. Todaro¹⁹, J. S. Waterhouse³¹, and M. Weigl³² (Author affiliations at the end of the paper.)

The Earth's carbon and hydrologic cycles are intimately coupled by gas exchange through plant stomata¹⁻³. However, uncertainties in the magnitude⁴⁻⁶ and consequences^{7,8} of the physiological responses^{9,10} of plants to elevated CO₂ in natural environments hinders modelling of terrestrial water cycling and carbon storage¹¹. Here we use annually resolved long-term $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ tree-ring measurements across a European forest network to reconstruct the physiologically driven response of intercellular CO₂ (C_i) caused by atmospheric CO₂ (C_a) trends. When removing meteorological signals from the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ measurements, we find that trees across Europe regulated gas exchange so that for one ppmv atmospheric CO₂ increase, C_i increased by ~ 0.76 ppmv, most consistent with moderate control towards a constant C_i/C_a ratio. This response corresponds to twentieth-century intrinsic water-use efficiency (iWUE) increases of 14 ± 10 and $22 \pm 6\%$ at broadleaf and coniferous sites, respectively. An ensemble of process-based global vegetation models shows similar CO₂ effects on iWUE trends. Yet, when operating these models with climate drivers reintroduced, despite decreased stomatal opening, 5% increases in European forest transpiration are calculated over the twentieth century. This counterintuitive result arises from lengthened growing seasons, enhanced evaporative demand in a warming climate, and increased leaf area, which together oppose effects of CO₂-induced stomatal closure. Our study questions changes to the hydrological cycle, such as reductions in transpiration and air humidity, hypothesized to result from plant responses to anthropogenic emissions.

Annually, $\sim 40,000 \text{ km}^3$ or $\sim 60\%$ of the total evapotranspiration over land enters the atmosphere via transpiration^{2,12}. Most of this is from plants with the C3 carbon assimilation pathway, including economically important crops (for example, wheat and rice) and broadleaf and coniferous trees, totalling $\sim 95\%$ of the living terrestrial plant biomass¹³. Laboratory and free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiments^{3,9,10} indicate that increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations affect C3 stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. Modelling assessments incorporating knowledge of these physiological mechanisms suggest substantial impacts on the

Earth's coupled climate-hydrologic-carbon systems. Besides impacts on future carbon sinks¹⁴, possible climatic consequences from physiological responses to increased CO₂ concentrations include changes in transpiration that may well affect regional hydroclimate and flood risks^{3,15,16}.

The IPCC Fifth Assessment Report emphasizes the high uncertainties still surrounding plant physiological responses to increasing CO₂ concentrations¹¹. For example, a recent analysis of eddy-covariance time series⁶ inferred that northern boreal and temperate forests regulate stomatal conductance to maintain constant intercellular CO₂ concentrations, C_i . Maintaining a constant C_i in the past one to two decades implies a very strong physiological response, contradicting earlier observational and experimental data used to optimize parameterizations of the land components of climate models¹⁷. To reduce such uncertainty, we take advantage of additional measurements that have not traditionally been used to verify terrestrial ecosystem model functioning, namely tree-ring carbon isotope data.

The diffusion of CO₂ through stomata from the external leaf boundary layer to the leaf-internal photosynthesis sites, as well as the enzymatic reactions during carboxylation, causes discrimination of ^{13}C in CO₂ (ref. 18). Knowledge of these fractionation processes allows C_i to be reconstructed from the stable carbon isotope measurements ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) on alpha-cellulose extracted from trees' annual growth rings^{4,19}. In particular, for C3 plants, C_i can be reconstructed from ice-core and instrumental measurements of CO₂ concentration (C_a) and its atmospheric $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signature, and from the well-established values of isotope discrimination constants from carboxylation and diffusion of CO₂ through leaves (Methods). Yet, this crucial information held in tree-ring measurements has been under-used in testing dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs), despite providing key information on stomatal functioning. Furthermore, knowledge of C_i and the well-established C_a time series quantifies intrinsic water-use efficiency from the diffusion equation [$\text{iWUE} = A/g = (C_a - C_i)/1.6$], linking leaf-level CO₂ assimilation rate (A) to stomatal conductance (g), for the known $C_a - C_i$ difference. Although actual water consumption also varies




Figure 1 | European forest study sites. Locations of the 9 broadleaf (green squares) and 14 coniferous (blue diamonds) ISONET sites (labels are site abbreviations; see Supplementary Table 1). Conifer sites are distributed from northern Fennoscandia to the Mediterranean regions, with broadleaf species concentrated in central Europe. The negative correlation between site elevation and latitude (inset) reflects the elevational decrease in treeline as well as ecological and anthropogenic effects dictating long-lived tree locations. Coloured background shows modelled annual transpiration over the past decade. asl, above sea level.

with environmental conditions and plant biomass, the $iWUE$ is a key measure of potential water costs to maintain a given rate of carbon assimilation per unit leaf area. Attributing the CO_2 influence on $iWUE$ also should account for impacts of climate variability on isotope discrimination owing to both the lower C_i at more temperate sites⁴ (Supplementary Figs 1 and 2) and the strong inter-annual to long-term climate-driven variability evident in tree-ring $\delta^{13}C$ time series²⁰ (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Our tree-ring data set comprises two of the most important genera (*Quercus* and *Pinus*) from the broadleaf (9 stands) and coniferous (14 stands) tree types in Europe. Geographically, data span from northern Scandinavia to Morocco and the United Kingdom to Poland (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Annual resolution for all sites throughout the twentieth century permits assessment of inter-annual to long-term climatic impacts, enabling identification of intercellular CO_2 trends driven directly by rising atmospheric CO_2 concentrations (Methods).

Our long-term tree-ring measurements show that simultaneous to the ~ 70 ppmv twentieth century increase¹¹ in C_a , the annually resolved tree-ring network yields a 44 ± 10 ppmv C_i increase (Fig. 2). These trends are consistent with conclusions drawn from meta-analyses of $iWUE$ responses from independent tree-ring data sets²¹, yet incompatible with a recent investigation⁶ of ecosystem-level water-use efficiency concluding C_i remained constant over the most recent decades. Despite discrepancies previously identified between empirical and model evidence⁶, both the absolute C_i values and their twentieth century changes are well captured by DGVM ensemble results (Fig. 2a inset). Furthermore, we find that C_i depends strongly on climate variability, as evidenced by significant ($p < 0.01$) correlations with temperature and precipitation fluctuations ($R = -0.55$ and 0.57 , respectively, after detrending data with a spline). Correlation might not reflect causality, but our statistical




Figure 2 | Changes in intercellular CO_2 concentrations. **a**, Reconstructed relative to 1901-1910 levels from isotope measurements from all 23 sites in Europe (grey), their mean (black), and uncertainties (light green). The inset shows DGVM simulated (blue) and tree-ring reconstructed (green) C_i . Asterisks denote uncertainties. **b**, High-pass-filtered mean from **a** (black) together with summer temperature and precipitation averaged from the instrumental gridpoints nearest to the sites. **c**, Increase in intercellular CO_2 ($^{cc}C_i$) per ppmv change in C_a estimated after removal of the climatic component from individual sites (see Methods). Smoothed curves in **c** are density estimates considering the climatic influence for five instrumental parameters (grey) and their first principal component at each site (blue). Values of τ less than 1 indicate increases in $^{cc}iWUE$. τ values in the 0.5-0.7 range (red circles) and their upper and lower confidence limits (red triangles) would be required to maintain a constant $^{cc}C_i/C_a$ ratio. JJA stands for June, July and August.

tests (Methods) suggest stronger control of C_i variability from precipitation fluctuations towards the Mediterranean regions (probably from rainfall controls on stomatal opening via soil moisture). In contrast, maximum temperature variability was more strongly correlated with C_i fluctuations towards Scandinavia, possibly due to associations with increased radiation/photosynthesis and enhanced vapour pressure deficit (Supplementary Fig. 3).

We thus estimate changes in C_i driven purely by C_a (ppmv per ppmv)—this physiological response to CO_2 , defined as τ —by accounting for the influence of climate variation on tree-ring $\delta^{13}C$. These ‘climate-corrected’ estimates—that is, climate signal removed—are denoted by the superscript cc prefix; see methods and Supplementary Figs 5–7. We find that for every ppmv increase in C_a over the twentieth century, the $^{cc}C_i$ increased by 0.76 ± 0.28 ppmv (median $\pm$ s.d.; Fig. 2c). Broadleaves have higher τ values than conifers (median 0.81 and 0.65, respectively) over the twentieth century. Relative to three leaf-level gas-exchange set-points debated in the literature, namely: plant maintenance of a constant C_i (ref. 6), constant C_i/C_a ratio²², or constant difference⁵ between C_a and C_i , we find greatest consistency with the constant C_i/C_a ratio hypothesis. In detail, we cannot statistically distinguish ($p = 0.98$) the conifer responses from the null hypothesis of a constant C_i/C_a ratio (that




Figure 3 | Water-use efficiency and transpiration variability relative to 1901–1910. Temporal changes in the twentieth century European water balance. **a**, ϵiWUE upper and lower deciles (light shaded), the 22nd and 78th percentiles (dark shaded), and median estimates (dashed) for the broadleaf and conifer sites until 2002. Ensemble means for the DGVM modelled iWUE changes for PFTs (solid lines) together with decadal mean values around 2002 (circles: 1 = CLM4CN; 2 = LPJ; 3 = LPJ-GUESS; 4 = SDGVM; 5 = TRIFFID; 6 = ORCHIDEE). **b**, Changes in transpiration for the European tree PFTs for the six ensemble members (grey), their mean (black), and for the smoothed broadleaf (blue) and coniferous evergreen (red) ensemble means. Coloured triangles as in **a** for 2001–2010.

would be associated with τ values between 0.55 and 0.79). The empirical response at the broadleaf sites (median $\tau = 0.81$) is intermediate to hypothesis for a constant C_i/C_a ratio (τ between 0.68–0.79) and C_a-C_i set-points ($\tau = 1$). This finding importantly allows us to benchmark the plant responses to CO_2 while mitigating the confounding climatic variation.

When our climate-corrected estimates of C_i are used to derive ϵiWUE , we find that $\sim 66\%$ of the European broadleaf and $\sim 93\%$ of the conifer sites show an increase in ϵiWUE . Relative to the 1901–1910 reference period, the average increase in ϵiWUE was $14 \pm 10\%$ at the broadleaf sites and $22 \pm 6\%$ at the conifer sites during the twentieth century (Fig. 3). These results are consistent with ecophysiological literature that evergreen plants (and particularly sclerophylls) may show greater increases in WUE metrics (for example, based on transpiration or alternatively stomatal conductance) due to rigid leaf architecture²³ and a greater coupling with atmospheric boundary layer processes²⁴ and associated feedbacks from changes in surface humidity. Without exclusion of climate effects we obtain larger iWUE trends of approximately 30% for the same period (Supplementary Fig. 4). This empirical evidence supports previous⁴ and new (see below) model results suggesting contributions to iWUE due to warming/drying over Europe in addition to the physiological responses to elevated CO_2 . This affects particularly strongly broadleaf sites in central Europe, where warming is greatest. Warming/drying trends^{6,19,22} tend to lower the C_i rate of increase, resulting in a larger C_a-C_i difference, a higher iWUE , and estimates closer to a constant C_i (Supplementary Fig. 8).

Our long-term estimates of climate-corrected C_i and ϵiWUE provide a unique test on the ability of DGVM to reproduce (or not) physiological responses to higher ambient CO_2 . We accordingly perform factorial experiments with an ensemble of DGVMs that isolate modelled plant responses to contemporary

CO_2 trends only. Simulated iWUE responses fall between the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ -derived observations for the broadleaf and conifers (Fig. 3a), but the DGVM results are not statistically different between the broadleaf and conifer plant functional types (PFTs), with individual ensemble members showing between a 12 and 22% increase in European ϵiWUE . Hence, from our values above, results indicate consistency between the modelled and empirical ϵiWUE response. Although previous investigations²⁵ show coherence between tree-ring and leaf measurements for C_i , the extent to which both tree rings and models integrate canopy and other physiological processes requires investigation. This includes post-photosynthetic discrimination, storage and remobilization of carbohydrates, and how model predictions are influenced by simplified representations of canopy processes and vegetation dynamics. Various WUE metrics (Supplementary Figs 8–11), model configuration and structural differences in formulation²⁴ of, for example, photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, transpiration demand or the non-water stressed C_i/C_a ratio (Supplementary Table 3) furthermore contribute to some ensemble spread. With climate factors reintroduced to the simulations, we observe additional enhancement of iWUE . Furthermore, in line with the findings of ref. 6 based on ecosystem-level measurements of productivity and water loss, strongest increases were found when using their definition of WUE, with multiplication by vapour pressure deficit (VPD; Supplementary Figs 9–11).

Land-surface models solve equations for C_i , photosynthesis (A) and stomatal conductance (g), to predict the exchange of water between the land and atmosphere. However, plant responses, including stomatal conductance, to changing CO_2 remain particularly uncertain for long timescales¹¹. Prescription of C_i/C_a in models closes the equations, and g thus becomes a diagnostic. Our analysis of C_i/C_a , using C_i derived from tree-ring measurements, provides strong evidence for the numerical value that this ratio should take, and therefore contributes a much needed constraint on projections by land-surface models. The general agreement between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ -derived and process-modelled C_i estimates suggests accurately modelled stomatal control, a major determinant in trends of land evapotranspiration.

Model simulations incorporating both CO_2 and climatic drivers tend to show increased twentieth century transpiration across the European continent (Fig. 3b). Inter-annual transpiration variability over Europe of all PFTs—hence including non-trees—is of the order of a few per cent. However, five of the six ensemble members show $\sim 5\%$ increased ($+56 \text{ km}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ on average) transpiration in the most recent (2001–2010) decade relative to the beginning of the simulations (1901–1910). When considering just trees, these century-timescale changes fall in a range of 4–9%, with the evergreen conifer and deciduous broadleaf PFTs showing on average 2% (range -7 to 9%) and 11% (3 to 26%) increases, respectively (see also Supplementary Figs 15 and 16).

Assessed globally, despite indications that recent drought stress has perhaps dampened overall productivity²⁶ and possibly total transpiration¹², we find tendencies for stable or increasing transpiration from tree PFTs for 66% of ensemble members (Supplementary Fig. 16). Hence, model results suggest that any CO_2 -driven (and for some regions, drought-induced) reductions in stomatal conductance simulated for most models and PFTs (Supplementary Figs 12–14) are insufficient to outweigh other factors which both models and observations suggest can increase tree transpiration, such as enhanced leaf-area index²⁷, lengthened growing season, and increased evaporative demand¹¹.

Interactions among various climatic and physiological responses to CO_2 such as leaf-area increase have been investigated in individual modelling studies^{1,16,27}, yet a comprehensive meta-analysis²⁸ regarding anthropogenic impacts on the terrestrial water cycle recently noted “...there is disagreement even at the level of the

sign of net change to runoff from twentieth century evolutions of meteorological forcing, induced primarily by human activity, and from combined plant physiological responses to rising CO₂ ...". Furthermore, global-scale assessments may miss important regional variation and processes. Our ensemble of vegetation models contributes to both accurately characterizing and reducing this uncertainty. First, we find a broad consensus in the model responses regarding transpiration trends in Europe (Fig. 3) and globally (Supplementary Fig. 16). This is relevant, given the possible uncertainties represented by the various formulations and parameterizations underlying individual vegetation models (Supplementary Table 3; ref. 24). Furthermore, we note the differences in modelled responses between the broadleaf and coniferous plant functional types (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Figs 9, 14–16). Such plant functional type or even species-specific^{3,29} responses have not received attention in previous large-scale DGVM studies^{1,15,27} of plant responses to CO₂, and we hypothesize will have biome-specific consequences on the land–atmosphere water fluxes.

Evidence supporting our conclusions with plausible and observed mechanisms counteracting CO₂-induced reductions in the land–atmosphere water vapour flux already exists. For example, in a changing climate, emergent canopy and ecosystem processes including atmospheric feedbacks may alter transpiration; various CO₂-enrichment experiments have already found smaller than expected reductions in ecosystem transpiration, particularly for coniferous trees⁹. Furthermore, the increased seasonally averaged LAI in our simulations (Supplementary Figs 12 and 14) is backed by observed growing season trends¹¹ and CO₂-driven increases in leaf area in natural environments³⁰. Although our data from the past century strengthen the credibility of DGVMs, other sources of uncertainty remain for forward projections. Over longer periods, trends in stomatal conductance, WUE and LAI may be confounded by major changes in nutrients and pollutants^{31,32}, persistent adjustment to water availability¹², cavitation, and plant responses to CO₂ concentrations last experienced on geologic timescales³³. Some of these factors are only now being implemented in DGVMs, for which knowledge of C_i will also aid further model testing.

Detailed analyses of tree-ring-based measurements provide an accurate determination of how both climate and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, C_a, have influenced intercellular CO₂ concentration, C_i, during the past century. On average we find that increases in C_i are 76% the size of increases in C_a. For conifers, in particular, the temporal evolution of C_i is such that C_i/C_a is nearly constant. This independent knowledge of C_i allows a particularly strong test to be made of DGVMs, and especially as this quantity is usually only calculated implicitly in such models, being dependent on model formulations of both assimilation and stomatal opening. We are encouraged to find that DGVMs perform well for this parameter, and this gives confidence in their projection of transpiration, a flux that is important for future land-surface climate impacts. In fact, our results show that anthropogenic CO₂ emissions have already caused large-scale CO₂-driven physiologically induced changes and that, despite associated stomatal closure (which, occurring in isolation, might be expected to reduce transpiration), this water–vapour flux has generally increased over Europe. These processes are expected to lead to two counteracting effects on climate: on one hand, enhanced evapotranspiration is expected to reduce surface temperature due to increased latent heat loss; on the other hand, greater transpiration could enhance warming due to water vapour and soil hydrology feedbacks, as already evidenced during recent heat waves³⁴, but for which a large dispersion has been reported amongst climate change projections³⁵. Future generations of fully coupled climate models will better predict this balance, but can accurately do so only with robust land-surface descriptions. Our use of novel data to constrain an ensemble of terrestrial ecosystem models helps significantly towards achieving this goal.

Methods

Methods and any associated references are available in the [online version of the paper](#).

Received 14 August 2014; accepted 10 March 2015;
published online 11 May 2015

References

1. Cao, L., Bala, G., Caldeira, K., Nemani, R. & Ban-Weiss, G. Importance of carbon dioxide physiological forcing to future climate change. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 9513–9518 (2010).
2. Schlesinger, W. H. & Jasechko, S. Transpiration in the global water cycle. *Agric. Forest Meteorol.* **189–190**, 115–117 (2014).
3. Leuzinger, S. & Körner, C. Water savings in mature deciduous forest trees under elevated CO₂. *Glob. Change Biol.* **13**, 2498–2508 (2007).
4. Saurer, M. *et al.* Spatial variability and temporal trends in water-use efficiency of European forests. *Glob. Change Biol.* **20**, 3700–3712 (2014).
5. Marshall, J. D. & Monserud, R. A. Homeostatic gas-exchange parameters inferred from ¹³C/¹²C in tree rings of conifers. *Oecologia* **105**, 13–21 (1996).
6. Keenan, T. F. *et al.* Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations rise. *Nature* **499**, 324–327 (2013).
7. Koutavas, A. CO₂ fertilization and enhanced drought resistance in Greek firs from Cephalonia Island, Greece. *Glob. Change Biol.* **19**, 529–539 (2013).
8. van der Sleen, P. *et al.* No growth stimulation of tropical trees by 150 years of CO₂ fertilization but water-use efficiency increased. *Nature Geosci.* **8**, 24–28 (2015).
9. Ainsworth, E. A. & Rogers, A. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO₂]: Mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant Cell Environ.* **30**, 258–270 (2007).
10. Drake, B. G., González-Meler, M. A. & Long, S. P. More efficient plants: A consequence of rising atmospheric CO₂? *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **48**, 609–639 (1997).
11. IPCC *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis* (eds Stocker, T. F. *et al.*) (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013).
12. Jung, M. *et al.* Recent decline in the global land evapotranspiration trend due to limited moisture supply. *Nature* **467**, 951–954 (2010).
13. Still, C. J., Berry, J. A., Collatz, G. J. & DeFries, R. S. Global distribution of C-3 and C-4 vegetation: Carbon cycle implications. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle* **17**, 14 (2003).
14. Shevliakova, E. *et al.* Historical warming reduced due to enhanced land carbon uptake. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 16730–16735 (2013).
15. Gedney, N. *et al.* Detection of a direct carbon dioxide effect in continental river runoff records. *Nature* **439**, 835–838 (2006).
16. Betts, R. A. *et al.* Projected increase in continental runoff due to plant responses to increasing carbon dioxide. *Nature* **448**, 1037–1041 (2007).
17. Medlyn, B. E. *et al.* Reconciling the optimal and empirical approaches to modelling stomatal conductance. *Glob. Change Biol.* **17**, 2134–2144 (2011).
18. Farquhar, G. D., Ehleringer, J. R. & Hubick, K. T. Carbon isotope discrimination and photosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **40**, 503–537 (1989).
19. Feng, X. H. Trends in intrinsic water-use efficiency of natural trees for the past 100–200 years: A response to atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **63**, 1891–1903 (1999).
20. Treydte, K. *et al.* Signal strength and climate calibration of a European tree-ring isotope network. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34**, L24302 (2007).
21. Peñaflor, J., Canadell, J. G. & Ogaya, R. Increased water-use efficiency during the 20th century did not translate into enhanced tree growth. *Global Ecology Biogeography* **20**, 597–608 (2011).
22. Saurer, M., Siegwolf, R. T. W. & Schweingruber, F. H. Carbon isotope discrimination indicates improving water-use efficiency of trees in northern Eurasia over the last 100 years. *Glob. Change Biol.* **10**, 2109–2120 (2004).
23. Niinemets, U., Flexas, J. & Penuelas, J. Evergreens favored by higher responsiveness to increased CO₂. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **26**, 136–142 (2011).
24. De Kauwe, M. G. *et al.* Forest water use and water use efficiency at elevated CO₂: A model-data intercomparison at two contrasting temperate forest FACE sites. *Glob. Change Biol.* **19**, 1759–1779 (2013).
25. Klein, T. *et al.* Association between tree-ring and needle ^δ¹³C and leaf gas exchange in *Pinus halepensis* under semi-arid conditions. *Oecologia* **144**, 45–54 (2005).
26. Zhao, M. S. & Running, S. W. Drought-induced reduction in global terrestrial net primary production from 2000 through 2009. *Science* **329**, 940–943 (2010).
27. Piao, S. L. *et al.* Changes in climate and land use have a larger direct impact than rising CO₂ on global river runoff trends. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 15242–15247 (2007).

28. Sterling, S. M., Ducharne, A. & Polcher, J. The impact of global land-cover change on the terrestrial water cycle. *Nature Clim. Change* **3**, 385–390 (2013).
29. Babst, F. *et al.* Site- and species-specific responses of forest growth to climate across the European continent. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **22**, 706–717 (2013).
30. Donohue, R. J., Roderick, M. L., McVicar, T. R. & Farquhar, G. D. Impact of CO₂ fertilization on maximum foliage cover across the globe's warm, arid environments. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40**, 3031–3035 (2013).
31. Boettger, T., Haupt, M., Friedrich, M. & Waterhouse, J. S. Reduced climate sensitivity of carbon, oxygen and hydrogen stable isotope ratios in tree-ring cellulose of silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.) influenced by background SO₂ in Franconia (Germany, Central Europe). *Environ. Pollut.* **185**, 281–294 (2014).
32. Holmes, C. D. Air pollution and forest water use. *Nature* **507**, E1–E2 (2014).
33. Cowling, S. A. & Field, C. B. Environmental control of leaf area production: Implications for vegetation and land-surface modeling. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle* **17**, 1007 (2003).
34. Shongwe, M. E., Graversen, R. G., van Oldenborgh, G. J., van den Hurk, B. & Doblas-Reyes, F. J. Energy budget of the extreme Autumn 2006 in Europe. *Clim. Dynam.* **36**, 1055–1066 (2011).
35. Cattiaux, J., Douville, H. & Peings, Y. European temperatures in CMIP5: Origins of present-day biases and future uncertainties. *Clim. Dynam.* **41**, 2889–2907 (2013).

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Körner, S. Seneviratne, and A. Gessler for comments, the European Union projects ISONET (EVK2-2001-00237), Carbo-Extreme (226701) and Millennium (017008), the Swiss National Science Foundation (iTREE CRSII3_136295), and N.J.L. the UK NERC (NE/B501504) and C3W for funding.

Author contributions

D.C.F., B.P., M. Saurer, J.E. and G.H.S. designed the study, with input from C.H., G.H. and N.E.Z. D.C.F., B.P. and M. Saurer performed the analyses with input from J.E., C.H. and G.H.S. All authors contributed to discussion, interpretation, and the development of the data set and ISONET program (devised by G.H.S., G.H. and N.J.L.) or the TRENDY model intercomparison project (coordinated by S.S. and P.F.). D.C.F., B.P. and C.H. led the writing of this paper.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available in the [online version of the paper](#). Reprints and permissions information is available online at www.nature.com/reprints. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.C.F. or B.P.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

¹Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland. ²Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland. ³Institute on Ecosystems and Department of Ecology, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana 59717, USA. ⁴Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, UMR8212), Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France. ⁵Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland. ⁶Department of Geography, Johannes Gutenberg University, 55099 Mainz, Germany. ⁷Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, Oxfordshire OX10 8BB, UK. ⁸Helmholtz-Centre Potsdam. German Centre for Geosciences—GFZ, 14473 Potsdam, Germany. ⁹Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52428 Jülich, Germany. ¹⁰Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Lund SE-223 62, Sweden. ¹¹Department of Earth System Science, School of Earth, Energy and Environmental Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA. ¹²University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QF, UK. ¹³National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado 80301, USA. ¹⁴University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK. ¹⁵Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Palisades, New York 10964, USA. ¹⁶Agricultural University, 31-120 Krakow, Poland. ¹⁷University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland. ¹⁸Department of Catchment Hydrology, UFZ—Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, 06120 Halle, Germany. ¹⁹University of Basilicata, 85100 Potenza, Italy. ²⁰University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland. ²¹University of Natural Resources and Life Science (BOKU), 1180 Vienna, Austria. ²²Department of Ecology, Universitat Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain. ²³Finnish Environment Institute, 00251 Helsinki, Finland. ²⁴Forestry Museum, 92123 Lycksele, Sweden. ²⁵AGH—University of Science and Technology, 30-059 Krakow, Poland. ²⁶Department of Geography, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK. ²⁷CNESTEN, 10001 Rabat, Morocco. ²⁸Silesian University of Technology, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland. ²⁹Vytautas Magnus University, 44248 Kaunas, Lithuania. ³⁰University of Naples "Federico II", 80055 Portici, Italy. ³¹Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge CB1 1PT, UK. ³²Holzforschung Austria, 1030 Vienna, Austria. †Deceased. *e-mail: david.frank@wsl.ch; benjamin.poulter@montana.edu

Methods

Tree-ring network and measurements. Annually resolved tree-ring stable carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) measurements (1901–2002) were performed for 23 sites (14 conifer and 9 broadleaf) around the European continent. Increment cores were collected from numerous trees at each site, and the annual radial increments were cross-dated and measured following standard dendrochronological procedures. At least two cores from four or more dominant trees per site were selected for subsequent isotope analyses. Sample preparation and measurement involved carefully segmenting the cores along annual ring boundaries, and for the majority of sites all rings of a given year were pooled together. Homogenization (milling) and alpha-cellulose preparation followed consistent and standard protocols, with $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ analysed by mass spectrometry on CO_2 obtained from combustion of the alpha-cellulose. Changes in intercellular CO_2 concentrations (C_i) and the intrinsic water-use efficiency (iWUE) were reconstructed by employing known relationships among plant–gas exchange, potential water loss, and related isotopic discrimination¹⁸, modified to account for post-photosynthetic discrimination in plant tissues. See Supplementary Methods for a more detailed description of the study sites, measurement procedures, formulae used to compute C_i and iWUE, and associated uncertainties.

Climatic and CO_2 controls on water-use efficiency. To isolate the impacts of changes in atmospheric CO_2 concentration (C_a) on iWUE and C_i , it is first necessary to estimate and then remove the influences of climate variation from the isotope records (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3). Assessment of the climatic controls on C_i time series was performed using various climatic parameters (for example, maximum and mean monthly temperatures, monthly precipitation, vapour pressure deficit) from the points in gridded data sets closest to the individual sites based on high-frequency agreement. C_i time series were then adjusted by adding a time-varying CO_2 component that is a linear function of atmospheric CO_2 concentration above a pre-industrial baseline ($C_i + \tau \times (C_a - 280)$). See Supplementary Fig. 5 for an example of these calculations. The use of the control parameter, τ , allowed us determine to what extent the trends in C_i were explained by the unfiltered climatic data, and hence determine the residual long-term component indicative of a physiological response to CO_2 only (Supplementary Figs 6, 7 and 18). A τ value of zero means that the plants have maintained a constant C_i (after removal of climatic effects,

denoted ${}^{\infty}C_i$) and a τ value of unity means that, for every ppmv increase in C_a , the ${}^{\infty}C_i$ increases by the same amount (that is, maintenance of a constant $C_a - C_i$ difference). Thus, τ allows us to assess various hypotheses for the strength of plant physiological responses to CO_2 (see main text). See Supplementary Methods for a more detailed description of these methods.

Dynamic global vegetation model simulations. Factorial simulations with an ensemble of DGVMs incorporating or excluding contemporary climatic and CO_2 forcing data were performed to quantify water-use efficiency and transpiration responses to CO_2 and climate variation for the primary PFTs relevant to the European forested region. Model analysis is an offshoot of the TRENDY Intermodel Comparison (‘Trends in net land–atmosphere carbon exchange over the period 1990–2009’) that was launched to provide bottom-up estimates of carbon cycle processes for the regional synthesis of the Regional Carbon Cycle Assessment and Processes (RECCAP). Six modelling teams participated (Supplementary Table 3) in our study by following a strict modelling protocol that outlined simulations for three factorial experiments (denoted S1, S2, S3) using observed climate, CO_2 , and land-use and land-cover change over the period 1901–2009 to drive the DGVMs. Our analysis uses model data from the ‘S2’ storyline that includes time-varying atmospheric CO_2 concentrations and climate- and time-invariant land use for 2005. We refer to this set of simulations as the ‘dynamic climate, dynamic CO_2 ’, as both factors followed their historical courses. A fourth experiment (S4) designed especially to evaluate trends in water-use efficiency (Supplementary Figs 8–11 and 13) for this work was performed to isolate the effects of CO_2 on plant physiology (Supplementary Figs 12 and 14), control simulations using historical climate but time-invariant CO_2 concentrations fixed at pre-industrial levels (287.14 ppm; ‘dynamic climate, fixed CO_2 ’). The standardized difference between the ‘dynamic climate, dynamic CO_2 ’ and ‘dynamic climate, fixed CO_2 ’ simulations (as anomalies relative to the 1901–1910 period) were used to isolate the effect of CO_2 on changes in WUE metrics in the model simulations (for example, as plotted in Fig. 3a). Model differences are assumed to provide an estimate for the CO_2 -only effect. The DGVM simulations were also used to provide assessments for transpiration fluxes for the individual plant functional types over the twentieth century (Supplementary Figs 15–17). See Supplementary Methods for a more detailed description of the individual models, the forcing data sets, and calculation of various WUE metrics from the simulations.