



Breeding heifers by appointment with PGF α 2a and GnRH
by Richard Arnold Kinkie

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE
in Animal Science

Montana State University

© Copyright by Richard Arnold Kinkie (1976)

Abstract:

The purpose of this study was to determine the feasibility of inseminating a group of heifers without estrous detection at a predetermined time after injections of prostaglandin Fga and GnRH. Sixty-eight cycling Hereford heifers were divided randomly into five groups. Groups 1 through 4 were given 2 injections (IM) 11 days apart of 30 mg of PGF α 2a -THAM salt (PGF α 2a) . Groups 1 and 3 were injected with 100 ug IM of GnRH 60 hr after the second PGF α 2a injection.

Groups 1 and 2 were inseminated at 72 and 96 hr after the second PGF injection and groups 3 and 4 were inseminated once at 80 hr. Group 5 was a control, and they were bred at 12 hr after estrus. Forty of the 56 PGF α 2a treated heifers (71%) expressed estrus after the second injection. The average interval from the first and second PGF α 2a injection to estrus was 53 and 51 hr, respectively. Conception at the synchronized breeding in the 72 and 96 hr and 80 hr groups was 43 and 14% (P=.04), respectively, for all heifers bred and 63 and 19% (P=.01), respectively, for those that were observed in estrus. The heifers that were inseminated twice at 72 and 96 hr all conceived to the 72 hr breeding except one which conceived to the 96 hr breeding. The first service conception rates for all heifers bred and those showing estrus were 21 and 32% for the GnRH groups and 36 and 48% for the no GnRH groups, respectively. There were no significant differences in conception rates between the treated heifers bred for 25 days by AI (63%) and the control heifers (66%) bred for 38 days- by AI. The conception rates for the entire breeding season AI and 45 days of clean up were 100, 100, 100, 93, 100% for groups 1 through 5, respectively.

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO COPY

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for an advanced degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for extensive copying of this thesis for scholarly purposes may be granted by my major professor, or, in his absence, by the Director of Libraries. It is understood that any copying or publication in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature Richard A. Kishie

Date May 11, 1976

BREEDING HEIFERS BY APPOINTMENT WITH $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ AND GnRH

by

RICHARD ARNOLD KINKIE

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree


of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Animal Science

Approved:


Chairperson, Graduate Committee


Head, Major Department


Graduate Dean

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

June, 1976

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to Dr. P. J. Burfening for his advice, assistance and guidance throughout my graduate program. Also, appreciation is extended to Drs. D. D. Kress and E. L. Moody for their advice and suggestions in preparing this manuscript. Further appreciation is extended to Mr. R. L. Friedrich for his assistance with the computer programming.

A special word of appreciation is due to Mr. D. C. Anderson and the staff of the Northern Montana Agricultural Research Center, Havre, Montana for assisting me in collecting the data.

I would also like to thank the Northern Montana Agricultural Research Center for the use of their animals and facilities.

Furthermore, my sincere gratitude is expressed to Mrs. Frankie Larson for typing this manuscript and for guidance throughout my college career.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
VITA	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	iii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES.	vi
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES.	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
General	3
Progesterone.	5
Oral Progesterone	9
Progestogen Implants	17
Prostaglandin F _{2α}	25
MATERIALS AND METHODS.	43
Results	47
Estrus	47
Fertility	50
Subsequent Production	52
DISCUSSION	54
CONCLUSIONS.	59
SUMMARY.	60
APPENDIX	63
LITERATURE CITED	69

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		Page
1	COMPARISON OF ESTROUS SYNCHRONIZATION METHODS	40
2	DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT.	43
3	ESTROUS RESPONSE AFTER PGF ₂ α INJECTIONS	48
4	HOURS FROM PGF ₂ α TREATMENT TO ESTRUS.	48
5	THE EFFECT OF PGF ₂ α ON ESTRUS AND FERTILITY IN BEEF HEIFERS	51
6	THE EFFECT OF GnRH AND INSEMINATION TIME ON FERTILITY IN PGF ₂ α TREATED HEIFERS.	51
7	MEANS AND VARIANCE OF CALF PERFORMANCE WITHIN TREATMENT GROUPS AND SIRE GROUPS.	53

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Possible mechanisms of prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ in luteolysis . .	29
2	Percent of the total heifers exhibiting estrus at a given time after $PGF_{2\alpha}$ injection	49

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

TABLE		Page
8	INTERVALS FROM PREVIOUS ESTRUS TO TREATMENT FOR TREATMENT GROUP ONE	64
9	INTERVALS FROM PREVIOUS ESTRUS TO TREATMENT FOR TREATMENT GROUP TWO	65
10	INTERVALS FROM PREVIOUS ESTRUS TO TREATMENT FOR TREATMENT GROUP THREE	66
11	INTERVALS FROM PREVIOUS ESTRUS TO TREATMENT FOR TREATMENT GROUP FOUR.	67
12	LEAST SQUARES ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR CALF TRAITS.	68
13	LEAST SQUARES ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR CALF TRAITS WITH BIRTH DATE AS A COVARIATE	68

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to determine the feasibility of inseminating a group of heifers without estrous detection at a pre-determined time after injections of prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ and GnRH. Sixty-eight cycling Hereford heifers were divided randomly into five groups. Groups 1 through 4 were given 2 injections (IM) 11 days apart of 30 mg of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ -THAM salt ($PGF_{2\alpha}$). Groups 1 and 3 were injected with 100 ug IM of GnRH 60 hr after the second $PGF_{2\alpha}$ injection. Groups 1 and 2 were inseminated at 72 and 96 hr after the second PGF injection and groups 3 and 4 were inseminated once at 80 hr. Group 5 was a control, and they were bred at 12 hr after estrus. Forty of the 56 $PGF_{2\alpha}$ treated heifers (71%) expressed estrus after the second injection. The average interval from the first and second $PGF_{2\alpha}$ injection to estrus was 53 and 51 hr, respectively. Conception at the synchronized breeding in the 72 and 96 hr and 80 hr groups was 43 and 14% ($P=.04$), respectively, for all heifers bred and 63 and 19% ($P=.01$), respectively, for those that were observed in estrus. The heifers that were inseminated twice at 72 and 96 hr all conceived to the 72 hr breeding except one which conceived to the 96 hr breeding. The first service conception rates for all heifers bred and those showing estrus were 21 and 32% for the GnRH groups and 36 and 48% for the no GnRH groups, respectively. There were no significant differences in conception rates between the treated heifers bred for 25 days by AI (63%) and the control heifers (66%) bred for 38 days by AI. The conception rates for the entire breeding season AI and 45 days of clean up were 100, 100, 100, 93, 100% for groups 1 through 5, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Under modern management of beef cattle operations, labor conservation is a very important factor. In order to utilize the advantages of artificial insemination within a herd, previous systems have required many man-hours of observation for signs of estrus. In addition to this labor, long breeding periods have resulted in additional labor requirements for extended calving periods. If estrus could be controlled so that a large number of animals could be bred at one time without estrous detection, a considerable amount of labor could be saved.

Various investigators have recently reported that estrous cycles in cattle could be controlled with prostaglandins (Lauderdale et al., 1973; Stellflug et al., 1973; Lauderdale, 1972; Liehr et al., 1972; Louis et al., 1972a; Louis et al., 1972b; Rowson et al., 1972; McCracken et al., 1970). It has been shown that fertility of cattle synchronization with prostaglandins is comparable to that of cattle which are inseminated without estrous control (Lauderdale et al., 1974).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate different systems which might enable an operator to artificially inseminate a large group of cattle after estrous synchronization without estrous detection. An evaluation was made of inseminating at different predetermined times after estrous synchronization with prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ ($PGF_{2\alpha}$). The effects of using GnRH after $PGF_{2\alpha}$ treatment for better control of ovulation time were also studied. These various effects

were evaluated by determining first service conception rates in the heifers and by looking at their subsequent calf's performance up to weaning time.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

General

The reproductive or estrual cycle is regulated by hormonal interactions (Niswender et al., 1974). The hypothalamus secretes releasing hormones (GnRH) which act to release the gonadotropic hormones, follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH), from the anterior lobe of the pituitary. FSH is secreted from the pituitary into the blood, where it travels to the ovary to stimulate follicular development. LH (also from the anterior pituitary) travels to the ovary and acts synergistically with FSH to stimulate secretion of estrogen by the follicle. As the follicle grows, its increasing secretion of estrogen triggers the release of a surge of LH from the pituitary. This high level of estrogen from the follicle acts on the central nervous system to bring the cow into behavioral estrus, by which time the estrogen secretion is already falling again (Short, 1972). The LH surge is responsible for final maturation and rupture of the follicle, resulting in the release of the ovum (ovulation). Ovulation occurs from 24 to 30 hours after the onset of the LH peak. After ovulation, the secretion of estrogen and gonadotropins is greatly reduced. At this reduced level, LH is partially responsible for the transformation of the granulosa cells from the ruptured follicle into luteal cells which secrete progesterone. Pituitary LH (Hansel et al., 1973) or possibly LH acting synergistically with prolactin from the anterior pituitary (Niswender et al.,

1974; Short, 1972) causes maintenance and secretion of progesterone by the corpus luteum (ruptured follicle). Progesterone exerts a negative feedback on the hypothalamus and/or adenohypophysis to inhibit the LH surge (Niswender et al., 1974) or to block the positive response of the hypothalamus to estrogen and so inhibit the LH surge (Short, 1972).

The secretion of progesterone increases as the corpus luteum (CL) grows, until it is at a maximum size on approximately day 12 of the cycle. It remains fairly constant until day 16 (Hansel et al., 1973) at which time, in the nonpregnant animal, the CL regresses rapidly.

The CL life is prolonged in several species which have been hysterectomized (LaVoie et al., 1975; Wiltbank and Casida, 1956; Loeb, 1923). Furthermore, it has been found that the endometrium controls CL regression (review by Melampy and Anderson, 1968). It has been postulated that the endometrium secretes a substance or unknown luteolytic factor (ULF) which causes luteal regression. The CL essentially stops functioning as measured by progesterone secretion within 12 to 24 hours following the onset of luteal regression. Once this luteal regression has occurred, the animal is ready to repeat the estrous cycle.

At any given time, it is quite likely that most of the animals within a group will be at different stages of the estrous cycle. The goal of estrous synchronization is to put all animals at the same

stage so that artificial insemination can occur at one time.

In early synchronization experiments, exogenous progesterone was given to animals for a period and then was withdrawn so that the LH surges would occur at approximately the same time.

Progesterone

Christian and Casida (1948) first reported that daily injections of progesterone suppressed estrus and prevented ovulation during the entire treatment period. Treatment was started on the fourteenth day of the estrual cycle and consisted of 14 daily injections of progesterone in corn oil. All heifers treated with 50 mg of progesterone per day came in estrus 5 to 6 days after the end of treatment.

It was later shown (Ulberg and Lindley, 1960; Ulberg et al., 1951) that lower daily dosages of 25 or 12.5 mg of progesterone usually prevent estrus and ovulation. However, Ulberg et al. (1951) found that follicles in the 20 to 30 MM range will develop during treatment. Estrus will occur with these follicles ovulating when the injection period is stopped. It was shown that follicles under the influence of prolonged daily injections of 12.5 mg would regress and be replaced by another follicle at 2 to 3 week intervals. Dosages lower than 12.5 mg had little if any effect. The authors concluded that progesterone inhibited LH from acting on the ovary. Loy et al. (1960) later postulated that progesterone inhibits the release of LH from the pituitary, thereby preventing its action upon the ovary.

Other reports (Woody et al., 1967; Nellor and Cole, 1956; Trimberger and Hansel, 1955) have shown that progesterone injections are effective in suppressing estrus and ovulation when they are given regardless of the stage of the estrous cycle when begun.

Willetts (1950) injected 50 to 100 mg of progesterone into heifers daily for 13 to 17 days. After termination of injections, the heifers were observed for estrus. They were artificially inseminated once when observed in estrus and again 24 hours later. Eleven pregnancies resulted from the 22 breedings. Other research did not show the high first-service conception rate that Willett reported. Trimberger and Hansel (1955) reported settling 3 of 24 (12.5%) of the cows from natural service after dosages of 50, 75 or 100 mg of progesterone for 14 days compared to 64% first-service conception in the control group.

Ulberg and Lindley (1960) used progesterone levels varying from 12.5 mg to 50 mg. Estrus occurred 2.5 to 9.5 days post treatment in 86% (291/333) of the animals treated. They reported that administration of 14 daily injections of progesterone had a depressing effect upon the rate of pregnancy in animals inseminated during estrus subsequent to treatment, with the higher dosages being more detrimental. They also observed that an injection of 0.5 to 1.0 mg of estradiol benzoate 3 days after the last injection of progesterone initiated estrus and caused ovulation, as determined by rectal palpation and

subsequent slaughter, without a further reduction in pregnancy rate from those animals given only progesterone. The estrogen also eliminated much of the variation in time in the onset of estrus, after progesterone administration. They reported that there were no indications that any treatment affected productivity of the cow (calf weaning weight, gestation length, birth weight, or sex ratio of calves). This work suggested that estrogen, when used in sequence with progesterone, facilitated the release of LH to cause ovulation in beef cattle.

Wiltbank et al. (1961) postulated that estrogen causes LH release after finding that varying levels of estradiol valerate, estrone or a natural estrogenic compound caused early regression of the CL in more than 50% of the heifers treated. This supported earlier work done by Greenstein et al. (1958). However, estrus and ovulation were not consistent following this early regression. Later, Wiltbank et al. (1965) conducted trials using injections of progesterone alone or in combination with an estrogen compound. Two hundred-twenty cycling heifers were synchronized with 24 daily injections of 20 mg of progesterone alone or in combination with 10, 20 or 40 ug of estradiol or 40 mg of progesterone with 20, 40 or 80 ug of estradiol. Two other groups received the progesterone plus three injections of estradiol on the 23rd, 24th and 25th days. Synchronization varied from 70 to 100% of the heifers exhibiting estrus in a 4-day period. However, fertility was significantly lower in most of the heifers

receiving treatment as compared to the control groups. Wiltbank et al. (1965) concluded that the injection of estrogen with 40 mg of progesterone increased synchronization, but it did not with the lower 20 mg dose of progesterone. However, this may have been due to the time of estrogen injection.

Under range livestock management systems, it is not practical to inject heifers daily for the duration of the treatment period. Nellor and Cole (1956) found that a single injection of 540 to 1120 mg of crystalline progesterone in starch emulsion was capable of preventing estrus and ovulation in 179 treated heifers regardless of the stage of estrus when treatment was given. Estrus occurred 15 to 19 days after the progesterone injection in 89% of the heifers receiving 540 to 560 mg of progesterone and 15 to 23 days after the injection in heifers given 700 to 1120 mg of progesterone. Ovulation occurred in 95% of the heifers following this estrus. This treatment is of questionable practicality because of the wide range of time (8 days) that the treated heifers in a group would come into estrus.

Avery et al. (1961) used different treatments on three groups of cows. One group received daily injections of 50 mg of progesterone. Another group received injections of 150 mg of progesterone every third day and the third group had their corpus lutea expressed or removed manually via rectal palpation. There was no significant difference in the first service conception rate of any of the three

groups after breeding. Thirty-four percent of the 59 treated cows conceived to first service as compared to 32% of the 53 in the control group. It should be noted that corpus luteum expression is not recommended because of possible excessive hemorrhage in the animal.

Oral Progestogens

Significant progress was made in practical estrus synchronization in 1960 when it was found that estrus could be inhibited by adding a synthetic orally active progestogen to the cattle feed. Nellor et al. (1960) fed 6α -methyl- 17α -acetoxypregesterone (medroxyprogesterone acetate or MAP) to 77 heifers at various stages of the estrous cycle. Heifers were treated individually or in groups to receive 0.44 to 1.76 mg of MAP per kg of body weight daily for 15 to 20 days. Estrus was inhibited at all levels of treatment, regardless of the stage of the cycle when treatment was started. Ovulation without behavioral estrus occurred at the 0.44 mg level and levels above 0.88 mg resulted in complete inhibition of follicular growth during treatment. Estrus occurred 4 to 5 days after the end of treatment with 0.88 mg and the period from end of treatment to the start of estrus increased as dosage increased.

Nelms and Combs (1961) fed 60 heifers at a level of 250 mg per day for 14 days. Each heifer was inseminated on the third, fourth and fifth days following removal of MAP from the feed. They were

inseminated without observing estrus, with a 40% conception rate. This was not significantly different from the 60% first service conception rate for the controls that were inseminated over 21 days.

Hansel and Malven (1960) also inseminated without regard to estrus after feeding MAP. They fed 968 mg per animal per day for 10 days, then fed an additional 10 days at a rate of 500 mg per head per day. No animals came in estrus during the 20 day feeding period. Sixteen of 32 heifers came in estrus on the third and fourth days following treatment termination. All of the animals were bred by AI regardless of estrus and half of them were injected with 0.5 mg of estradiol at the time of breeding. Thirteen of the 16 heifers not showing estrus ovulated. Only 22 animals were pregnancy tested after insemination and 8 were found pregnant (5 of 9 showing estrus and 3 of 13 not showing estrus conceived). The conception rate was equal in the estradiol treated group and in the group receiving only MAP.

Hansel et al. (1961) also used estradiol injections at the time of insemination on half of 32 cows that were fed MAP for 20 days. Sixteen of the cows came in heat and an additional 13 ovulated without estrus. All cows were inseminated 3 to 4 days after treatment and 25% conceived the first service. Again, the estradiol did not improve conception.

Fahning et al. (1966) reported 18 of 20 heifers fed 0.88 mg per kg body weight per day for at least 11 days showed estrus 2 to 4 days

following last feeding. They were inseminated starting at estrus, once every 12 hours until ovulation. The control group were inseminated three times at 12 hour intervals, starting at estrus. First service conception was significantly lower in the MAP treated heifers (26.3%) compared to the control group (81%).

Dhindsa et al. (1967) reported a 33% first service conception rate in 119 cows fed 180 mg MAP for 18 days. This was not significantly different from the 37% first service conception among 60 control cows. Eighty-seven percent of the treated cows exhibited estrus between 18 and 78 hr after treatment ended. Dhindsa et al. (1967) also inseminated three groups of heifers a different number of times after MAP treatment. One group was inseminated once at 12 hr after estrus, another group was inseminated at 48 hr and again at 72 hr and a third group was inseminated three times, at 48, 60 and 72 hr. The conception rates were 25, 38 and 21%, respectively, and were not significantly different. No explanation was given for the overall low conception rates.

Zimbelman (1963) studied different dose levels of MAP, 93% of the heifers that exhibited estrus of the 170 on test were in estrus on the second, third and fourth days after last feeding. The average conception rate of all treated animals bred within 7 days after last feeding was 51%, but there was great variation in conception from trial to trial. The conception rates of treated animals at second

service and of untreated animals were 76 and 74%, respectively. No apparent effect of MAP treatment was noted on the average gestation length or birth weight of the calf.

The high cost and the varied results of MAP caused the search for more potent drugs which could be effective when used in smaller quantities than MAP. Researchers found that halogenating certain adrenocortical steroids produced a number of halogenated progestins (Van Blake et al. 1963).

One of the halogenated progestins is 6-chloro Δ^4 -17 acetoxyprogesterone (CAP). Van Blake et al. (1962) first reported that CAP fed at levels of from .055 mg to .66 mg per kg of body weight per day for 15 or 20 days suppressed estrus during the feeding period. They found that estrus occurred 4 to 7 days after feeding CAP for 15 days at the .055 mg and .11 mg levels. Seven of eight animals conceived to first service insemination. Van Blake et al. (1963) later confirmed their earlier results with a test using 20 untreated heifers and 69 heifers treated with varying levels of CAP. They found the hormone extremely potent in inhibiting estrus and ovulation. Heifers fed .044 mg per kg of body weight were synchronized in a period of 4 to 6 days after hormone removal. First service conception rates in the 57 treated heifers was 61% compared to 65% in the 20 controls. Subsequent cycles were of normal length and fertility.

Wagner et al. (1963) also tested dosage levels on different groups of heifers. They used dosages without regard to heifer weight and found that 5.0 mg of CAP per day did not inhibit cyclic activity in 60% of the heifers treated. However, 10 mg and 25 mg levels did inhibit estrus. The lower the CAP dosage was, the shorter the average interval was between the end of treatment and the onset of estrus. However, 90 to 100% of the heifers were synchronized within a 4 day period, and the first service conception rates were 42 and 50%. There was no control group in this study. They also gave supplemental exogenous estradiol (0.5 mg) two days after the last CAP feeding to one group of 16 heifers. These heifers exhibited estrus within a 24 hr period on the third day after CAP withdrawal. First service conception on these heifers was 50% which was not significantly different from the 42% first service conception rate in the other 40 heifers given only CAP.

As with progesterone injections, CAP treatments began showing lowered fertility in later tests. Wagner et al. (1968) reported lower conception rates in 187 CAP treated heifers compared to controls (33 vs. 55%, respectively). Hansel et al. (1966) used MAP or CAP treatments on 832 cows and found that fertility of MAP treated cows approximated that obtained in the normal controls. However, CAP fed cows had significantly lowered fertility. Hansel et al. (1966) went on to report that fertility with natural or artificial

breeding did not differ significantly and that fertility of MAP and CAP treated cows was uniformly high at the second post synchronization estrus.

Melengestrol acetate (MGA), another synthetic progestogen, was orally 300 to 900 times as potent as MAP and was found to be effective in inhibiting estrus and ovulation in heifers (Zimbelman and Smith, 1966). Dose levels over 0.4 mg per heifer per day were successful in suppressing estrus (Young et al., 1967; Zimbelman and Smith, 1966). Zimbelman and Smith (1966) fed different levels of MGA to different groups of heifers for 15 to 18 days. They reported first service conception rates in the various groups ranging from 25 to 88%, with an overall average of 42% on 72 heifers. There was no control group in this study. As with the other progestogens, they found that the average interval from the last feeding of MGA to estrus increased as the dose level increased.

Roussel et al. (1969) found that after feeding 1.0 mg of MGA per day for 14 days to 15 heifers, the first service conception rate (47%) was not significantly different from that of 15 untreated controls (40%). Roussel and Beatty (1969) treated 30 dairy cows for 14 days on the same 1.0 mg level. The occurrence of estrus after MGA withdrawal was 93%. However, the first service conception rate was only 26%. First service conception for the controls was not given. They reported that the total conception rate after the second estrus

was 60 and 53% for the treated and control cows, respectively. This indicated that MGA had no detrimental effect on the second service conception. The mean interval from first to second estrus after MGA was 21.9 days, and there was no significant difference in calving the MGA treated cows (no multiple births or prenatal losses; calving difficulty and retained placenta not significant).

Chakraborty et al. (1971) settled only one of twelve heifers treated with MGA on the first service. They fed 1 mg of MGA for 14 days irrespective of the stage of estrus when treatment was started. Synchronized estrus followed MGA termination from 3 to 6 days. This low conception rate was compared to 7 of 12 control heifers settling first service.

Hill et al. (1971) also reported significantly lowered fertility in heifers treated with MGA. They also found that the time of the estrous cycle when feeding MGA started influenced synchronization and fertility. When treatment started on day 4, 20% of the heifers failed to exhibit estrus and when started on day 15 of the cycle, 47% of the treated heifers failed to show estrus. Laparotomy following insemination yielded 9 cleaved ova from 14 heifers starting treatment on day 4 and 5 cleaved ova from 12 heifers starting treatment on day 15. These compared to 8 cleaved ova from 9 heifers in the control group.

Smith and Zimbelman (1968) gave injections of 2, 5 or 10 mg of

estradiol cypionate to 63 heifers during the last feeding or on day 1 or 2 after the last feeding of MGA. Thirty-five heifers served as controls and were given only MGA. Estradiol cypionate increased overall incidence of estrus (93 vs. 76%), but it had a detrimental effect on first service conception (20% in estradiol cypionate treatment vs. 32% in those given MGA alone).

Another progestogen, 16-alpha-17-dihydroxyprogesterone acetophenimide (DHPA) was tested in the late 1960's. As with the other progestogens previously described, varying fertility resulted.

Wiltbank et al. (1967) successfully synchronized 96% of a group of heifers in a 48 hr period after feeding 500 mg of DHPA daily for 20 days. The first service conception rate for this group was 26% as compared to 54% in the control group ($P < .05$). When 400 mg DHPA was fed for 9 days with 5 mg of estradiol valerate injected on the second day, 84% of the treated heifers were in estrus during a 96 hr period. First service conception rates in heifers treated were not significantly affected.

Wiltbank and Kasson (1968) furthered their study with 400 mg DHPA daily for 9 days and 5 mg of estradiol valerate on the second day of feeding. Estrus was synchronized in 95% of the 66 treated heifers with 54% conceiving. Fifty-two percent of the 33 control heifers conceived. They went on to report that the same treatment in lactating cows significantly reduced conception at the synchronized

estrus.

Progestogen Implants

Although feeding progestational compounds aided estrous synchronization from a practical standpoint, workers felt that the amount of progestational agent given to individual animals needed to be gauged more accurately. Two major routes have been used to administer drugs in more accurate amounts.

Sponges or pessaries which have been saturated with a measured amount of drug may be placed in the vagina of the cow, where the drug will be slowly absorbed. The sponges may be manually removed at any time for termination of drug administration. This method is used effectively quite often for estrous synchronization in ewes.

Flurogestone acetate (SC9880 or Cronolone), a progestogen that is often used in sheep, has been used in bovine pessaries. Carrick and Shelton (1966) first showed that Cronolone blocked estrus and ovulation for the 18 to 20 days during which pessaries were intact in a majority of the animals treated; however, fertility was low.

Shimizu et al. (1967) reported estrus in cows occurring within two days of withdrawal of 18 day pessaries saturated with 100 or 200 mg of Cronolone. They reported no significant difference in first service conception between control cows (20/29) and those treated with either level of Cronolone (6/12). They also reported no significant difference between treatments started on the fifth, tenth or fifteen day of the

estruual cycle.

Wishart and Hoskin (1968) reported lowered first service fertility in 55 heifers showing estrus of 66 retaining pessaries from 81 treated with 200 mg Cronolone pessaries for 21 days (43.7% compared to 61.9% in the controls). Sreenan (1975) and Sreenan and Mulvihill (1975) verified these low fertility results after using Cronolone pessaries on heifers for 20 days. However, when treatment was reduced to 10 days and progesterone plus estradiol valerate was given intramuscularly at the start of treatment, conception was increased to and above the normal rate.

Ayalon and Marcus (1975) reported the use of MAP in vaginal pessaries. They put pessaries with 250 mg MAP in 12 cows and heifers for 14 days. First service conception was 60%.

The major drawback in the use of vaginal pessaries is that problems arise in their retention. There is a difference in the retention of different sizes and shapes of sponges (Carrick and Shelton, 1966) and it has been found that retention is higher in cows treated for shorter periods of time (10 vs. 20 days) (Ayalon and Marcus, 1975; Wishart and Hoskin, 1968; Sreenan, 1957).

The other major means of administering progestogens in accurate amounts is through the use of subcutaneous implants. The implants are made of a material that will absorb and release the drug, but which will not be absorbed themselves once inside the animals.

Implants have been placed in the flank and brisket areas of animals.

Recently, most implants have been placed in the ear.

Dziuk et al. (1966) used silicone implants with MGA in cows to test for effectiveness of inhibition of estrus. They implanted 70 cows and after five days introduced five fertile bulls to the herd. Implants were removed at various intervals up to 64 days from implantation. Estrus was observed in 45 cows (64%) between 36 and 72 hr after implant removal. Three cows conceived while implanted.

Curl et al. (1968) showed that subcutaneous implants of another progestogen (norethandrolone, SC-5914, or NE) controlled estrus and ovulation in cattle. Thirty-two cows were divided into six treatment groups. The different groups were treated with varying levels of NE impregnated polyhydroxy polymer implants. Ten cows either lost their implants or exhibited estrus during treatment. Of the 22 treated cows which did not lose their implants and/or exhibit estrus during treatment, 18 (81.8%) exhibited estrus within 48 hr after the 16 day implant removal. Of the 22 treated cows which were inseminated, 15 (68.2%) conceived at first breeding. Treatment levels of 153.7 and 168.0 mg NE appeared to be most effective for synchronization as compared to either higher or lower dosage levels.

Woody and Pierce (1974) implanted heifers subcutaneously behind the shoulder with norethandrolone and injected with estradiol valerate starting on various days of the cycle. They found that heifers

implanted prior to 10 days postestrus had longer ($P < .01$) intervals to estrus after implant removal than those implanted after 10 days postestrus. They also found in another trial that there were more heifers in estrus when implanted on day 14 than those implanted on day 2, and more were in estrus after a 16 day treatment than after a nine day treatment. Conception at first service was 50 to 83% in all 9-day treatment groups (averaging 73%) and was 83% in the 16-day treatment group in which implant insertion was 2 days after estrus. However, when implants were inserted 14 days after estrus and removed 16 days later, no heifers conceived to first service. No statistical analysis was reported for these data.

Liang and Fosgate (1970) showed that hydron plastic implants with 300 mg of 17-alpha-ethyl-19 nortestosterone (Nilevar) successfully inhibited estrus and ovulation in cows implanted subcutaneously for 17 days.

Wiltbank et al. (1971) used Nilevar implants on heifers for either 16 days or for 9 days. Estradiol valerate was injected on the day of implanting. Eighty-seven percent of 15 heifers implanted for 16 days exhibited estrus in 96 hr as compared to 93% of the 42 heifers implanted for nine days. First service conception was 38 and 61%, respectively, for those implanted for 16 and 9 days. This compares to 65% first service conception in the untreated controls. In a second

group of heifers implanted for 9 days, 50% were pregnant at first service as compared to 69% in the controls ($P > .05$).

Roche (1974a) also found a difference in conception rates between heifers implanted for different lengths of time. In heifers given progesterone implants and estradiol benzoate for either 9 or 12 days, there was no significant difference in conception, but conception in these groups was significantly higher than in those treated for 18 or 21 days. Estrous response was low in animals implanted on days 3 and 17, but high between 5 and 15. In another test, Roche (1974b) found that reducing progesterone administration from 20 to 10 days and giving 5 mg of estradiol benzoate on the day of implantation resulted in increased first service conception from 57% in 15 heifers treated 20 days to 82% in 15 treated for 10 days but also resulted in reduced estrous response (93% in 20 day treatment vs. 73% in 10 day treatment). Injection of 400 ug of estradiol benzoate 16 hr after implant removal on a 10 day treatment did not increase estrous response and lowered first service conception (40% in 23 treated vs. 80% in 18 controls).

Ear implants of 19 alpha-acetoxy 11 beta-methyl 19 norpreg 4ene 3, 2 dione (SC21009, Syncro-mate B or Norgestamet) have also been shown effective in synchronizing estrus in cycling heifers. Burrell et al. (1972) reported 93 to 98% of heifers treated for 9 days with 5 mg SC21009 implants plus 5 mg estradiol valerate showing estrus

within 4 days of implant removal. The number of heifers treated and percent conceiving for the control and treated groups, respectively, were 77 (65%) and 79 (55%). There was no significant difference in conception between treated groups and control groups. Wishart and Young (1974) also reported good synchronization in heifers given 9 day SC21009 implants along with 3 mg of SC21009 and 5 mg of estradiol valerate at the time of implantation. They inseminated at 48 and 60 hr after implant removal and reported fertility comparable to controls. Twenty cleaved ova were obtained from the 25 treated heifers as compared to 21 cleaved ova from the 25 control heifers.

Burrell et al. (1972) observed that when heifers were implanted for 16 days or implanted for 9 days and given 7.5 mg of estradiol valerate, first service conception was significantly lower than controls. The number of heifers and conception rate in the 16 day treatment group compared to the control group was 76 (32%) and 77 (65%) ($P < .05$). The group of 55 heifers treated with 7.5 mg estradiol valerate and a 9 day implant had a conception rate of 40%. This is compared to 55 control heifers with 64% first service conception. Whitman et al. (1972) found that when cows were implanted for 9 days and estradiol valerate levels were increased from 5 mg to 7.5 mg, synchronization increased but fertility decreased. They treated five groups of cows with 5 mg SC21009 implants. The groups received different levels of estradiol valerate at the time of implantation. Of the cows in the

groups receiving 5 mg estradiol valerate 79 and 74%, respectively, exhibited estrus within 4 days of implant removal. With 6 mg estradiol valerate, 75% of the cows exhibited estrus within 4 days, 84% with 6.5 mg and 92% and 100% with 7.5 mg. The conception rate at first service for those cows bred at synchronized estrus in treated groups compared to cows bred for 21 days in control groups were 39% vs. 47% and 74% vs. 63% at 5 mg of estradiol valerate, 56% vs. 66% at 6.5 mg of estradiol valerate and 45% vs. 53% and 43% vs. 66% at 7.5 mg of estradiol valerate. No conception data was given on the 6 mg estradiol valerate level group.

Woody and Abenes (1975) also concluded that the 9 day treatment was adequate to synchronize estrus in heifers and went on to report that estradiol valerate aided in causing early luteal regression. This supported work done by Shelton and Casida (1970) and Kaltenbach et al. (1964), who showed that low levels of estradiol may be luteolytic when administered late in the cycle.

Woody and Abenes (1975) found that fertility of heifers implanted for 16 days was lower when implanted on day 14 of the cycle as compared to those implanted 2 days postestrus. This may be explained by the observation that progesterone can induce luteal regression in heifers when injected early in the cycle, with its influence apparently decreasing with an increased interval postestrus (Woody and Ginther, 1968).

Ear implants of SC21009, as with other injected or fed progestogens (Wiltbank and Kasson, 1968), do not seem to be as effective in cows as they are in heifers. Whitman et al. (1972) showed that poor synchronization resulted in cows suckling calves which were given implants plus 5 mg of estradiol.

Ear implants seem to be a very effective way of giving progestogens. There is only one drawback that may or may not cause a problem. Woody and Abenes (1975) postulated that implants may not work completely when they are used during extremely cold weather. There may be less progestogen absorbed into the blood due to a reduced blood supply in the capillary beds of the ear. During cold weather, blood is shunted by some capillary beds to allow greater blood flow through the larger vessels in the ear.

It has been known for many years that the corpus luteum plays an important role in controlling the length of the estrous cycle and the time of ovulation because of its major secretory product, progesterone, which inhibits the ovulatory surge of LH. All of the synchronization experiments previously discussed have dealt with the administration of exogenous progesterone compounds which act to suppress the LH surge until the corpora lutea have regressed in each animal within a group.

Prostaglandin F_{2α}

An alternate approach to synchronization is to remove or regress the corpora lutea simultaneously in a group of cattle so that the natural progesterone is stopped and the LH surge can occur without altering follicular growth. Probably the first technique using this theory was the manual removal of the corpora lutea. However, this was found to be time consuming and somewhat dangerous, so it found very limited use (Inskeep, 1973). Various methods of chemical regression of the corpus luteum have been found. Injections of oxytocin from days 2 to 6 of the estrous cycle, injections of estrogen, the presence of foreign bodies in the uterus, irrigation of the uterus with iodine solutions or administration of LH anti-bodies all cause premature luteolysis in cattle (Roche, 1974b). Few of these methods have been incorporated into synchronization systems.

The use of estrogen for regression of the corpus luteum (Shelton and Casida, 1970; Wiltbank et al., 1961; Greenstein et al., 1958) has been used effectively along with progestens so that treatment time can be reduced to as low as 9 days (Woody and Abenes, 1975). Oxytocin is luteolytic in some species at specific times during the estrous cycle (Review by Melampy and Anderson, 1968) and has been used to a limited extent in combination with progestogens for estrous synchronization (Hansel et al., 1961). However, it did not prove to be beneficial.

In the 1930's, a group of biologically-active lipids made up of linolenic, arachidonic and pentaenoic acids were found (Speroff and Ramwell, 1970). These compounds were first found in seminal fluid and were thought to originate in the prostate; therefore, they were named prostaglandins. In later research, prostaglandins were detected in or found to be released from most mammalian body tissue (Lauderdale, 1974).

Loeb (1923) observed in the guinea pig that complete or almost complete hysterectomy is followed by luteal maintenance for a period of 60 to 80 days. He also noted uterine removal in young animals did not interfere with subsequent maturation of follicles or ovulation.

In 1956, Wiltbank and Casida reported that hysterectomy prolonged the life span of the corpus luteum in cows and ewes. Since that time, it has been demonstrated clearly that the uterus plays a role in the regression of the corpus luteum (Reviews by Caldwell et al., 1969; Melampy and Anderson, 1968).

It was first suggested by Babcock (1966) that a prostaglandin might be the agent from the uterus which has a luteolytic effect. Subsequent work showed that prostaglandin ($\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$) injections induced luteolysis in a variety of species, including cattle (Lauderdale et al., 1973; Stellflug et al., 1973; Lauderdale, 1972; Liehr et al., 1972; Louis et al., 1972a; Louis et al., 1972b; Rowson et al., 1972;

McCracken et al., 1970). The mode of action by which $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ induces luteolysis is not known, however, Pharris et al. (1972) postulates five possible mechanisms by which prostaglandin may work. The possible areas where $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ could be exerting its primary luteolytic effect are depicted in Figure 1 from Pharris et al. (1972).


Since the pituitary gland is important in maintenance of luteal activity, it was first thought to be the target for $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$. The theory was that $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ either totally blocked the pituitary or had the ability to inhibit the luteotropin (Figure 1, #1). Luteotropins vary in different animal species. For example, LH is necessary in the rabbit, prolactin in the rat and prolactin and FSH in the hamster. $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ is not effective for luteolysis until corpora lutea have reached a certain stage of maturity. $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ given prior to day 4 of pregnancy in the rat is not luteolytic. However, after day 6, it is 100% luteolytic. It was found that ergocorine, which is luteolytic in the rat by blocking prolactin release from the pituitary, is more effective prior to luteal maturity.

It has also been shown through hormone assay that LH levels are unaffected by $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ treatment (Review by Pharris et al. 1972). Also, ovarian arterial infusions of $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ induce luteolysis at doses which are ineffective when given systemically. Thus it is suggested that the hypothalamus and pituitary are not directly involved in $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ -induced luteolysis.

Another mechanism by which $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ could induce luteolysis is by way of the uterus (Figure 1, #3). Prostaglandin is a potent smooth-muscle stimulator and could cause the uterus to contract and release endogenous uterine luteolysin, much as does oxytocin in the bovine. However, treatment of hysterectomized animals with $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ still causes luteal regression (LaVoie et al., 1975).

A third possible method of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ action would be a direct toxic effect on the corpus luteum itself (Figure 1, #4). Reports indicate that $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ inhibits secretion of progesterone by luteal tissues in vitro (Henderson and McNatty, 1975; O'Grady, 1972). This suggests that $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ is capable of exerting a direct biochemical effect on the luteal cell to directly inhibit progesterone synthesis. It has been postulated that $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ is not effective in bovine CL regression up to day 5 (Rowson et al., 1972) because the pre-ovulatory LH surge saturates the regulatory units of the luteal cells and that it is this bound hormone that protects the young CL (Henderson and McNatty, 1975).

Another possibility is that $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ exerts an antigonadotropic effect (Figure 1, #2). Even though the pituitary had been eliminated as a site of action, the interaction could take place in the circulation or at the receptor site on the corpus luteum. It has been found that $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ does inhibit FSH-like and LH-like activity of pregnant mares' serum (PMS) and human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) respectively. Studies have indicated that $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ may cause a decreased hormone binding



- 1 = direct feedback on pituitary gland
 - 2 = antigonadotropic effect
 - 3 = stimulation of uterus to produce luteolysin
 - 4 = direct toxicity of corpus luteum
 - 5 = constriction of utero-ovarian vein.
- From Behrman et al., Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 180, 437 (197). In. Pharris et al., (1972).

Figure 1. Possible mechanisms of prostaglandin $F_2\alpha$ in luteolysis.

capacity at the CL binding sites (Hichens et al., 1974). It is suggested by this antagonism to luteotropins that this is a possible mechanism whereby $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ exerts its luteolytic effect.

The final possible mechanism is the alteration of ovarian blood flow (Figure 1, #5). Studies have been reported which suggest that ovarian perfusion is reduced after $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment. In rats, after a single dose of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$, there is an immediate drop in blood flow of 50 to 60% of the control levels and lasting about 25 minutes (Review by Pharris, 1970).

The mechanism whereby prostaglandins are luteolytic is still unknown, but three hypothesis are supportable. These are gonadotrophin antagonism, alteration of ovarian blood flow or a direct toxic effect on the CL.

It has been found that $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ is not effective in regression the bovine corpus luteum during the first 4 days of the estrous cycle (Rowson et al., 1972). However, it is effective from days 5 to 16 of the cycle (Lauderdale, 1972).

When $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ is given from day 5 to 16, there is an immediate drop in blood progesterone levels to about 50% within 12 hr (Hafs et al., 1974). Significant elevations of plasma-estrone and estradiol-17B occur during the first 24 hr after treatment. Luteinizing hormone peaks at about 70 hr and estrus begins at approximately 72 hr with ovulation around 95 hr (Hafs et al., 1974).

The commonly used dosage is 30 to 33.5 mg of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ THAM salt

intramuscular. However, it has been shown that single dosages of 60 mg, 30 mg or double dosages of 15 mg at 6 hr intervals do not significantly affect the time of ovulation after drug administration (Stellflug et al., 1975; Stellflug et al., 1973).

In early experimental work, prostaglandins were usually given by intra-uterine infusions. A lower dosage (usually 5 mg) was required for this method as compared to intramuscular or subcutaneous injection. It was found that intra-uterine infusion requires some degree of skill to pass the cervix and is sometimes impossible in heifers and may result in more variable response. The treatment is time consuming and involves risk of uterine infection (Hearnshaw et al., 1974; Henricks et al., 1974). Therefore, it was suggested that the best means of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ administration was through either subcutaneous or intramuscular injection.

Lauderdale et al. (1974) were probably the first to use prostaglandins for estrus synchronization. In their experiment, cattle were divided into three treatment groups at four locations. Treatment one was the control group, where the animals were observed for estrus and inseminated during an 18 to 25 day interval. The second group was injected with 30 mg of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ THAM salt if a CL was detected through palpation or assumed to be present. These cows were then observed for estrus and inseminated during days 1 through 7 after $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$. Cattle in the third group received the same $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment

as group two and were inseminated twice at 72 and 90 hr after $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ without regard to estrus. After insemination, the percent pregnant was based on the number inseminated for treatment one and two and on the number either observed in estrus or having a CL formed and detected by palpation from days 1 to 7 after $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ for treatment three. The percent pregnant and number inseminated for treatment one through three, respectively, were: 53.3% - 122, 52.2% - 69 and 55.8% - 86. It was concluded that there was no significant difference in fertility among the groups.

These successful results prompted other workers to use $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$ for estrus synchronization. Roche (1974c) assigned 33 heifers between days 5 and 20 of their cycle to three groups. One group was the control which was untreated and inseminated at estrus. The number and percent pregnant was 8 and 73%, respectively. The second group received 30 mg of $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$, and animals were inseminated after observed estrus with 6 (75%) conceiving. The third group was treated as was group two, but they received only 20 mg $\text{PGF}_2\alpha$. The number conceiving was 7 (70%). There was no significant difference in fertility between groups. The majority of the heifers were detected in estrus within 4 days of injection. Neither the dosage nor the stage of the cycle when given influenced the estrus response.

Roche (1974c) discussed the impracticality of an estrous synchronization program using a drug that was effective only from days 5 to 17 of the cycle. He suggested administering two doses of prostaglandin ten days apart and inseminating after the second injection. This method should put all of the animals in a group within the effective luteal period for the second injection. The heifers between day 5 and 17 should be in estrus approximately 70 hr after first injection. They should then be at approximately day 7 of the next cycle at the time of the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment, and should again be responsive. Heifers between day 17 and day 21 of their estrous cycle should have normal estrus around the time of the first treatment, and heifers at day 0 through 5 should have just been in estrus before treatment 1 and would be at day 10 to day 14 at the time of the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment.

King and Robertson (1974) first tried this double-injection method on 30 heifers and found that 25 (83%) were in estrus and inseminated 2 to 4 days following the second injection. Ten of the 25 (40%) conceived. This was compared to the control group, where 13 of 15 (87%) were in estrus over a three week period and 7 of the 13 (54%) conceived. Based on the total number of heifers 10/30 (30%) conceived in the treated group and 7/15 (47%) conceived in the control group. There was no significant differences in fertility.

Another system of using $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ for synchronization without a double injection system is to observe estrus and breed animals for four days and then inject the animals that have not been inseminated on the fifth day with $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$. Then estrus observation and insemination could continue for four more days. A similar method was used by Lambert et al. (1975). Cattle were observed for estrus and bred for 4 days, then injected with $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$. They were then observed for estrus and bred up to 72 hr after injection. All of the remaining animals that had not previously been bred were inseminated at 72 hr without regard to estrus. In this study, the total pregnancy rate in the $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treated group approached significance ($P=.04$) as being higher than that of the control group.

Progesterone treatments have also been combined with $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$. Either progesterone injections or SC21009 implants are used from 5 to 7 days before $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ is given. This treatment causes animals that would normally be within the days when $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ is ineffective to be grouped up just before the time of the LH release. Although the $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment may not be effective at this time either, the withdrawal of progesterone allows them to proceed and be in estrus at about the same time as those animals whose corpora lutea are regressed by $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$. Fertility after this type of treatment has been reported to be not significantly different from that of control groups (Heersche et al., 1974; Van Niekerk et al., 1974, Wishart, 1974).

Heersche et al. (1974) placed 6 mg SC21009 implants in 50 heifers and removed them 7 days later. At this time, they injected each heifer with 30 mg $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ and inseminated them between 12 and 18 hr. after estrus was observed. Forty-seven of 50 were in estrus within 84 hr. Thirty of the 47 synchronized heifers exhibiting estrus (63.8%) conceived to first service. This is compared to 13 of 20 (65%) in an untreated control group conceiving first service over a period of 27 days.

Wishart (1974) used a 6 mg SC21009 implant for 5 days followed by 3.0 mg of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ administered transcervically on one group of 20 heifers and 3.0 mg of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ alone on another group of 20. Of those treated with $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ alone, 14 were in estrus over a 5 day period after treatment. Eighteen of the 20 given SC21009 implants plus $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ were in estrus over the same period. Of the 14 heifers inseminated after $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ alone, 7 conceived to first breeding. Twelve of the eighteen inseminated in the other group conceived to first service.

Van Niekerk et al. (1974) injected 11 cows and 5 heifers with 150 mg progesterone on day one, 100 mg of progesterone on day 3 and 500 ug PGF_2 analog (ICI80,996) on day 5 followed 12 hr later by 1000 i.u. PMSG (for a multiple ovulation effect). The animals were inseminated once at the onset of estrus and again 12 hr later. One heifer had a silent heat. Of the remaining 15, 14 came into estrus between 24 and 60 hr after PMSG and one came in after 96 hr.

Eight of the 16 animals conceived to first service. Three of the animals that failed to conceive were later found to be sterile.

A number of synthetic analogues have been developed by slightly altering the chemical structure of prostaglandins (Binder et al., 1974). These analogues are many times more potent than $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ in causing luteolysis. Some of the analogues which have been developed are: ICI 79,939, ICI 80,996 and ICI 81,008 (or "Equimate").

It has been shown that ICI 79,939 is effective for synchronization of estrus when given at a 300 ug level for two days intrauterine (Tervit et al., 1973) or when given at a 750 ug level intramuscularly (Dobson et al., 1975). The drop in progesterone levels and increase in estrogen resulting in estrus and ovulation occurs on a similar schedule as that reported previously for $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ (Dobson et al., 1975).

Cooper (1974) used two 500 ug doses IM of ICI 80,996 10 to 12 days apart on Holstein heifers. Of the 175 treated, he reported 171 in estrus between 48 and 96 hr after the second injection. Ovulation was normal and first service conception was exactly the same in both treated and control groups. He went on to report that the estrous response in sensitive animals following the second injection of ICI 80,996 was somewhat earlier and more closely synchronized than that following the first injection. This has been a consistent observation during experiments with both ICI 80,996 and ICI 79,939.

Hafs et al. (1975) divided 960 heifers and 392 cows into three groups. One was the control inseminated after observed estrus, the second was injected twice with 30 mg $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ THAM salt 10 to 12 days apart and the third was injected twice with .5 mg ICI 80,996 10 to 12 days apart. The treated animals were inseminated once at 80 hr after the second injection or twice at 70 and 88 hr after the second injection. Of the heifers in the experiment, 51% of the 346 controls came in heat and 67% of these conceived. Sixty-two percent of the 291 inseminated twice conceived and 62% of the 323 inseminated once conceived. There were 133 control cows, of which 113 came in heat and 69% conceived. Fifty-eight percent of the 102 cows inseminated twice conceived and 57% of the 157 inseminated once conceived. These data indicated that there was no significant difference between the fertility of control animals and those treated with $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ THAM salt or ICI 80,996. Of those treated, there was no significant difference between those inseminated once and those inseminated twice. These data also showed that $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ and ICI 80,996 unlike the earlier used progestogens, were very effective for estrous synchronization in both suckling cows and heifers.

One of the most important advantages of a good estrous synchronization program is the elimination of the need for labor for estrus detection. Therefore, it is important to have all of the individuals in a treated group come into estrus at approximately the same time so that insemination can be performed at one predetermined time. This

would mean that the LH peaks would have to be practically simultaneous within a treated group.

The first attempts to decrease the period of synchronization and control ovulation utilized HCG injected at the end of the progestogen treatments (Roche and Crowley, 1973; Graves and Dziuk, 1968; Lantz et al., 1968). Variability of ovulation time was decreased, but in some cases fertility was low.

It has been established that low doses of estrogens can cause or speed up the release of LH. Hansel et al. (1975) used estradiol benzoate to shorten and increase precision of the interval to estrus after withdrawal of MAP. However, Welch et al. (1975) used estradiol benzoate after PGF_{2α} treatment in cows and found no significant difference in the time to estrus or conception rate as compared to cows given only PGF_{2α}.

It has been shown that GnRH causes an LH release in the cow similar to that observed prior to ovulation (Kaltenbach et al., 1974; Convey, 1973). Kaltenbach et al. (1974) found that GnRH given 24 hr after SC21009 implant removal resulted in synchronization of ovulation. They went on to indicate that GnRH given 60 hr after PGF_{2α} would also increase synchronization.

Roche (1975) supported this work by increasing synchronization and hastening the time of ovulation with GnRH in heifers given progesterone for 12 days.

Graves et al. (1974) observed that the fertility was not decreased in cows which were given two injections of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ THAM salt 12 days apart followed by an injection of 250 ug of GnRH 60 hr after the last $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment. Insemination occurred at 12 hr after the GnRH injection.

Graves et al. (1975) later gave GnRH after a single injection of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ which followed an SC21009 implant treatment. They found that GnRH shortened the mean interval from implant removal to ovulation and reduced the variability in the interval but also suppressed the occurrence of estrus.

Some of the past estrous synchronization studies are summarized in table 1.

TABLE 1. COMPARISON OF ESTROUS SYNCHRONIZATION METHODS

Drug	Daily dosage	Dosage length (days)	Number treated	First service conception (percent)	Conception controls (percent)	Animals treated	Reference
Prog.	50-100 mg	13-17	22	50	--	H ¹	Willett (1950)
Prog.	50-100 mg	14	24	13	64	C ²	Trimberger & Hansel (1955)
Prog.	12.5-50 mg	14	104	26	51	H	Ulberg & Lindley (1960)
MAP	250 mg	14	60	40	60	H	Nelms & Combs (1961)
MAP	.88 mg/kg body wt	11	20	26	81	H	Fahning <u>et al.</u> (1966)
MAP	180 mg	18	119	33	37	C	Dhindas <u>et al.</u> (1967)
CAP	.044 mg/kg body wt	--	57	61	65	H	Van Blake <u>et al.</u> (1963)
CAP	--	--	187	33	55	H	Wagner <u>et al.</u> (1968)
MGA	--	15-18	72	42	--	H	Zimbelman & Smith (1966)
MGA	1.0 mg	14	15	47	40	H	Roussel <u>et al.</u> (1969)

TABLE 1. (CONTINUED)

Drug	Daily dosage	Dosage length (days)	Number treated	First service conception (percent)	Conception controls (percent)	Animals treated	Reference
MGA	1.0 mg	14	30	26	--	C	Roussel & Beatty (1969)
MGA	1.0 mg	14	12	8	58	H	Chakraborty <u>et al.</u> (1971)
DHPA	500 mg	20	--	26	54	H	Wiltbank <u>et al.</u> (1967)
DHPA (E.V.)	400 mg 5 mg	9 Day 2	66	54	52	H	Wiltbank & Kasson (1968)
Cronolone pessaries	100 or 200	18	12	50	69	C	Shimizu <u>et al.</u> (1967)
Cronolone pessaries	--	21	81	44	62	H	Wishart & Hoskin (1968)
Nilevar implant	--	16	16	38	65	H	Wiltbank <u>et al.</u> (1971)
E.V.	--	9	42	61			
SC21009 (E.V.)	5 mg (5 mg)	9	77	65	55	H	Burrell <u>et al.</u> (1972)
SC21009 (E.V.)	5 mg (0) 5 mg (7.5)	16 9	76 55	32 40	65 64	H	Burrell <u>et al.</u> (1972)

TABLE 1. (CONTINUED)

Drug	Daily dosage	Dosage length (days)	Number treated	First service conception (percent)	Conception controls (percent)	Animals treated	Reference
SC21009 (E.V.)	5 mg (5 mg) (5 mg) (6.5 mg) (7.5 mg) (7.5 mg)	9	45 51 45 21 44	39 74 56 45 43	47 63 66 53 66	C	Whitman <u>et al.</u> (1972)
PGA ₂ α THAM salt	30 mg	--	191	53	56	C	Lauderdale <u>et al.</u> (1974)
PGF ₂ α	30 mg	--	8	75	73	H	Roche (1974c)
	20 mg	--	10	70			
PGF ₂ α	30 mg (2 doses)	10 days apart	25	40	54	H	King & Robertson (1974)
SC21009	6 mg	7					
PGF ₂ α	30 mg	Day 7	47	64	65	H	Heersche <u>et al.</u> (1974)

¹H=Heifers.²C=Cows.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study utilized 91 Hereford heifers sired by 10 different bulls from the Northern Montana Agricultural Research Center, Havre, Montana herd during the spring of 1974. All animals were managed under the semi-range conditions which are common for northwestern beef cattle production. Estrus had been observed in all heifers prior to the start of the study with daily observations and the aid of an epididymectomized bull fitted with chin ball marker. This was to ensure that the heifers had reached puberty and were cycling. Of the 91 heifers, 68 were cycling at the start of the study and those heifers not observed in estrus prior to the study were not used. The heifers ranged from 388 to 446 days of age and had a mean body weight of 306 kg at the start of the experiment (table 2).

TABLE 2. DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

Treat- ment No.	No. of heifers	Avg. age (days)	Avg. wt (kg)	PGF _{2α}	-THAM salt	GnRH	Breeding post PGF _{2α}
				Day 1	Day 11	60 hr post- PGF _{2α}	
1	14	412	300	30 mg	30 mg	100 ug	72 & 96 hr
2	14	410	315	30 mg	30 mg	0 ug	72 & 96 hr
3	14	410	297	30 mg	30 mg	100 ug	80 hr
4	14	409	317	30 mg	30 mg	0 ug	80 hr
5	12	412	301	0 mg	0 mg	0 ug	12 hr after estrus

The heifers were randomly divided within their sire groups into five treatment groups. The five groups were assigned to one of the five treatments as shown in the experimental design (table 2).

Heifers in treatment groups 1 through 4 were injected twice with 30 mg $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ -THAM salt ($\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$) intramuscularly (IM) 11 days apart on May 3 and May 14. The $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ was prepared by dissolving it in sterile water so that 5 ml of the solution contained 30 mg $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$. Heifers in groups 1 and 3 received a 100 ug injection of GnRH intramuscularly (IM) 60 hr after the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection. The GnRH dosage and injection schedule was based on work reported by Kaltenbach et al. (1974).

The heifers in treatment groups 1 and 2 were artificially inseminated twice at approximately 72 and 96 hr after the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment and groups 3 and 4 were inseminated once in approximately 80 hr after the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment. Heifers in treatment groups 5, the control group, received no $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ or GnRH. They were observed for signs of standing estrus and were artificially inseminated approximately 12 hr after estrus was first observed.

All of the heifers were observed for standing estrus at least twice daily after the initial $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection on May 3. Breeding was started on the control heifers (treatment 5) at this time. Two epididymectomized bulls, fitted with their grease halters or chin-ball markers were used to aid estrus detection.

Two artificial insemination sires, Red Angus bull and a Black Angus bull, homozygous for color, were used to breed the heifers. The two different sires were used so that genetic markers in the form of calf color would determine which insemination (72 or 96 hr post-PGF_{2α}) settled the heifer. The sires were alternated within treatment group as the heifers were inseminated. In groups where heifers were inseminated at 72 and 96 hr post-PGF_{2α} treatment, the opposite bull was used for the second insemination than was used for the first and any heifers that returned to estrus were inseminated with semen opposite that used on the individual's previous service. Heifers in treatment groups 1 through 4 were artificially inseminated for a period of 25 days and the controls were inseminated over a 38-day period, starting at the time of the first PGF_{2α} treatment and ending at the same time as the treated groups when all heifers had to be moved to high mountain summer pasture. At the summer pasture, all of the heifers were exposed to Hereford bulls for 45 days. All inseminations were performed by one technician and any heifers that were inseminated more than once were inseminated mid-cervically after the first insemination.

The heifers were rectally pregnancy tested in July, 72 days after the second PGF_{2α} injection, and again in October, 164 days after the second injection. The pregnancy tests along with color information at subsequent calving were used to determine the time of conception. The birth date, birth weight, and weaning weight data

for the subsequent calves were also analyzed. The data were analyzed by the Chi-square test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967) and by the least squares method as discussed by Harvey (1960).

RESULTS

Estrus

After the first $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection, 55% of the heifers were observed in estrus. This compared to the 71% that were expected to exhibit estrus after the first $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection based on their previous estrous dates. Seventy-one percent of the treated heifers were observed in estrus after the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection compared to 91% expected based on their previous estrous dates (table 3). The intervals from previous estrus to $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injections for the individual heifers are given in Appendix tables 1, 2, 3 and 4.

The average time interval from $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection to estrus (table 4) was 53 hr after the first injection and 51 hr after the second injection and the difference between the two injections was not significantly different. The percents of the heifers exhibiting estrus at a given time are shown in Figure 2. There was no significant difference in the number of heifers showing estrus between the groups receiving GnRH (68%) and those not receiving GnRH (75%) (table 5).

After the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection, 8 of 26 (31%) heifers that returned to estrus had notably shortened cycles ranging from 6 to 9 days. None of the control animals that returned to estrus had estrous cycles of abnormal lengths (≤ 16 days or > 24 days).

TABLE 3. ESTROUS RESPONSE AFTER PGF_{2α} INJECTIONS


Treatment group	No. expected ^a in estrus	No. observed ^b		No. Not expected in estrus	No. observed	
		In estrus	Not in estrus		In estrus	Not in estrus
PGF _{2α} injection #1						
Total	40	27 (68%)	13 (32%)	16	4 (25%)	12 (75%)
PGF _{2α} injection #2						
1	13	7	6	1	0	1
2	13	11	2	1	1	0
3	13	12	1	1	0	1
4	12	9	3	2	0	2
Total	51	39 (76%)	12 (24%)	5	1 (20%)	4 (80%)

^aNumber expected based on the number of heifers between days 5 and 21 of their cycle based on previous estrus observed.

^bNumber observed based on the number of heifers exhibiting estrus within 96 hr after PGF_{2α} injection.

TABLE 4. HOURS FROM PGF_{2α} TREATMENT TO ESTRUS

Time from PGF _{2α} to estrus in hours	PGF _{2α} injection # 1		PGF _{2α} injection # 2	
	No. heifers	Total heifers	No. heifers	Total heifers
46	9	9	26	26
52	7	16	10	36
58	6	22	2	38
65	4	26	0	38
70	3	29	1	39
94	2	31	1	40
Mean ± S.D.	52.9 ± 15.7		51.2 ± 13.8	



^a Based on the total number exhibiting estrus.

Figure 2. Percent of the total heifers exhibiting estrus at a given time^a after PGF₂α injection.

Fertility

Conception at the synchronized breeding in the 72 and 96 hr post-PGF_{2α} and 80 hr post-PGF_{2α} treatments was 43 and 14% ($P=.04$), respectively, for all heifers bred and 63 and 19% ($P=.01$), respectively, for those that were observed in estrus (table 6). All calves born to cows in the 72 and 96 hr groups were sired by the bull used at the 72 hr breeding except one based on the color of the calf at birth. This calf was conceived at the 96 hr breeding and its dam was in estrus at 96 hr post-PGF_{2α}. None of the heifers that did not exhibit estrus but were inseminated conceived.

There was no significant difference between those heifers bred at 72 and 96 hr after PGF_{2α} injection and the heifers that were bred the first time in the control group, whose conception rate was 50% (table 5).

No significant differences in first service conception rate was noted between the groups receiving and those not receiving GnRH (table 6). First service conception in the GnRH was 21% and 36%, respectively, for all heifers inseminated and 32% and 43%, respectively, on the basis of those heifers exhibiting estrus.

There was no significant difference in AI breeding period conception rates between the four treated groups, which were inseminated over a period of 25 days and the control group which was inseminated over a period of 38 days (table 5). There was also no significant difference in the conception rates among the groups over the entire breeding season (AI and cleanup period) (table 5).

TABLE 5. THE EFFECT OF PGF_{2α} ON ESTRUS AND FERTILITY IN BEEF HEIFERS

Treatment group	No. of heifers	No. in estrus (%)	No. pregnant (%)			
			Synchronized estrus	AI period	Breeding season	
1	14	7(50) ¹	4(27) ²	(57) ³	10(71) ²	14(100) ²
2	14	12(86) ¹	8(57)	(67)	10(71)	14(100)
3	14	12(86) ¹	2(14)	(17)	9(64)	14(100)
4	14	9(64) ¹	2(14)	(22)	6(43)	13(93)
5	12	12(100)	6(50)		8(66)	12(100)

¹Exhibiting estrus 0-96 hr after PGF_{2α} injection.

²Percent pregnant based on all heifers bred.

³Percent pregnant based on heifers in estrus after PGF_{2α}.

TABLE 6. THE EFFECT OF GnRH AND INSEMINATION TIME ON FERTILITY IN PGF_{2α} TREATED HEIFERS

Treatment	No. of heifers	No. in estrus (%)	No. pregnant (%)			
			Synchronized estrus	AI period	Breeding season	
GnRH	28	19(68)	6(21) ¹	(32) ²	19(68) ¹	28(100) ¹
No GnRH	28	21(75)	10(36)	(48)	16(57)	27(96)
Inseminated 72 & 96 hr	28	19(68)	12(43) ^a	(63) ^a	20(71)	28(100)
Inseminated 80 hr	28	21(75)	4(14) ^b	(19) ^b	15(54)	27(96)

¹Percent pregnant based on all heifers bred.

²Percent pregnant based on heifers in estrus after PGF_{2α}.

^a^bMeans with different superscripts are significantly different.

Subsequent Production

Average birth dates were significantly later in treatment groups 3 and 4 than in the controls ($P < .05$). There was no significant difference in birth dates between groups 1, 2 and 5 (table 7). The average birth date for the cleanup Hereford sires was significantly later than those for either the Black Angus or Red Angus sires ($P < .01$) (table 7).

There was no significant difference in birth weight due to $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment. The calves sired by the Red Angus bull had significantly lower birth weights than either the Black Angus or Hereford sired calves ($P < .01$) (table 7).

There was no significant difference in average weaning weight between the five treatment groups (table 7). The Hereford sired calves were significantly lighter at weaning than either the Black Angus or Red Angus sired calves ($P < .01$) for actual weaning weight (table 7) (Appendix table 5) and for weaning weights adjusted for age (Appendix table 6). The average weaning weights adjusted to a common birth date for the Red Angus, Black Angus and Hereford sired calves were 192.5 kg, 197.2 kg and 174.3 kg, respectively. The average age at weaning was 201 days.

TABLE 7. MEANS AND VARIANCE OF CALF PERFORMANCE WITHIN TREATMENT GROUPS AND SIRE GROUPS

Treatment group	No. of calves	Birth date (day of year)	Birth wt. (kg)	Actual weaning wt. (kg)
1	12	73.0 $\pm$ 3.5 ^{ab}	32.1 $\pm$.82 ^a	195.1 $\pm$ 6.0 ^a
2	12	72.7 $\pm$ 3.5 ^{ab}	33.0 $\pm$.82 ^a	187.0 $\pm$ 6.1 ^a
3	12	79.5 $\pm$ 3.5 ^b	32.6 $\pm$.82 ^a	185.1 $\pm$ 6.0 ^a
4	12	79.3 $\pm$ 3.6 ^b	33.5 $\pm$.82 ^a	187.2 $\pm$ 6.2 ^a
5	11	65.2 $\pm$ 3.6 ^a	30.6 $\pm$.86 ^a	187.1 $\pm$ 6.3 ^a
<u>Sire</u>				
Red	17	59.2 $\pm$ 2.9 ^a	30.1 $\pm$.68 ^a	201.9 $\pm$ 5.1 ^a
Black	22	64.1 $\pm$ 2.6 ^a	33.1 $\pm$.64 ^b	203.7 $\pm$ 4.6 ^a
Cleanup	20	98.5 $\pm$ 2.7 ^b	33.8 $\pm$.64 ^b	159.3 $\pm$ 4.7 ^b

^{ab}Means with different superscripts are significantly different.

DISCUSSION

One would have expected 100% of the heifers to show estrus after the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection. However, due to short cycles after the first injection, only 91% of the heifers would have been between day 5 and 21 of their cycle. Only 71% exhibited estrus after the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection. There is no explanation for the other 29% not exhibiting estrus, but there may have been variation in their cycles also. The percentage in estrus after the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection agrees with the 83 and 64% reported by King and Robertson (1974) and Inskeep *et al.* (1975), respectively, after a two-injection sequence similar to the one used in this study.

The $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ was expected to regress the CL's in treated heifers between days 5 and 16; however, those heifers between days 16 and 21 of their cycle should have expressed estrus naturally at the same time as the heifers responding to $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$.

It was found that the 11-day interval between $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injections may not be as effective as the 10-day interval. Some of the heifers that were on day 5 of their estrus cycle during the first injection did not show estrus after $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection. These heifers should have been on day 16 of their cycle for the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection. Heifers on day 16, like day 5, also had varied estrous response. Of the heifers that were thought to be on day 5 of their estrous cycle at the time of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection, 1/7 (14%) exhibited estrus and 5/7 (71%) of the heifers on day 16 of their cycle exhibited estrus after

injection. If the 10 day interval had been used, the heifers on day 5 of their cycle for the first injection would have been on day 15 when the CL should have been regressed by the second injection if their CL had not been regressed by the first $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection. Additional problems may be encountered in heifers, which commonly have less than a 21 day cycle (Foote, 1974). For example, if a heifer has only an 18 day cycle, $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ may be effective in regressing the CL only between days 5 and 13. This may explain why some of the heifers that were expected to exhibit estrus after the $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$, did not.

After the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment, 8 of the 26 heifers that returned to estrus had cycles ranging from 6 to 9 days. These animals exhibited estrus at approximately the time they would have been expected to if they had not been treated. It is postulated that $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ may not have regressed the CL in these heifers.

The average time interval from $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection to estrus was 53 hr and 51 hr, respectively, for the first and second treatments. However, the actual mean for the second treatment may have been lower because at the time of the first estrus check in the morning at 46 hr post- $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$, 26 (65%) of the heifers were already exhibiting estrus (table 3). These intervals to estrus were less than 70 hr expected from previous reports (Lauderdale et al., 1974; Stellflug et al., 1973). Having most of the heifers conceive to the 72 hr insemination was expected because of the average time of estrus after

the second PGF_{2α} treatment (51 hr).. The optimum time for insemination in the cow is approximately 12 hr before the end of estrus (McLaren, 1974). This is approximately 6 hr after estrus begins. Fertility is then fairly high until about 6 hr after estrus (about 24 hr after starting), at which time it drops very rapidly. The heifers that were bred at 72 hr were approximately 21 hr after the start of estrus and within the period when fertility was high. However, those that were inseminated at 80 and 96 hr (approximately 29 and 45 hr after estrus began) were bred after fertility had dropped.

It has been reported that the LH peak occurs from 67 to 74 hr after PGF_{2α} injection (Hafs et al., 1974; Stellflug et al., 1973). This is usually coincident with the onset of estrus (Inskeep, 1973) with ovulation normally occurring 24 to 30 hr after the LH peak in the cow (Hixon and Hansel, 1974). It has been shown that the time of LH release and ovulation may be controlled more precisely with synthetic GnRH after PGF_{2α}. The LH surge should start 15 minutes after GnRH injection and peak at 150 minutes post injection (Kaltenbach et al. 1974).

In this study the average interval to estrus and probably the LH peak was 51 hr. Therefore, the LH peak would have already occurred at 60 hr when the GnRH was given. This may explain why there was no significant difference in estrus response at first service conception in those heifers treated with GnRH.

The cycling behavior and interval from $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment to estrus may have been partially influenced by the unusual weather conditions for a week prior to and after the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection. Unseasonable cold temperatures and about 4 inches of precipitation in the form of rain and snow occurred at this time. The heifers were kept in a muddy lot during this period.

The conception rate for the AI period was not significantly different among the four treatment groups and the control group (table 5) even though the treated groups had significantly lowered fertility on the first service on the basis of the total number bred. Also, the AI breeding period for the treated group was 25 days as compared to 38 days for the control group. The AI conception rate for the control group may have been higher if they had been inseminated for a full two cycles (42 to 45 days) because two of the heifers that returned to estrus came back to estrus shortly after they were moved to the summer pasture where they were exposed to natural service breeding. The heifers in the treated groups were inseminated for at least two cycles if they were in estrus after the second injection because they were all inseminated at the start of the AI period and those that returned were inseminated again within the following 25-day period.

It was expected that the calf birth dates would be later in treatment groups 3 and 4 than in the controls because of the low first service conception rate at the single 80 hr insemination. This would have caused many of these heifers to come in estrus and be bred at a later time. Also, insemination in the control group was started 14 days before the first 80 hr breeding in groups 3 and 4. Heifers in groups 1 and 2 also tended to have later calf birth dates, although they were not significantly different than the controls (table 7). This trend was probably caused by the same factors as those given for treatment groups 3 and 4 being significantly later than the controls.

It was also logical that the average birth date for the Hereford sired calves was later than those for either the Black Angus or Red Angus sired calves. The Hereford bulls were not used until after the AI breeding season, when the Black Angus or Red Angus bulls were used.

The fact that the Hereford sired calves were significantly lighter at weaning weight than either the Black Angus or Red Angus sired calves both in actual weight and in age adjusted weight was probably due mostly to the heterosis effect of the crossbred Angus x Hereford calves. Some of the weight difference may have also been due to genetic superiority in the Black Angus and Red Angus bulls over the Hereford bulls.

CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that the two-injection system with $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ may be a practical system of ovulation control. However, a 10-day interval between injections may be better than the 11-day interval used in this study so that animals at day 5 of their cycle at the time of the first injection may be more likely to be synchronized by the second injection.

Other systems where only one injection is used along with a progestin or an estrous detection period before injection is used, may prove to be a better method of synchronizing a larger percentage of the treated animals than systems where double injections are used. When suckling cows are being synchronized, the use of a single injection instead of two injections may include more cows in the synchronized group. The additional ten days may set the synchronization schedule ahead so that more cows will be cycling at the time of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection.

Further, the period of time from $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment to ovulation may be different in lactating cows and heifers so it may be difficult to handle them all as one group.

From evidence shown by this study, under practical conditions, an operator may wish to observe treated animals for estrus after the second $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection and inseminate only those animals that show estrus. It may be practical to inseminate all of the animals that show estrus at one time and inseminate each animal only once instead of twice.

SUMMARY

Ovulation synchronization of Herford heifers with prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ and GnRH was studied. The report of the study was preceded by a comprehensive literature review of estrous synchronization methods.

Sixty-eight cycling heifers were divided randomly into five treatment groups. Groups 1 through 4 were given 2 injections (IM) 11 days apart of 30 mg of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ -THAM salt ($PGF_{2\alpha}$). Groups 1 and 3 were given 100 ug (IM) of GnRH 60 hr after the second $PGF_{2\alpha}$ injection. Groups 1 and 2 were inseminated at 72 and 96 hr after the second treatment and groups 3 and 4 were inseminated once at 80 hr. Semen from either a Red Angus or Black Angus sire was used so that determination of which inseminated settled the heifers could be made. Group 5 was the control and they were bred at 12 hr after estrus.

Forty of the 56 $PGF_{2\alpha}$ treated heifers (71%) showed estrus after the second injection. This compares to 91% expected to show estrus after the second injection based on their previous estrous dates. The average interval from the first and second $PGF_{2\alpha}$ injections to estrus was 53 and 51 hr, respectively.

Conception at the synchronized breeding in the 72 and 96 hr and 80 hr groups was 43 and 14% ($P=.04$), respectively, for all heifers bred and 63 and 19% ($P=.01$), respectively, for those that were observed in estrus. The heifers that were inseminated twice at 72 and 96 hr all conceived at the 72 hr breeding except one which

conceived to the 96 hr breeding. The first service conception rates for all heifers bred and those showing estrus were 21 and 32% for the GnRH groups and 36 and 48% for the no GnRH groups, respectively. There were no significant differences in conception rates between the treated heifers bred for 25 days by AI (63%) and the control heifers bred for 38 days by AI (66%). The conception rates for the entire breeding season (AI and 45 days of clean up) were 100, 100, 100, 93, 100% for groups 1 through 5, respectively.

Average birth dates were significantly later in treatment groups 3 and 4 than in the controls. ($P < .05$). There was no significant difference in birth dates between the other groups. The average birth date for the clean up Hereford sires was significantly later than those for either the Black Angus or Red Angus sires ($P < .01$).

There was no significant difference in birth weight between the five treatment groups. The calves sired by the Red Angus bull had significantly lower birth weights than either the Black Angus or Hereford sired calves ($P < .01$).

There was no significant difference in average weaning weight between the five treatment groups. The Hereford sired calves were significantly lighter at weaning than either the Black Angus or Red Angus sired calves.

The major conclusions drawn from this study were that it may be better to have a 10 day interval between $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatments as compared to an 11 day interval, and it is advisable that an operator observe his treated animals for estrus and inseminate accordingly.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX TABLE 8. INTERVALS FROM PREVIOUS ESTRUS TO TREATMENT FOR
TREATMENT GROUP ONE

Heifer No.	PGF ₂ α treatment	
	First	Second
3003	22* (H)	10 (E) (H)
3035	7 (E) (H)	9 (E)
3068	1	12 (E)
3075	8 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3097	5 (E)	16 (E)
3108	9 (E) (H)	9 (E)
3124	26*(E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3131	1	12 (E)
3183	4	16 (E)
3198	32*(E)	3
3214	7 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3222	1 (H)	8 (E) (H)
3267	5 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3284	1	12 (E) (H)

(E)= Those heifers expected to exhibit estrus after PGF₂ α .

(H)= Those heifers observed in estrus within 96 hr after PGF₂ α treatment.

* It was assumed that an estrus period occurred, but was not observed in heifers exceeding 21 days.

APPENDIX TABLE 9. INTERVALS FROM PREVIOUS ESTRUS TO TREATMENT FOR
TREATMENT GROUP TWO

Heifer No.	PGF ₂ α treatment	
	First	Second
3021	1	12 (E) (H)
3058	5 (E)	16 (E) (H)
3071	2	13 (E) (H)
3111	15 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3125	15 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3129	11 (E) (H)	8 (E) (H)
3143	5 (E)	16 (E) (H)
3179	20 (E) (H)	3 (H)
3187	7 (E)	18 (E)
3194	7 (E) (H)	8 (E) (H)
3225	9 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3231	2	13 (E) (H)
3264	4 (H)	8 (E)
3275	7 (E)	18 (E) (H)

(E)= Those heifers expected to exhibit estrus after PGF₂ α .

(H)= Those heifers observed in estrus within 96 hr after PGF₂ α
treatment.

APPENDIX TABLE 10. INTERVALS FROM PREVIOUS ESTRUS TO TREATMENT FOR
TREATMENT GROUP THREE

Heifer	PGF _{2α} treatment	
	First	Second
3011	23* (H)	10 (E) (H)
3025	1	3
3063	2	13 (E) (H)
3072	5 (E)	16 (E) (H)
3083	9 (E) (H)	8 (E) (H)
3119	20 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3161	10 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3190	6 (E)	17 (E) (H)
3197	1	12 (E)
3209	15 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3226	11 (E) (H)	8 (E) (H)
3232	5 (E)	16 (E) (H)
3250	10 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3279	18 (E) (H)	10 (E) (H)

(E)= Those heifers expected to exhibit estrus after PGF_{2α}.

(H)= Those heifers observed in estrus within 96 hr after PGF_{2α}.

* It was assumed that an estrus period occurred, but was not observed in heifers exceeding 21 days.

APPENDIX TABLE 11. INTERVALS FROM PREVIOUS ESTRUS TO TREATMENT FOR
TREATMENT GROUP FOUR

Heifer No.	PGF _{2α} treatment	
	First	Second
3018	6 (E) (H)	9 (E)
3028	7 (E)	0
3076	32*(E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3087	1	12 (E) (H)
3088	13 (E) (H)	8 (E) (H)
3123	13 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3191	29*(E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3199	32*(E) (H)	7 (E)
3202	1	2
3210	13 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3228	5 (E)	16 (E) (H)
3255	15 (E)	26*(E) (H)
3269	13 (E) (H)	9 (E) (H)
3296	6 (E)	2 (E)

(E)= Those heifers expected to exhibit estrus after PGF_{2α}.

(H)= Those heifers observed in estrus within 96 hr after PGF_{2α} treatment.

* It was assumed that an estrus period occurred, but was not observed in heifers exceeding 21 days.

APPENDIX TABLE 12. LEAST SQUARES ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR CALF TRAITS

Source of variation	df	Birth date (day of year)	Birth wt. (kg)	Weaning wt. (kg)
Total	59			
Treatment	4	384*	12.6	177.1
Sex	1	38	41.3**	449.2
Sire	2	8349**	68.6**	11559.5**
Error	51	139	7.4	419.2

*P<.05.

**P<.01.

APPENDIX TABLE 13. LEAST SQUARES ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR CALF TRAITS
WITH BIRTH DATE AS A COVARIATE

Source of variation	df	Birth wt. (kg)	Weaning wt. (kg)
Total	59		
Treatment	4	7.0	236.3
Sex	1	45.4*	300.0
Sire	2	31.4*	974.8
Birth date (regression)	1	18.9	2748.4**
Error	50	7.3	372.7

*P<.05.

**P<.01.

LITERATURE CITED

- Avery, T. L., C. L. Cole and E. F. Graham. 1961. Investigations associated with the transplantation of bovine ova. I. Synchronization of oestrus. J. Reprod. Fert. 3:206.
- Ayalon, N. and S. Marcus. 1975. Estrus synchronization and conception rate in dairy cattle treated with progestin-impregnated vaginal sponges. Theriogenology. 3:95.
- Babcock, J. C. 1966. In Hansel, W. Luteotrophic and luteolytic mechanisms in bovine corpora lutea. In ovarian regulatory mechanisms. J. Reprod. Fert. Suppl. 1:47.
- Binder, D., J. Bowler, E. D. Brown, N. S. Crossley, J. Hutton, M. Senior, L. Slater, P. Wilkinson and N. C. A. Wright. 1974. 16-Aryloxyprostaglandins: a new class of potent luteolytic agent. Prost. 6:87.
- Burrell, C., J. N. Wiltbank, D. G. LeFever and G. Rodeffer. 1972. Ear implant (SC21009) for estrous control in heifers. Proc. West. Sec. Amer. Soc. Anim. Sci. 23:547.
- Caldwell, B. V., L. E. A. Rowson, R. M. Moor and M. F. Hay. 1969. The utero-ovarian relationship and its possible role in infertility. J. Reprod. Fert. Suppl. 8:59.
- Carrick, M. J. and J. N. Shelton. 1966. The synchronization of oestrus in cattle with progestagen-impregnated intravaginal sponges. J. Reprod. Fert. 14:21.
- Chakraborty, P. K., R. H. Kliever and F. Hisaw, Jr. 1971. Synchronization of estrus, reproductive performance and lactational response of Holstein heifers treated with melengestrol acetate. J. Dairy Sci. 54:1866.
- Christian, R. E. and L. E. Casida. 1948. The effects of progesterone in altering the estrous cycle of the cow. J. Anim. Sci. 7:540.
- Convey, E. M. 1973. Neuroendocrine relationships in farm animals: a review. J. Anim. Sci. 37:745.
- Cooper, M. T. 1974. Control of oestrus cycles of heifers with a synthetic prostaglandin analogue. Vet. Rec. 95:200.

- Curl, S. E., W. Durfey, R. Patterson and D. W. Zinn. 1968. Synchronization of estrus in cattle with subcutaneous implants. J. Anim. Sci. 27:1189 (Abstr.).
- Dhindsa, D. S., A. S. Hoversland and E. P. Smith. 1967. Estrous control and calving performance in beef cattle fed 6-methyl-17-acetoxypregesterone under ranch conditions. J. Anim. Sci. 26:167.
- Dobson, H., M. J. Cooper and B. J. A. Furr. 1975. Synchronization of oestrus with ICI 79,939, an analogue of PGF_{2α} and associated changes in plasma progesterone, oestradiol-17β, and LH in heifers. J. Reprod. Fert. 42:141.
- Dziuk, P. J., C. Cmarik and T. Greathouse. 1966. Estrus control in cows by an implanted progesterone. J. Anim. Sci. 25:1266 (Abstr.).
- Fahning, M. L., R. H. Shultz, E. F. Graham, J. D. Donker and H. W. Mohrenweiser. 1966. Synchronization of oestrus in dairy heifers with 6α methyl-17α acetoxypregesterone and its effect on conception rate. J. Reprod. Fert. 12:569.
- Foote, W. D. 1974. Cattle. In E. S. E. Hafez (Ed.) Reproduction in Farm Animals. (3rd Ed.). Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia.
- Graves, C. N. and P. J. Dziuk. 1968. Control of ovulation in dairy cattle with human chorionic gonadotrophin after treatment with 6α -methyl-17α -acetoxypregesterone. J. Reprod. Fert. 17:169.
- Graves, N. W., T. G. Dunn, C. C. Kaltenbach, R. E. Short and J. B. Carr. 1975. Estrus and ovulation with prostaglandin F_{2α}, norgestamet, and gonadotrophin releasing hormone. Proc. West. Sec. Amer. Soc. Anim. Sci. 26:193.
- Graves, N. W., R. E. Short, R. D. Randel, R. A. Bellows, C. C. Kaltenbach and T. G. Dunn. 1974. Estrus and pregnancy following MAP, PGF_{2α}, and GnRH. J. Anim. Sci. 39:208 (Abstr.).
- Greenstein, J. S., R. W. Murray and R. C. Foley. 1958. Effect of exogenous hormones on reproductive processes of the cycling dairy heifer. J. Dairy Sci. 41:1834 (Abstr.).
- Hafs, H. D., T. M. Louis, P. A. Noden and W. D. Oxender. 1974. Control of the estrous cycle with prostaglandin F_{2α} in cattle and horses. J. Anim. Sci. 38:10 (Suppl. I).

- Hafs, H. D., J. G. Mans and G. E. Lemming. 1975. Fertility of cattle from AI after $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$. J. Anim. Sci. 41:355 (Abstr.).
- Hansel, W., P. W. Concannon and J. H. Lukaszewska. 1973. Corpora lutea of large domestic animals. Biol. of Reprod. 8:222.
- Hansel, W., L. E. Donaldson, W. C. Wagner and M. A. Brunner. 1966. A comparison of estrous cycle synchronization methods in beef cattle under feedlot conditions. J. Anim. Sci. 25:497.
- Hansel, W. and P. V. Malven. 1960. Estrus cycle regulation in beef cattle by orally active progestational agents. J. Anim. Sci. 19:1324 (Abstr.).
- Hansel, W., P. V. Malven and D. L. Black. 1961. Estrous cycle regulation in the bovine. J. Anim. Sci. 20:621.
- Hansel, W., R. J. Schechter, P. V. Malven, K. R. Simmons, D. L. Black, A. J. Hackett and R. R. Saatman. 1975. Plasma hormone levels in 6-methyl-17-acetoxypregesterone (MAP) and estradiol benzoate (E_2B) treated heifers. J. Anim. Sci. 40:671.
- Harvey, W. R. 1960. Least-squares analysis of data with unequal subclass numbers. ARS 20:8.
- Hearnshaw, H., P. E. Mattner, C. D. Nancarrow and B. J. Restall. 1974. The effect of the mode of administration of prostaglandin $\text{F}_{2\alpha}$ on the synchronization of oestrus in cattle. J. Reprod. Fert. 36:486.
- Heersche, G., Jr., G. H. Kiracafe, R. M. McKee, D. C. Davis, and G. R. Brower. 1974. Control of estrus in heifers with $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ and Syncro-Mate B. J. Anim. Sci. 38:225.
- Henderson, K. M., and K. P. McNatty. 1975. A biochemical hypothesis to explain the mechanism of luteal regression. Prost. 9:779.
- Henricks, D. M., J. T. Long, J. R. Hill and J. F. Dickey. 1974. The effect of prostaglandin $\text{F}_{2\alpha}$ during various stages of the oestrus cycle of beef heifers. J. Reprod. Fert. 41:113.
- Hichens, M., D. L. Grinwich and R. R. Behrman. 1974. $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ induced loss of corpus luteum gonadotrophin receptors. Prost. 7:457.

- Hill, J. R., D. R. Lamond, D. M. Hendricks, J. F. Dickey and G. D. Niswender. 1971. The effect of melengestrol acetate (MGA) on ovarian function and fertilization in beef heifers. *Biol. of Reprod.* 4:16.
- Hixon, J. E. and W. Hansel. 1974. Evidence for preferential transfer of prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ to the ovarian artery following intrauterine administration in cattle. *Biol. of Reprod.* 11:543.
- Inskeep, E. K. 1973. Potential uses of prostaglandins in control of reproductive cycles of domestic animals. *J. Anim. Sci.* 36:1149.
- Inskeep, E. K., J. A. Welch, M. R. McClung, E. A. Linger and J. O. Heishman. 1975. Control of estrus by $PGF_{2\alpha}$ and estradiol Benzoate. *J. Anim. Sci.* 40:187 (Abstr.).
- Kaltenbach, C. C., T. G. Dunn, T. E. Kiser, L. R. Corah, A. M. Akbar and G. D. Niswender. 1974. Release of FSH and LH in beef heifers by synthetic gonadotrophin releasing hormone. *J. Anim. Sci.* 38:357.
- Kaltenbach, C. C., G. D. Niswender, D. R. Zimmerman and J. N. Wiltbank. 1964. Alteration of ovarian activity in cycling, pregnant, and hysterectomized heifers with exogenous estrogens. *J. Anim. Sci.* 23:995.
- King, G. J. and H. A. Robertson. 1974. A two injection schedule with prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ for the regulation of the ovulatory cycle of cattle. *Theriogenology.* 1:123.
- Lambert, P. W., D. R. Griswold, V. A. LaVoie and E. L. Moody. 1975. AI beef management with prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ controlled estrus. *Proc. West. Sec. Amer. Soc. Anim. Sci.* 26:181.
- Lantz, W. B., C. W. Kasson, A. L. Slyter and D. R. Zimmerman. 1968. Synchronization of ovulation in beef heifers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 27:1193 (Abstr.).
- Lauderdale, J. W. 1972. Effects of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ on pregnancy and estrous cycle of cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 35:246 (Abstr.).
- Lauderdale, J. W. 1974. Distribution and biological effects of prostaglandins. *J. Anim. Sci.* 38:22 (Suppl. I).

- Lauderdale, J. W., J. R. Chenault, B. E. Seguin and W. W. Thatcher. 1973. Fertility of cattle after $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ treatment. J. Anim. Sci. 37:319 (Abstr.).
- Lauderdale, J. W., B. E. Seguin, J. N. Stellflug, J. R. Chenault, W. W. Thatcher, C. K. Vincent and A. F. Loyancano. 1974. Fertility of cattle following $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ injection. J. Anim. Sci. 38:964.
- LaVoie, V. A., G. R. Poncelet, D. K. Han, C. L. Soliday, P. W. Lambert and E. L. Moody. 1975. Effect of prostaglandin $\text{F}_{2\alpha}$ on the estrous cycle, corpora lutea and progesterone levels of hysterectomized cows. J. Anim. Sci. 41:166.
- Liang, L. K. P. and O. T. Fosgate. 1970. Synchronization of estrus in dairy cattle with 17-alpha-ethyl-19-nortestosterone (Nilevar). J. Anim. Sci. 31:92.
- Liang, L. and O. T. Fosgate. 1971. Estrus synchronization and subsequent fertility in dairy cattle treated with 17-alpha-ethyl-19-nortestosterone (Nilvar) and 17-alpha-ethynyl-19-nortestosterone (SC4640). J. Anim. Sci. 33:96.
- Liehr, R. A., G. B. Marion and H. H. Olson. 1972. Effects of prostaglandin on cattle estrous cycles. J. Anim. Sci. 35:247 (Abstr.).
- Loeb, L. 1923. The effect of extirpation of the uterus on the life and function of the corpus luteum in the guinea pig. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 20:441.
- Louis, T. M., H. D. Hafs and D. A. Morrow. 1972a. Estrus and ovulation after uterine $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ in cows. J. Anim. Sci. 35:247 (Abstr.).
- Louis, T. M., H. D. Hafs and D. A. Morrow. 1972b. Estrus and ovulation after $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ in cows. J. Anim. Sci. 35:1121 (Abstr.).
- Loy, R. G., R. G. Zimbelman and L. E. Casida. 1960. Effects of injected ovarian hormones on the corpus luteum of the estrual cycle in cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 19:175.
- McCracken, J. A., M. E. Glew and R. J. Scaramuzzi. 1970. Corpus luteum regression induced by prostaglandin $\text{F}_{2\alpha}$. J. Clin. Endocr. Metab. 30:544.

- McLaren, A. 1974. Fertilization, cleavage and implantation. In. E.S.E. Hafez (Ed.) Reproduction in Farm Animals. (3rd Ed.) Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia.
- Melampy, R. M. and L. L. Anderson. 1968. Role of the uterus in corpus luteum function. J. Anim. Sci. 27:77 (Suppl. I).
- Nellor, J. E., J. E. Ahrenhold and R. H. Nelson. 1960. Influence of oral administration of 6-methyl-17-acetoxypregesterone on follicular growth and estrous behavior in beef heifers. J. Anim. Sci. 19:1331 (Abstr.).
- Nellor, J. E. and H. H. Cole. 1956. The hormonal control of estrus and ovulation in the beef heifer. J. Anim. Sci. 15:650.
- Nelms, G. E. and W. Combs. 1961. Estrus and fertility in beef cattle subsequent to the oral administration of 6-methyl-17-acetoxypregesterone. J. Anim. Sci. 20:975 (Abstr.).
- Niswender, G. D., T. M. Nett and A. M. Akbar. 1974. The Hormones of Reproduction. In. E.S.E. Hafez (Ed.) Reproduction in Farm Animals. (3rd Ed.). Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia.
- O'Grady, J. P., E. I. Kohorn, R. H. Glass, B. V. Caldwell, W. A. Brock and L. Speroff. 1972. Inhibition of progesterone synthesis in vitro by prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$. J. Reprod. Fert. 30:153.
- Pharris, B. B. 1970. The possible vascular regulation of luteal function. Perspect. Biol. Med. 13:434.
- Roche, J. F. 1975. Control of time of ovulation in heifers treated with progesterone and gonadotrophin releasing hormone. J. Reprod. Fert. 43:471.
- Roche, J. F. 1974a. Effect of short-term progesterone treatment on oestrus response and fertility in heifers. J. Reprod. Fert. 40:433.
- Roche, J. F. 1974b. Synchronization of oestrus in heifers with implants of progesterone. J. Reprod. Fert. 41:337.
- Roche, J. F. 1974c. Synchronization of oestrus and fertility following artificial insemination in heifers given prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$. J. Reprod. Fert. 37:135.

- Roche, J. F. and J. P. Crowley. 1973. The fertility of heifers inseminated at predetermined intervals following treatment with MGA and HCG to control ovulation. J. Reprod. Fert. 35:211.
- Roussel, J. D. and J. F. Beatty. 1969. Effect of melengestrol acetate on synchronization of estrus, subsequent fertility, and milk constituents of lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 52:2020.
- Roussel, J. D., J. F. Beatty and T. L. Smith. 1969. Synchronization of estrus in dairy heifers with melengestrol acetate. J. Dairy Sci. 52:905 (Abstr.).
- Rowson, L. E. A., R. Tervit and A. Brand. 1972. The use of prostaglandins for synchronization of oestrus in cattle. J. Reprod. Fert. 29:145 (Abstr.).
- Shelton, J. N. and L. E. Casida. 1970. The effect of injected ovarian steroids on ovarian and pituitary function in the heifer. Biol. of Reprod. 2:63.
- Shimizu, H., Y. Toyoda, S. Takeuchi, T. Kawai and S. Adachi. 1967. Synchronization of oestrus and subsequent fertility of beef cattle following the intravaginal administration of gestagen. J. Reprod. Fert. 13:555.
- Short, R. V. 1972. Role of hormones in sex cycles. In. C. R. Austin and R. V. Short (Ed.) Reproduction in Mammals #3 Hormones in Reproduction. Cambridge University Press.
- Smith, L. W. and R. G. Zimbelman. 1968. Control of ovulation in cattle with melengestrol acetate. IV. The effects of inducing ovulation with oestradiol cypionate on conception rate. J. Reprod. Fert. 16:81.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran. 1967. Statistical Methods (6th Ed.) Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa.
- Speroff, L. and P. W. Ramwell. 1970. Prostaglandins in reproductive physiology. Amer. J. Obstet. Gynec. 1111.
- Sreenan, J. M. 1975. Effect of long- and short-term intravaginal progestagen treatments in synchronization of oestrus and fertility in heifers. J. Reprod. Fert. 45:479.

- Sreenan, J. M. and P. Mulvehill. 1975. The application of long- and short-term progestagen treatments for oestrous cycle control in heifers. *J. Reprod. Fert.* 45:367.
- Stellflug, J. N., T. M. Louis, H. D. Hafs and B. E. Seguin. 1975. Luteolysis, estrus and ovulation and blood prostaglandin F after intramuscular administration of 15, 30, or 60 mg. prostaglandin F_{2α}. *Prost.* 9:609.
- Stellflug, J. N., T. M. Louis, B. E. Seguin and H. D. Hafs. 1973. Luteolysis after 30 or 60 mg. PGF_{2α} in heifers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 37:330 (Abstr.).
- Tervit, H. R., L. E. A. Rowson and A. Brand. 1973. Synchronization of oestrus in cattle using a prostaglandin F_{2α} analogue (ICI 79,939). *J. Reprod. Fert.* 34:179.
- Trimberger, G. W. and W. Hansel. 1955. Conception rate and ovarian function following estrus control by progesterone injections in dairy cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 14:224.
- Ulberg, L. C., R. E. Christian and L. E. Casida. 1951. Ovarian response in heifers to progesterone injections. *J. Anim. Sci.* 10:752.
- Ulberg, L. C. and C. E. Lindley. 1960. Use of progesterone and estrogen in the control of reproductive activities in beef cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 19:1132.
- Van Blake, H., M. R. Brunner and W. Hansel. 1962. Estrous cycle synchronization in cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 45:1577 (Abstr.).
- Van Black, H., M. R. Brunner and W. Hansel. 1963. Use of 6-chloro Δ^6 -dehydro-17-acetoxypregesterone (CAP) in estrous cycle synchronization of dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 46:459.
- Van Niekerk, C. H., P. C. Belonje and J. C. Morgenthal. 1974. Oestrus synchronization in cattle using progesterone and a PGF analogue. *Theriogenology* 2:73.
- Wagner, J. F., J. W. McAskill and T. M. Means. 1963. Synchronization of estrus in the bovine. *J. Anim. Sci.* 22:866 (Abstr.).

- Wagner, J. F., E. L. Veenhuizen, R. P. Greagory and L. V. Tonkinson. 1968. Fertility in the beef heifer following treatment with 6-chloro^Δ-17 acetoxypregesterone. J. Anim. Sci. 27:1627.
- Welch, J. A., A. J. Hackett, C. J. Cunningham, J. O. Heishman, S. P. Ford, R. Nadaraja, W. Hansel and E. K. Inskeep. 1975. Control of estrus in lactating beef cows with prostaglandin F_{2α} and estradiol benzoate. J. Anim. Sci. 41:1686.
- Whitman, R. W., J. N. Wiltbank, D. G. LeFever and A. H. Denham. 1972. Ear implant (SC21009) for estrous control in cows. Proc. West. Sec. Amer. Soc. Anim. Sci. 23:280.
- Willet, E. L. 1950. The fertility of heifers following administration of progesterone to alter the estrual cycle. J. Dairy Sci. 33:381 (Abstr.).
- Wiltbank, J. N. and L. E. Casida. 1956. Alteration of ovarian activity of hysterectomy. J. Anim. Sci. 15:134.
- Wiltbank, J. N., J. E. Ingalls and W. W. Rowden. 1961. Effects of various forms and levels of estrogens alone or in combinations with gonadotropins on the estrous cycle of beef heifers. J. Anim. Sci. 20:341.
- Wiltbank, J. N. and C. W. Kasson. 1968. Synchronization of estrus in cattle with an oral progestational agent and an injection of an estrogen. J. Anim. Sci. 27:113.
- Wiltbank, J. N., B. P. Shumway, W. R. Parker and D. R. Zimmerman. 1967. Duration of estrus, time of ovulation and fertilization rate in beef heifers synchronized with dihydroxyprogesterone acetophenide. J. Anim. Sci. 26:764.
- Wiltbank, J. N., J. C. Sturges, D. Wideman, D. G. LeFever and L. C. Faulkner. 1971. Control of estrus and ovulation using subcutaneous implants and estrogens in beef cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 33:600.
- Wiltbank, J. N., D. R. Zimmerman, J. E. Ingalls and W. W. Rowden. 1965. Use of progestational compounds alone or in combination with estrogen for synchronization of estrus. J. Anim. Sci. 24:990.

- Wishart, D. F. 1974. Synchronization of oestrus in cattle using a potent progestin (SC21009) and $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$. *Theriogenology* 1:87.
- Wishart, D. F. and B. D. Hoskin. 1968. Synchronization of oestrus in heifers using intra-vaginal pessaries impregnated with SC-9880 and PMSG. *J. Reprod. Fert.* 17:285.
- Wishart, D. F. and I. M. Young. 1974. Artificial insemination of progestin (SC21009)-treated cattle at predetermined times. *Vet. Rec.* 95:503.
- Woody, C. O. and F. B. Abenes. 1975. Regulation of ovarian function in Holstein heifers with SC21009 implants and estradiol valerate. *J. Anim. Sci.* 41:1057.
- Woody, C. O., N. L. First and A. L. Pope. 1967. Effect of exogenous progesterone on estrous cycle length. *J. Anim. Sci.* 26:139.
- Woody, C. O. and O. J. Ginther. 1968. Effect of exogenous progesterone on corpora lutea in unilaterally hysterectomized heifers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 27:1387.
- Woody, C. O. and R. A. Pierce. 1974. Influence of day of estrous cycle at treatment on response to estrous cycle regulation by norethandrolone implants and estradiol valerate injections. *J. Anim. Sci.* 39:903.
- Young, A. W., N. W. Bradley and L. V. Cundiff. 1967. Effects of an oral progestogen on feedlot heifers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 26:231 (Abstr.).
- Zimbelman, R. G. 1963. Determination of the minimal effective dose of 6a-methyl-17a-acetoxypregesterone for control of the estrual cycle of cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 22:1051.
- Zimbelman, R. G. and L. W. Smith. 1966. Control of ovulation in cattle with melengestrol acetate. *J. Reprod. Fert.* 11:185.

3 1762 10014644 6

N378 Kinkie, Richard A
K622 Breeding heifers by
cop.2 appointment with PGF₂
and GnRH

DATE	ISSUED TO
OCT 14 1977	Suzanne Comstock 628 North Hedges
NOV 10 1977	Riki Scott 1707 Birch Kn
DEC 1 1977	Rita Comstock 95130
	GILBERTA 1343

N378
K622
Cap 2