

The effect of powdery mildew on barley under simulated Mediterranean drought conditions
by Fatima Zine Elabidine

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Plant Pathology
Montana State University
© Copyright by Fatima Zine Elabidine (1989)

Abstract:
The effect of powdery mildew on barley shoots and roots was investigated. Plants inoculated with powdery mildew exhibited reduced size and number of both primary and secondary roots. The effect of the fungus on dry weight of shoots and both seminal and adventitious roots was statistically significant. The combined effect of powdery mildew and four different soil-water levels also was studied. Diseased plants wilted and died sooner than healthy plants when both were subjected to drought. Dry weight of both shoots and roots was reduced under combined disease and drought stress. Barley seedlings infected with powdery mildew are more likely to be damaged by late season drought, even though symptoms of the disease disappear with the onset of hot, dry weather.

THE EFFECT OF POWDERY MILDEW ON BARLEY UNDER SIMULATED MEDITERRANEAN DROUGHT CONDITIONS

by

Fatima Zine Elabidine

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

in

Plant Pathology

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

May 1989

N378
I668

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Fatima Zine Elabidine

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

June 07, 1989 — Albert J. Schaefer

Date — Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

June 7, 1989 — L E Matthe

Date — Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

June 9, 1989 — Henry L Parsons

Date — Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgment of source is made.

Permission for extensive quotation from or reproduction of this thesis may be granted by my major professor, or in his absence, by the Dean of Libraries when, in the opinion of either, the proposed use of the material is for scholarly purposes. Any copying or use of the material in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature Fatima Zine Elabidine

Date 6/6/89.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge and express my thanks for the contributions of the following people:

Dr. A. L. Scharen, my major professor, for his professional guidance and patience throughout this study.

Dr. M. Reinhold, for her expert advice and support.

The members of my committee, Dr. T.W. Carroll, Dr. E. A. Hockett, and Dr. D.E. Mathre for their help and advice.

Dr. Richard Lund for his help in the experimental design and statistical analysis of the data, and for his assistance and encouragement during this study.

Mr. Bernard Sally for his technical assistance.

The U.S. Agency for International Development, Department of State, Washington, D.C., and the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) for providing financial aid with a research assistantship.

The P.E.O. Sisterhood ladies for their support and encouragement during this study.

To my parents, Mohamed and Rabha Zine Elabidine, my sisters and my brothers for their encouragement, financial support and love through the course of my studies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ix
INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE REVIEW	3
MATERIALS AND METHODS	11
RESULTS	16
Effect of Powdery Mildew on Barley Plants	16
Effect of Powdery Mildew and Water Stress on Barley Plants	19
DISCUSSION	29
Effect of Powdery Mildew on Barley Plants	29
Effect of Powdery Mildew and Water Stress on Barley Plants	30
LITERATURE CITED	35
APPENDICES	42
A - Effect of powdery mildew on dry weight of barley roots	43
B - Effect of powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots	45
C - Effect of powdery mildew and drought on dry weight of barley roots	47
D - Effect of powdery mildew and drought on dry weight of barley shoots	49
E - Effect of powdery mildew and drought on dry weights of barley shoots and roots (covariables)	51

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Reaction of several barley differential cultivars to inoculation with Bz1 isolate of E. graminis f. sp. hordei.	15
2.	Effect of powdery mildew on barley shoot and root dry weight.....	17
3.	Dry weight means of barley plant roots placed under inoculum and water stress.....	20
4.	Dry weight means of barley plant shoots placed under inoculum and water stress.....	20
5.	Effect of powdery mildew on dry weight means of barley shoot and root, experiment # 2.....	24
6.	Effect of water levels on barley shoot and root dry weights, means across treatment.....	24
7.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 1.....	44
8.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 2.....	44
9.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 3.....	44
10.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 1.....	46
11.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 2.....	46
12.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 3.....	46
13.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 1.....	48
14.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 2.....	48

LIST OF TABLES -- Continued

Table		Page
15.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 3.....	48
16.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 1.....	50
17.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 2.....	50
18.	Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 3.....	50
19.	Analysis of covariance for experiment # 1.....	52
20.	Analysis of covariance for experiment # 2.....	52
21.	Analysis of covariance for experiment # 3.....	52

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Effect of powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots and roots, experiment 3.....	18
2.	Effect of powdery mildew and water levels on barley shoots, experiment 2.....	21
3.	Effect of powdery mildew and water levels on barley roots, experiment 2.....	21
4.	Effect of powdery mildew on barley shoots and roots, and the relationship between the two variables, experiment 2.....	23
5.	Effect of powdery mildew on barley roots and shoots, experiment 2.....	25
6.	Effect of water levels on barley roots and shoots, experiment 2.....	25
7.	Effect of water level 0 and powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots and roots, experiment 2.....	27
8.	Effect of water level 1/3 and powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots and roots, experiment 2.....	27
9.	Effect of water level 2/3 and powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots and roots, experiment 2.....	28
10.	Effect of water level 3/3 and powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots and roots, experiment 2.....	28

ABSTRACT

The effect of powdery mildew on barley shoots and roots was investigated. Plants inoculated with powdery mildew exhibited reduced size and number of both primary and secondary roots. The effect of the fungus on dry weight of shoots and both seminal and adventitious roots was statistically significant. The combined effect of powdery mildew and four different soil-water levels also was studied. Diseased plants wilted and died sooner than healthy plants when both were subjected to drought. Dry weight of both shoots and roots was reduced under combined disease and drought stress. Barley seedlings infected with powdery mildew are more likely to be damaged by late season drought, even though symptoms of the disease disappear with the onset of hot, dry weather.

INTRODUCTION

Barley powdery mildew is an important foliar disease, caused by Erysiphe graminis f. sp. hordei. This disease has been studied intensively in cool and temperate regions in the world. In the Mediterranean area disease symptoms are usually observed on young plants, resulting in serious yield losses. Because powdery mildew symptoms disappear under high temperature and drought conditions in dry Mediterranean areas, the disease was not considered a serious threat to barley production. In these regions where in addition to diseases, barley suffers from harsh environmental conditions, such as high temperatures and drought, the crop performance is often very poor. In the Mediterranean area barley is grown on a large percentage of the arable land and is used as food for both humans and animals. It is used as green grazing, straw roughage, grain or as combinations of all these. Despite the importance of barley in the economy of the farming system in North Africa and the Middle East, it is grown under poor crop management practices and is given less attention than wheat.

Extensive literature is available on the effects of the fungus on above-ground parts of the plant and on the root system. However, little is known of the effect of powdery mildew on barley plants under drought conditions.

The following research was conducted to answer two principal questions:

1. Does barley powdery mildew affect shoot as well as root growth?

2. Can early infection of seedlings by powdery mildew exacerbate later effects of water stress on barley growth and productivity?

The answers to these questions may elucidate our understanding of the interaction between powdery mildew and drought and their effect on barley, and perhaps facilitate the orientation of additional research.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Barley powdery mildew, Erysiphe graminis DC. f. sp. hordei. Em. Marchal is a severe disease that occurs wherever barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is grown. Although the parasite lives superficially by sending only haustoria into epidermal cells of aerial parts of the plant, it can cause appreciable crop losses (Last, 1962). The disease has been intensively studied in temperate moist climate regions in the world. In northwestern Europe, early infection of spring barley is considered most damaging (Last,1962; Brooks, 1972; Jenkyn, 1974; Griffiths et al., 1975; Jenkyn and Bainbridge, 1978).

Many authors stressed the importance of the disease in Mediterranean Europe (Srivastava and Saari, 1975; Barradas, 1977; Comenge, 1977; Velasco, 1981). Powdery mildew is a devastating disease in Spain (Comenge, 1977; Velasco, 1981). In Portugal and Greece the disease is ranked second in importance to other barley diseases (Barradas, 1977; Skorda, 1977). In North Africa the losses reach 10 to 30%, and the disease is ranked third in importance to other barley diseases (Caddel and Wilcoxson, 1975; Boulif et al., 1981; El-Ahmed et al., 1981; Srivastava, 1981; Kamel, 1981; Kamel et al., 1987). In Egypt the damage from the disease first attracted researcher's attention in 1977 (Abdelhack et al., 1977).

In the Mediterranean regions, barley is cultivated under diverse climatic and edaphic conditions. The crop is sown in October-November and harvested in June depending on temperature and rainfall during the growth cycle. The climate is characterized by mild winters and hot summers. For instance, in Rabat, Morocco the average January and June temperatures are 10°C and 27°C, respectively. Rains occur in fall, winter and spring depending on the region and the rainfall is distributed sporadically. The precipitation is sometimes heavy and it is possible to receive a higher quantity of precipitation than the annual average in only one rain storm (Comenge, 1977). Barley is used as food for both humans and animals in North Africa and is the predominant crop in areas receiving 200-350 mm of annual rainfall (Srivastava and Saari, 1975; Kamel, 1981; Srivastava, 1981). In the other Mediterranean regions, barley is used as livestock feed and/or as a malting crop.

Powdery mildew symptoms are observed early in spring in Morocco (Schluter, 1976). In Tunisia, infections occur during tillering but the symptoms disappear when the plant reaches its adult stage (Ghodbane et al., 1981). In Greece, the infection occurs when the plant is in the 3-5 leaf stage (Skorda, 1981). Many authors have reported the disastrous effect of early infection on barley plants. Schluter (1976) found that the earlier the infection occurs, the higher the losses. Skorda (1981) observed that in Greece early

seedling infection has greater potential effect on yield than does infection on flag leaves. Schluter (1976) noticed that an attack during tillering can lead to high losses because the plants remain small through their cycle.

Early development of the disease is favored by high seeding rates and high humidity during winter and early spring (Ghodbane et al., 1981; Skorda, 1981). High seeding rates, combined with high rates of nitrogen fertilization in intensive cultural systems, has lead to a heavy development of the disease (Schluter, 1976; Skorda, 1977 and 1981).

The fungus overseasons as fruiting bodies (cleistothecia) that appear late in spring and early in summer (Schluter, 1976; Moseman, 1981). Under suitable conditions in the fall, cleistothecia open and release ascospores that are able to infect green living tissue (Smedegard-Petersen, 1967; Schluter, 1976). The sources of primary infection are 1) the debris carrying cleistothecia through the hot, dry summer, and 2) infected wild cereals and grasses (Schluter, 1976). Conidia are wind-borne and are responsible for secondary disease spread (Cherewick, 1944; Schluter, 1976). Many authors have reported the effects of the fungus on plant metabolism. E. graminis f.sp. hordei increases the host rate of respiration and decreases its photosynthesis (Allen and Goddard, 1938; Pratt, 1938; Allen, 1942; Rapilly et al., 1971). Moreover, Last (1962) found that the net assimilation rate of infected

barley plants was decreased 12 to 68 days after inoculation. Last (1962) and Rapilly et al. (1971) reported that the fungus causes an imbalance between shoots (assimilating system) and roots (absorbing system) by decreasing dry weight of roots per unit of leaf. Dry weight of diseased plants was lower than those of healthy plants (Last, 1962; Paulech, 1966; Brooks, 1972). This imbalance leads to a reduction of the number of tillers, the size and number of spikes, plant height and eventually yield (Last, 1962; Rapilly, 1971; Brooks, 1972; Griffiths et al., 1975). Last's findings indicated that grain size and yield of ears were seriously reduced, especially when infection occurs early in the plant cycle. Jenkyn (1974) obtained increases in grain size when early mildew attack was controlled by seed-applied fungicide (ethirimol). A study on the effects of powdery mildew at different growth stages on grain yield of barley was carried out by Griffiths et al. (1975). The disease was controlled by applications of drazoxolon. The results indicated that early mildew attack (Growth stage 2-6 in Feekes scale, Large 1954) reduced grain size and numbers of grains per tiller.

In a study of the effect of powdery mildew on barley growth, Last (1962) found that the number of shoots per plant was decreased within three weeks of inoculation, and that after this stage the shoot size was affected. He found that plants inoculated at seedling stage grew and yielded

less than plants sprayed with lime sulphur. A reduction in shoot number and size was observed by Jenkyn (1974). Early mildew attack reduced tiller number in a study conducted by Griffiths et al. (1975). Graf-Marin (1934) and Last (1962) reported that powdery mildew decreased dry matter production within three weeks of pathogenesis. Last (1962) also found that the total dry matter of mildewed plants was decreased significantly. Tillers of diseased plants are less likely to survive and produce ears (Paulech, 1966 and 1969; Brooks, 1972).

Most studies on powdery mildew have been oriented towards its effect on the leaves, with little attention given to possible effects on roots. However, Last (1962) found that the dry matter reduction caused by powdery mildew was relatively greater on roots than on shoots. In plants inoculated soon after emergence, root growth was decreased by up to 50%, resulting in a small root: shoot ratio (Last, 1962; Jenkyn and Bainbridge, 1978). The effect of powdery mildew on root growth was later confirmed by other authors (Paulech, 1966 and 1969; Brooks, 1972; Vizarova and Minarcic, 1974; Griffiths et al.,1975). Furthermore, Frimmel (1972, 1977) reported that infection at an early stage of plant development reduced the growth of the roots. The reduction of the root system caused by the fungus was explained by Minarcic and Paulech (1975) who found that E. graminis caused an excessive inhibition of mitotic cell

division in the barley root meristem during pathogenesis. Indeed, four weeks after inoculation, the process had almost fully ceased.

All the studies done on the effect of drought on barley agree that there is an intimate interrelationship between shoots and roots. Water stress affects barley growth and development because it controls the conditions and physiological processes which determine the quality and the quantity of plant growth. The critical periods for soil-water stress for barley are: a) onset of tillering, b) anthesis, which begins shortly after ear emergence (Doorenbos and Pruitt, 1975; Weltzien and Srivastava, 1981).

In a field study conducted in Syrian drylands, Gregory et al. (1984) found that the weight of roots formed a high percentage of the total plant weight: 40% to 50% during early stem elongation and 18% at anthesis. However, Gorny and Patyna (1984) reported that the size of the entire system under lower soil moisture was directly related to the size of the seminal root system, and that the adventitious roots were of minor importance.

Water stress also has an effect on plant nutrition. Mac Key (1980) and Shone and Flood (1983) reported that lack of soil water decreased ion uptake and transport to the shoots.

Drought stress may reduce yield as well (Kramer, 1980). A reduction of the number of grains per ear was observed by

Ayres and Zadocks (1979), depending on the severity and timing of drought. Water stress at tillering and heading stages of the plant reduces seed number (Turner, 1977). After heading, the seed size is reduced (Turner, 1980; Weltzien and Srivastava, 1981). Water stress occurring at the time of floral initiation induces a reduction of grain number per spike. Sustained water stress occurring at anthesis induces embryo abortion and results in a reduction of grain number and grain weight (Townley-Smith and Hurd, 1977; Weltzien and Srivastava, 1981).

Few studies have been conducted on the combined effect of powdery mildew and drought on barley plants. Brooks (1972) and Griffiths et al. (1975) suggested that when drought and mildew occur together they may cause particularly serious yield losses. Ayres and Zadocks (1979) studied the effect of powdery mildew disease on water relations and growth of barley plants in a growth chamber. Plants were grown at three different soil-water levels (dry, wet, medium) and inoculated at different growth stages (26, 64 and 45 days-old). They reported that when infection occurred early in barley plant development, mildew and lack of soil water had additive, harmful effects on plant growth. The inhibition of root and shoot growth caused by mildew, however, was proportionately less when plants were grown in dry soil than in wetter soils. In their study, infection late in the cycle of the plants (ears emerged) had no effect

on growth but a small increase in leaf senescence and water consumption.

In most of the studies conducted on the combined effect of powdery mildew and drought stress, barley plants were sown and grown at different soil-water levels. In this investigation the water treatment was applied when the plants were at the growth stage 7-8 in Feekes scale (Large, 1954).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The barley cultivar Manchuria (CI 2330), susceptible to all known races of Erysiphe graminis f.sp. hordei, was used throughout the study both to produce inoculum and conduct experiments.

In a preliminary experiment, three different kinds of soil were tested to determine which one was best suited for growing healthy plants and easily washed free of the roots. A mixture of one part soil and three parts sand used in experiment 1, proved to be superior to a soil, sand and peat mixture at a ratio of 1:1:1 or to sand alone used in experiments 2 and 3, respectively.

Plants were grown in plastic pots (9x9 cm). Two seeds were planted per pot and the seedlings were thinned to one per pot after one week. Plants were kept in a growth chamber with a twelve-hour photoperiod. Light was provided by 26 fluorescent light tubes of 95 watts each, and four mercury vapor bulbs (400 watts each), which supplied approximately 2200 lux. Tubes were changed often in order to ensure optimal and constant light conditions during the experiments.

The temperature regime ranged from 23°C in darkness to 28°C during light hours. Average relative humidity was 80%.

Plants were kept under cages of finely woven muslin (50 x 61 x 76 cm). Cages were fitted in metal pans (1.25 x .55 x .025 m each) to avoid cross contamination and plants were watered daily by adding three liters of water directly into the pans. Every week, each plant received 45 ml of the following nutrient solution:

$Ca(NO_3)_2,4H_2O$	0.850 g
$NaNO_3$	0.185 g
KH_2PO_4	0.163 g
KNO_3	0.144 g
$MgSO_4$	0.236 g
H_2O	1000 cc.

Each cage was equipped with a clear plastic top (to allow sufficient light penetration), and a muslin sleeve located on one side of the cage. The sleeve was used to avoid cross contamination when cages were opened.

In the first part of the study, the effect of barley powdery mildew on plant roots was studied. A completely randomized design was used with two treatments (inoculated and non-inoculated). Three experiments were conducted consecutively. The replications per treatment were 14, 12 and 13 in the first, second and third experiments respectively. Each replication corresponded to one seedling per pot. Plants were grown as previously described. The inoculation was carried out when plants were ten days old with isolate Bz1 by shaking or brushing conidia from

diseased plants over healthy leaves. Thirty days after planting, roots were washed thoroughly under running water. All remaining impurities were removed with a pair of tweezers. A series of three circular copper sieves (USA Standards testing Sieves, ASTME-11 specification No. 6, No. 7, No. 12) were placed under the roots to capture and recover pieces lost during the washing procedure. Shoots and roots were dried separately for 12 hours at 70°C in paper bags in a Fisher isotemp oven. Shoots and roots were weighed with a Mettler balance. A one-way analysis of variance was performed to compare weights of shoots and roots (Lund, 1989).

In the second part of the study, the combined effect of powdery mildew and soil water stress was investigated. Three consecutive experiments were carried out. For each experiment, a 2x4 factorial completely randomized design with four replications was used. The two factors studied were: a) the treatment (inoculated, non-inoculated) and, b) water levels (0 water added = 0 liters, 1/3 of normal water added = 1 liter, 2/3 of normal water added = 2 liters, normal water added = 3 liters). The normal water dose was three liters added to each pan at daily intervals. Each replication contained 32 plants (16 inoculated, 16 non-inoculated). The previously described inoculation technique was carried out when the plants were ten days old. Water treatments were applied when the plants were 60 days old, a

growth stage of 7-8 in Feeke's scale (Large, 1945). Plant roots were washed 15 days later in experiments 1 and 3. In the second experiment plant roots were washed 21 days after water treatments were begun. Shoots and roots were dried and weighed as described earlier. Data were analyzed by a multi-variable analysis of variance and covariance (Lund, 1989).

The isolate of Erysiphe graminis f.sp. hordei (Bz1) used in this study was collected in 1985 from naturally infested barley plants in the greenhouse at Montana State University. It was purified by single pustule isolation. The isolate was maintained and increased on Manchuria seedlings. Frequent virulence checks assured its purity throughout the experiment. The virulence spectrum of the isolate was determined by inoculating a set of barley differential cultivars (Table 1). The inoculum was kept available by weekly transfers of conidia from diseased to healthy Manchuria plants. Eight pots containing six Manchuria seedlings each were used. The plants were covered with clear glass lamp chimneys (25 cm tall) with a cotton plug in the top to avoid contamination. When the plants were eight days old, they were inoculated with the isolate Bz1 by shaking or brushing conidia from diseased plants over the healthy leaves. Small artist paint brushes were used. Diseased plants used as the source of inoculum for experiments were shaken 24 hours prior to inoculation, to

remove older conidia. Subsequently, inoculations were carried out with newly produced young conidia.

Table 1. Reaction of several barley differential cultivars to inoculation with Bz1 isolate of *E. graminis* f.sp. *hordei*.

Cultivar	CI number	Resistance gene[a]	Reaction[b] to Bz1
Black Russian	CI 2202	ML-a2	R
Gopal	CI 1019	ML-a5	R
Long Glumes	CI 6168	ML-a7	R
Monte Cristo	CI 1017	ML-a9	R
Palmella Blue	CI 3609	ML-a+ML-g	S
Peruvian	CI 935	ML-at	S
Sultan	CI 13837	ML-a12	S
Ricardo	CI 6306	ML-a3	R
HLN 70-8		ml-o	R
A 222	CI 11555	ML-a11	S
Iso 1R	CI 16137	ML-a	R
Iso 2R	CI 16139	ML-g	I
Iso 3R	CI 16141	ML-h	R
Iso 4R	CI 16143	ML-k	S
Iso 5R	CI 16145	ML-p	S
Iso 10R	CI 16147	ML-a7	R
Iso 12R	CI 16149	ML-a10	R
Iso 20R	CI 16151	ML-a6+14	R
Iso 24R	CI 16155	ML-a13	R

(a) Genes of resistance of the host to the isolate. ML = dominant, ml = recessive.
(b) R = resistant, S = susceptible.

RESULTS

Effect of Powdery Mildew on Barley Plants

The effect of the fungus on plant roots was substantial. Roots of inoculated plants were visibly smaller and less numerous than those of the non-inoculated controls at washing time. The statistical analysis showed highly significant differences between the dry weight of the roots of diseased plants and the roots of healthy plants in experiments 1 and 2 (Table 2, Appendix A: Tables 7, 8 and 9). However, the fungus had a lesser, but still significant effect on plant roots. The dry weight of roots of infected plants was lower than the dry weight of healthy roots. The inoculated plants exhibited a reduction of almost 50% of root dry weight when compared with the healthy plants (Figure 1).

The effect of powdery mildew on the shoots varied from one experiment to another (Table 2, Appendix B: Tables 10, 11 and 12). Experiment 3 indicated highly significant differences between the dry weight of shoots in the inoculated plants and those in the healthy plants. However, in experiments 1 and 2 the fungus did not have a significant effect on plant shoots (Table 2).

These results indicated that barley powdery mildew adversely affects roots and shoots by decreasing their mass and number.

The effect on roots was more striking than the effect on shoots. This may result in an imbalance between shoots and roots that can reduce the plants ability to yield grain.

Table 2. Effect of powdery mildew on barley shoot and root dry weight.

Experiment	Treatment	Replications	Roots[a]	Shoots[b]
			mg	
# 1	Healthy	14	116.7**	384.7
	Inoculated	14	48.3**	316.6
# 2	Healthy	12	151.5**	380.8
	Inoculated	12	46.25**	310.8
# 3				
	Healthy	13	19.02*	126.7**
	Inoculated	13	10.93*	77.8**

(a) Means of dry weight of roots, in mg.
(b) Means of dry weight of shoots, in mg.
** Means were significantly different at $P < .01$.
* Means were significantly different at $P < .05$.




Figure 1. Effect of powdery mildew on barley shoots and roots, experiment 3.

Effect of Powdery Mildew and Water Stress on Barley Plants

The effect of both drought stress and the fungus on barley plant growth was noticeable when the roots were washed. The inoculated plant shoots and roots were smaller and thinner than those of the healthy plants at each water level. Noticeable differences in plant growth were observed between the four water levels. As the water levels decreased, the size of inoculated and non-inoculated plants decreased.

Furthermore, the interaction between the two factors studied (disease and water stress) was found to be highly significant for both roots and shoots in the three experiments (Appendix C: Tables 13, 14 and 15; Appendix D: Tables 16, 17 and 18, respectively). Both disease and water stress were deleterious to plant shoot and root growth (Tables 3 and 4). The results of the second experiment are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3.

The distribution of dry weight of shoots and roots illustrates the interaction between the fungus and water stress. As water levels decreased, dry weight of both inoculated and healthy plants was reduced (Figures 2 and 3). However, healthy plants exhibited higher dry weights for both shoots and roots at each water level.

Table 3. Dry weight means of barley plant roots placed under inoculum and water stress[a].

Experiment	Replication	Water level (fraction of normal water[b])			
		0	1/3	2/3	3/3
Expt. #1					
Healthy	16	240.9	207.3	526.1	644.6
Inoculated	16	77.4	77.5	109.5	339.9
Expt. #2					
Healthy	16	437.3	440.4	489.6	826.3
Inoculated	16	45.4	90.8	106.8	156.3
Expt. #3					
Healthy	16	97.3	267.3	271.1	358.8
Inoculated	16	36.7	52.8	61.5	117.5

(a) All the means (in mg) were significantly different at $P < .01$.
(b) The normal water level was three liters, added daily.

Table 4. Dry weight means of barley plant shoots under both inoculum and water stress[a].

Experiment	Replications	Water level (fraction of normal water[b])			
		0	1/3	2/3	3/3
Expt. # 1					
Healthy	16	.624	.973	2.722	2.890
Inoculated	16	.364	.459	.623	.643
Expt. # 2					
Healthy	16	2.216	2.506	3.642	5.548
Inoculated	16	.428	1.044	1.081	1.293
Expt. # 3					
Healthy	16	.650	1.052	1.116	1.708
Inoculated	16	.262	.329	.451	.495

(a) All the means (in grams) were significantly different at $P < .01$.
(b) The normal water level was three liters, added daily.




Figure 2. Effect of powdery mildew and water levels on barley shoots, experiment 2.




Figure 3. Effect of powdery mildew and water levels on barley roots, experiment 2.

A covariance analysis was performed to determine the relationship between the dry weight of the shoots and the roots under both disease and water stress (Appendix E: Tables 19, 20 and 21). The second and third experiments showed a significant correlation between shoots and roots which indicates the strong interrelationship between the shoots and the roots. The coefficients of correlation between shoots (dependent variable) and the roots (independent variable) were, $r = 0.69$ and $r = 0.54$ in the second and the third experiment, respectively. For experiment 2, the distribution of the values of dry weights for shoots and roots, and regression lines for both inoculated and non-inoculated plants are illustrated in Figure 4. The coefficients of regression of shoots on roots were positive for both experiments 2 and 3 ($B = 3.669$, $B = 1.439$ respectively), indicating that shoots and roots varied together.

In this investigation the effect of powdery mildew was manifest on roots and shoots. This can be seen in Table 5 and Figure 5, where the results of the second experiment are illustrated.

Water stress induced a decrease in the dry weight of both inoculated and healthy plants (Table 5, Figure 6) corresponding to water level decrease. Analysis of variance showed highly significant results for the three experiments (Appendix D: Tables 16, 17, and 18). Water stress had a




Figure 4. Effect of powdery mildew on barley shoots and roots and the relationship betwwen the two variables. (A) Y + 3.669X + 1.466, (B) Y = 3.669X + .595.

Table 5. Effect of powdery mildew on dry weight means[a] of barley shoot and root, experiment # 2.

Treatment	Replications	Dry weight means	
		Roots	Shoots
		g	g
Healthy	64	.548	3.478
Inoculated	64	.099	.961

(a) means over inoculation levels (in grams).

Table 6. Effect of water levels on barley shoot and root dry weights, means across treatment.

Water level[b] (fraction of normal water)	Replications	Root Dry wt. means	Shoot[c] Dry Wt.
		g	g
Experiment # 1			
0	32	.142	.494
1/	32	.159	.716
2/3	32	.318	1.673
3/3	32	.492	1.766
Experiment # 2			
0	32	.241	1.322
1/3	32	.266	1.775
2/3	32	.298	2.361
3/3	32	.491	3.420
Experiment # 3			
0	32	.067	.456
1/3	32	.160	.690
2/3	32	.166	.784
3/3	32	.238	1.100

(b) The normal water level was three liters per day.
(c) All the means (in grams) were significantly different at $P < .01$.




Figure 5. Effect of powdery mildew on barley roots and shoots.




Figure 6. Effect of water levels on barley roots and shoots.

noticeable effect on shoot growth. For experiment 2 (Figure 6), water decrease induced a reduction in dry weight of shoots. For the roots, increasing of dry weight corresponded to increasing water levels (Figure 6). Soil-water stress had an effect on both inoculated and healthy plants. Thus, water stress and powdery mildew had an additive and harmful effect to barley plant shoot and root development (Appendix C: Tables 13, 14, and 15).

The distributions of shoot and root values at each water level indicated that the increase of shoots and roots is related to the water levels (Figures 7, 8, 9 and 10).




Figure 7. Effect of water level 0 and powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots and roots, experiment 2.




Figure 8. Effect of water level 1/3 and powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots and roots, experiment 2.




Figure 9. Effect of water level 2/3 and powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots and roots, experiment 2.




Figure 10. Effect of water level 3/3 and powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots and roots, experiment 2.

DISCUSSION

Effect of Powdery Mildew on Barley Plants

Powdery mildew is a foliar disease that causes serious damage to barley by affecting plant growth and development. Most work on the damaging effect of the fungus has been done in Europe where the fungus is present for most of the growing season. Under North African conditions, however, the seedling and young plant stages are usually subjected to powdery mildew infection.

In this study, powdery mildew was found to cause a noticeable reduction of both root and shoot growth in infected seedlings. Root size, mass, and dry weight were decreased. This reduction was obvious when soil was washed from roots. The deleterious effect of the fungus on root dry matter was similar to that observed by Last (1962) and Minarcic and Paulech (1975) under greenhouse conditions. Reduction of root mass in inoculated plants could be due to the early and severe infection by the fungus. Similar effects were observed in the field by Brooks (1972). In the present investigation, powdery mildew infection also resulted in a decrease of plant root size and in the dry weight ratio of root/total plant.

Infection by E. graminis had varying effects on the shoots that could have resulted from the different edaphic

and environmental conditions tested. Differences among results of the three experiments may also be attributed to the timing of their termination. At that growth stage a slowing of shoot growth probably had happened. Similar results were observed by Last (1962). However, powdery mildew had a more significant effect on roots than on the shoots. Similar results were reported from studies by Last (1962), Frimmel (1972) and Jenkyn (1974).

Powdery mildew affects the growth of young barley plants, thus making it a serious threat to barley cultivation in areas where the seedling stage is subjected to powdery mildew infection. Barley in the Middle East and North Africa is often grown on marginal lands and is not protected chemically against diseases. Use of disease-resistant varieties in the Mediterranean region appears to be the most economically effective means to control the effect of barley powdery mildew.

Effect of Powdery Mildew and Water Stress on Barley Plants

Barley in North Africa and the Middle East is not only grown on marginal lands, but also is subjected to severe water stress when drought conditions occur.

In the second part of this study, the combined effect of powdery mildew and water stress was investigated. In most of the dry Mediterranean regions water stress occurs in

spring, at boot and grain-filling stages (Comenge,1977; Skorda, 1977; Srivastava and Saari, 1975; Srivastava, 1981). In this investigation water stress treatment was applied at growth stage 7-8 in Feekes scale (Large, 1954), because the plants were grown under cages.

The effect of both fungus and water stress was obvious. Differences between inoculated and healthy plants at each water treatment were observed. Visual observations of plants showed that shoots and roots decreased as water levels decreased, especially in the absence of the fungus.

From our experiments as well as from those of others, it can be concluded that early infection by powdery mildew combined with late drought have an additive effect that is deleterious to barley growth.

The additive effects of fungus and drought depend on the severity and the timing of both factors. Early powdery mildew infection reduces plant growth and development, whereas late infections during the plant growing cycle have little effect on barley growth (Ayres and Zadocks, 1979). However, soil-water stress that occurs late in the plant growth cycle may determine the magnitude of the losses induced (Turner, 1977; Weltzein and Srivastava, 1981). The importance of the root system for plant growth has been stressed by many authors (Mac Key, 1980 and 1982; Gorny and Patyna, 1984; Hockett, 1986). An intimate interrelationship exists between shoots, roots, and environmental factors

(Elbassam, 1981). Therefore, the plant root system is essential for the development and grain production of barley (Gorny and Patyna, 1984). The number of kernels per spike increases when the number of adventitious roots per tiller increase (Hockett,1986). Because powdery mildew infection induces a reduction of root size, weight and mass, the impact on barley yield may be devastating (Last, 1962; Brooks, 1972; Griffiths et al., 1975; Ayres and Zadocks, 1979). Deep roots and abundant root hairs are critical when water is limited or unevenly distributed (Mac Key, 1973, 1977 and 1980). Thus, if plants resist powdery mildew infection, they will have normal root systems and should be able to make better use of available water. Also, they should have higher yields than plants susceptible to the disease. If a drought resistant cultivar is used, the deleterious effects of early infection by powdery mildew will not be exacerbated by drought.

In this investigation, the experiments were completed before the plant heading stage. Thus, the effect of both the fungus and the drought on yield could not be determined. However, powdery mildew caused a reduction of grain weight, and drought reduced the number of grains per ear (Ayres and Zadocks, 1979). In this study, late drought on seedlings exacerbated the effect of early powdery mildew infection on plant growth. Shoot and root size, mass, and dry weights

were reduced. These reductions could eventually lead to reduced yields.

In Mediterranean regions, powdery mildew symptoms in the field disappear in the presence of elevated temperatures and drought. Therefore, the damage by the fungus may have been underestimated, especially when mildew infection is followed by water stress. This study suggests that early control of powdery mildew is advisable, especially for areas where drought conditions occur later in the growing season.

In Mediterranean dry areas, barley is cultivated for its grain, straw and also is sometimes used for grazing. It receives far less attention than wheat and is grown on marginal lands under poor crop management practices. Disease resistant varieties are not currently available. In those regions, weeds and pests are not controlled in barley. Use of varieties resistant to powdery mildew may increase barley production. Such varieties should escape the devastating effect of early infection by the fungus, and should have a better chance of tolerating late drought. Plants resistant to the fungus will be stronger and will develop normal, healthy root systems which can reach the deeper water levels found under drought conditions. Continued research aiming to produce resistant plants to both powdery mildew and drought will help to increase barley productivity in Mediterranean regions.

Future studies could gain more knowledge by concentrating on the effect of different levels of infection combined with different water levels and different stages of plant growth.

LITERATURE CITED

LITERATURE CITED

Allen, J.P. 1942. Changes in metabolism of wheat leaves induced by infection with powdery mildew. Amer. J. Bot. 29: 425-435.

Allen, P.J., and Goddard, D.R. 1938. Changes in wheat metabolism caused by powdery mildew. Science 88: 192-193.

Allen, P.J., and Goddard, D.R. 1938. A respiratory study of powdery mildew on wheat. Amer. J. Bot. 25: 613-621.

Abdel-Hak, T.M, and Ghobrail, E. 1977. The barley disease situation in the Near East with special reference to sources of resistance. Pages 311-319 in: Proc. 4th Regional Winter Cereal Workshop - Barley. Vol.2. Amman, Jordan.

Ayres, P.G., and Zadocks, J.C. 1979. Combined effects of powdery mildew disease and soil water level on the water relations and growth of barley. Physiol. Plant Pathology 14: 347-361.

Barradas, M.T. 1977. Aspects of growing and breeding of barley in Portugal. Pages 204-209, in: Proc. 4th Regional Winter Cereal Workshop - Barley. Vol 1. Amman, Jordan.

Barradas, M.T. 1981. Leaf scald - A major disease in Portugal. Pages 90-94 in: Barley Diseases and Associated Methodology Workshop. Rabat, Morocco.

Boulif, M., Burleigh, J., and Gallagher, L. 1981. The barley Moroccan improvement programs and diseases problems. Pages 235-245 in : Barley Diseases and Associated Breeding Methodology Workshop, Rabat, Morocco.

Brooks, D.H. 1972. Observations on the effects of mildew, Erysiphe graminis, on the growth of spring and winter barley. Ann. Appl. Biol. 70: 149-156.

Caddel, J.L., and Wilcoxson, R.D. 1975. Resistance of some North American barley cultivars to diseases and lodging in Morocco. Plant Dis. Repr. 59: 676-678.

Cherewick, W.J. 1944. Studies on the biology of Erysiphe graminis DC. Can .J. Res. Sect. 22: 52-86.

Comenge, E.J. 1977. Status of barley improvement in the European Mediterranean climate. Pages 192-198 in: Proc. 4th Regional Winter Cereal Workshop - Barley. Vol 1. Amman, Jordan.

Doorenbos, J., and Pruitt, W.O. 1975. Crop water requirements. F.A.O, Rome.

El-Ahmed, A., Ghodbane, F., and El faleh, M. 1981. Barley diseases in Tunisia and sources of resistance. Pages 262-272 in: Barley Diseases and Associated Breeding Methodology Workshop, Rabat, Morocco.

Elbassam, N. 1981. Genetical variation in efficiency of plant root system. Pages 295-299 in: Structure and Function of Plants roots. Brouwer et al. (eds.). Martinus and Junk Publishers. The Hague, Boston, London.

Frimmel, V.G. 1972. Ertragsverluste durch Mehltaubefall bei Gerste Moglichkeiten der Resistenzzuchtung. Die Bodenkultur 23: 337-345.

Frimmel, V.G. 1977. Effect of powdery mildew on winter hardiness and drought resistance. Z. Plflanzenzuchtg 97: 256-260.

Ghodbane, A., El-Ahmed, A., Laribi, F., and Ketata, H. 1981. Barley program in Tunisia for improving resistance to powdery mildew. Pages 126-129 in: Barley Diseases and Associated Breeding Methodology Workshop, Rabat, Morocco.

Gorny, A.G., and Patyna, H. 1984. The development of the root system in seven spring barley varieties under high and low soil irrigation levels. Z. acker-und Pflanzenbau. 153: 264-273.

Graf-Marin, A. 1934. Studies on powdery mildew of cereals. Mem. Cornell Agr. Exp. Sta. No 15.

Gregory, P.J., Shepherd, K.D., and Cooper, P.J. 1984. Effects of fertilizer on root growth and water use of barley in Northern Syria. J. agric. Sci., Camb. 103: 429-438.

Griffiths, E., Gareth, J.D., and Valentine, M. 1975. Effects of powdery mildew at different growth stages on grain yield of barley. Ann. Appl. Biol. 80: 343-349.

Hadjichristodoulou, A. 1981. Aspects of barley breeding for dry Mediterranean regions. Pages 383-388 in: Barley Genetics IV. Proc. 4th Int. Barley Symp., Edinburgh, UK. Asher et al. (eds.). Edinburgh University Press.

Hockett, E.A. 1986. Relationship of adventitious roots and agronomic characteristics in barley. Can. J. Plant. Sci. 66: 257-266.

Jenkyn, J.F. 1974. Effect of mildew on the growth and yield of spring barley: 1969-72. Ann. Appl. Biol. 78:281-288.

Jenkyn, J.F., and Bainbridge, A. 1978. Biology and pathology of cereals powdery mildew. Pages 283-321 in: The powdery mildews. Spencer (ed.). Academic Press, New York, San Francisco.

Kamel, A.H. 1981. Barley diseases in the dry areas. Pages 27-36 in: Barley Diseases and Associated Breeding Methodology Workshop, Rabat, Morocco.

Kamel, A.H., Harabi, M., Deghaies, M., Halila, H., and Ben Salah, M. 1987. Wheat and barley in Tunisia. Rachis 6 (2): 24-29.

Kramer, P.J. 1980. Drought, stress, and the origin of adaptations. Pages 7-20 in: Adaptations of plants to water and high temperatures stress. Turner and Kramer (eds.). Wiley - Interscience, New York.

Large, E.C. 1954. Growth stages in cereals. Illustrations of Feekes scale. Plant Pathol. 3: 128-129.

Last, F.T. 1962. Analysis of the effects of E.graminis DC. on the growth of barley. Ann. of Bot., N.S. 26: 297-289.

Lund, R.E. 1989. MSUSTAT, a statistical analysis package. Version 4.12, developed at Montana State University. Bozeman, MT.

Mac Key, J. 1973. The wheat root. Pages 827-842 in: Proc. 4th Int. Wheat Genet. Symp. Missouri Agr. Exp. Stat., Columbia, MO.

Mac Key, J. 1979. Wheat domestication as shoot:root interrelation Process. Pages 875-890 in: Proc. 5th Int. Wheat Genet. Symp., New Delhi, 1978. Vol. 2. Indian Soc. Genet. Pl. Breed., New Delhi, India. Ramanujam (ed.)

Mac Key, J. 1980. Crop improvement and root : water relations. Pages 69-79 in: PLant Roots: a Compilation of Ten Seminars. Iowa State University.

Mac Key, J. 1982. Shoot, root and shoot : root interrelations in cereals and the ideotype concept. Pages 1-21 in: Proc. Int. Symp. on New Genetical approaches to Crop Improvement, Karachi, Pakistan.

Minarcic, P., and Paulech, C. 1975. Influence of powdery mildew on mitotic cell division of apical root meristems of barley. Phytopath. Z. 83: 341 - 347.

Moseman, J.C. 1981. Powdery mildew of barley. Pages 107-116 in: Barley Diseases and Associated Breeding Methodology Workshop, Rabat, Morocco.

Paulech, C. 1966. Photosynthesis and respiration of barley while being actually infected by powdery mildew (Erysiphe graminis f. sp. hordei Marchal). Biologia, Bratisl. 21: 321-329.

Paulech, C. 1969. Influence of Erysiphe graminis DC. on the amount of dry substance and on the growth of vegetative organs. Biologia, Bratisl. 24: 709-720.

Pratt, R. 1938. Respiration of infected wheat with powdery mildew. Science 88:62.

Rapilly, F., Lemaire, J.M, and Cassini R. 1971. L'oidium de l'orge. Pages 75-81 in: Les principales maladies cryptogamiques des cereales. INRA et l'Institut Technique des Cereales et des Fourrages, Paris, France.

Schluter, K. 1976. Oidium des cereales. Pages 27-28 in: Maladies et Ravageurs des Plantes Cultivees au Maroc. I.N.R.A., Rabat, Morocco.

Shone, M.G.T, and Flood, A. 1983. Effects of periods of localized water stress on subsequent nutrient uptake by barley roots and their adaptation by osmotic adjustment. New Phytol. 94: 561-572.

Skorda, E.A. 1977. Barley Cultivation and improvement in Greece. Pages 199-209 in: Proc. 4th Regional winter Cereals Workshop - Barley. Vol. 2. Amman, Jordan.

Skorda, E.A. 1981. Powdery mildew of barley in Greece. Pages 117-125 in: Barley Diseases and Associated Breeding Methodology Workshop, Rabat, Morocco.

Smedegard-Petersen, V. 1967. Studies of E.graminis DC. with a special view to the importance of the perithecia for attacks of barley and wheat in Danemark. Pages 1-28 in: Den Kgl. Vet. - og landbohojskoles Arsskrift.

Srivastava, J.P. 1977. Barley Production, Utilization and research in the Afro-Asia Region. Pages 242-259 in: Proc. 4th Regional Winter Cereal Workshop - Barley. Vol. 2. Amman, Jordan.

Srivastava, J.P. 1981. Barley - Its status and potential in North Africa and the Middle East. Pages 6-18 in: Barley Diseases and Associated Breeding Methodology Workshop, Rabat, Morocco.

Srivastava, J.P., and Saari, E.E. 1975. Barley improvement in the North Africa and Near and Middle East Region. Pages 814-820 in: Barley Genetics III. Proc. 3d. Int. Barley Genet. Symp. Garching, W. Germany.

Turner, N.C. 1977. Drought resistance and adaptation to water deficits in crop plants. Pages 343-372 in Stress Physiology in crop plants. Mussell and Staples (eds.). Wiley - Interscience, New york.

Turner, N.C., and Jhones, M.M. 1980. Turgor maintenance by osmotic adjustment: a review and evaluation. Pages 87-103 in: Adaptation of plants to water and high temperature stress. Turner and Kramer (eds.). Wiley -Interscience, New York.

Townely-Smith, T.F., and Hurd, E.A. 1977. Testing and selecting for drought resistance in wheat. Pages 447-464 in: Stress physiology in crop plants. Mussell and Staples (eds.). Wiley - Interscience, New York.

Velasco, J.H. 1981. Barley in Spain. Pages 256-268 in: Barley Diseases and Associated Methodology Workshop. Rabat, Morocco.

Vizarova, G., and Minarcic, P. 1974. The influence of powdery mildew upon the cytokinins and the morphology of barley roots. Phytopath. Z. 81: 49-55.

Weltzien, H. C, and Srivastava, J.P. 1981. Stress factors and barley productivity and their implications in breeding strategies. Pages 351-374 in: Barley Genetics IV. Proc. 4th Int. Barley Symp., Edinburgh, UK. Asher et al. (eds.). Edinburgh University Press.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

EFFECT OF POWDERY MILDEW ON DRY WEIGHT OF BARLEY ROOTS

Effect of powdery mildew on dry weight of barley roots

Table 7. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 1.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Treatment	1	.033	.033	14.46	.0000
Residual	26	.059	.226E-02		

Table 8. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 2.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Treatment	1	.066	.066	247.30	.0000
Residual	22	.592E-02	.269E-03		

Table 9. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 3.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Treatment	1	.425E-03	.425E-03	4.40	.0466
Residual	24	.232E-02	.965E-04		

APPENDIX B

EFFECT OF POWDERY MILDEW ON DRY WEIGHT OF BARLEY SHOOTS

Effect of powdery mildew on dry weight of barley shoots

Table 10. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 1.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Treatment	1	.032	.032	3.86	.0601
Residual	26	.218	.839E-02		

Table 11. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 2.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Treatment	1	.029	.029	3.70	.0675
Residual	22	.175	.794E-02		

Table 12. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 3.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Treatment	1	.016	.016	19.61	.0000
Residual	24	.019	.794E-03		

APPENDIX C

EFFECT OF POWDERY MILDEW AND DROUGHT ON DRY WEIGHT OF BARLEY ROOTS

Effect of powdery mildew and drought on dry weight of barley roots.

Table 13. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 1.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Water	3	2.564	.855	63.74	.0000
Treatment	1	2.064	2.064	153.93	.0000
Water*Trt	3	.418	.139	10.41	.0000
Residual	120	1.609	.013		

Table 14. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 2.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Water	3	1.244	.415	21.98	.0000
Treatment	1	6.439	6.439	341.21	.0000
Water*Trt	3	.531	.177	9.38	.0000
Residual	120	2.265	.019		

Table 15. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of roots, experiment # 3

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Water	3	.473	.158	13.13	.0000
Treatment	1	.054	1.054	87.82	.0000
Water*Trt	3	.160	.054	4.47	.0052
Residual	120	1.440	.012		

APPENDIX D

EFFECT OF POWDERY MILDEW AND DROUGHT ON DRY WEIGHT OF BARLEY SHOOTS

Effect of powdery mildew and drought on dry weight of barley shoots

Table 16. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 1.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Water	3	40.712	13.571	67.77	.0000
Treatment	1	52.456	52.456	261.94	.0000
Water*Trt	3	25.912	8.637	43.13	.0000
Residual	120	243.031	.200		

Table 17. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 2.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Water	3	78.886	26.295	50.31	.0000
Treatment	1	202.640	202.640	387.74	.0000
Water*Trt	3	37.325	12.442	23.81	.0000
Residual	120	62.716	.523		

Table 18. Analysis of variance for variable dry weight of shoots, experiment # 3.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-Value	P-Value
Water	3	6.837	2.279	26.50	.0000
Treatment	1	17.885	17.885	207.97	.0000
Water*Trt	3	2.843	.948	11.02	.0000
Residual	120	10.320	.086		

APPENDIX E

EFFECT OF POWDERY MILDEW AND DROUGHT ON BARLEY SHOOT AND ROOT DRY WEIGHT (COVARIABLES)

Effect of powdery mildew and drought on barley shoot and root dry weight (covariables)

Table 19. Analysis of covariance for experiment # 1.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Covariables(s)	1	.477	.477	2.41	.1232
Water	3	15.854	5.285	26.70	.0000
Treatment	1	18.285	18.285	92.38	.0000
Water*Trt	3	17.705	5.902	29.93	.0000
Residual	119	24.031	.198		

Table 20. Analysis of covariance for experiment # 2.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Covariable(s)	1	30.487	30.487	112.57	.0000
Water	3	18.836	6.278	23.18	.0000
Treatment	1	6.310	6.310	23.30	.0000
Water*Trt	3	11.652	3.884	14.34	.0000
Residual	119	32.229	.271		

Table 21. Analysis of covariance for experiment # 3.

Source	df	S.S.	M.S.	F-value	P-value
Covariables(s)	1	.416	.416	48.37	.0000
Water	3	.033	.011	1.28	.2853
Treatment	1	.010	.010	1.34	.2499
Water*Trt	3	.056	.018	2.16	.0965
Residual	119	1.024	.860E-02		

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES
3 1762 10060967 4