



Effects of renovation on the Sacajawea Park Lagoon system in Livingston, Montana
by Thomas Patrick Clancey

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Fish and Wildlife Management

Montana State University

© Copyright by Thomas Patrick Clancey (1983)

Abstract:

The water chemistry, morphology, and trout populations in sections of Fleshman Creek and the water quality and quantity in the Sacajawea Park lagoon were studied from July 1980 to July 1982 to determine the effects of renovation on the lagoon system. Prior to renovation (June 1, 1981), water from Fleshman Creek flowed through all three study sections and the sediment filled Sacajawea Park Lagoon basin. After renovation, the two downstream study sections and the lagoon contained water from the Yellowstone River. Average dissolved oxygen concentrations, temperatures and pH levels increased and turbidities, conductivities, alkalinities and hardnesses in the affected areas decreased following renovation. Physical alteration of one stream section resulted in (1) a decrease in channel width, average depth and total cover, (2) increases in average velocity and sinuosity and (3) elimination of the estimated 67 (± 13) trout present. Following renovation, the beginning of recolonization by trout was documented. Before renovation, the lagoon area contained only a stream of water averaging 21 cm in depth with a peak discharge of $0.28 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and was unsuitable for recreation. After the removal of nearly $23,000 \text{ m}^3$ of sediments, the lagoon averaged 1.5 m in depth, contained over $23,000 \text{ m}^3$ of water and was attractive to boaters, fishermen, and other recreationists.

EFFECTS OF RENOVATION ON THE SACAJAWEA
PARK LAGOON SYSTEM IN
LIVINGSTON, MONTANA

by
Thomas Patrick Clancey

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of
Master of Science
in
Fish and Wildlife Management

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

May 1983

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Thomas Patrick Clancey

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

5/18/83
Date

William R. Gould
Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

5/20/83
Date

J. M. Luchetti
Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

5-24-83
Date

Michael Malone
Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgment of source is made.

Permission for extensive quotation from or reproduction of this thesis may be granted by my major professor, or in his/her absence, by the Director of Libraries when, in the opinion of either, the proposed use of the material is for scholarly purposes. Any copying or use of the material in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature

Pat Clancy

Date

May 23, 1983

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to sincerely thank those who aided him during the study. Dr. William Gould directed the study, assisted in the field and aided with the preparation of the manuscript. Drs. Harold Picton and Robert Eng critically reviewed the manuscript. Friends and other students offered suggestions and aided with field work. The Save our Lagoon Committee deserves recognition for their efforts in securing funding for the rehabilitation effort.

Special thanks to my family for their support, and especially to Chris Clancey for his technical and moral support. The City of Livingston, Park County, the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation and the Environmental Protection Agency, provided funding. The Montana Cooperative Fisheries Research Unit provided in-kind services, supplies and equipment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
VITA	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
ABSTRACT	x
INTRODUCTION	1
DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA	3
METHODS	8
Water Quality Analyses	8
Sampling in Stream Study Section	9
Sampling in the Sacajawea Park Lagoon Basin	10
Sampling in the Yellowstone River	11
Statistical Testing	11
RESULTS	12
Stream Sections	12
Water Quality Parameters	12
Physical Measurements	23
Trout Populations	27
Sacajawea Park Lagoon	34
Water Quality Parameters	34
Lagoon Basin Depths	40
Aquatic Biota	40
SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	43
LITERATURE CITED	47
APPENDIX	49

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Values of dissolved oxygen concentrations measured in the stream study sections	13
2. Values of turbidity measured in the stream study sections	14
3. Values of conductivity measured in the stream study sections	15
4. Values of alkalinity measured in the stream study sections	16
5. Values of hardness measured in the stream study sections	17
6. Values of temperatures measured in the stream study sections	18
7. Values of pH measured in the stream study sections	19
8. Maximum monthly discharges measured in cubic meters/second in the stream study sections of the Sacajawea Lagoon System	24
9. Mean values of selected physical characteristics in study sections in Fleshman Creek in 1980 and 1981	25
10. Estimates of population numbers and biomass of trout in three study sections of Fleshman Creek before and after rehabilitation	28
11. Mean back calculated length and weight at age for brook trout captured in Section 1 before and after rehabilitation	30
12. Mean back calculated length and weight at age for I+ and II+ year old brown trout captured in Section 2 in 1980 before rehabilitation	31

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Table	Page
13. Mean back calculated length and weight at age for brown trout captured in Section 3 before and after rehabilitation	31
14. Age class composition of trout captured in the study sections before and after rehabilitation	33
15. Values of water quality parameters at Station 4 in Sacajawea Park Lagoon	35
16. Concentrations of suspended materials in Fleshman Creek at Sacajawea Park Lagoon and in the Yellowstone River as it enters Sacajawea Park Lagoon	39
17. Secchi disc measurements at three stations in the Sacajawea Park Lagoon after rehabilitation	41
18. Depth of the Sacajawea Park Lagoon at three stations after rehabilitation	41
19. Values of selected water quality parameters of the Yellowstone River at the intake for the Sacajawea Park Lagoon System	51
20. The values of selected water quality parameters measured in duplicate samples to determine the precision and accuracy of methods used in this study	53
21. Total length-scale radius and total length-weight relationship equations used for the backcalculations of length and weight in Sections 1, 2 and 3 in Fleshman Creek in 1980 and 1981	55

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Map of the study area showing location of study sections on Freshman Creek and the Sacajawea Park Lagoon	4
2. Map showing location of sampling stations in the Sacajawea Park Lagoon	7

ABSTRACT

The water chemistry, morphology, and trout populations in sections of Fleshman Creek and the water quality and quantity in the Sacajawea Park Lagoon were studied from July 1980 to July 1982 to determine the effects of renovation on the lagoon system. Prior to renovation (June 1, 1981), water from Fleshman Creek flowed through all three study sections and the sediment filled Sacajawea Park Lagoon basin. After renovation, the two downstream study sections and the lagoon contained water from the Yellowstone River. Average dissolved oxygen concentrations, temperatures and pH levels increased and turbidities, conductivities, alkalinities and hardnesses in the affected areas decreased following renovation. Physical alteration of one stream section resulted in (1) a decrease in channel width, average depth and total cover; (2) increases in average velocity and sinuosity and (3) elimination of the estimated 67 (+ 13) trout present. Following renovation, the beginning of recolonization by trout was documented. Before renovation, the lagoon area contained only a stream of water averaging 21 cm in depth with a peak discharge of 0.28 m³/s and was unsuitable for recreation. After the removal of nearly 23,000 m³ of sediments, the lagoon averaged 1.5 m in depth, contained over 23,000 m³ of water and was attractive to boaters, fishermen, and other recreationists.

INTRODUCTION

The Sacajawea Park Lagoon System at Livingston, Montana has been severely impacted by sediments from Fleshman Creek since its formation. It was created in 1939 and by 1959 it had become too shallow to hold fish or provide a site for general recreation and required dredging to make it fully usable again. Concern for the adverse impacts of continuing deposition on the general use of the lagoon and on its suitability for the annual Children's Trout Derby caused interested state and local officials and private citizens to form the Save Our Lagoon Committee in April 1976 to determine ways to rehabilitate the lagoon.

The efforts of this committee resulted in the State of Montana entering into an agreement with the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (E.P.A.) in July 1980 to renovate the Sacajawea Park Lagoon System under the Clean Lakes Act of 1977. This Act, in part, provided a means whereby states could enter into an agreement with the E.P.A. to receive funds for the development and implementation of restoration programs for lakes which would provide significant public benefits over a long period of time.

The plan for renovation of the Sacajawea Park Lagoon System included recommendations that the lagoon be excavated to bedrock and a source of water other than Fleshman Creek be used to reduce the rate of future sedimentation in the system. The objectives of this study were to

measure changes in selected water quality parameters, channel morphology, and trout populations which resulted from the renovation of the lagoon system. Field work was conducted from July 1980 through July 1982.

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

The Sacajawea Park Lagoon System is located in southcentral Montana in Park County at the City of Livingston (Figure 1). It is formed by two side channels of the Yellowstone River which the city severed from the river with rock dikes. The 2.3 hectare (ha) lagoon was formed where the two side channels converged. With the elimination of flows from the Yellowstone River in 1939, the sole source of water for the system became Fleshman Creek.

Fleshman Creek has its headwaters on Bangtail Ridge in the Bridger Mountains west of Livingston. It flows in an easterly direction for approximately 23 kilometers (km). The drainage area is 63 km² consisting largely of moderately alkaline loam and clay-loam soils (Don Freeman, personal communication) used for rangeland, irrigated cropland, and some housing. The creek has an average gradient of 34 meters per kilometer (m/km) and typically contains less than 0.28 cubic meters per second (m³/s) of water from July to April. However, during the spring, flows may increase to 1.42 m³/s, at which time significant amounts of soil and organic materials are transported.

The Yellowstone River, which is within a few hundred feet of the Sacajawea Park Lagoon, flows north at Livingston. Its drainage area above Livingston is 9197 km² (U.S.G.S.) in primarily igneous and sedimentary deposits (Perry, 1962). Maximum discharge during the 1981 water



Figure 1. Map of the study area showing the location of study sections (1-3) on Fleshman Creek and the Sacajawea Park Lagoon.

year (Oct. 1980 - Sept. 1981) was $674 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ on June 9, and minimum discharge was $21 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ on February 11. The average discharge for the past 56 years has been $106.4 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (U.S.G.S., 1981).

Study Sections and Sites

Three study sections were established on Fleshman Creek near the Sacajawea Park Lagoon (Figure 1). Section 1 was established upstream from the lagoon and extended from Sun Avenue to a point 307 m upstream. This section served as a control and had no rehabilitative work performed on it. Section 2 was located immediately below Sacajawea Park Lagoon from South Second Street to South C Street. The channel in this section was originally 368 m in length. During renovation it was narrowed and given meanders by the strategic placement of sediments dredged from the lagoon, thereby lengthening it. Willow shoots and grasses were planted in the newly created banks to prevent erosion and to provide future cover over the stream. This section received water from Fleshman Creek prior to renovation and water from the Yellowstone River after Fleshman Creek was diverted from the lagoon. Section 3 was situated below the lagoon from South F Street to a point 400 m downstream. No physical alterations were performed on this section; however, as in Section 2, its source of water was from Fleshman Creek before renovation and from the Yellowstone River afterwards.

A site for sampling water in the Yellowstone River was also established. This site was located at the water intake structure for the lagoon and the city of Livingston.

From the start of this study on July 10, 1980, until Yellowstone River water was introduced on June 1, 1981, the lagoon contained only a shallow stream from Fleshman Creek which averaged about 21 cm in depth, 3.7 m in width and contained less than $0.28 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ of water. The lagoon was not a lagoon as such because its basin was filled with sediments. The water in the creek at Station 1 (Figure 2) was sampled as the best indicator of what water in the lagoon might have been like.

Renovation was begun on July 31, 1980, when an earthfill dam was constructed at the head of the lagoon to divert Fleshman Creek away from the lagoon and into the Yellowstone River. Following this, the lagoon was excavated to bedrock. Over $22,935 \text{ m}^3$ of sediments were removed and stockpiled. A box type weir was constructed at the point of outflow from the lagoon to control the water level in the lagoon and the flow in Fleshman Creek below the newly formed lagoon. A pipeline then was constructed from the city's water intake on the Yellowstone River to the head of the lagoon to provide water believed to contain a lower, suspended sediment load. After all construction and renovation was completed, water from the Yellowstone River filled the Sacajawea Park Lagoon within 24 hours (hrs) of introduction. Following filling, water was initially sampled at Stations 2-4 (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Map showing the location of sampling stations (1-4) in the Sacajawea Park Lagoon.

METHODS

Water Quality Analyses

Water samples taken from Flesherman Creek, the Sacajawea Park Lagoon area, and the Yellowstone River were analyzed for dissolved oxygen (D.O.), turbidity, conductivity, alkalinity, hardness, temperature and hydrogen ion concentration (pH). Concentrations of D.O., alkalinity, and hardness were obtained following Standard Methods (APHA, 1975). Turbidities were measured with a Hach Model 2100 Turbidimeter. A Beckman Model RB3 Solu Bridge was used to measure conductivities. Temperatures were determined with either a Taylor Field Thermometer or an Applied Research Austin Model FT3 Hydrographic Thermometer. An Orion Model 407 Specific Ion Meter was used to measure pH. All temperature and pH readings were made in situ. Analyses for D.O., turbidity, conductivity, alkalinity, and hardness were performed at Montana State University.

The precision of methods used to determine conductivity, alkalinity, hardness, and pH values in this study were assessed by analyzing duplicate samples of water from selected sampling sites and periods. The accuracy of methods used in analyses was measured by comparing my results with those obtained by the Chemistry Station Analytical Laboratory on the Montana State University campus from duplicate samples.

Sampling in Stream Study Section

Water samples were collected weekly from July 10, 1980 until September 1, 1981 and at 2 week intervals thereafter through December 21, 1981. Following this date, water samples were taken monthly until the end of the study.

Transects were established 20 m apart on each stream study section. Width, average depth, and thalweg velocity were determined at each transect. Overhanging and instream cover were measured within 1 m on each side of the transect line. Overhanging cover was defined as material no more than 60 centimeters (cm) above the water surface over water at least 10 cm in depth.

Sinuosity was estimated by dividing the thalweg distance by the downvalley distance in each section. Gradients were determined using a stadia rod and transit. Discharge was measured periodically with a Teledyne-Gurley No. 622 or No. 625 current meter.

Trout in the study sections were captured by electro-fishing. Each fish was measured to the nearest millimeter (mm), weighed to the nearest 5.0 grams (g) or nearest 0.1 pound (lb), marked with a fin clip and released.

Recapture runs were made 6 or more days after marking runs. Population estimates were made using the Chapman modification of the Petersen method (Ricker, 1975). Biomass estimates were made by methods outlined by Chapman (1978). Eighty percent confidence intervals were calculated for the estimated population numbers and biomass.

Sampling in the Sacajawea Park Lagoon Basin

From July 10, 1980 - December 8, 1980 (pre-renovation), water of Fleshman Creek in the Sacajawea Park Lagoon Basin was sampled weekly at Station 1 (Figure 2) as a substitute for lagoon water. From June 30, 1981 - December 21, 1981 (post-renovation), water samples were taken weekly or biweekly at Stations 2, 3, and 4 in the lagoon (Figure 2). Because water quality analyses from the above stations were virtually identical, monthly samples were taken only from Station 4 from December 21, 1981, to the end of the study. Samples from the stream in the basin were collected in glass or polyvinyl bottles. Those from the lagoon were collected from near the surface in a polyvinyl bottle and from near the bottom with a Van Dorn water sampler.

Morphological measurements of the stream in the lagoon basin prior to renovation were made in the same manner as in the three stream sections associated with the lagoon. Depth measurements of the renovated lagoon were made with a weighted tape measure and visibility was estimated using a Secchi disc.

Estimates of the weight of suspended material entering Sacajawea Park Lagoon were made weekly or biweekly by filtering 250 ml of water through a filter with 0.45 micron pores. Water samples were collected periodically and sent to the Montana Water Quality Bureau for nutrient analyses. Vertical plankton tows were made with a Wisconsin plankton net to assess the major kinds of zooplankton present.

Aerial photographs of Sacajawea Park Lagoon were taken in October 1981 to determine the extent of the growth of macrophytes in the

renovated lagoon. Analysis was performed on a Spatial Data Image Enhancement Computer by Dr. Stephan Custer, Earth Sciences Department, Montana State University.

Sampling in the Yellowstone River

Water samples from the Yellowstone River were collected at the lagoon intake structure beginning on April 23, 1981. From that time until the end of the study, frequency of collections was the same as for the stream study sections.

Statistical Testing

Statistical tests were made according to methods in Snedecor and Cochran (1980) and Huntsberger and Billingsley (1981). Student's *t*-test was used to compare mean values obtained prior to renovation with those obtained afterwards. Tests of significance were performed at the 95% level of confidence.

RESULTS

Stream Sections

Water Quality Parameters

The measurements of the water quality parameters monitored in each study section are listed in Tables 1 - 7. The monthly averages of D.O. ranged from 6.7-11.5 mg/l and the values of samples taken once per month were 9.0-13.0 mg/l (Table 1). All but one of the 51 monthly average values and all of the 18 single monthly values of D.O. were at or above the 7.0 mg/l level Cooper (1967) cited as a minimum acceptable level for trout. Twelve of the 13 monthly D.O. averages determined during the post-rehabilitation period (July-November, 1981) were greater than in the corresponding months in 1980 before rehabilitation. The average levels of D.O. concentrations in Sections 1, 2, and 3 were 1.9, 2.7 and 2.9 mg/l greater, respectively, following rehabilitation and all increases were significant. The greater increases of D.O. in Sections 2 and 3 indicate they were in part caused by the introduction of water from the Yellowstone River. The greater D.O. concentrations in 1981 were not due to lower temperatures, as the average water temperatures in all sections were greater in 1981 (Table 6).

The average monthly turbidities in the stream sections varied from less than 1.0 to about 41.0 Jackson Turbidity Units (JTU) and single

Table 1. Values of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) measured in the stream study sections. Values with standard deviations (in parentheses) are averages of two to five samples. Other values represent one sample per month. All sections contained water from Fleshman Creek prior to renovation (June 1, 1981). Afterwards Sections 2 and 3 contained water from the Yellowstone River.

Month	Section 1			Section 2			Section 3		
	Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation	
	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
June	9.0(0.0)	7.3(0.6)	9.0		7.0(0.0)	10.0		7.0(0.0)	10.0
July	9.0(0.0)	8.0(1.0)	9.0	7.0(0.0)	7.6(0.6)	9.0		8.0(1.0)	9.0
August	8.0(0.0)	9.0(0.0)		7.0(0.8)	9.0(0.0)				
September	7.8(0.5)	9.3(0.6)		7.0(0.7)	8.7(0.6)		8.5(0.7)	9.7(0.6)	
October	7.3(0.6)	10.0(1.2)		6.7(0.6)	10.3(0.5)		8.0(1.0)	10.5(0.6)	
November	8.0(0.0)	11.3(1.2)		7.0(1.4)	10.5(0.7)		7.0(1.0)	10.5(0.7)	
December	8.0(1.0)	12.0		7.5(1.3)	12.0		7.5(1.3)	12.0	
	1981	1982		1981	1982		1981	1982	
January	9.0(0.8)	12.0(0.0)		8.8(1.7)	11.5(0.7)		9.0(2.0)	11.5(0.7)	
February	11.0(0.0)			9.0(1.4)			10.5(2.1)		
March	9.0(1.0)	12.0		8.0(0.0)	12.0		8.0(0.0)	12.0	
April	8.2(0.8)	13.0		8.8(1.5)	11.0		7.2(0.8)	11.0	
May	8.0(0.8)	10.0		7.8(0.5)	10.0		7.8(0.5)	10.0	

Table 2. Values of turbidity (JTU) measured in the stream study sections. Values with standard deviations (in parentheses) are averages of two to five samples. Other values represent one sample per month. All sections contained water from Fleshman Creek prior to renovation (June 1, 1981). Afterwards Sections 2 and 3 contained water from the Yellowstone River.

Month	Section 1			Section 2			Section 3		
	Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation	
June		22.25 (7.85)	19.0		14.0 (3.37)	4.70		15.0 (4.76)	4.5
July	4.67 (1.85)	8.85 (3.66)	14.0	5.17 (2.01)	6.45 (1.92)	8.50		5.15 (1.29)	8.0
August	2.66 (1.71)	5.73 (2.15)		1.52 (1.05)	2.43 (0.71)			2.13 (0.15)	
September	2.83 (1.10)	4.59 (0.17)		5.96 (10.6)	2.03 (0.46)		1.05 (0.49)	1.48 (0.33)	
October	1.65 (0.44)	4.93 (4.21)		0.89 (0.22)	1.08 (0.25)		1.15 (0.41)	1.23 (0.26)	
November	1.30 (0.0)	2.81 (0.85)		1.33 (0.46)	1.30 (0.57)		2.75 (1.32)	1.88 (0.88)	
December	2.50 (0.26)	3.25		8.18 (10.04)	4.80		13.68 (12.44)	3.40	
January	2.23 (1.00)	4.50 (0.0)		3.58 (1.70)	3.10 (1.98)		4.38 (1.39)	3.05 (6.0)	
February	7.63 (7.60)			24.33 (24.79)			41.0 (18.30)		
March	2.97 (6.70)	7.50		12.23 (7.89)	2.50		12.73 (7.29)	3.50	
April	18.30 (13.42)	5.70		26.80 (22.06)	2.50		30.6 (13.16)	2.50	
May	33.75 (8.18)	19.0		23.25 (13.05)	5.50		40.25 (22.04)	5.60	

Table 3. Values of conductivity ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}$ at 25 C) measured in the stream study sections. Values with standard deviations (in parentheses) are averages of two to five samples. Other values represent one sample per month. All sections contained water from Fleshman Creek prior to renovation (June 1, 1981). Afterwards Sections 2 and 3 contained water from the Yellowstone River.

Month	Section 1			Section 2			Section 3		
	Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation	
	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
June		445.0 (10.0)	360.0		347.5 (114.4)	220.0		350.0 (111.1)	190.0
July	455.0 (7.1)	484.0 (32.1)		395.0 (7.1)	260.0 (31.6)			260.0 (31.6)	
August	524.0 (11.4)	526.7 (46.2)		504.0 (26.1)	323.3 (5.8)			323.3 (5.8)	
September	476.0 (15.2)	562.5 (26.3)		516.0 (32.1)	317.5 (12.6)		530.0 (14.1)	317.5 (12.6)	
October	490.0 (25.8)	495.0 (30.0)		542.5 (26.3)	340.0 (0.0)		550.0 (25.8)	340.0 (0.0)	
November	505.0 (35.4)	486.7 (11.6)		527.5 (35.9)	340.0 (0.0)		505.0 (66.1)	340.0 (0.0)	
December	456.7 (15.3)	460.0		518.0 (30.3)	350.0		486.0 (49.8)	350.0	
	1981	1982		1981	1982		1981	1982	
January	442.5 (17.1)	460.0 (0.0)		430.0 (20.0)	350.0 (0.0)		447.5 (27.5)	350.0 (42.4)	
February	430.0 (42.4)			390.00 (17.3)			385.0 (7.1)		
March	396.7 (40.4)	460.0		386.7 (11.6)	360.0		390.0 (36.1)	360.0	
April	400.0 (14.1)	450.0		384.0 (5.8)	340.0		352.0 (40.9)	340.0	
May	372.5 (9.6)	420.0		400.0 (16.3)	240.0		367.5 (34.0)	240.0	

Table 4. Values of alkalinity (mg/l as CaCO_3) measured in the stream study sections. Values with standard deviations (in parentheses) are averages of two to five samples. Other values represent one sample per month. All sections contained water from Fleshman Creek prior to renovation (June 1, 1981). Afterwards Sections 2 and 3 contained water from the Yellowstone River.

Month	Section 1			Section 2			Section 3		
	Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation	
	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
June		210.0 (8.2)	190.0		147.5 (55.6)	70.0		150.0 (58.3)	70.0
July	260.0 (10.0)	218.0 (19.2)	220.0	196.7 (46.2)	86.0 (5.5)	200.0		88.0 (8.4)	200.0
August	248.0 (28.5)	260.0 (36.1)		214.0 (13.4)	120.0 (0.0)			120.0 (0.0)	
September	238.0 (4.5)	282.0 (20.6)		224.0 (15.2)	112.5 (5.0)		225.0 (7.1)	112.5 (5.0)	
October	235.0 (10.0)	265.0 (17.3)		232.5 (5.0)	128.0 (5.0)		230.0 (8.2)	130.0 (0.0)	
November	235.0 (7.1)	250.0 (0.0)		230.0 (8.2)	126.7 (7.1)		235.0 (5.8)	130.0 (0.0)	
December	220.0 (10.0)	220.0		216.0 (18.2)	110.0		214.0 (19.5)	110.0	
	1981	1982		1981	1982		1981	1982	
January	220.0 (8.2)	220.0 (0.0)		205.0 (23.8)	115.0 (7.1)		210.0 (21.6)	115.0 (7.1)	
February	190.0 (14.1)			156.7 (15.3)			150.0 (14.1)		
March	200.0 (0.0)	240.0		156.7 (30.6)	110.0		166.7 (35.1)	110.0	
April	194.0 (8.9)	240.0		164.0 (5.5)	100.0		146.0 (29.7)	100.0	
May	190.0 (8.2)	190.0		170.0 (8.2)	80.0		165.0 (17.3)	80.0	

Table 5. Values of hardness (mg/l as CaCO_3) measured in the stream study sections. Values with standard deviations (in parentheses) are averages of two to five samples. Other values represent one sample per month. All sections contained water from Fleshman Creek prior to renovation (June 1, 1981). Afterwards Sections 2 and 3 contained water from the Yellowstone River.

Month	Section 1			Section 2			Section 3		
	Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation	
	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
June		197.5 (9.8)	180.0		130.0 (58.3)	70.0		130.0 (58.3)	70.0
July	193.3 (50.3)	208.0 (8.4)	210.0	173.3 (49.3)	80.0 (10.0)	180.0		80.0 (10.0)	190.0
August	228.0 (17.9)	223.3 (15.3)		230.0 (14.1)	116.7 (5.8)			116.7 (5.8)	
September	218.0 (8.4)	235.0 (12.9)		230.0 (17.3)	117.5 (15.0)		230.0 (0.0)	117.5 (15.0)	
October	227.5 (5.0)	237.5 (15.0)		237.5 (5.0)	130.0 (0.0)		235.0 (5.77)	130.0 (0.0)	
November	220.0 (14.1)	217.5 (9.6)		232.5 (5.0)	120.0 (0.0)		222.5 (5.0)	120.0 (0.0)	
December	206.7 (11.6)	200.0		216.0 (11.4)	110.0		214.0 (20.7)	110.0	
	1981	1982		1981	1982		1981	1982	
January	210.0 (0.0)	200.0 (0.0)		202.5 (9.6)	120.0 (0.0)		207.5 (158.3)	120.0 (0.0)	
February	195.0 (7.1)			170.0 (26.5)			180.0 (42.4)		
March	200.0 (10.0)	210.0		156.7 (25.2)	120.0		160.0 (30.0)	120.0	
April	182.0 (14.8)	220.0		166.0 (8.9)	110.0		138.0 (32.7)	110.0	
May	182.0 (15.0)	180.0		145.0 (43.6)	80.0		142.5 (28.7)	70.0	

Table 6. Values of temperatures (C) measured in the stream study sections. Values with standard deviations (in parentheses) are averages of two to five samples. Other values represent one sample per month. All sections contained water from Fleshman Creek prior to renovation (June 1, 1981). Afterwards Sections 2 and 3 contained water from the Yellowstone River.

Month	Section 1			Section 2			Section 3		
	Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation	
	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
June		10.8 (1.9)			13.5 (1.0)			14.0 (1.4)	
July	12.0 (2.8)	11.8 (1.0)	12.0	17.0 (2.8)	17.3 (1.0)	15.0		18.0 (0.8)	15.0
August	9.5 (0.7)	12.7 (1.2)		12.5 (2.1)	17.7 (1.2)			18.3 (1.7)	
September	9.2 (1.3)	10.3 (2.8)		11.0 (0.7)	13.8 (2.6)		11.0 (2.8)	14.0 (2.7)	
October	6.3 (1.7)	8.0 (0.0)		10.0 (1.6)	9.0 (0.0)		10.0 (2.5)	9.0 (0.0)	
November	3.0 (1.4)	3.5 (0.7)		6.5 (2.1)	5.0 (1.4)		4.3 (1.7)	5.0 (1.4)	
December	1.7 (1.5)	1.0 (0.0)		3.5 (0.6)	3.0		0.8 (0.5)	3.0 (0.0)	
	1981	1982		1981	1982		1981	1982	
January	0.5 (0.6)	0.0 (0.0)		0.5 (0.6)	0.5 (0.7)		0.5 (0.6)	0.5 (0.7)	
February	4.0 (0.0)			4.3 (4.5)			5.5 (2.1)		
March	3.0 (1.7)	2.0		5.0 (1.7)	3.0		4.67 (2.1)	3.0	
April	9.3 (5.1)			14.5 (8.1)			15.0 (9.3)		
May	9.0 (0.8)	7.0		12.5 (2.1)	9.0		12.5 (2.1)	9.0	

Table 7. Values of pH measured in the stream study sections. Values with standard deviations (in parentheses) are averages of hydrogen ion concentrations in two to five samples. Other values represent one sample per month. All sections contained water from Fleshman Creek prior to renovation (June 1, 1981). Afterwards Sections 2 and 3 contained water from the Yellowstone River.

Month	Section 1			Section 2			Section 3		
	Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation		Pre-renovation	Post-renovation	
	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
June		8.21 (3.43x10 ⁻⁹)	8.20		7.87 (7.44x10 ⁻⁹)	8.00		8.10 (1.87x10 ⁻⁹)	8.10
July	8.10 (0.0)	8.25 (1.85x10 ⁻⁹)	7.30	7.35 (7.29x10 ⁻⁹)	7.94 (4.16x10 ⁻⁹)	7.60		8.26 (1.77x10 ⁻⁹)	7.80
August	8.17 (2.71x10 ⁻⁹)	8.20 (0.0)		7.65 (8.06x10 ⁻⁹)	7.88 (4.56x10 ⁻⁹)			8.12 (4.74x10 ⁻⁹)	
September	8.03 (4.56x10 ⁻⁹)	8.20 (1.09x10 ⁻⁹)		7.38 (1.44x10 ⁻⁹)	7.81 (6.66x10 ⁻⁹)		7.78 (1.21x10 ⁻⁸)	7.99 (6.59x10 ⁻⁹)	
October	8.14 (5.84x10 ⁻⁹)	8.10 (0.0)		7.55 (9.09x10 ⁻⁹)	7.93 (7.14x10 ⁻⁹)		8.02 (3.72x10 ⁻⁹)	8.06 (6.26x10 ⁻⁹)	
November	7.96 (6.75x10 ⁻⁹)	7.90 (0.0)		7.48 (1.18x10 ⁻⁹)	7.84 (3.00x10 ⁻⁹)		7.69 (3.80x10 ⁻⁹)	7.75 (2.05x10 ⁻⁹)	
December	8.00 (0.0)	8.40		7.64 (6.80x10 ⁻⁹)	7.80 (0.0)		7.53 (1.28x10 ⁻⁸)	8.20 (0.0)	
	1981	1982		1981	1982		1981	1982	
January	7.75 (8.78x10 ⁻⁹)	7.95		7.78 (9.91x10 ⁻⁹)	7.95 (0.0)		7.62 (1.08x10 ⁻⁸)	7.92 (9.68x10 ⁻¹⁰)	
February	7.79 (5.21x10 ⁻⁹)	--		8.16 (2.87x10 ⁻⁹)	--		7.82 (7.04x10 ⁻⁹)	--	
March	7.85 (9.65x10 ⁻⁹)	--		8.04 (4.15x10 ⁻⁹)	--		7.82 (7.04x10 ⁻⁹)	--	
April	8.12 (1.54x10 ⁻⁹)	--		8.48 (1.77x10 ⁻⁹)	--		8.23 (3.81x10 ⁻⁹)	--	
May	7.99 (1.90x10 ⁻⁹)	--		7.98 (3.99x10 ⁻⁹)	--		8.01 (4.06x10 ⁻⁹)	--	

monthly values ranged from 2.5-19.0 JTU (Table 2). The average turbidities for Section 1, 2 and 3 for the period of July through November 1980, were 2.62, 2.97 and 1.65 JTU, respectively. For the same period in 1981, following renovation, the average values were 5.38, 2.65 and 1.53 JTU. The turbidity in Section 1 in 1981 was significantly greater than in 1980, but the turbidities in Sections 2 and 3 in 1981 were less, but not significantly so, than in 1980, indicating the Yellowstone River may have been contributing less particulate matter to the renovated lagoon system than Fleshman Creek would have. The Yellowstone River generally has lower turbidity concentrations than Section 1 (Table 19).

Monthly averages of conductivity range from 260.0-562.5 micromhos/cm ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}$) at 25 C and single monthly values varied from 190-460 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$ at 25 C (Table 3). In Section 1, four of the five conductivity values for July through November were greater in 1981 than in the same months in 1980; however, only the value for September 1981 was significantly greater. The pattern of conductivities in Sections 2 and 3 were opposite to those in Section 1. Values for these sections were consistently lower in 1981 after renovation than in 1980 before renovation. Conductivities for July through November and in January for Section 2 and September through November and in January in Section 3 were significantly less after rehabilitation than before. The introduction of water from the Yellowstone River in June 1981, coupled with the greater volume of water and its diluting affect in those two sections are probably the reasons for the contrasting pattern of conductivities in Sections 2 and 3 following renovation.

The monthly alkalinity averages ranged from 86.0-282.0 mg/l (as CaCO_3) and the values of samples taken once per month were 70.0-240.0 mg/l (Table 4). Four of the five monthly alkalinity averages in Section 1 were higher in 1981 than in 1980 with those in September and October 1981 being significantly so. Alkalinity also decreased significantly between July 1980 and 1981. All alkalinities in Sections 2 and 3 were significantly lower following rehabilitation than before. These reductions were probably a function of the low alkalinity values of the new water source, the Yellowstone River (Table 19), used after June 1, 1981 and of the diluting affect of the greater volume of water present in these sections after rehabilitation. The high values obtained in July 1982 in Sections 2 and 3 were a result of Fleshman Creek flooding over the diversion dam and into Sacajawea Park Lagoon while the entrance of water from the Yellowstone River was eliminated due to extremely high flows in the river. Regardless of the water source, all values were above the minimum of 20 mg/l recommended by the National Technical Advisory Committee (EPA, 1976) to buffer natural changes that result from photosynthetic activity.

The average monthly hardness values varied from 80.0-237.5 mg/l (as CaCO_3) and samples taken once a month varied from 70.0-220.0 mg/l (Table 5). Values for hardness exhibited the same trend as those for conductivity and alkalinity. Generally, there were similar values in Section 1 for July through November 1980 and 1981, but significant decreases in Sections 2 and 3 for those months in 1981. The new water source and the increased volume of water in those sections were

probably the reasons for the decrease. Less calcium and magnesium contained within a greater volume of water lead to reduction of hardness and conductivity. The high value for hardness in July 1982 is due to the flooding of Flesman Creek into the system. These reduced concentrations of hardness should not decrease productivity in Sections 2 and 3, as the new water is still moderately hard as defined by Sawyer (1960).

Average monthly temperature values ranged from 0.0-13.8 Celsius (C) and single monthly values were 2.0-15.0 C (Table 6). Average temperatures in Sections 1, 2 and 3 for July through December 1980 were about 6.9, 10.1 and 6.5 C, respectively. For this period in 1981, temperatures were 7.8, 11.0 and 7.7 C. Although the average temperature in each section was higher in 1981 than in 1980, none of the increases were significant. The increases in water temperatures in 1981 were probably due to the higher air temperatures (NOAA, 1980 and 1981), and in Sections 2 and 3 may have been partially caused by water in the lagoon absorbing heat from the sun. These increases in temperatures may have been beneficial to trout by more closely approximating their optimum temperatures for growth and spawning, which are 19 and 9 C, respectively (Environmental Research Laboratory, 1976).

Average monthly pH values varied from 7.35-8.48 and values for samples taken once per month ranged between 7.30-8.20 (Table 7). Average pH values for July through November were higher in all sections in 1981 following renovation than in 1980 prior to renovation except for values during October and November in Section 1. Hydrogen ion concentrations were significantly less in July, September and October, 1981

in Section 2 than in the corresponding months in 1980. All pH values measured both before and after rehabilitation were well within acceptable limits for trout (EPA, 1976).

The measurements on duplicate samples in four series of tests to determine the precision of methods used in this study were virtually identical (Table 20). However, the values obtained from the two sets of duplicate samples analyzed concurrently by my methods and those of the Chemistry Station at MSU differed by 5.9-25.5% for conductivity, 4.2-15.7% for alkalinity, 0.0-7.1% for hardness and 0.0-3.9% for pH.

Physical Measurements

The maximum monthly discharges measured in each stream section (Table 8) show flows in Sections 2 and 3 were lower before renovation than afterwards. The increased discharge in these two sections may help prevent the bottom of the channel from becoming adversely impacted by fine sediments and provide better habitat for trout. The highest flows in Sections 2 and 3 occurred in May 1981 and were caused by Freshman Creek spilling over the diversion dam and into the system.

The measurements of selected characteristics of the channel of each study section are presented in Table 9. An attempt was made to make measurements within each section at similar discharges before and after rehabilitation.

In Section 1, the average stream width and average depth did not differ significantly before and after renovation. However, the average

Table 8. Maximum monthly discharges measured in cubic meters/second (m^3/s) in the stream study sections of the Sacajawea Lagoon System. Water from Fleshman Creek flowed into Sections 2 and 3 prior to July 31, 1980 and water from the Yellowstone River afterwards.

Month	Section 1			Section 2			Section 3		
	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
April		0.18			0.03			0.05	
May		1.36	0.66		0.99	0.30		0.91	0.32
June		0.90	0.70		0.41	1.03		0.45	0.93
July	0.15	0.40	0.38	0.20	0.48	0.63		0.46	0.65
August	0.08	0.10		0.22	0.28			0.29	
September	0.07	0.01		0.12	0.53		0.06	0.54	
October		0.07		0.08	0.33		0.05	0.30	
November	0.03	0.06		0.02	0.30		0.03		
December									

Table 9. Mean values of selected physical characteristics in study sections on Fleshman Creek in 1980 and 1981. Standard deviations of means are in parentheses.

Parameter	Section 1		Section 2		Section 3	
	1980	1981	1980	1981	1980	1981
Average width (m)	1.6 (0.5) n=15	1.4 (0.5) n=15	7.9 (3.6) n=17	3.1 (0.7) n=18	4.7 (1.6) n=19	4.3 (1.3) n=18
Average depth (cm)	19.1 (8.8) n=15	17.5 (11.4) n=15	28.1 (8.6) n=17	14.7 (4.2) n=18	15.8 (6.0) n=19	18.6 (6.1) n=18
Average velocity (m/s)	0.56 (0.3) n=15	0.16 (0.1) n=15	0.02 (0.3) n=17	0.13 (0.1) n=18	0.18 (0.1) n=19	0.25 (0.2) n=18
Total cover (m ²)	103	18	256	1	7	25
Sinuosity	1.11	1.12	1.05	1.09	1.10	1.09
Gradient (%)	0.94	0.93	0.34	0.33	0.55	0.55
Discharge at time of measurement	0.05	0.02	0.13	0.09	0.04	0.08

velocity was significantly less following rehabilitation, probably because of the lower flows.

In Section 2, the average stream width and average depth were both significantly less after renovation (Table 9). The change in channel width is a result of the channel modification work. A possible cause for the decrease in average depth is that the narrowing of the channel coupled with the increase in water volume caused a loss of friction between the water and the stream channel, thus increasing velocity and decreasing depth (Dr. Donald Reichmuth, personal communication).

The average stream width in Section 3 was 0.4 m less in 1981 than in 1980 but the difference was not significant. The average depth and velocity were greater in 1981 but not significantly so.

Measurements of total cover decreased in Sections 1 and 2 by 83 and 100%, respectively, following rehabilitation, while total cover in Section 3 increased by 257%. The reason for the decrease in Section 1 is not known, but may be due to cleaning debris out of the channel and trimming of streamside vegetation by residents of the area. The loss of cover in Section 2 was a direct result of the renovation of that section. The entire stream channel of this section was rebuilt, and in the process all of the cover was destroyed. The artificial undercut banks installed during rehabilitation accounted for nearly all of the cover present in Section 2 following renovation. A possible explanation for the increase in Section 3 is that the same transects were not used before and after rehabilitation. Transect markers used before renovation were removed by unknown individuals.

Sinuosities for Sections 1 and 3, which were not altered during rehabilitation, differed by about 1% between years (Table 9) giving a measure of accuracy of the procedure. The sinuosity for Section 2, which was altered, differed by about 4% between years, indicating that its sinuosity was increased by about 3% by the physical alterations.

The gradient was greatest in Section 1 and least in Section 2 (Table 9). Measurements of the gradients showed values within 0.01% between years in the unaltered sections and about a 0.01% reduction in Section 2 due to the meanders built into it.

Trout Populations

Estimates of the total numbers and biomass of trout in the study sections are presented in Table 10. Brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) was the only species present in Section 1. No significant change occurred in their numbers before and after rehabilitation. However, the length of fish used in the calculation of population numbers was 125-290 mm in 1980 and 75-305 mm in 1981. Forty-three of the fish used in the computation of the 1981 estimate were less than 125 mm, the smallest size used in the 1980 estimate. Deleting fish 125 mm and smaller from the population estimate in 1981 results in an estimate of 50 (+8), which would be a significant decrease from the 1980 level.

The total number of brown trout (Salmo trutta) taken in Section 2 before and after rehabilitation could not be compared because no estimate could be made for this section following rehabilitation. The total number of brown trout captured in the section and the number of trout/m

Table 10. Estimates of population numbers and biomass of trout in three study sections of Fleshman Creek before (1980) and after (1981) rehabilitation. Eighty percent confidence intervals are in parentheses.

Year	Section 1		Section 2		Section 3	
	N	B(kg)	N	B(kg)	N	B(kg)
1980	91(±11)	5.83(±1.12)	67(±13)	11.0(±3.56)	86(±8)	3.72(±0.82)
1981	105(±15)	5.57(±1.36)	--	--	79(±14)	2.43(±0.66)

were calculated as indices of change in the population from 1980 to 1981. The total numbers in 1980 and 1981 were 64 and 4, respectively. The number of trout/m in 1980 was 0.17 and in 1981 was 0.01. Before rehabilitation fish were 150-430 mm in total length, and afterwards were 113-126 mm, indicating that recolonization was in an early stage.

The estimated numbers of brown trout in Section 3 before and after rehabilitation were not significantly different. The size ranges used in the 1980 and 1981 calculations were 75-315 mm and 100-305 mm, respectively. Only five of the fish used in the 1980 computation were less than 100 mm, so the size groups were virtually identical between years.

Neither the total biomass of the brook trout present nor the biomass of the comparable size groups were significantly different before or after rehabilitation in Section 1. A dramatic loss of biomass in brown trout occurred in Section 2. The four trout in the section in 1981 represented less than 1% of the biomass present in that section in 1980. The biomass of brown trout in Section 3 showed no significant change between years.

Rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri) were also present in Sections 2 and 3, but their numbers were too low to allow the calculation of population estimates. Consequently, no estimates of biomass could be made either.

The mean backcalculated length and weight at age for fish from Sections 1, 2 and 3 are presented in Tables 11, 12 and 13. Age I+ brook trout in Section 1 were significantly larger in 1981 than in 1980 (Table 11). This may have been because electrofishing was done in July in 1980 and in September in 1981, allowing the trout more time to grow

Table 11. Mean back calculated length and weight at age for brook trout captured in Section 1 before (1980) and after (1981) rehabilitation. Standard deviation in parentheses.

Year	Age at Capture	No.	Mean		Calculated Length at Age		
			Total length (mm)	Weight (g)	I	II	III
1980	I+	37	151	38	97		
	II+	32	201	97	82	154	
	III+	11	261	204	82	154	219
	Mean backcalculated length (mm)				89(19.6)	154(24.9)	219(45.5)
	Mean incremental growth (mm)					65	65
	Calculated mean weight (g)				9.0	42.6	115.1
1981	I+	24	168	57	105		
	II+	27	220	134	93	161	
	III+	1	302	370	111	152	243
	Mean backcalculated length (mm)				99(21.1)	161(27.4)	243
	Mean incremental growth (mm)					62	82
	Calculated mean weight (g)				10.9	46.6	159.0

Table 12. Mean back calculated length and weight at age for I+ and II+ year old brown trout captured in Section 2 in 1980 before rehabilitation. Standard deviation in parentheses.

Year	Age at Capture	No.	Mean		Calculated length at age	
			Total length (mm)	Weight (g)	I	II
1980	I+	2	153	20	91	
	II+	25	169	40	77	127
	Mean backcalculated length (mm)				78(15.6)	127(18.0)
	Mean incremental growth (mm)					49
	Calculated mean weight (g)				4.7	16.4

Table 13. Mean back calculated length and weight at age for brown trout captured in Section 3 before (1980) and after (1981) rehabilitation. Standard deviation in parentheses.

Year	Age at Capture	No.	Mean		Calculated Length at Capture			
			Total length (mm)	Weight (g)	I	II	III	IV
1980	I+	43	109	10	71			
	II+	39	188	58	73	128		
	III+	5	284	235	70	138	229	--
	Mean backcalculated length (mm)				72(16.6)	129(21.0)	229(18.0)	
	Mean incremental growth (mm)					57	100	
1981	Mean calculated weight (g)				2.6	16.4	101.6	
	I+	34	112	16	73			
	II+	21	141	29	63	100		
	III+	4	250	198	76	125	185	
	IV+	1	351	510	97	158	211	257
	Mean backcalculated length (mm)				70(10.3)	106(13.4)	190(37.1)	257
	Mean incremental growth (mm)					46	84	67
	Mean calculated weight (g)				3.7	12.7	73.7	183.0

before capture in 1981. No significant change was seen in the size attained by age II+ brook trout in this section. Too few age III+ fish were taken in 1981 for comparison with 1980.

Only age I+ and II+ brown trout from Section 2 in 1980 could be aged with confidence and therefore subject to backcalculation analysis (Table 12). They appeared to grow less in length and weight than the brook trout in Section 1 (Table 11).

The backcalculations for brown trout in Section 3 (Table 13) indicate that age I+ and III+ fish grew to a similar size in each year of the study, however, age II+ fish in 1981 were significantly smaller than age II+ fish in 1980. The smaller size in 1981 may have been because of reduced flows and habitat in the section from August 1980 through May 1981 due to the placement of the diversion dam. The equations used in the calculations for the total length-scale radius and total length-weight relationships are listed in Table 21.

Age class composition of trout captured in Sections 1, 2 and 3 before and after rehabilitation are shown in Table 14. In Section 1, the 0+ age class composed about 15% of the population of brook trout in 1980 and increased significantly to 56% of the total in 1981. There were no significant changes in the percent composition of age II+ and III+ fish between years.

In Section 2, age III+ and older fish comprised the largest portion of the brown trout population before rehabilitation but age II+ fish were the largest single age group (Table 14). Only four small brown trout ranging from 113 to 126 mm were captured in Section 2 in 1981 following rehabilitation so, the age structure was changed dramatically.

Table 14. Age class composition of trout captured in the study sections before (1980) and after (1981) rehabilitation.

<u>1980</u>			<u>1981</u>		
			Section 1		
Age	No.	Percent of Total	Age	No.	Percent of Total
0+	14	14.9	0+	66	55.9
I+	37	39.4	I+	24	20.3
II+	32	34.0	II+	27	22.9
III+	11	11.7	III+	1	0.9
Section 2					
Age	No.	Percent of Total			
0+	3	4.8			
I+	2	3.2			
II+	25	40.3			
III+	32	51.7			
and older					
Section 3					
Age	No.	Percent of Total	Age	No.	Percent of Total
0+	43	49.4	0+	34	56.6
II+	39	44.8	II+	21	35.0
III+	5	5.8	III+	4	6.7
			IV+	1	1.7

About 92% or more of the brown trout populations in Section 3 were composed of I+ and II+ age fish. No significant change in age class distribution occurred between years.

Sacajawea Park Lagoon

Water Quality Parameters

The values of water quality parameters in samples taken from the Sacajawea Park Lagoon are presented in Table 15. The measurements of D.O. made in the lagoon area prior to rehabilitation (June 1, 1981) were 6.0-7.0 mg/l which are considered to be about the minimum concentrations for the well being of salmonid fishes (Cooper, 1967). After rehabilitation and the introduction of water from the Yellowstone River all D.O. concentrations were 8.0 mg/l or greater. All values in months before rehabilitation were smaller than in corresponding months after. However, only the value in September 1980 was significantly less than in the same month in 1981. The greater values in the lagoon after rehabilitation were not caused by lower water temperatures (Table 15).

Average turbidity values were under 7.0 JTU and most readings were less than 4.0 JTU prior to renovation. The low values from August through December 1980 were probably the result of low velocities of the stream in the lagoon basin which allowed particulate matter to settle out. After renovation, 12 of the 13 measurements were less than 7.0 JTU. The one higher value was the result of Fleshman Creek overflowing into the lagoon. Average turbidities in September and November 1980 were significantly less than in those months in 1981, however, none of the concentrations of turbidity were much greater than in treated water which usually contain 0.0-5.0 JTU (Pagenkopf, 1978).

Before rehabilitation all six monthly averages of conductivity were greater than 375 mhos/cm with five of the six being above

Table 15. Values of water quality parameters at Station 4 in Sacajawea Park Lagoon. Values with standard deviation (in parentheses) are averages of two to four samples. Other values represent one sample per month. Prior to June 1, 1981, the water samples were from Fleshman Creek as it flowed through the lagoon basin. Afterwards, it was from the Yellowstone River.

Month	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)			Turbidity (JTU)			Conductivity (μ mhos/cm)			Alkalinity (mg/l as CaCO ₃)		
	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
June		8.0	10.0			3.80			180.0		80.0	70.0
July	7.0 (0.0)	8.0 (1.0)	9.0	6.60 (1.93)	5.13 (0.62)	11.00	377.5 (3.5)	200.0 (18.3)		190.0 (20.0)	70.0 (8.2)	200.0
August	7.0 (0.0)	9.0 (0.0)		1.89 (1.13)	4.10 (1.49)		468.0 (31.1)	265.0 (15.0)		220.0 (28.3)	93.3 (5.8)	
September	6.0 (0.0)	8.8 (0.5)		0.70 (0.30)	1.40 (0.32)		456.0 (16.7)	290.0 (11.6)		202.0 (4.5)	100.0 (0.0)	
October	6.0 (0.0)	11.0		1.94 (1.88)	1.30		475.0 (19.5)	300.0		197.5 (5.0)	100.0	
November		11.5 (0.7)		1.07 (0.22)	1.43 (0.29)		460.0 (28.3)	320.0 (0.0)		202.5 (5.0)	110.0 (0.0)	
December	6.0 (0.0)	12.0		3.83 (0.11)	3.80		470.0 (14.1)	330.0		200.0 (0.0)	110.0	
		1982			1982			1982			1982	
January		12.5 (0.7)			6.60 (4.1)			350.0 (42.4)			115.5 (7.1)	
February												
March		12.0			2.50			360.0			110.0	
April		10.0			2.80			340.0			100.0	
May		10.0			5.90			240.0			80.0	

Table 15. (continued)

Month	Hardness (mg/l as CaCO ₃)			Temperature (°C)			pH		
	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
June		70.0	70.0		19.0			8.10	8.0
July	180.0 (36.1)	62.5 (5.0)	180.0 (0.0)	15.5 (2.1)	17.6 (1.4)	16.0	8.14 (3.91x10 ⁻⁹)	8.06 (3.26x10 ⁻⁹)	7.8 (0.0)
August	228.0 (16.4)	90.0 (10.0)		14.5 (2.1)	18.2 (0.3)		7.61 (1.31x10 ⁻⁸)	8.21 (1.04x10 ⁻⁹)	
September	226.0 (8.9)	100.0 (0.0)		12.8 (1.5)	14.1 (3.5)		7.35 (1.31x10 ⁻⁸)	8.07 (1.79x10 ⁻⁹)	
October	212.5 (5.0)	100.0		12.3 (1.5)	7.0		7.42 (1.66x10 ⁻⁸)	8.00	
November	215.0 (5.8)	110.0 (0.0)		8.3 (1.3)	3.0 (0.0)		7.45 (4.75x10 ⁻⁹)	7.92 (8.11x10 ⁻⁹)	
December	210.0 (14.1)	110.0		5.5 (3.5)	3.0		7.49 (1.04x10 ⁻⁸)	8.30	
		1982			1982			1982	
January		120.0 (0.0)			0.0 (0.0)			7.95 (0.0)	
February									
March		120.0			4.0				
April		110.0							
May		80.00							

450 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$. After rehabilitation all of the 11 monthly values were 360 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$ or less with each monthly average in 1981 being significantly less than in the same month in 1980.

As with conductivity, alkalinity values declined following rehabilitation. All alkalinity averages in 1981 were only one-half or less of those of the previous year and each monthly average was significantly less than in the corresponding month in 1980. The high value of alkalinity in July 1982 was caused by water from Fleshman Creek flooding over the diversion dam and the reduction of water from the Yellowstone River. However, all measurements of alkalinity in Sacajawea Park Lagoon were above the recommended minimum of 20 mg/l for buffering natural pH changes and reducing the toxicity of heavy metals (EPA, 1976).

The monthly hardness values followed the same pattern as the conductivity and alkalinity values. Following rehabilitation, values were approximately one-half of those before and each monthly average in 1981 was significantly less than in the respective month in 1980. However, even with the reduction caused by the new water source, the water in the lagoon was still considered to be moderately hard (Sawyer, 1960). The high value for hardness in July 1982 is due to the flooding of Fleshman Creek over the diversion dam into the lagoon.

The highest recorded water temperature was about 19 C which is well within the acceptable tolerance range for trout (Lee and Rinne, 1980). Monthly average water temperatures in the lagoon were not significantly different before and after rehabilitation, although they seemed to fluctuate more afterward. This is probably due to the more moderate air

temperatures during the study period prior to renovation than in the same period following renovation (NOAA, 1980 and 1981). The lagoon did not thermally stratify from July through December 1981. All temperatures and concentrations of D.O. in samples at the surface and near the bottom of the lagoon were virtually identical.

All the reported pH levels in the lagoon appeared to lie in a range suitable to most aquatic life (EPA, 1976). The pH values of water from the Yellowstone River for August, September and November 1981 were significantly greater than values of water in the lagoon basin from Freshman Creek in 1980.

Three tests of precision in which duplicate water samples were analyzed for conductivity, alkalinity, hardness and pH by the methods used in this study showed nearly identical values for the paired samples (Table 20). However, small to moderate differences were noted between my results and those obtained by the Chemistry Station Analytical Laboratory. Conductivity values differed by 6.4-17.6%. Values of alkalinity and hardness differed by 6.6-12.4% and 0.7-1.9%, respectively. Values of pH in the lagoon differed by 0.0-1.5%.

Concentrations of suspended materials in Freshman Creek at the Sacajawea Park Lagoon and in the Yellowstone River as it enters the lagoon are shown in Table 16. Suspended materials in Freshman Creek were greatest in May and June when runoff was high. From June 1981 through August 1981 concentrations of suspended materials in Freshman Creek were greater than in the Yellowstone River. During October and November 1981, the reverse was true. In July 1982 concentrations in the Yellowstone River were 7 times those in Freshman Creek.

Table 16. Concentrations of suspended materials in Fleshman Creek at Sacajawea Park Lagoon and in the Yellowstone River as it enters Sacajawea Park Lagoon.

Date	Fleshman Creek (mg/l)	Yellowstone River (mg/l)
7/25/80	3.0	
8/14/80	2.2	
8/21/80	2.4	
8/28/80	1.2	
9/1/80	6.4	
9/8/80	2.8	
9/22/80	2.8	
9/29/80	1.0	
10/6/80	1.2	
10/20/80	0.8	
11/3/80	2.8	
12/15/80	2.0	
1/22/81	2.4	
2/19/81	30.4	
3/6/81	5.0	
4/2/81	8.4	
4/16/81	3.4	
5/28/81	70.4	
6/18/81	72.4	26.0
7/9/81	15.0	trace
7/22/81	13.5	4.0
8/20/81	8.2	3.1
10/28/81	4.8	24.4
11/8/81	4.2	8.2
11/23/81	1.3	10.0
5/8/82	51.2	16.6
7/1/82	24.4	174.1

Secchi disc measurements (Table 17) showed the average visibilities at Stations 1, 2 and 3 were about 1.3 (± 0.4), 1.3 (± 0.4) and 1.4 (± 0.7) m respectively. The lowest readings occurred in June 1981 when suspended materials were highest (Table 16).

Three water samples were collected near Station 1 (Figure 2) before and after renovation and sent to the Montana Water Quality Bureau for nutrient (PO_4 and $\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO}_2$) and chlorophyll a analyses. They reported the combined nutrient concentrations were 0.1-0.3 mg/l before renovation and 0.0-0.1 mg/l afterwards. Concentrations of chlorophyll a were too low to be measured.

Lagoon Basin Depths

The depth of water in the lagoon before its rehabilitation averaged about 21 cm deep on 15 transects across the channel in the west arm. Measurements in the lagoon after renovation (Table 18) show water depths were about 1.5 m. About 70% of the water depths and visibility depths (Table 17) were equal and the lagoon depth was never more than 0.6 m greater than the Secchi disc depths.

Aquatic Biota

The major zooplankton found in samples from the water column in the lagoon were Bosmina, Cyclops and Daphnia. The greatest abundance of plankters was 16 million/ m^3 on July 28, 1981. This density was present for only a short time as no plankters were found in an August 18 sample from the same location. The transient nature of the pulse may indicate a limited zooplankton food base in Sacajawea Park Lagoon for trout. A possible explanation for the low zooplankton densities may be low densities of phytoplankton due to the lack of chlorophyll a in the lagoon.

Table 17. Secchi disc measurements (m) at three stations in the Sacajawea Park Lagoon after rehabilitation.

Date	Station		
	1	2	3
6/30/81	0.8	0.9	0.8
7/8/81	1.0		1.0
7/14/81	1.2	1.1	1.4
7/21/81	1.2	1.1	1.1
7/28/81	1.4	1.2	1.0
8/11/81	1.3	1.3	1.2
8/18/81	1.2	1.2	1.4
8/25/81	1.4	1.3	1.6
9/1/81	1.4	1.5	1.6
9/7/81	1.4	1.5	1.6
9/15/81	1.6	1.6	1.7
9/22/81	1.6	1.5	1.6
10/12/81	1.5	1.4	1.6
11/23/81	1.5	1.4	2.2

Table 18. Depth (m) of the Sacajawea Park Lagoon at three stations after rehabilitation.

Date	Station		
	1	2	3
6/30/81			
7/8/81			
7/14/81			
7/21/81	1.4	1.4	1.6
7/28/81	1.6	1.6	1.6
8/11/81	1.4	1.3	1.3
8/18/81	1.4	1.2	1.5
8/25/81	1.4	1.3	1.6
9/1/81	1.4	1.5	1.6
9/7/81	1.4	1.5	1.6
9/15/81	1.6	1.6	1.7
9/22/81	1.6	1.5	1.6
10/12/81	1.5	1.4	1.6
11/23/81	1.5	1.4	2.2

Dense stands of Potamogeton and Anacharis developed in the lagoon after its renovation due to its shallowness and the clarity of the water which allowed sunlight to penetrate to the bottom in many areas. By mid-October 1981, 20.8% of the lagoon surface area was covered by emergent macrophytes and 52.5% was covered by submergent macrophytes. About 25.1% had no macrophyte growth and 1.5% could not be classified.

Rainbow trout and cutthroat trout (S. clarki) were stocked in the lagoon by the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks (DFWP) for recreational purposes. White suckers (Catostomus commersoni) and/or longnose suckers (C. catostomus) were present in the lagoon. They probably entered with water from the Yellowstone River or water from Fleshman Creek that flooded over the diversion dam into the lagoon during high spring flows. Other animals in the lagoon include mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) and muskrats (Ondatra zibethica).

SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The renovation of the Sacajawea Park Lagoon system was undertaken because the section of stream immediately below the lagoon was considered to be poor trout habitat and the lagoon was so filled with sediments that it was no longer functional or attractive. Prior to renovation the stream section was rather wide, straight and shallow and contained a relatively low flow of somewhat turbid water. The bottom was covered with fine sediments. Its profile was similar to that of channelized streams which typically have severely lowered carrying capacities (Bayless and Smith, 1964; Whitney and Bailey, 1959; and Moyle, 1976). Its trout population was low and nongame fish were prevalent in the section.

A 379 m section was improved by narrowing and meandering the channel and providing it with increased flows of a less sediment laden water. The trout populations appear to be responding to the improvements. There were 47 ($\pm$ 14) brown trout and 77 ($\pm$ 19) rainbow trout, almost entirely age I+, in this section in July 1982 (Gould and Clancy, unpublished data). This is a greater total number of trout than was in the section before rehabilitation. Further increases in numbers and biomass are expected as time permits the full colonization of the area and the shrubs grow to provide overhanging cover. Hunt (1976) found that brook trout required 5 years to respond fully to the improvements in his study area.

Hopefully, the increased flows of a less turbid water will also in time clean the gravels so that spawning can take place.

Prior to the renovation there was no lagoon except during the Childrens Trout Derby when water from the Yellowstone River was diverted into the basin to create a short term holding facility for trout planted for that event. In July 1980, the "lagoon" was a small stream containing less than $0.28 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ of flow. Only 15 trout were taken in two electrofishing runs through a 300 m section of this stream. At that time the lagoon was unpleasant to look at due to the unsightly growth of weeds on the sediments deposited in the lagoon basin. Consequently there was essentially no public use.

Following rehabilitation by dredging and the introduction of Yellowstone River water, a lagoon about 1.5 m deep with a surface area of 2.3 ha and containing at least $23,000 \text{ m}^3$ of water was created. This facility has been stocked with over 3,000 trout, some of which have been able to survive in the lagoon the entire year, although the water quality and zooplankton data indicate this is not a highly productive environment. People now fish in the lagoon during every season of the year. People with small boats use the lagoon for floating and fishing and the Livingston Junior Women's Club has installed a dock to accomodate them as well as to provide people with a site to fish from. Picnickers, bicyclists and people out for walks use the Sacajawea Park Lagoon area because the lagoon has become aesthetically pleasing and has attracted waterfowl and aquatic mammals which enhance viewing. Also, during the winter months, ice skaters have been seen on the lagoon.

The use of water from the Yellowstone River in the lagoon after renovation appears to have improved the water quality of some parameters compared to that of Fleshman Creek (Table 1-7), the previous source. Concentrations of dissolved oxygen appear to have increased and the levels of turbidity in the new source of water (Table 19) appear to be generally lower than in the old source. By closely monitoring the turbidity and suspended materials in the water of the Yellowstone River and Fleshman Creek and selecting the source with the lowest concentrations, it should be possible to extend the functional life of the lagoon. The rather shallow depth (Table 18) and current high water clarity has allowed light to penetrate to the bottom of the lagoon and encouraged the establishment of dense macrophyte stands. These plants could interfere with fishing and floating and certainly may decrease the aesthetic value of the lagoon. If the macrophytes should become a major nuisance, appropriate control measures would have to be applied to provide open water for fisherman and floaters. The placement and anchoring of sections of black plastic on the bottom to prevent sunlight from reaching the substrate and the plants there might be an economical control measure. With close control the lagoon should prove to be an attractive and popular recreational site for more than two decades.

LITERATURE CITED

LITERATURE CITED

- American Public Health Association. 1965. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 12th ed. Amer. Public Health Association, Inc., New York. 769 pp.
- Bayless, J., and W. B. Smith. 1964. The effects of channelization on fish populations of lotic waters in eastern North Carolina. Proc. North Carolina Wildlife Resource Comm. 15 pp.
- Chapman, D. W. 1978. Production. Pages 208-218 in T. Bagenal, ed. Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters. 3rd ed. Blackwell Scientific Publications. Oxford.
- Cooper, E., ed. 1967. A symposium on water quality criteria to protect aquatic life. AFS Spec. Pub. 4. 37 pp. p. 32.
- Environmental Research Laboratory. 1976. Procedures for developing temperature criteria for freshwater fish. Ecological Research Series, report in preparation. ERL, Duluth, MN.
- Hunt, R. L. 1976. A long-term evaluation of trout habitat development and its relation to improving management-related research. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 105(3):361-364.
- Huntsberger, D. V., and P. Billingsley. 1981. Elements of statistical inference. 5th ed. Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, MA. 505 pp.
- Lee, R. M., and J. N. Rinne. 1980. Critical thermal maxima for five trout species in the southwestern United States. Trans. Amer. Fish Soc. 109(6):632:635.
- Moyle, P. B. 1976. Some effects of channelization on the fishes and invertebrates of Rush Creek, Modoc County, California. California Fish and Game 62(3):179-186.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 1980. Climatological data. Vol. 83; No. 7-12. n.p.
- _____. 1981. Climatological data. Vol. 84; No. 7-12. n.p.
- Pagenkopf, G. K. 1978. Introduction of natural water chemistry. Marcell Dekker, Inc. Environmental Science and Technology Series. Vol. 3. New York. 272 pp.

- Perry, E. S. 1962. Montana in the Geologic Past. Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Bull. 26. 78 pp.
- Ricker, W. E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Bull. Fish Res. Board Can. 191:382 pp.
- Sawyer, C. H. 1960. Chemistry for sanitary engineers. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran. 1980. Statistical methods. 7th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames. 507 pp.
- U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1976. Quality criteria for water. U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC. 256 pp.
- U. S. Geological Survey. 1981. Water resources data for Montana, water year 1981. Vol. 1. U. S. Geol. Sur. water data rep. MT-81-1. 647 pp.
- Whitney, A. N. and J. E. Bailey. 1959. Detrimental effects of highway construction on a Montana stream. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 88(1): 72-73.

APPENDIX

Table 19. Values of selected water quality parameters of the Yellowstone River at the intake for the Sacajawea Park Lagoon System. Values with standard deviations (in parentheses) are averages of two to five samples. Other values represent one sample per month.

Month	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)		Turbidity (JTU)		Conductivity (μ mhos/cm)	
	1981	1982	1981	1982	1981	1982
January		11.5 (0.7)		1.90 (0.57)		350.0 (42.4)
February						
March				3.7		360.0
April	8.0	12.0	15.0	4.2	260.0	340.0
May	8.0 (0.0)	11.0	15.75 (9.28)	5.9	162.5 (37.8)	240.0
June	7.0 (0.0)	9.0	25.25 (19.84)	18.0	133.8 (13.8)	140.0
July	8.0 (1.0)	9.0	3.82 (2.64)	44.0	184.0 (15.2)	
August	10.0 (0.0)		1.63 (0.32)		243.3 (15.3)	
September	10.3 (0.6)		1.48 (0.13)		282.5 (12.6)	
October	10.75 (2.1)		1.25 (0.24)		305.0 (5.8)	
November	10.5 (0.7)		1.75 (0.35)		320.0 (0.0)	
December	12.0		3.25		330.0	

Table 19 (continued).

Month	Alkalinity (mg/l as CaCO ₃)		Hardness (mg/l as CaCO ₃)		Temperature (°C)		pH	
	1981	1982	1981	1982	1981	1982	1981	1982
January		115.0 (7.07)		120.0 (0.0)		2.0 (0.0)		7.92 (9.68x10 ⁻¹⁰)
February								
March		110.0		120.0		4.0 (0.0)		
April	80.0	100.0	80.0	110.0	12.0		8.3	
May	47.5 (0.0)	80.0	37.5 (15.0)	80.0	8.75 (1.3)	8.0 (0.0)	7.34 (3.91x10 ⁻⁸)	
June	40.0 (8.2)	50.0	30.0 (11.6)	60.0	9.75 (1.3)		7.67 (4.59x10 ⁻⁹)	8.0
July	54.0 (8.9)	40.0	48.0 (13.0)	40.0	16.0 (1.4)	12.0 (0.0)	8.10 (2.87x10 ⁻⁹)	7.9
August	80.0 (0.0)		80.0 (0.0)		16.5 (0.7)		7.92 (8.42x10 ⁻⁹)	
September	92.5 (0.0)		90.0 (8.2)		13.5 (2.4)		8.13 (5.71x10 ⁻⁹)	
October	105.0 (5.8)		110.0 (11.6)		9.0 (0.0)		8.35 (1.59x10 ⁻⁹)	
November	105.0 (7.1)		100.0 (0.0)		5.0 (1.4)		7.84 (3.00x10 ⁻⁹)	
December	100.0		110.0		3.0		7.7	

Table 20. The values of selected water quality parameters measured in duplicate samples to determine the precision and accuracy of methods used in this study. Accuracy was determined by comparing the results obtained by methods used in this study with those of the Chemistry Station Analytical Laboratory. The values obtained by the Chemistry Station are in parentheses.

Date and Location	Conductivity (μ mhos/cm) (25°C)	Alkalinity (mg/l as CaCO ₃)	Hardness (mg/l as CaCO ₃)	pH
October 10, 1981				
Sacajawea Lagoon	300/300	100/100	100/100	8.00/8.00
Stream Section 1	520/520	280/280	250/250	7.85/7.90
Stream Section 2	340/340	130/130	130/130	7.70/7.70
Stream Section 3	340/340	130/130	130/130	7.80/7.80
October 28, 1981				
Stream Section 1	460/480	250/250	230/220	8.10/8.15
Stream Section 2	340/340	130/120	130/130	8.12/8.12
Stream Section 3	340/340	130/130	130/130	8.30/8.30
November 8, 1981				
Sacajawea Lagoon	320/320 (272)	110/110 (97.9)	110/110 (108)	8.26/8.28 (8.39)
Stream Section 1	480/480 (402)	250/250 (229.1)	230/220 (216)	8.05/8.07 (8.12)
Stream Section 2	340/340 (295)	130/130 (112.4)	120/120 (112)	7.96/7.95 (8.04)
Stream Section 3	340/340 (295)	130/130 (112.9)	120/120 (120)	7.84/7.82 (7.95)

Table 20 (continued)

Date and Location	Conductivity (μ mhos/cm) (25°C)	Alkalinity (mg/l as CaCO ₃)	Hardness (mg/l as CaCO ₃)	pH
November 23, 1981				
Sacajawea Lagoon	320/320	110/110	110/110	7.75/7.75
Stream Section 1	500/500	250/250	210/210	
Stream Section 3	340/340	130/130	120/120	7.70/7.70
January 28, 1982				
Sacajawea Lagoon	380 (406)	110 (117.8)	120 (120.8)	8.00 (8.00)
Stream Section 1	460 (489)	220 (211.1)	120 (191.1)	8.00 (8.00)
Stream Section 3	413 (380)	110 (120.3)	120 (116.8)	8.00 (7.70)

Table 21. Total length-scale radius and total length-weight relationship equations used for the backcalculations of length and weight in Sections 1, 2 and 3 in Fleshman Creek in 1980 and 1981.

Year	Section	Total length-scale radius equations	N	r
1980	1	$\log L_n = 1.16 \log S_n + 0.67$	80	0.84
	2	$\log L_n = 0.94 \log S_n + 0.58$	30	0.92
	3	$\log L_n = 0.88 \log S_n + 0.91$	87	0.93
1981	1	$\log L_n = 1.03 \log S_n + 0.81$	52	0.86
	2	No calculations		
	3	$\log L_n = 0.89 \log S_n + 0.74$	60	0.92

where L_n = total length of fish at age N
 S_n = anterior scale radius at annulus
 $N(\text{mm}) \times 67$

		Total length-weight equations	N	r
1980	1	$\log W = -4.54 + 2.82 \log L$	80	0.98
	2	$\log W = -4.17 + 2.56 \log L$	30	0.85
	3	$\log W = -5.50 + 3.18 \log L$	87	0.98
1981	1	$\log W = -4.91 + 2.98 \log L$	52	0.98
	2	No calculations		
	3	$\log W = -4.99 + 3.01 \log L$	60	0.96

where W = weight in grams
L = length in millimeters

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES
stks N378.C5275@Theses RL
Effects of renovation on the Sacajawea P



3 1762 00169246 4

MAIN 111

N378
C5275
Cap. 2