

A study on some aspects of lifetime production in targhee and columbia sheep
by Arun Kumar Basuthakur

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE in Animal Science
Montana State University
© Copyright by Arun Kumar Basuthakur (1970)

Abstract:
The relative lifetime lamb and wool production data for Targhee and Columbia sheep maintained by the Agricultural Experiment Station at Montana State University was analyzed for ewes born from 1950 to 1962. The productive traits concerned were analyzed by least square analysis of variance with interaction, phenotypic correlation and heritability was estimated. The total number of animals studied was 732 Targhees and 411 Columbias.

In Targhees, it was observed that type of birth of the ewes sire affects the number of lambs born, whereas in Columbias the type of birth of ewe affects the trait. The interaction of type of birth was observed to be significant for number of lambs weaned in Targhees.

The fleece production showed a significant effect of type of birth of ewe and her sire in Targhees but not in Columbias.

Multiple births showed a positive significant correlation in Targhees with type of birth of the ewes sire whereas in Columbias type of birth of ewe was significant. Lamb and wool production showed variable significant correlations with yearling and weaning weights in both the breeds but were of low magnitude.

The heritability for weaning and yearling weight were observed to be quite high in both the breeds in comparison tp other traits studied. The heritability of multiple births in Targhees was observed to be quite high compared to other breeds of sheep.

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for an advanced degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for extensive copying of this thesis for scholarly purposes may be granted by my major professor, or, in his absence, by the Director of Libraries. It is understood that any copying or publication of this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature Arun Kumar Basu Thakur

Date 28th Jan 1970

# A STUDY ON SOME ASPECTS OF LIFETIME PRODUCTION IN TARGHEE AND COLUMBIA SHEEP

by 1651B

ARUN KUMAR BASUTHAKUR

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Animal Science

Approved:

R Z Blackwell
Head, Major Department

J. L. Van Horn
Chairman, Examining Committee

K Goering
Graduate Dean

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

March, 1970

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his most sincere appreciation to Professor J. L. Van Horn for his valuable suggestions and guidance through the whole graduate program in addition to my practical acquaintance with the improved sheep management and research. I am indebted to Dr. P. J. Burfening and Dr. R. L. Blackwell for their kind and keen interest with the problem of my research and their help in analyzing and interpreting the data. I wish to express my sincere appreciation to Professor James Drummond for his kind suggestions and help. I am also thankful to Professor R. F. Eslick, a member of my committee.

I appreciate the help of Dr. E. P. Smith and his staff in programming the data and working it through the computer. Appreciation is extended to all the workers of the Red Bluff and Fort Ellis Experiment Station of the University for their kind help from time to time in recording the data. Sincere appreciation is expressed to Mrs. Frankie Larson for her kind help in typing the manuscript.

I am grateful and sincere appreciation is expressed to my wife, Shyamali and my son for their understanding and encouragement for my graduate work living 13,000 miles away from me in India.

TABLE OF CONTENTS


| | Page |
|---|---|
| VITA | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| INDEX TO TABLES | vi |
| ABSTRACT | vii |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 3 |
| I. Fertility and Multiple Births | 3 |
| II. Wool Production | 4 |
| III. Weaning Weight | 6 |
| IV. Yearling Weight | 9 |
| V. Phenotypic Correlation | 9 |
| VI. Heritability | 12 |
| EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE | 17 |
| Management Practices | 17 |
| Selection | 20 |
| Statistical Analyses | 21 |
| Phenotypic Correlation | 21 |
| Heritability | 22 |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | 23 |
| Results | 23 |

Page

Least Squares Analysis . . . . . 23

Number of Lambs Born Per Ewe . . . . . 23

Number of Lambs Weaned . . . . . 24

Total Pounds of Lamb Weaned Per Ewe . . . . . 24

Total Pounds of Fleece Per Ewe . . . . . 31

Average Number of Lambs Born Per Ewe . . . . . 31

Average Number of Lambs Weaned Per Ewe . . . . . 32

Average Pounds of Lamb Weaned Per Ewe . . . . . 32

Average Pounds of Fleece Per Ewe . . . . . 33

Yearling Weight of the Ewes . . . . . 33

Weaning Weight of the Ewes . . . . . 34

Multiple Births . . . . . 34

Discussion . . . . . 37

Correlation Among Traits . . . . . 38

Heritability . . . . . 42

SUMMARY . . . . . 47

LITERATURE CITED . . . . . 50

# INDEX TO TABLES


| TABLE | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| I. | ANNUAL WOOL PRODUCTION IN RAMBOUILLET, TARGHEE AND COLUMBIA (Hulet et al. 1969) . . . . . | 5 |
| II. | AVERAGE WOOL PRODUCTION IN RANGE EWES (Shelton 1968) . . | 6 |
| III. | AGE OF DAM AND EFFECT OF TYPE OF BIRTH ON WEANING WEIGHTS IN RAMBOUILLET SHEEP . . . . . | 8 |
| IV. | MEAN AND STANDARD ERROR FOR YEARLING WEIGHT IN RAMBOUILLET, TARGHEE AND COLUMBIA SHEEP . . . . . | 9 |
| V. | SIMPLE CORRELATIONS AMONG TRAITS MEASURED IN RAMBOUILLET (Shelton et al. 1968) . . . . . | 10 |
| VI. | ESTIMATES OF HERITABILITY AND REPEATABILITY OF MULTIPLE BIRTH WITHIN HERDS IN DIFFERENT BREEDS (Rendel 1956) . . . . . | 15 |
| VII. | LEAST SQUARE MEANS AND STANDARD ERRORS FOR SOME OF THE PRODUCTIVE TRAITS IN TARGHEE SHEEP . . . . . | 25 |
| VIII. | LEAST SQUARE MEANS AND STANDARD ERRORS FOR SOME OF THE PRODUCTIVE TRAITS IN COLUMBIA SHEEP . . . . . | 27 |
| IX. | CORRELATION COEFFICIENT OF THE PRODUCTIVE TRAITS STUDIED IN TARGHEE AND COLUMBIA SHEEP . . . . . | 29 |
| X. | LEAST SQUARE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE WITH INTERACTION FOR THE TARGHEE BREED . . . . . | 35 |
| XI. | LEAST SQUARE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE WITH INTERACTION FOR THE COLUMBIA BREED . . . . . | 36 |
| XII. | SIMPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT IN TARGHEES AND COLUMBIAS FOR 14 PRODUCTIVE TRAITS . . . . . | 40 |
| XIII. | HERITABILITY AND STANDARD ERROR IN TARGHEE AND COLUMBIA SHEEP . . . . . | 43 |

## ABSTRACT

The relative lifetime lamb and wool production data for Targhee and Columbia sheep maintained by the Agricultural Experiment Station at Montana State University was analyzed for ewes born from 1950 to 1962. The productive traits concerned were analyzed by least square analysis of variance with interaction, phenotypic correlation and heritability was estimated. The total number of animals studied was 732 Targhees and 411 Columbias.

In Targhees, it was observed that type of birth of the ewes sire affects the number of lambs born, whereas in Columbias the type of birth of ewe affects the trait. The interaction of type of birth was observed to be significant for number of lambs weaned in Targhees. The fleece production showed a significant effect of type of birth of ewe and her sire in Targhees but not in Columbias.

Multiple births showed a positive significant correlation in Targhees with type of birth of the ewes sire whereas in Columbias type of birth of ewe was significant. Lamb and wool production showed variable significant correlations with yearling and weaning weights in both the breeds but were of low magnitude.

The heritability for weaning and yearling weight were observed to be quite high in both the breeds in comparison to other traits studied. The heritability of multiple births in Targhees was observed to be quite high compared to other breeds of sheep.

# INTRODUCTION

Sheep are perhaps one of the first ruminants domesticated by man. Sheep may be considered as man's first helpmates to supply him with wool, meat, milk and pelt. Today, there is no history of any religion or race where somewhere there is no mention of sheep.

The history of sheep in this country starts somewhere between 1493 to 1520, through import from Spain and England. During that time, wool was more important than lambs for slaughter. As early as in 1662, the first woolen mill was established and all possible encouragement and legislation for growing sheep was started in 1670.

Modern development to increase lamb and wool production in the United States started about 1912, when long wool breeds were crossed with high quality Rambouillet ewes to produce large ewes with greater production of wool and lamb. These early crosses resulted in the Columbia sheep. Under a similar demand, another high quality sheep breed was developed basically by crossing Columbia ewes with Rambouillet rams. These foundation sheep established the Targhee breed in 1926.

However, the increasing population and its many fold demands of today requires more production from domestic animals to keep it progressive under the present mechanism of economy. Research in other livestock has efficiency of production. Sheep not only produce fiber with many special characteristics but also it is one of the few mammals where incidence of multiple follicular growth and twinning is frequent.

Though the domestic sheep is said to have originated from two wild ancestors (Moufflon and Urial) the hundreds of completely dissimilar breeds with its tremendous diversity of production potentiality under the present bioclimatic conditions of the world entails an enormous store of genetic variability. Genetics study of sheep over the last half century revealed the presence of an impressive array of genetic phenomena.

Terrill (1958) reviewed the past 50 years of sheep breeding research but still some of the results show inconclusive understanding for practical emphasis under genetic law due to variablity between samples and breeds.

The Columbia and Targhee sheep which form one of the major sheep populations of this country have already gone through different mechanisms of selection and are at present improved and adopted breeds. To further increase the production ability of wool and lambs, it is essential to further investigate and know accurately the genetic potentiality and present production ability.

With the above in view, the present work was undertaken with the Columbia and Targhee sheep, stationed at the Red Bluff Sheep Research Ranch of the Montana State University, to study the phenotypic relations of different productive traits at different ages, including heritability and mechanism of inheritance of lifetime production of wool and lamb.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### I. Fertility and Multiple Births

There seems to be very little evidence where selection in sheep has been used to increase the number of lambs born per ewe lambing. However, studies indicate that the fertility is extremely variable between breeds and between flocks within breeds.

Kennedy et al. (1950), observed that in Merino sheep multiple births were associated with age of dam. Wright et al. (1953) studying Romney and Corriedales reported an average of 0.4 more lambs per ewe for dams born as twins compared to dams born as singles. Belic (1954) studying eight years of production in Tsigai sheep from Belgrade also observed higher incidence of more multiple births in animals born as twins compared to singles. He also reported in the same breed that the incidence of twinning increases with age and reaches a peak at four years of age. Later, Belic (1958) presented data showing that 8.1% have markedly low fertility, 2.1% have extraordinary fertility and regularly gave birth to twins or triplets and 0.1% produced two lambs more on the average than their lifetime number of lambings. In a similar study, Madsen et al. (1952) found no significant results in number of lambs produced from crossbred range ewes sired by Columbia, Targhee and Rambouillet.

In a flock of Wurttenberg ewes, Polach (1960) observed the maximum rate of twinning at five and one-half years of age. Teodoreanu

et al. (1959) reported maximum number of multiple births in Palas Merino at four to eight years of age. Desai et al. (1951) also reported that ewes born twins gave birth to 0.12 more lambs than ewes born as singles. The frequency of triplet production was also higher in the twin born group and there was consistently higher lifetime reproductive performance.

II. Wool Production

Wool production is another important trait in sheep. Total production however, varies from breed to breed and is affected by such things as density, fineness, staple length, lamb production age and nutrition.

Nagal (1947) in a Germanland Merino flock observed that with age the wool production slowly dropped. The average of the flock at two years of age was 4.1 kg and the average for the same flock at eight to ten years of age dropped to 3.5 kg. A similar observation was also reported by Sanchez (1950) that the production of Spanish Merino increases up to four years of age and then decreases with age.

Wright et al. (1953) studied the lifetime production and breeding performance of Romney and Corriedale ewes. They also observed that fleece weight and fertility changes with age. These data indicate that lambs born as singles produced more wool ($0.27 \pm 0.12$ lb.) than lambs born as twins. Ali (1952) reported the average fleece weight

in Shorpshires as 9.1 lbs. with a standard deviation (S.D.) of 1.3 lbs. and considers that variability of body weight is negligible to improve wool production as compared to other qualitative traits of the fleece.

Hulet et al. (1969) reported wool production in Rambouillet, Targhee and Columbia sheep from two to six years of age. They have shown the average production in two groups according to the appearance of estrus during the first winter after birth. (Table I).

TABLE I. ANNUAL WOOL PRODUCTION IN RAMBOUILLET, TARGHEE AND COLUMBIA (Hulet et al. 1969)

| Age | Estrus | Rambouillet (kg) | Targhee (kg) | Columbia (kg) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | No | $4.2 \pm 0.01$ | $4.6 \pm 0.03$ | $5.0 \pm 0.02$ |
| | Yes | $4.2 \pm 0.08$ | $4.5 \pm 0.05$ | $4.9 \pm 0.07$ |
| 3 | No | $4.4 \pm 0.01$ | $4.8 \pm 0.02$ | $5.3 \pm 0.03$ |
| | Yes | $4.4 \pm 0.09$ | $4.7 \pm 0.05$ | $5.3 \pm 0.09$ |
| 4 | No | $4.4 \pm 0.02$ | $4.8 \pm 0.03$ | $5.3 \pm 0.03$ |
| | Yes | $4.3 \pm 0.06$ | $4.6 \pm 0.08$ | $5.1 \pm 0.13$ |
| 5 | No | $4.3 \pm 0.03$ | $4.8 \pm 0.03$ | $5.2 \pm 0.05$ |
| | Yes | $4.3 \pm 0.09$ | $4.7 \pm 0.09$ | $5.0 \pm 0.22$ |
| 6 | No | $4.4 \pm 0.10$ | $4.7 \pm 0.13$ | $5.1 \pm 0.18$ |

As expected, the Columbia shows the highest production and Rambouillets the lowest among the three with Targhees intermediate. Their data does not show much variability due to age between two and

six years of age within each breed. In Rambouillets, Shelton (1968) reported the fleece weight from two to ten years of age. (Table II). The fleece weights according to his work show a decrease with increasing age after the age of three years.

TABLE II. AVERAGE WOOL PRODUCTION IN RANGE FINE WOOL EWES (Shelton 1968)

| Age | No. | Av. Ewe Body Weight (kg) | Av. Fleece Weight (kg) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 1330 | 44.0 | 4.36 |
| 3 | 1111 | 46.0 | 4.50 |
| 4 | 924 | 51.0 | 4.49 |
| 5 | 747 | 53.2 | 4.39 |
| 6 | 568 | 53.9 | 4.27 |
| 7 | 364 | 53.6 | 4.03 |
| 8 | 200 | 53.4 | 3.96 |
| 9 | 84 | 51.1 | 3.64 |

## III. Weaning Weight

The weight at weaning is one of the most crucial measurements in sheep production. The variability of this particular trait is influenced by the level of nutrition of the dam, age of dam, birth weight and age of lamb at weaning, type of birth and rearing, climatic conditions and breed of sheep.

Sidwell et al. (1949) studied the size of Navajo lambs at weaning from the lifetime production of 414 Navajo ewes in New Mexico. The adjusted average weaning weight at approximately 139 days of age was 59.4 lbs. with a standard deviation of 9.8 lbs. Six measureable environmental factors found to have an important effect upon the weaning weights of the lambs were year of birth, age of dam, type of birth, type of rearing, sex, and age of lamb at weaning. Weaning weights were observed to be 5.2 lbs. below average for 1939 to 1946; whereas, those in 1941 were 6.9 lbs. above average. Two-year-old ewes weaned the smallest lambs; 4-to 7-year-old ewes weaned intermediate weight lambs. Columbia rams sired the heaviest lambs at weaning followed by Corriedale crossbreds, Navajo and Romney rams, respectively. Single lambs were 11.2 lbs. heavier than twins and 2.9 lbs. heavier than twins raised as singles. Ewe lambs averaged 4.4 lbs. less than ram lambs.

The effect of crossbreeding Navajo ewes to Columbia and Romney rams and Navajo crossbred ewes to Lincoln and Cotswold rams on weaning weight was reported by Price et al. (1951). The average weaning weight of Cotswold cross lambs was 65.1 lbs. and was significantly higher than that of the other three crosses. The average weaning weights of Columbia (64.0 lbs.) and Lincoln (63.29 lbs.) cross lambs were significantly greater than that of the Romney cross lambs (61.38 lbs.). The average weaning weights of the Columbia and Romney sired lambs differed

by 2 lbs. and although the number of observations was small, the difference was significant.

Similar work on the effect of age of dam and type of birth on weaning weights of lambs in Rambouillets was reported by Shelton et al. (1968) as follows:

TABLE III. AGE OF DAM AND EFFECT OF TYPE OF BIRTH ON WEANING WEIGHTS IN RAMBOUILLET SHEEP

| Age | No. | Average Weaning Weights (kg) Singles (S) | Twins (T) | (S-T) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 1330 | 28.1 | 25.5 | 2.6 |
| 3 | 1111 | 31.8 | 27.7 | 4.1 |
| 4 | 924 | 32.0 | 27.3 | 4.7 |
| 5 | 747 | 32.0 | 27.8 | 4.2 |
| 6 | 568 | 32.2 | 26.6 | 5.6 |
| 7 | 364 | 28.5 | 26.5 | 2.0 |
| 8 | 200 | 29.4 | 25.6 | 3.8 |
| 9 | 84 | 27.6 | 25.1 | 2.5 |
| 10 | 23 | 24.0 | 25.7 | -1.7 |

In this case, the maximum average weaning weight of lambs was for the dams from three to six years of age. The maximum difference (5.6 kg) between singles and twins was at six years of age. Sex difference was not studied. In general, the difference in weight between the whole group of dams for singles and twins was 2 to 5 kg.

IV. Yearling Weight

Yearling weight is another measure with which many other characteristics of future production have been correlated. Yearling weight is thought to be of greater importance in predicting the future production than weaning weight.

Hulet et al. (1969) reported average yearling weight in Rambouillet, Targhee and Columbia sheep based on the appearance of estrus or no estrus during their first winter after birth.

TABLE IV. MEAN AND STANDARD ERROR FOR YEARLING WEIGHT IN RAMBOUILLET, TARGHEE AND COLUMBIA SHEEP

| | Rambouillet | | Targhee | | Columbia | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No. Lambs | Mean-S.E. | No. Lambs | Mean-S.E. | No. Lambs | Mean-S.E. |
| No estrus | 2110 | $52.6 \pm 0.10$ | 1663 | $57.7 \pm 0.26$ | 1153 | $56.1 \pm 0.16$ |
| Estrus | 254 | $54.2 \pm 0.67$ | 267 | $56.4 \pm 0.45$ | 119 | $57.7 \pm 0.50$ |

They reported no important interactions between estrous status and years or estrous status and type of birth and rearing for any of the weaning or yearling traits.

V. Phenotypic Correlation

It is important to know the degree of relationship among traits and the sign of the correlation. Shelton and Menzies (1968) studied the simple correlation coefficients (Table V) in a Rambouillet flock stationed at Sonora, Texas. The data collected were for the years 1930 to 1966.

TABLE V. SIMPLE CORRELATIONS AMONG TRAITS MEASURED IN RAMBOUILLET (Shelton et al. (1968)

| | Yearling breeding weight | Mature weight | Years in breeding flock | Number lambs born | Number lambs raised | Number dry seasons | Number multiple births | Average fleece weight | Total wool production | Total lamb production | Lambs born Times bred | Lambs born Times bred |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Weaning weight | .660** | .418** | .039 | .101** | .088** | -.049 | .126** | .059 | .063 | .102** | .130** | .125** |
| Yearling weight | | .621** | .069* | .131** | .124** | -.039 | .151** | .147** | .105** | .148** | .166** | .154** |
| Mature weight | | | .269** | .203** | .159** | .228** | .221** | .295** | .349** | .207** | .012 | -.017 |
| Years in flock | | | | .853** | .826** | .409** | .477** | .017 | .949** | .821** | .220** | .222** |
| Number lambs born | | | | | .954** | -.011 | .757** | -.059 | .793** | .936** | .604** | .542** |
| Number lambs raised | | | | | | -.016 | .696** | -.083** | .764** | .980** | .565** | .634** |
| Number dry seasons | | | | | | | .022 | .209** | .438** | -.020 | -.480** | -.417** |
| Number multiple births | | | | | | | | .021 | .456** | .651** | .597** | .498** |
| Average fleece weight | | | | | | | | | .295** | -.101** | -.135** | -.169** |
| Total wool production | | | | | | | | | | .576** | .188** | .190** |
| Total lamb production | | | | | | | | | | | .532** | .600** |
| Lambs born Times bred | | | | | | | | | | | | .856** |

*(P<.05)
**(P<.01)

There was a low but significant negative correlation between the average wool yield and the various reproductive efficiency traits in the flock. The number of multiple birth was nonsignificantly correlated with average fleece weight. Most of the traits show a positive relationship with both weaning and yearling weight. However, of the two, yearling weight would be of more value in predicting future performance due to its higher correlation. Total lamb production and multiple births were significantly positively correlated with yearling and mature weight. Mature weight and years in breeding flock show a low positive correlation with the number of dry seasons. Years in flock was highly correlated with total wool and lamb production.

Kennedy (1966) studied the phenotypic correlation between fertility and wool production in Merino sheep in Australia. He observed that the correlation between number of lambs born or weaned was negatively correlated with grease wool weight. He reported correlations of -0.09 and -0.12 between grease wool weight, number of lambs born and number of lambs weaned, respectively. Young, et al. (1960) while estimated phenotypic correlations between fertility and grease or clean weight at different ages in Merino sheep in Australia and reported no consistant trend.

Belic (1954) studied the effect of fertility on wool production

in Tsigai sheep. Wool production for ewes producing singles and twins was reported separately. His observations show the greater the fertility in Tsigai ewes the lower the wool production.

Slen et al. (1954) obtained a correlation of 0.40 between the yearling weight and clean fleece weight. In a similar study with Corriedale sheep, Wright et al. (1953) found a significant correlation between yearling weight and grease fleece weight. Sanchez (1950) shows positive significant correlation of 0.49 between grease fleece weight and yearling weight in Spanish Merino.

Terrill and Kyle (1953) in a study of the Columbia, Targhee and Rambouillet breeds found a positive significant correlation between the yearling and weaning weight with clean fleece weight. Spencer et al. (1928) obtained a phenotypic correlation between yearling weight and grease fleece weight of 0.16, in Rambouillet sheep.

Bassett (1965) studied the data collected at the Montana Agricultural Experiment Station, Bozeman, over the period of 1955 to 1963 and reported the following correlations between yearling weight and grease fleece weight for Rambouillet 0.49, Targhee 0.67 and Columbia 0.56.

VI. Heritability

Wright et al. (1953) studied the lifetime wool production and breeding performances of Romney and Corriedale ewes. The heritability of fleece weight was 0.52 for both breeds.

Ali (1952) in his study with Shorpshire, Columbia and Hampsire sheep obtained heritability estimates of 0.52 by the regression method and 0.32 by the correlation method for fleece weight. The analysis was composed of animals with mixed genetic materials including cross-breds and inbreds and the above figures were calculated without controlling the variation due to body weight. Further estimates of heritability for fleece weight in the same sample based on the intra-year regression of offspring on dam within breed of sire was 0.43, which differred only slightly from the corresponding within sire estimate of 0.51.

Morley (1954) estimated heritability of grease fleece weight and yield in Merino sheep. One set of estimates was obtained by parent-offspring regressions for the data obtained between 1943 to 1952, and another set of half-sib analyses from data from sheep with first adult shearing in 1953. The estimates were 0.40 and 0.39 for grease fleece weight and yield, respectively, by parent offspring, regression and 0.44 and 0.75 by the paternal half-sib method.

Young et al. (1960) studied heritability of grease fleece weight and nine other wool characteristics in Merino sheep. Their study included three groups of sheep namely (1) half-sib selection group (2) mass selection group (3) control group. The heritabilities of grease fleece weight by groups were: 0.499, 0.360 and 0.449, respectively. The data were analyzed by dam-daughter correlation. Within

the major groups, the data have been classed as to mating groups which again have been sub-classed as per to type of birth of progeny and their dam. The characteristics were measured when animals were 15 to 16 months of age.

Rendel (1956) analyzed the routine records of a sheep farm in Sweden from 1925 to 1942, comprising 30,989 births of Cheviots, Oxford Down, Shorpshire and Swedish Landrace sheep. There were 5093 dams and 425 sires. The average number of births per ewe was 6.09, and the overall frequency of multiple births was 51.1%, the Landrace being highest with 69.1%, and the Oxford Down next with 51.0%. Estimates of heritability of multiple births obtained by two methods were in fairly good agreement but differed somewhat between breeds. When the results of the two methods were averaged, the heritability for multiple birth in the four sheep breeds was estimated to be 0.113. (Table VI).

Robertson and Lerner's method (used by Rendel 1956) for estimate of the heritability includes the ratio between the average genotypic change and the selection differential with the argument that even if no artificial selection is applied there exists a natural selection for such traits as viability or incidence of multiple birth, since individuals with high viability or high fertility will get more offspring in the next generation than those having low viability or fertility.

TABLE VI. ESTIMATES OF HERITABILITY AND REPEATABILITY OF MULTIPLE BIRTH WITHIN HERDS IN DIFFERENT BREEDS (Rendel 1956)

| Breeds | Heritability | Heritability[1/] |
|---|---|---|
| Cheviot | 0.224 | $0.185 \pm 0.023$ |
| Shorpshire | 0.042 | $0.037 \pm 0.011$ |
| Landrace | 0.088 | $0.062 \pm 0.023$ |
| Oxford Down | 0.084 | $0.080 \pm 0.020$ |
| Average | 0.126 | $0.099 \pm 0.010$ |

1/ By Robertson and Lerner's Method

The heritability mentioned here of 0.113 was somewhat lower than that of Johansson and Hansson (1943) for multiple birth in sheep (0.214) calculated by dam-daughter correlation when estimated from the half-sib correlation (0.196) based on the average of two lambings.

Karam, et al. (1958) while studying multiple birth in Texal sheep in Egypt calculated the heritability for the number of lambs born. They used both daughter-dam and half-sib correlation. The average number of lambs per lambing for each ewe was used. A heritability estimate of 0.255 was calculated by doubling the overall daughter-dam regression using 343 pairs. The available daughter-dam pairs within sire group were only 2.81 per sire. Another estimate of 0.298 was obtained by multiplying the intra-class correlation by four for the half-sib correlation.

Karam (1959) reported heritability for weaning weight in Rahmani sheep as 0.18 and yearling weight as 0.19. Mac Naughton (1957) reported the heritability estimates of 0.33 and 0.52 for weaning and yearling weight in Corriedale sheep. Bosman (1958) reported heritability estimates for two groups of Merinos as 0.09 and 0.47 for grease fleece weight, 0.23 and 0.44 for clean fleece weight, 0.48 and 0.22 for wool production.

Vesely et al. (1961) reported heritability of Rambouillet sheep from Canada for weaning weight $0.28 \pm 0.09$, yearling weight $0.37 \pm 0.11$, and clean fleece weight $0.48 \pm 0.08$.

The heritability was calculated by intra-sire regression of daughter on dam adjusting the data for year, age of dam, type of birth and rearing and age of lamb or yearling ewe. The data were collected for over a 12 year period.

Vachal (1962) reported heritability of grease fleece weight as 0.37 in Stravropol Merino sheep. His sample consisted of 536 dam-daughter pairs sired by 69 rams of about two years of age. While in another group with Mutton Merino blood, he obtained heritability of 0.299 calculated on 241 dam-daughter pairs.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The data under study were those records which were regularly recorded as part of the normal routine research activity of the Animal and Range Science Department, Montana Agricultural Experiment Station, Bozeman, Montana. These data include the female lambs of Targhee and Columbia breeding born from 1950 to 1962 and their subsequent production in the band.

In general, the ewes were bred from two years to eight years of age. The total production of wool was considered from their first year but for lamb production, it was from the second year when they were expected to produce the first lamb crop. The age of culling was the period the animal lived in the band from its year of birth and the production was calculated accordingly. The elimination of ewes from the band was due to death or as otherwise discussed under selection. The number of ewes available for study are tabulated in Tables VII and VIII.

### Management Practices

All the animals were managed as a typical band of range ewes on the unfenced area of the Station and on National Forest allotments. The animals were collected and brought to the headquarters of the Station only during breeding, lambing and data collecting time.

In general, the breeding season for the period was mid-November to early December and consequently the lambing time was April and May.

The ewes were mated in small flocks of 40 to 60 with their respective breed of rams under a pen-mating system. During the breeding season, mixed hay and alfalfa hay were supplied in addition to the continuous flowing water. At the end of the breeding season, the ewes were herded together on the range until the start of the expected lambing season. Hay was fed whenever snow was too deep for grazing or if there was not sufficient feed. During the lambing season, the herd was brought to the shed and the ewes were managed under shed lambing conditions.

Just after parturition, the dam and the lamb were taken to a 4' x 4' pen or "jug" and kept for 24 hours or more depending upon the condition of the lamb and mothering ability of the dam. Then both the dam and the lamb were collected in a small pen where five to ten such animals can be kept at a time and maintained for five to six days prior to putting them in a larger group. The time for the passage of the dams and lambs from the jug to the corral depended on the number of jugs available and speed of parturition during the season. The same number paint brand was branded on the lamb and mother. The lamb numbers were checked from a breeding sheet previously prepared and accordingly the lamb was eartagged, weighed and a docking ring applied while in the jug. The lamb number and birth weight was entered in the lambing book according to breed and band including dam number and sire number. The number of the lamb, birth weight and day of birth

was also entered on the respective ewe card.

Ewes and lambs were then grazed during May and June on the Station and by July taken in a single flock to the forest allotment for summer grazing.

At the end of August, when the age of the lambs was approximately 18 weeks, the animals were collected and a weaning weight determined at the summer pasture. The animals were weighed on a platform balance and males and females were separated. The animals, after being collected in the drafting yard, were led through the squeeze into a chute provided with cutting gates. The ewes, and lambs were thus separated before weighing. The weights were entered on a work sheet. The female lambs joined their mother again whereas the male lambs were weaned and transferred to a separate pasture for grazing. All weaning weights thus recorded was also entered on their respective dam cards. During September, the flock was trailed from the summer range to the Station.

The same type of drafting yard as mentioned above was used. The animals were weighed individually, branded and the weight recorded on work sheets.

The shearing program at the Station occurs during the month of June or in later years in April. Each animal was machine shorn and the fleece was rolled down from the shearing cabin. The fleece was individually collected, weighed on a hanging-pan spring balance at the spot

and rolled in a cheese cloth or plastic bag including an identification tag bearing the number of the animal.

The number and weight of the fleece was also recorded on a work sheet. A number of fleeces were then packed together and transported to the Wool Laboratory of the University for further analysis. The fleece weight, in addition to other wool quality standards, were then entered on the ewe production record card.

Though the above was the general management practice as a whole, some of the animals from both the breeds have gone through different kinds of nutrition, flushing and other management experiments. No. separate management was done for the treated or untreated groups.

Selection

No particular type of selection was practiced during the early years of this study. Only ewes with some visible defects were eliminated from the flock. Ewes with continuous reproductive failure were also culled. However, no record of any particular type of selection in practice for the early years were available.

During the later parts of the study, some regular and systematic type of selection was practiced. A ewe that did not produce a lamb for two consecutive years was taken out of the band. Face cover, weaning and yearling weights, type of birth and general wool production and grade was used in selection for the latter years of the study.

Selection was more intence for the Targhees than the Columbias for some years at the beginning as the Targhee flock was large and Columbia numbers were quite small. During that period to increase the number of Columbia sheep, no ewe excepting an extreme case of continuous reproductive failure or visible defects was eliminated from the flock.

For rams, also no particular type of selection method is available in the record for the early years. They were selected by visual appraisal giving some importance to verility and body weight. During later years however, consideration of characteristics as mentioned above was used for selecting stud rams including rate of growth.

Statistical Analyses

To avoid entanglement effect of age at culling, years of birth, type of birth of ewe and of her sire and interaction of type of birth in this case, from the disproportionate subclass frequencies, the least squares method of analysis was undertaken. The method as discussed and suggested by Harvey (1960) was followed.

Phenotypic Correlation

The estimate used for the correlation is the ratio of the covariance to the geometric mean of the two variances.

The conventional formula according to Fisher (1967) and Mather (1946) as used by other workers in the field has been used to calculate the correlation between two metric characters.

Heritability

Heritability estimates were calculated from the intra-class correlation of paternal half-sibs, which were computed within year subclasses using a nested analysis of variance.

The sire variance ($6_s^2$) contains one-fourth of the additive genetic variance while three-fourths of the additive genetic variance is included in the error variance ($6_e^2$) in addition to other sources of variation.

The general formula used is $h_i^2 = \frac{4b_s^2}{6_s^2 + 6_e^2}$

$h_i^2$ = Heritability of trait

$h_s^2$ = Between sire component of variance

$6_e^2$ = Within sire component

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Least Squares Analysis

#### Results

Lifetime production records of lamb and wool from 732 Targhee and 411 Columbia ewes including their weaning and yearling weight were analyzed by the least squares method. No adjustments for any data were made. The analysis of variance was undertaken for each trait to analyze the effect of age at culling, year of birth, type of birth of the ewe, type of birth of the ewe's sire and the interaction between type of birth of the ewe and her sire on the ewe's lifetime. The data for each breed were tabulated and analyzed separately. (Tables X and XI).

### Number of Lambs Born Per Ewe

The effect of year of birth and type of birth of the ewe are significant ($P<.05$) in the Columbia. But neither the type of birth of her sire nor the pooled interaction between the type of birth of the ewe and her sire shows any significant effect on the number of lambs produced.

In the Targhees, the year of birth is significant ($P<.01$) but unlike the Columbias, the type of birth of the ewe is nonsignificant. The least squares means for the ewes born as singles sired by rams born as singles has the lowest mean (4.93) of the four types if interactions and ewes born as twins sired by rams born as twins is higher for both

the breeds, In Targhees, however, ewes born as singles sired by rams born as twins shows the highest average (5.34) whereas in Columbias where both were born as twins. (Tables VII, VIII and IX)

Number of Lambs Weaned

In the Columbias, the effect of age at culling of dam is significant, whereas all of the factors studied were nonsignificant. In the Targhees, the year of birth and the interaction between type of birth of dam and her sire, are highly significant ($P \leq .01$). However, the type of birth of the ewe and type of birth of her sire separately seems to have no significant effect on the number of lambs weaned. The effect of age at culling shows a considerable increase from two years to eight years for both the breeds in regard to mean. The highest number of lambs weaned was by the seventh and eighth year groups for both the breeds. The effect of type of birth on the means as usual was better where either the dam or the dam's sire was born as a twin. In the Columbias, as before, the mean was highest when both the ewe and her sire were born as twins.

Total Pounds of Lamb Weaned Per Ewe

In Columbias, the age at culling was significant and all other factors are nonsignificant. In Targhees, similarly the age at culling of dam, year of birth and the interaction between type of birth of the ewe and her sire were highly significant ($P \leq .01$) as it was for

TABLE VII. LEAST SQUARE MEANS AND STANDARD ERRORS FOR SOME OF THE PRODUCTIVE TRAITS IN TARGHEE SHEEP

| Variables | Number of Observations | Number of Lambs Born | Number of Lambs Weaned | Total Pounds of Lambs Weaned | Total Pounds of Fleece | Average Number of Lambs Born | Average Number of Lambs Weaned | Average Pounds of Lambs Weaned | Average Pounds of Fleece |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Least - Square Mean ± S. E. | | | | | | | |
| Overall mean | 732 | 5.21±0.09 | 4.19±0.09 | 348.14±7.30 | 51.75±0.61 | 1.23±0.03 | 0.96±0.03 | 76.36±2.62 | 10.27±0.14 |
| Culling Age (yrs.) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 188 | 1.00±0.08 | 0.69±0.07 | 53.63±6.12 | 19.3±0.51 | 0.96±0.03 | 0.66±0.02 | 50.2±2.20 | 9.78±0.11 |
| 3 | 142 | 2.10±0.09 | 1.34±0.08 | 109.0 ±6.96 | 31.3±0.58 | 1.04±0.03 | 0.65±0.03 | 52.9±2.50 | 10.45±0.13 |
| 4 | 101 | 3.59±0.10 | 2.85±0.10 | 227.8±8.23 | 42.5±0.69 | 1.20±0.04 | 0.96±0.03 | 77.0±2.96 | 10.54±0.16 |
| 5 | 77 | 5.09±0.12 | 4.12±0.12 | 337.9 ±9.37 | 53.1±0.79 | 1.27±0.04 | 1.03±0.04 | 84.5±3.37 | 10.62±0.18 |
| 6 | 132 | 6.57±0.10 | 5.45±0.09 | 451.9 ±7.67 | 62.6±0.64 | 1.34±0.03 | 1.10±0.03 | 90.9±2.76 | 10.47±0.15 |
| 7 | 89 | 8.47±0.11 | 7.30±0.11 | 585.4 ±9.01 | 70.8±0.76 | 1.40±0.04 | 1.23±0.04 | 99.1±3.24 | 10.09±0.17 |
| 8 | 3 | 9.64±0.63 | 7.56±0.61 | 671.0 ±47.5 | 82.4±4.02 | 1.37±0.23 | 1.11±0.22 | 100.7±17.13 | 9.96±0.93 |
| Year of Birth | | | | | | | | | |
| 1950 | 59 | 5.73±0.17 | 4.79±0.16 | 384.1±12.9 | 48.9±1.09 | 1.45±0.06 | 1.22±0.05 | 96.7±4.65 | 9.38±0.25 |
| 1951 | 68 | 5.36±0.16 | 4.24±0.15 | 339.8±12.1 | 53.8±1.03 | 1.37±0.05 | 1.07±0.05 | 86.2±4.38 | 10.79±0.23 |
| 1952 | 44 | 5.12±0.17 | 3.90±0.17 | 316.3±13.3 | 53.3±1.12 | 1.21±0.06 | 0.90±0.06 | 70.2±4.81 | 10.88±0.26 |
| 1953 | 85 | 4.82±0.15 | 3.77±0.14 | 307.2±11.3 | 55.8±0.95 | 1.14±0.05 | 0.79±0.05 | 63.6±4.08 | 11.38±0.22 |
| 1954 | 46 | 4.83±0.18 | 4.19±0.17 | 342.1±13.8 | 55.5±1.17 | 1.09±0.06 | 0.92±0.06 | 73.7±4.99 | 11.11±0.27 |
| 1955 | 52 | 5.04±0.17 | 3.87±0.17 | 331.4±13.5 | 51.3±1.14 | 1.18±0.06 | 0.83±0.06 | 70.7±4.88 | 10.23±0.26 |
| 1956 | 37 | 5.30±0.20 | 4.52±0.19 | 364.5±15.2 | 50.7±1.28 | 1.26±0.07 | 1.08±0.07 | 84.9±5.49 | 10.01±0.29 |
| 1957 | 51 | 5.21±0.17 | 3.88±0.16 | 326.9±12.9 | 53.8±1.09 | 1.21±0.06 | 0.82±0.06 | 69.2±4.67 | 11.05±0.25 |
| 1958 | 63 | 5.36±0.16 | 4.24±0.15 | 355.8±12.4 | 49.2±1.04 | 1.23±0.06 | 0.97±0.05 | 81.3±4.46 | 10.04±0.24 |
| 1959 | 63 | 5.30±0.16 | 4.23±0.16 | 346.5±12.5 | 50.5±1.05 | 1.24±0.06 | 0.95±0.05 | 77.2±4.50 | 10.10±0.24 |
| 1960 | 62 | 4.99±0.17 | 4.15±0.16 | 363.7±12.8 | 49.9±1.08 | 1.06±0.06 | 0.88±0.05 | 77.9±4.62 | 9.98±0.25 |
| 1961 | 43 | 5.40±0.18 | 4.30±0.18 | 369.8±14.3 | 46.9±1.20 | 1.31±0.07 | 1.08±0.06 | 91.4±5.15 | 8.71±0.28 |
| 1962 | 59 | 5.22±0.17 | 4.36±0.16 | 376.0±13.0 | 52.7±1.10 | 1.18±0.06 | 1.02±0.06 | 88.2±4.69 | 9.92±0.25 |
| Type of Birth of Ewe | | | | | | | | | |
| Singles | 417 | 5.16±0.10 | 4.16±0.10 | 346.2±7.83 | 52.3±0.66 | 1.20±0.03 | 0.96±0.03 | 79.3±2.82 | 10.4 ±0.15 |
| Twins | 315 | 5.26±0.10 | 4.21±0.10 | 350.0±8.10 | 51.1±0.68 | 1.25±0.03 | 0.97±0.03 | 79.3±2.91 | 10.06±0.15 |
| Type of Birth of Ewes' Sires | | | | | | | | | |
| Singles | 447 | 5.10±0.10 | 4.16±0.10 | 345.1±8.05 | 52.5±0.68 | 1.20±0.03 | 0.96±0.03 | 78.9±1.89 | 10.47±0.15 |
| Twins | 285 | 5.31±0.10 | 4.21±0.10 | 351.0±8.24 | 50.9±0.69 | 1.25±0.04 | 0.96±0.03 | 79.7±2.96 | 10.08±0.16 |

TABLE VII. (CONTINUED)

| Variables | Number of Observations | Least - Square Mean ± S. E. Number of Lambs Born | Number of Lambs Weaned | Total Pounds of Lambs Weaned | Total Pounds of Fleece | Average Number of Lambs Born | Average Number of Lambs Weaned | Average Pounds of Lambs Weaned | Average Pounds of Fleece |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ewes born as singles sired by rams born as singles | 253 | 4.97±0.11 | 3.99±0.11 | 335.4±8.77 | 53.3±0.74 | 1.15±0.04 | 0.91±0.04 | 75.9±3.16 | 10.77±0.17 |
| Ewes born as singles sired by rams born as twins | 164 | 5.34±0.12 | 4.33±0.11 | 357.0±9.30 | 51.3±0.78 | 1.25±0.04 | 1.01±0.04 | 82.8±3.34 | 10.22±0.18 |
| Ewes born as twins sired by rams born as singles | 194 | 5.23±0.12 | 4.33±0.11 | 354.9±9.16 | 51.7±0.77 | 1.25±0.04 | 1.01±0.04 | 81.9±3.29 | 10.17±0.17 |
| Ewes born as twins sired by rams born as twins | 121 | 5.29±0.13 | 4.10±0.12 | 345.1±9.90 | 50.6±0.83 | 1.25±0.04 | 0.92±0.04 | 76.7±3.56 | 9.94±0.19 |

TABLE VIII. LEAST SQUARE MEANS AND STANDARD ERRORS FOR SOME OF THE PRODUCTION TRAITS IN COLUMBIA SHEEP

| Variables | Number of Observations | Number of Lambs Born | Number of Lambs Weaned | Total Pounds of Lambs Weaned | Total Pounds of Fleece | Average Number of Lambs Born | Average Number of Lambs Weaned | Average Pounds of Lambs Weaned | Average Pounds of Fleece |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Least - Squares Mean ± S. E. | | | | | | | | |
| Overall Mean | 411 | 5.143±0.19 | 4.311±0.17 | 339.62±13.5 | 55.81±1.16 | 1.25±0.06 | 0.96±0.06 | 75.61±4.54 | 10.89±0.26 |
| Culling Age (yrs.) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 68 | 0.99±0.16 | 0.64±0.15 | 51.32±11.45 | 19.50±0.98 | 1.05±0.05 | 0.61±0.05 | 45.08±3.84 | 9.83±0.22 |
| 3 | 82 | 2.18±0.14 | 1.48±0.13 | 116.16±10.34 | 32.15±0.88 | 1.11±0.05 | 0.75±0.04 | 57.46±3.47 | 10.75±0.20 |
| 4 | 64 | 3.49±0.16 | 2.35±0.15 | 182.01±11.77 | 44.59±1.01 | 1.18±0.05 | 0.79±0.05 | 60.61±3.95 | 11.13±0.23 |
| 5 | 52 | 5.17±0.18 | 4.07±0.16 | 321.45±12.75 | 55.41±1.09 | 1.30±0.06 | 1.02±0.05 | 80.86±4.28 | 11.02±0.25 |
| 6 | 61 | 6.51±0.16 | 5.26±0.15 | 414.44±11.88 | 68.45±1.02 | 1.31±0.05 | 1.04±0.05 | 82.41±3.99 | 11.40±0.23 |
| 7 | 83 | 8.51±0.15 | 7.30±0.14 | 546.46±10.71 | 76.74±0.91 | 1.42±0.05 | 1.20±0.04 | 93.82±3.59 | 10.77±0.21 |
| 8 | 1 | 9.10±1.28 | 9.04±1.18 | 727.58±89.70 | 93.83±7.69 | 1.35±0.43 | 1.35±0.40 | 109.02±30.12 | 11.34±1.77 |
| Year of Birth | | | | | | | | | |
| 1950 | 19 | 5.82±0.35 | 4.77±0.32 | 376.37±24.54 | 53.21±2.10 | 1.50±0.11 | 1.19±0.11 | 92.83±8.24 | 10.11±0.48 |
| 1951 | 54 | 5.37±0.25 | 4.39±0.23 | 333.87±17.90 | 57.60±1.53 | 1.27±0.08 | 0.99±0.08 | 75.62±6.01 | 11.10±0.35 |
| 1952 | 28 | 5.14±0.27 | 4.28±0.25 | 329.38±19.39 | 57.31±1.66 | 1.18±0.09 | 0.90±0.08 | 68.32±6.51 | 11.30±0.38 |
| 1953 | 33 | 4.88±0.29 | 4.05±0.27 | 311.01±20.52 | 56.55±1.76 | 1.22±0.09 | 0.85±0.09 | 64.50±6.89 | 11.04±0.40 |
| 1954 | 33 | 4.83±0.28 | 4.47±0.26 | 345.19±20.25 | 57.46±1.73 | 1.16±0.09 | 0.99±0.09 | 75.67±6.80 | 11.66±0.40 |
| 1955 | 26 | 4.92±0.30 | 4.28±0.28 | 343.68±21.49 | 56.00±1.84 | 1.21±0.10 | 1.01±0.09 | 79.39±7.21 | 10.99±0.42 |
| 1956 | 23 | 4.91±0.32 | 4.04±0.30 | 309.74±22.87 | 58.72±1.96 | 1.16±0.11 | 0.92±0.10 | 71.94±7.68 | 11.69±0.45 |
| 1957 | 49 | 5.44±0.25 | 4.54±0.23 | 355.18±18.04 | 58.43±1.54 | 1.28±0.08 | 1.04±0.08 | 81.73±6.06 | 11.68±0.35 |
| 1958 | 42 | 5.38±0.27 | 4.39±0.25 | 341.17±19.35 | 55.99±1.66 | 1.32±0.09 | 0.98±0.08 | 74.21±6.50 | 11.04±0.38 |
| 1959 | 41 | 5.51±0.27 | 4.48±0.25 | 355.00±19.38 | 53.16±1.66 | 1.35±0.09 | 1.02±0.08 | 80.52±6.51 | 10.44±0.38 |
| 1960 | 29 | 5.08±0.30 | 4.38±0.28 | 345.63±21.43 | 54.63±1.83 | 1.19±0.10 | 0.98±0.09 | 76.46±7.19 | 10.33±0.42 |
| 1961 | 8 | 4.20±0.50 | 4.21±0.46 | 369.33±35.31 | 54.22±3.03 | 1.06±0.17 | 0.96±0.16 | 83.01±11.86 | 10.60±0.69 |
| 1962 | 26 | 5.33-0.32 | 3.70-0.29 | 299.48-22.60 | 52.27-1.93 | 1.31-0.10 | 0.71-0.10 | 58.70-7.59 | 9.62-0.44 |
| Type of Birth of Ewe | | | | | | | | | |
| Singles | 246 | 4.98±0.19 | 4.21±0.18 | 331.12±13.77 | 56.04±1.18 | 1.20±0.06 | 0.93±0.06 | 72.97±4.62 | 11.02±0.27 |
| Twins | 165 | 5.30±0.21 | 4.41±0.19 | 348.12±14.86 | 55.58±1.27 | 1.29±0.07 | 1.00±0.06 | 78.25±4.99 | 10.77±0.29 |
| Type of Birth of Ewes Sires | | | | | | | | | |
| Singles | 241 | 5.07±0.20 | 4.23±0.19 | 329.73±14.57 | 56.15±1.25 | 1.23±0.07 | 0.95±0.06 | 73.25±4.89 | 10.94±0.28 |
| Twins | 170 | 5.21±0.21 | 4.38±0.19 | 349.51±14.75 | 55.47±1.26 | 1.27±0.07 | 0.98±0.06 | 77.96±4.95 | 10.84±0.29 |

TABLE VIII. (CONTINUED)

| Variables | Number of Observations | Least - Squares Mean ± S.E. Number of Lambs Born | Number of Lambs Weaned | Total Pounds of Lambs Weaned | Total Pounds of Fleece | Average Number of Lambs Born | Average Number of Lambs Weaned | Average Pounds of Lambs Weaned | Average Pounds of Fleece |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ewes born as singles sired by rams born as singles | 145 | 4.93±0.21 | 4.12±0.19 | 318.98±15.00 | 55.82±1.28 | 1.17±0.07 | 0.90±0.06 | 69.04±5.04 | 10.92±0.29 |
| Ewes born as singles sired by rams born as twins | 101 | 5.03±0.22 | 4.29±0.20 | 343.26±15.55 | 56.27±1.33 | 1.24±0.07 | 0.97±0.07 | 76.90±5.22 | 11.11±0.30 |
| Ewes born as twins sired by rams born as singles | 96 | 5.21±0.23 | 4.34±0.21 | 340.47±16.44 | 56.48±1.41 | 1.29±0.07 | 1.00±0.07 | 77.4±5.52 | 10.96±0.32 |
| Ewes born as twins sired by rams born as twins | 69 | 5.39±0.24 | 4.48±0.22 | 355.76±17.26 | 54.68±1.48 | 1.29±0.08 | 0.99±0.07 | 79.03±5.79 | 10.57±0.34 |

TABLE IX. LEAST SQUARE MEANS AND STANDARD ERRORS FOR WEANING WEIGHT, YEARLING WEIGHT AND MULTIPLE BIRTHS IN TARGHEE AND COLUMBIA SHEEP

| | Columbia | | | | | | Targhee | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Weaning Weight | | Yearling Weight | | Multiple Births | | Weaning Weight | | Yearling Weight | | Multiple Births | |
| Variables | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. |
| Overall mean | 361 | 78.88±1.30 | 345 | 128.28±1.98 | 410 | 1.29±0.15 | 697 | 80.58±0.89 | 579 | 126.84±0.97 | 696 | 1.31±0.10 |
| Culling Age (yrs.) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 55 | 75.61±1.23 | 62 | 123.79±1.81 | 68 | 0.10±0.13 | 181 | 78.18±0.63 | 149 | 125.37±0.89 | 181 | 0.16±0.07 |
| 3 | 70 | 75.33±1.07 | 66 | 126.41±1.75 | 82 | 0.40±0.12 | 136 | 78.96±0.72 | 107 | 127.05±1.04 | 136 | 0.38±0.08 |
| 4 | 61 | 76.21±1.16 | 55 | 125.44±1.79 | 63 | 0.83±0.13 | 91 | 80.67±0.88 | 80 | 126.77±1.21 | 90 | 0.75±0.10 |
| 5 | 50 | 80.18±1.25 | 48 | 129.54±1.95 | 52 | 1.38±0.14 | 75 | 81.03±0.96 | 61 | 125.08±1.37 | 75 | 1.20±0.10 |
| 6 | 56 | 79.04±1.20 | 59 | 125.38±1.81 | 61 | 1.67±0.13 | 127 | 80.94±0.79 | 120 | 126.46±1.05 | 127 | 1.66±0.08 |
| 7 | 68 | 79.90±1.13 | 63 | 128.75±1.85 | 83 | 2.62±0.13 | 85 | 80.33±0.94 | 59 | 127.06±1.45 | 85 | 2.56±0.10 |
| 8 | 1 | 85.86±8.56 | 1 | 138.66±11.66 | 1 | 2.03±1.05 | 2 | 82.94±5.90 | 3 | 130.08±6.24 | 2 | 2.47±0.66 |
| Year of Birth | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1950 | 9 | 78.49±3.09 | 1 | 144.48±11.60 | 18 | 1.78±0.29 | 56 | 82.52±1.43 | 00 | 00 | 56 | 1.72±0.16 |
| 1951 | 15 | 84.06±2.53 | 50 | 131.52±2.37 | 54 | 1.62±0.20 | 66 | 85.88±1.34 | 68 | 128.88±1.60 | 66 | 1.37±0.15 |
| 1952 | 27 | 83.92±1.86 | 26 | 122.91±2.56 | 28 | 1.32±0.22 | 42 | 87.56±1.48 | 44 | 122.02±1.75 | 42 | 1.25±0.16 |
| 1953 | 33 | 79.56±1.96 | 00 | 00 | 33 | 0.99±0.24 | 80 | 80.34±1.27 | 00 | 00 | 80 | 0.91±0.14 |
| 1954 | 33 | 77.18±1.94 | 33 | 133.29±2.62 | 33 | 1.05±0.23 | 42 | 75.79±1.54 | 45 | 123.72±1.84 | 42 | 0.96±0.17 |
| 1955 | 26 | 70.64±2.05 | 26 | 109.11±2.78 | 26 | 1.05±0.25 | 48 | 73.68±1.50 | 51 | 115.29±1.81 | 48 | 1.14±0.16 |
| 1956 | 23 | 70.55±2.19 | 23 | 116.31±2.97 | 23 | 1.26±0.26 | 33 | 71.23±1.69 | 38 | 115.29±1.98 | 33 | 1.43±0.19 |
| 1957 | 49 | 82.30±1.72 | 49 | 128.82±2.34 | 49 | 1.55±0.21 | 50 | 86.01±1.39 | 51 | 130.51±1.71 | 50 | 1.28±0.15 |
| 1958 | 42 | 75.99±1.86 | 42 | 128.18±2.51 | 42 | 1.44±0.22 | 62 | 76.72±1.36 | 60 | 130.18±1.65 | 62 | 1.46±0.15 |
| 1959 | 41 | 81.20±1.85 | 41 | 136.44±2.51 | 41 | 1.53±0.22 | 61 | 82.15±1.38 | 63 | 134.12±1.65 | 60 | 1.45±0.15 |
| 1960 | 29 | 80.76±20.5 | 29 | 124.59±2.78 | 29 | 1.24±0.25 | 59 | 85.16±1.42 | 61 | 133.95±1.71 | 59 | 1.19±0.16 |
| 1961 | 8 | 80.13±3.38 | 8 | 142.58±4.58 | 8 | 0.39±0.41 | 43 | 80.39±1.54 | 42 | 136.23±1.90 | 43 | 1.43±0.17 |
| 1962 | 26 | 80.60±2.19 | 26 | 121.19±2.93 | 26 | 1.53±0.26 | 55 | 80.09±1.44 | 56 | 125.14±1.76 | 55 | 1.44±0.16 |
| Type of Birth of Ewe | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Singles | 208 | 83.58±1.33 | 213 | 129.92±2.03 | 246 | 1.18±0.16 | 402 | 85.20±0.93 | 329 | 129.12±1.06 | 402 | 1.29±0.10 |
| Twins | 153 | 74.17±1.44 | 141 | 126.65±2.14 | 164 | 1.39±0.17 | 295 | 75.96±0.97 | 250 | 124.56±1.09 | 294 | 1.33±0.11 |
| Type of Birth of Ewes' Sires | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Singles | 217 | 77.76±1.41 | 184 | 125.24±2.12 | 240 | 1.24±0.17 | 424 | 80.18±0.95 | 323 | 126.19±1.11 | 423 | 1.22±0.10 |
| Twins | 144 | 79.99±1.46 | 170 | 131.33±2.11 | 170 | 1.34±0.17 | 273 | 80.97±0.98 | 256 | 127.49±1.10 | 273 | 1.40±0.11 |

TABLE IX. (CONTINUED)

| Variables | Columbia | | | | | | Targhee | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Weaning Weight | | Yearling Weight | | Multiple Births | | Weaning Weight | | Yearling Weight | | Multiple Births | |
| | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. | Number of Observations | Least Square Means ± S.E. |
| Ewes born as singles sired by rams born as singles | 244 | 80.18±0.95 | 323 | 126.19±1.11 | 423 | 1.22±0.10 | 129 | 81.20±1.46 | 112 | 124.84±2.22 | 145 | 1.14±0.17 |
| Ewes born as singles sired by rams born as twins | 158 | 84.94±1.06 | 152 | 130.10±1.24 | 158 | 1.45±0.12 | 79 | 85.96±1.58 | 101 | 134.99±2.21 | 101 | 1.22±0.18 |
| Ewes born as twins sired by rams born as singles | 180 | 74.91±1.05 | 146 | 124.24±1.29 | 179 | 1.31±0.11 | 88 | 74.33±1.60 | 72 | 125.64±2.37 | 95 | 1.33±0.19 |
| Ewes born as twins sired by rams born as twins | 115 | 77.00±1.15 | 104 | 124.87±1.35 | 115 | 1.35±0.13 | 65 | 74.01±1.69 | 69 | 127.66±2.41 | 69 | 1.46±0.20 |

the number of lambs weaned. The least square means for the trait showed the same tendency for both the breeds as mentioned for the previous traits. In Columbias, $51.32 \pm 11.45$ lbs. were weaned by two year group and $727.58 \pm 89.70$ lbs. by eight year group. Corresponding values in Targhees were $53.63 \pm 6.21$ by two year group and $671.0 \pm 47.5$ by the eight year group. Ewes born twins from sires born twin produced the greatest total weaning weight in the Columbias in comparison to the singles. In the case of Targhees, the total lamb production was similar for those classes where either the ewe or her sire were born twin, but was substantially lower when both were born as singles.

Total Pounds of Fleece Per Ewe

In Columbias, other than age of culling, none of the factors studied were significant. In the Targhees, the year of birth of the ewe, and type of birth of the sire of the ewe were significant, in both the breeds. The mean wool production was higher for ewes born as singles over the twins for both Targhees and Columbias. Under interaction group, in Columbia when either ewe or the ram was born as twin showed the highest mean and lowest when both of them were twins by birth. In Targhees, the highest mean was observed when the ewes were born as singles and rams as singles.

Average Number of Lambs Born Per Ewe

Other than age at culling, none of the factors studied were

significant in the Columbias. In the Targhees, age at culling and year of birth were significant but other factors were nonsignificant. The difference between the means for the average number of lambs born was observed to be increasing with the increasing age of culling for both the breeds. The means were also observed to be greater where ewes or rams or both were born as twins.

Average Number of Lambs Weaned Per Ewe

In Columbias, age of culling was significant ($P<.01$) and all other factors were nonsignificant. In Targhees, however, the age of culling, year of birth and the interaction between type of birth of the ewe and her sire shows a significant effect ($P<.01$) on the average number of lambs weaned by each ewe.

The last square means for Columbias were observed to be highest where ewes were born as twins and rams as singles. Whereas in Targhees any one of the sex born as twins showed better means than that of where both of them were born as singles.

Average Pounds of Lambs Weaned Per Ewe

The significant effects in Columbias were observed for the age of culling ($P<.01$) and year of birth ($P<.05$). Other sources were without any effect on this trait. In Targhees, the type of birth of ewe and her sire shows no effect but the interaction of type of birth, age of culling, and year of birth all show a significant effect ($P<.01$) on

the average pounds of lamb weaned. The least square means for the average pounds of lambs weaned shows a constant increase with the increasing age of culling for both Targhees and Columbias. The effect of type of birth or lamb production shows a superiority where either ewe or her sire or both of them were born as twins. But in Targhees where both the ewe and her sire were born as twins, the mean was lower than when the ewe was born as single and ram as twins.

Average Pounds of Fleece Per Ewe

The Columbias show no significant effect of type of birth and of the ewe on her sire interaction but age of culling and year of birth were significant ($P<.01$). Whereas in the Targhees, excepting for the interaction between type of birth of the ewe and her sire all other sources of variation were significant ($P<0.1$). The least square means showed an increase from two to four year group for the age of culling but after that they were mostly constant up to the eight year group for both the breeds. The difference of mean due to type of birth of ewe or her sire on average pounds of wool production was negligible.

Yearling Weight of the Ewes

Yearling weight of Columbias had no effect on age of culling but the year of birth, type of birth of her sire and interaction between the type of birth of ewe and her sire shows a highly significant ($P<.01$) effect including her type of birth ($P<.05$). In the Targhees

on the other hand, the year of birth and type of birth of ewe shows a significant ($P<.01$) effect on the yearling weight and all other sources of variations are nonsignificant. The least square mean were observed to be highest for both the breeds where the ewes were born as singles but their sires as twins.

Weaning Weight of the Ewes

The type of birth of ewe, year of birth, age of culling and the interaction between the type of birth of the ewe and her sire are significant for this trait. But the type of birth of her sire fails to show any effect on her weaning weight in the Columbias. Whereas in Targhees year of birth and type of birth of ewe was significant ($P<.01$) and also the interaction between type of birth of ewe and her sire was significant ($P<.05$). But the age of culling and type of birth of ram like the Columbias showed no significant effect on this trait. It was observed in Columbias that the ewes born as singles sired by rams born as twins weaned highest on average. Whereas in Targhee when both ewe and her sire were born as singles showed highest mean.

Multiple Births

In Columbias the age of culling and year of birth is significant ($P<.01$ and $P<.05$, respectively) and the effect of other sources are nonsignificant. In Targhees age at culling, year of birth and the type of birth of the sire of the ewe shows a significant effect. Under least square means, the highest mean is observed when the ewe's sire was born

TABLE XI. LEAST SQUARE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE WITH INTERACTION FOR THE COLUMBIA BREED

| Source of Variation | D.F. | Mean Square | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. Lambs Born | No. Lambs Weaned | Total Lbs. Lambs Weaned | Total Lbs. of Fleece | Av. No. of Lambs Born | Av. No. of Lambs Weaned | Av. Lbs. of Lambs Weaned | Av. Lbs. of Fleece | Yearling Wt. of Ewes | Weaning Wt. of Ewes | Multiple Births |
| Age at culling | 6 | 451.81** | 366.44** | 2205228.00** | 26546.71** | 1.11** | 2.82** | 19007.22* | 14.65** | 232.48 | 238.42** | 49.44** |
| Years | 12 | 2.84* | 1.82 | 11632.61 | 118.81 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 1736.16* | 10.18** | 1822.56** | 474.92** | 2.19** |
| Type of birth of ewe | 1 | 8.82* | 3.52 | 24961.67 | 18.68 | 0.60 | 0.33 | 2411.14 | 5.40 | 815.82* | 6787.24** | 3.84 |
| Type of ewe's sire | 1 | 1.10 | 1.39 | 23575.96 | 27.29 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 1336.67 | 0.66 | 2185.20** | 236.74 | 0.66 |
| Type of birth of ewe's sire X type of birth of ewe | 1 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 1777.27 | 112.49 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 874.41 | 7.44 | 1273.55** | 504.34** | 0.06 |
| Remainder | 389 | 1.56 | 1.34 | 7678.88 | 56.55 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 866.12 | 3.00 | 128.71 | 69.74 | 1.05 |
| Total | 411 | | | | | | | | | | | |

** = ($P \leq .01$)
* = ($P \leq .05$)

TABLE X. LEAST SQUARE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE WITH INTERACTION FOR THE TARGHEE BREED

| Source of Variation | D.F. | Mean Square | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. Lambs Born | No. Lambs Weaned | Total Lbs. Lambs Weaned | Total Lbs. of Fleece | Av. No. of Lambs Born | Av. No. of Lambs Weaned | Av. Lbs. of Lambs Weaned | Av. Lbs. of Fleece | Yearling Wt. of Ewes | Weaning Wt. of Ewes | Multiple Births |
| Age at culling | 6 | 805.99** | 632.92** | 4240648.00** | 40972.38** | 3.22** | 5.68** | 42727.69** | 11.91** | 60.48 | 83.34 | 72.62** |
| Years | 12 | 3.59** | 4.50** | 30786.69** | 386.96** | 0.62** | 0.88** | 5397.49** | 29.10** | 2051.25 | 1029.61** | 2.72** |
| Type of birth of ewe | 1 | 1.65 | 0.39 | 2282.64 | 207.54* | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 30.90** | 2776.20** | 13015.41** | 0.18 |
| Type of ewe's sire | 1 | 5.60* | 0.37 | 4391.03 | 310.97** | 0.33 | 0.00 | 89.25 | 19.00** | 173.35 | 74.29 | 3.93* |
| Type of birth of ewe's sire X type of birth of ewe | 1 | 3.92 | 13.41** | 41614.19* | 33.68 | 0.38 | 1.52 | 6230.73** | 4.54 | 62.04 | 269.93* | 2.94 |
| Remainder | 710 | 1.15 | 1.08 | 6563.80 | 46.85 | 0.15 | 0.14** | 850.68 | 2.52 | 112.99 | 68.24 | 0.87 |
| Total | 732 | | | | | | | | | | | |

** = ($P < .01$)
* = ($P < .05$)

as twins and ewe as single for Columbias and both ewe and her sire as twins in case of Targhees.

## Discussion

Previous work shows that the production of lamb increases with age and reaches a peak by five and one-half years of age in Wurtenberg ewes (Polach, 1960) and in some breeds four to eight years of age (Teodoreanu et al. 1959). It seems that the individual merit of the animal as it grows influences its mothering ability to wean lambs. The influence of age of dam on lamb production was also mentioned by Belic (1954) and Desai et al. (1951).

In Targhees, the type of birth of the dam and her sire interaction shows an effect on the number of lambs weaned (Table X). With respect to number of lambs weaned, total pounds weaned, average number weaned, average pounds weaned in Columbias, only age at culling was significant. Hence, the mothering ability of the Targhees may have some genetical advantage over Columbias; whereas in Columbias, environment perhaps has a greater influence than inheritance in determining the merit of the trait. In Columbias, type of birth of the ewe had a significant effect on the number born but in Targhees type of birth of her sire was significant. No interaction was observed for the number of lambs born. Similar results on the effect of type of birth of sire and the dam has also been observed by different workers in different breeds and shows

variation from breed to breed. Wright et al. (1963) reported the tendency of producing more lambs per ewe from ewes born as twins in Romney and Corriedale sheep. Madsen (1952) failed to observe an effect of breed or type of birth of sire on their daughters in Columbia, Targhee and Rambouillet lamb production. Presumably the type of birth has very little effect in comparison to the future adaptability of the animal.

The effect of age on wool production as observed in both the Targhee and Columbia breeds has also been reported by other workers. Nagal (1947) reported in a Germanland Merino flock the average yield of 4.1 kg from the two year group dropped to 3.5 kg when the same group reached the age of eight to ten years. However, Hulet et al (1969) while studying wool production in Rambouillet, Targhee and Columbia sheep failed to observe much variability in wool production from two to six years of age groups. The type of birth of the ewe and her sire was also observed to affect the wool production especially in Targhees. Though the least square means for both the breeds show a higher yield in case of ewes or when her sire was born as single, but it fails to show any significant effect in case of Columbias. Under a similar study, Wright et al. (1953) in Romney and Corriedale sheep observed that singles produced $0.27 \pm 0.12$ lbs. more wool than lambs born as twins.

Correlation Among Traits

The phenotypic correlation among the 14 traits is tabulated in

Table XII.

All simple correlations between the total lifetime production traits were significantly related to each other; however, this would be expected due to the effect of age on the total production. In Columbia breed, type of birth of ewe and its sire were observed to be related with weaning weight of the ewe, and the latter only, with the yearling weight. Weaning and yearling weights of the ewe were significantly related to most of the production traits but they were of low magnitude. In regard to wool production, weaning weight shows a higher significant correlation than that of the yearling weight. Whereas the opposite is the case for the lamb production traits, total pounds of lambs weaned, number of multiple births, average pounds of lambs weaned are more related with the yearling weight than that of weaning weight. The wool production (both total and average) on the other hand, shows a high significant correlation with all the lamb production traits. Dry years in the band as expected, shows a significant negative relationship with the lamb production traits.

In the Targhee breed, type of birth of ewe is significant only with the average pounds of wool, weaning and yearling weight and not with any of the lamb production traits. Whereas type of birth of her sire was significantly related with the factors of number of lambs born, number of multiple births and average pounds of lambs weaned.

TABLE XII. SIMPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT IN COLUMBIAS AND TARGHEES FOR 14 PRODUCTIVE TRAITS [1/]

| | No. Lambs Born | No. Lambs Weaned | Total Lbs. Lambs Weaned | Total Lbs. Fleece From Ewe | Dry Years in Band | No. Multiple births | Type Birth of Ewe | Type Birth of Ram | Av. No. Lambs Born | Av. No. Lambs Weaned Per Ewe | Av. Lbs. Lambs Weaned Per Ewe | Av. Total Lbs. Fleece | Yearling Wt. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| No. Lambs Weaned | 0.94**<br>0.95** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total No. Lambs Weaned | 0.93**<br>0.94** | 0.99**<br>0.99** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total lbs. Fleece From Ewe | 0.83**<br>0.86** | 0.82**<br>0.85** | 0.83**<br>0.86** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry years in Band | -0.18**<br>-0.24** | -0.18**<br>-0.21** | -0.19**<br>-0.22** | 0.04<br>-0.04 | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of Multiple Births | 0.88**<br>0.86** | 0.78**<br>0.78** | 0.74**<br>0.75** | 0.57**<br>0.60** | -0.09<br>-0.11** | | | | | | | | |
| Type of Birth of Ewe | 0.04<br>0.04 | 0.03<br>0.03 | 0.03<br>0.03 | -0.01<br>-0.02 | -0.06<br>-0.07* | 0.07<br>0.05 | | | | | | | |
| Type of Birth of Ram | 0.07<br>0.08* | 0.08<br>0.06 | 0.10<br>0.06 | 0.05<br>0.11 | 0.01<br>0.02 | 0.07<br>0.11** | 0.08<br>0.03 | | | | | | |
| Av. No. of Lambs Born Per Ewe | 0.61**<br>0.64** | 0.49**<br>0.53** | 0.47**<br>0.51** | 0.24**<br>0.36** | -0.47**<br>-0.54** | 0.69**<br>0.69** | 0.10*<br>0.07 | 0.07<br>0.07 | | | | | |
| Av. No. of Lambs Weaned Per Ewe | 0.62**<br>0.61** | 0.74**<br>0.73** | 0.72**<br>0.71** | 0.43**<br>0.47** | -0.35**<br>-0.40** | 0.59**<br>0.56** | 0.07<br>0.04 | 0.07<br>0.04 | 0.61**<br>0.63** | | | | |
| Av. Lbs. of Lambs Weaned | 0.63**<br>0.63** | 0.75**<br>0.75** | 0.76**<br>0.76** | 0.47**<br>0.52** | -0.37**<br>-0.40** | 0.56**<br>0.53** | 0.09<br>0.03 | 0.11*<br>0.05 | 0.58**<br>0.61** | 0.97**<br>0.97** | | | |
| Av. Total lbs. Fleece | 0.11*<br>0.07 | 0.11*<br>0.09 | 0.13**<br>0.09* | 0.47**<br>0.35** | 0.05<br>0.06 | 0.03<br>0.07 | -0.05<br>-0.14** | -0.01<br>-0.11** | 0.02<br>0.07 | 0.12**<br>0.02** | 0.15**<br>0.14** | | |
| Yearling Wt. of the | 0.09*<br>0.08 | 0.10*<br>0.06 | 0.12**<br>0.08 | 0.07<br>0.12** | -0.02<br>-0.01 | 0.11*<br>0.12** | 0.00<br>-0.19** | 0.23**<br>0.06 | 0.10*<br>0.13** | 0.11*<br>0.11** | 0.15**<br>0.14** | 0.10*<br>0.30** | |
| Weaning Wt. of the Ewe | 0.10*<br>0.10* | 0.09*<br>0.08* | 0.08<br>0.10** | 0.14**<br>0.15** | -0.01<br>0.02 | 0.09*<br>0.09* | -0.19**<br>-0.49** | -0.16**<br>0.04 | 0.07<br>0.09* | 0.07<br>0.10** | 0.05<br>0.13** | 0.20**<br>0.27** | 0.65**<br>0.58** |

* ($P < .05$)
** ($P < .01$)
1/ Correlation of the upper line is for Columbias and lower line for the Targhees.

It also shows a negative significant correlation with the average total pounds of wool. Weaning weight in this breed shows a higher significant relationship with all the lamb and wool production traits. Yearling weight follows a similar trend excepting, however, for the number of lambs born, number of lambs weaned and total pounds of lambs weaned. Wool production in this breed, like Columbias, shows mostly a significant positive correlation with the lamb production traits. Dry years in the band shows a negative significant relationship with the lamb production traits, but not with the wool production.

In general, the correlations among traits agree with other workers. Shelton et al. (1968) made a similar study on the Rambouillet breed. In both studies, lamb and wool production was found to be related to weaning and yearling traits but the correlations are comparatively of low magnitude although significant and hence, are not dependable for predictive value. Kennedy (1966) obtained a significant negative correlation between number of lambs born (-0.09) or weaned (-0.12) with grease fleece weight in Merino sheep. However, when compared to average pounds of fleece production, Columbias still remain significant but the Targhees become nonsignificant but no negative figure is observed. Young et al. (1960) failed to obtain any consistency with regard to the sign of the correlation between the traits in Merino sheep whereas Belic (1954) obtained a negative relationship.

Yearling weight has been used for predicting wool production, Slen et al. (1954), Wright et al. (1953), Terrill et al. (1933) Spencer et al. (1928) and Bassett (1965) found a positive significant relationship between yearling weight and wool production. In the present study, however, the correlation, though significant and positive, was low when compared with these workers.

Heritability

Heritability estimates were calculated from unadjusted data for the traits studied in both the breeds.

The 13 years of data were analyzed by nested analysis of variance and components of variance were estimated. These were used to obtain heritability estimates for each trait (Table XIII).

In Targhees, the maximum estimate was observed with the yearling weight of the ewe and minimum with the average number of lambs born and weaned. The estimates for the total number born (0.14) and total pounds weaned (0.13) are higher than the heritability of the average number of lambs born (0.10) and average number weaned (0.10). The heritability estimate of multiple births in the breed was observed to be 0.17 which is very near to the estimate for average total pounds of lambs. The estimate for total pounds of wool was only 0.15 but the average pounds of wool was observed to be 0.28.

TABLE XIII. HERITABILITY ESTIMATES FOR TARGHEE AND COLUMBIA SHEEP

| | Traits | Targhee | | | | Columbia | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Heritability Estimate | Av. No. of Lambs per Sire 'k' | No. of Sires Used 'b' | No. of Ewes | Heritability Estimate | Av. No. of Lambs per Sire 'k' | No. of Sires Used 'b' | No. of Ewes |
| 1 | Number of lambs born | 0.14 | 8.76 | 77 | 732 | -0.07 | 6.809 | 46 | 411 |
| 2 | Number of lambs weaned | 0.13 | 8.76 | 77 | 732 | 0.03 | 6.809 | 46 | 411 |
| 3 | Total pounds of lambs | 0.15 | 8.76 | 77 | 732 | 0.07 | 6.809 | 46 | 411 |
| 4 | Average number of lambs born | 0.11 | 8.76 | 77 | 732 | -0.01 | 6.809 | 46 | 411 |
| 5 | Average number of lambs weaned | 0.10 | 8.76 | 77 | 732 | 0.36 | 6.809 | 46 | 411 |
| 6 | Multiple births | 0.17 | 9.05 | 77 | 732 | -0.06 | 8.05 | 46 | 411 |
| 7 | Average pounds of lambs | 0.17 | 8.76 | 77 | 732 | 0.41 | 6.809 | 46 | 411 |
| 8 | Total pounds of fleece | 0.15 | 8.76 | 77 | 732 | -0.13 | 6.809 | 46 | 411 |
| 9 | Average pounds of fleece | 0.28 | 8.76 | 77 | 732 | 0.02 | 6.809 | 46 | 411 |
| 10 | Weaning weight of ewe | 0.20 | 8.34 | 77 | 552 | 0.88 | 7.37 | 46 | 314 |
| 11 | Yearling weight of ewe | 0.32 | 8.34 | 77 | 552 | 0.45 | 7.37 | 46 | 314 |

In Columbias, the maximum heritability was observed with weaning weight and minimum with the average number of lambs born. Total pounds of wool, average number of lambs born and multiple births were all observed to be negative.

It was further observed that all the estimates associated with traits related to the number of lambs born were very low or negative, whereas, the estimates related to the lambs after their birth (average number of lambs weaned, average total pounds of lambs weaned) were 36.1 and 41.1, respectively. This evidently indicates that the number of lambs produced or rate of birth in the flock was low; however, once they were born they have a better chance of survival and the majority of them were weaned. In other words, the rate of birth and lamb mortality in this Columbia flock was low.

In this study as expected, a lot of variation was found. The lifetime lamb production was calculated from two years of age until the animal was removed from the band. This might be one of the reasons which should have tended the heritability estimate values for lamb production towards the lower side. Rae et al. (1955) reported inheritance of fertility in New Zealand sheep as 0.00 to 0.05 which is comparable to Targhee in this case of 0.17. Sidwell et al. (1956) reported the heritability of multiple births in Navajo and Navajo crossbreds as 0.22 and 0.12, respectively. Rendel (1956) reported

0.13. Similar reports in sheep have been reported by other workers such as Karam et al. (1958).

The heritability of grease fleece weight reported by Morely (1954) in Merino, Bosman (1958), Young et al. (1950), Vachal (1962) in Stravropol Merino sheep range from 0.09 to 0.47. In Targhee under the present study, it was observed as 0.28 and in Columbia as 0.03.

The reported heritability estimates range from 0.28 to 0.45 for weaning and 0.37 to 0.52 for yearling weight by Karam et al. (1959), MacNaughton (1957), Vesely et al. (1961). In the present study, Columbias show a higher estimate for both weaning and yearling weights in comparison to Targhee; 0.88 and 0.46; 0.20 and 0.32, respectively. Excepting for weaning weight in Columbias the heritability estimates were quite comparable to the previous workers.

As soon as a lamb is born in a flock, it needs management and expenditure. The lamb may start producing after two years and may continue to do so up to six or eight years or less. Hence, for a productive animal, its capability of production must exceed to bring an income which has been invested on it from its birth. This expenditure for lifetime maintenance of a sheep flock is large in comparison to the income from its products. Hence, the sheep products, wool and lambs, and lambs in particular regions draw special emphasis to increase the margin of profit.

Since sheep are one of the domestic animals having a high incidence of multifollicular growth and an incidence of multiple births, it would be advantagious to know the mechanism of inheritance for improving the trait in the flock. A considerable difference in the statistical values between Targhees and Columbias for this trait was observed as previously discussed. The heritability, the interaction of type of birth of the ewe and its sire, correlation of type of birth of the ewe's sire and ewe are not at all in agreement for these two breeds.

Targhees show a superiority in all respects for fertility over the Columbias. The sire effect (heritability and correlation of type of birth of the ewe's sire and multiple births) suggests that this trait in the Targhee flock of the Station can be improved more quickly than in the Columbias by proper methods of selection.

Young et al. (1963) tried a method of selection for multiple births in Merino sheep and the results showed satisfactory progress. Although Blackwell et al. (1955), Turner (1962) observed that the heritability and repeatability for lamb production was low, other workers reported that it reponds well to selection (Young et al. 1963; Bradford 1968).

Hence, it is suggested from the present study that the heritability of multiple births which exists with the Experiment Station flock, although low in intensity, is large enough to produce improvement in this trait in time.

SUMMARY

Lifetime production records of Targhee and Columbia ewes born from 1950 to 1962 were analyzed to obtain estimates of certain sources of variation, phenotypic correlations among traits and heritability of the traits.

The production traits studied were the number of lambs born, number of lambs weaned, total pounds of lamb weaned, total pounds of wool produced, average number of lambs born, average number of lambs weaned, average pounds of lamb weaned, average pounds of wool produced.

The data under study were not adjusted for any of the environmental effects. The sources of variation in least squares analysis of variance were, age of culling, the year of birth, type of birth of ewe, type of birth of ewe's sire and the interaction between type of birth of ewe and her sire.

In Columbia, the age at culling ($P<.01$) the year of birth and type of birth of ewe ($P<.05$) shows a significant effect on number of lambs born per ewe. The number of lambs weaned, total pounds of lamb weaned, average number of lambs born per ewe, average number of lambs weaned, average pounds of lamb weaned were affected only by the age of culling. All other sources of variation studied were nonsignificant for those traits as well as wool production. The yearling weight of Columbia ewes was significantly affected by the year of birth, type

of birth of the ewe and her sire, and interaction between the type of birth of ewe and her sire. Weaning weight was significantly affected by age at culling, year of birth, type of birth of ewe and interaction between type of birth of the ewe and her sire.

In Targhee, age at culling and year of birth significantly affects all traits studied. Type of birth of the ewe significantly affected total pounds of wool, average pounds of wool, yearling weight and weaning weight. Also type of birth of the ewe's sire significantly affected number of lambs born, total pounds of wool and average pounds of wool. The interaction between type of birth of the ewe and her sire significantly affected number of lambs weaned, total pounds of lamb weaned, average pounds of lamb weaned and weaning weight of the ewe. The weaning weight of the ewe is significantly affected by year of birth, type of birth of ewe and the interaction ($P<.01$ and $P<.05$), respectively.

The correlations among 14 traits were calculated including the traits mentioned above and number of multiple births and number of dry years in band. The number of lambs born was observed to be positively correlated with most of the other traits of lamb production but was nonsignificant with average annual wool production in the Targhees. In the Columbias, number of lambs was lowly correlated with average pounds of wool. Dry years in the band were negatively correlated with

all the production traits for both the breeds. The multiple births were positively correlated with number of lambs born, average number weaned, average pounds weaned, yearling weight and weaning weight for both the breeds. Type of birth of ewe's sire in this case was significant for multiple births in Targhees whereas type of birth of ewe was significant for Columbias. Yearling and weaning weights were also observed to be highly significant with wool and lamb production traits though type of birth of the ewe and her sire varied between the breeds. No evidence of negative relationship between lamb production and wool production in either breed was observed.

In Targhees, heritability estimates observed were; number of lambs born, 0.14; number of lambs weaned, 0.13; total pounds of lambs, 0.15; average number of lambs born, 0.10; multiple births, 0.17; average number of lambs weaned, 0.10; average pounds of lambs, 0.17; total pounds of fleece, 0.15; average pounds of fleece, 0.28; weaning weight of the ewe, 0.20; and yearling weight of the ewe, 0.32.

The heritability estimates for Columbias observed were; number of lambs born, -0.07; number of lambs weaned, 0.03; total pounds of lambs, 0.07; average number of lambs born, -0.02; multiple births, -0.05; average number of lambs weaned, 0.36, average pounds of lambs, 0.41; total pounds of fleece, -0.13, average pounds of fleece, 0.03; weaning weight of the ewe, 0.88; and yearling weight of the ewe, 0.45.

## LITERATURE CITED


Ali, K. T. 1952. The heritability of, and the effects of some environmental factors on the birth weight, the weaning weight, and the grease fleece weight of sheep. ABA 21-3, 271-1318.

Bassett, J. R. 1965. Efficiency of different selection criteria for increased lamb and wool production. Dissertation to Texas A. & M Univ. Texas.

Belic, J. 1954. The selection of Tsigai sheep for fertility; i.e. twin births. ABA 23-2, 154-685.

Belic, J. 1958. On the effect of age on the fertility of the Tsigai sheep breed. ABA 27-2, 198-855.

Blackwell, R. L. and C. R. Henderson. 1955. Variation in fleece weight, weaning weight and birth weight and sheep under farm conditions. J. Animal Sci. 14:831.

Bosman, S. W. 1958. Hertabilities and genetic correlations between characteristics in Merino sheep. Proc. 1st Congr. S. Afr. Gent. Soc. 38-43.

Bradford, G. E. 1968. Principles of genetic improvement of lamb and wool production. Proc. Symposium Gen. Improv. of Wool and Lamb Prod. Texas A & M Univ. 1968.

Desai, R. N. and L. M. Winters. 1951. The inheritance of fertility in sheep. Indian J. Vet. Sci. 21:191-196.

Fisher, R. A. 1967. Statistical Methods for Research Workers. 13th Ed. Revised Hafner Pub. Co. Inc. N.Y.

Harvey, W. R. 1960. Least squares analysis of data. U.S.D.A. ARS 20-8.

Hazel, L. N. and C. E. Terrill. 1945. Heritability of weaning weight and staple length in range Rambouillet lambs. J. Animal Sci. 4:347.

Hulet, C. V., E. L. Wiggins and S. K. Ercanbrack. 1969. Estrus in range lambs and its relationship to lifetime reproductive performance. J. Animal Sci. 28:2.

Karam, H. A. and M. T. Ragab. 1958. Multiple births in the Texal sheep. J. Animal Sci. 17:235.

Karam, H. A. 1959. Birth, weaning and yearling weights of Rahmani sheep. I. Effects of some environmental factors. II. Heritability estimates and correlations. Emp. J. Exp. Agric. 27:313.

Kennedy, J. F., and R. A. Bettenay. 1950. Mating and lambing in an experimental flock of Merino sheep. Aust. J. Agr. Res. 1-76.

Kennedy, J. F. 1966. Genetic and phenotypic relationships between fertility and wool production in 2-year-old Merino sheep. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 18-515.

Madsen, M. A. J., A. Bennet, D. H. Matheys and D. J. Mathews. 1952. Sheep breeding and management study shows superiority of Columbia lambs for southern Utah conditions. ABA 22:325.

Marley, F. H. W. 1954. Selection for economic characteristics in Australian Merino sheep. IV. The effect of inbreeding. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 5:305

Mather, K. 1946. Statistical Analysis in Biology. 2nd Ed. Revised and enlarged. Pub. Methuen & Co. Ltd. London.

Nagal, P. 1947. Attempt to trace the inheritance of wool production and body development through the stud rams of the Hohenheim flock of German improved land sheep. ABA 17-40.

Poloch, A. 1960. The relation between age and fertility in ewes. ABA 28:289.

Price, D. A., J. O. Grandstaff and G. M. Sidwell. 1951. Lamb production of Navajo ewes bred to Columbia and Romney rams and Navajo crossbred ewes bred to Lincoln and Cotwold rams. J. Animal Sci. 10:272.

Rae, W. L. and T. S. CH'Ang. 1955. Some aspects of the inheritance of fertility in sheep. Proc. N.A. Soc. Animal Prod. 15:103 Discussion:103.

Rendel, J. 1956. Heritability of multiple birth in sheep. J. Animal Sci. 15:268.

Sanchez, B. A. 1950. The influence of age, live weight and staple length on greasy wool production in Spanish Merinos. ABA 19-47.

Schilling, P., W. North and R. Bogart. 1968. The effect of sire on litter size in mice. J. of Heredity. 59-6.

Shelton, M. and J. W. Menzies. 1968. Genetic parameters of some performance characteristics of range fine-wool ewes. J. Animal Sci. 27:1219.

Sidwell, G. M. and J. O. Grandstaff. 1949. Size of lambs at weaning as a permanent characteristic of Navajo ewes. J. Animal Sci. 8:373.

Sidwell, G. M. 1956. Some aspects of twin versus single lambs of Navajo and Navajo crossbred ewes. J. Animal Sci. 15-202.

Slen, S. B., H. F. Peters and P. I. Myhr. 1945. The relationship of clean fleece weight to body weight in range sheep. Canada J. Agric. Sci. 34:198.

Spencer, D. A., J. I. Hardy and M. J. Brandon. 1928. Factors that influence wool production with range Rambouillet sheep. U.S.D.A. Tech. Bul. 85.

Teodoreanu, N. and S. Rusu. 1949. Fertility and prolificacy of Palas Merino ewes. ABA 28-290.

Terrill, C. E. and W. H. Kyle. 1953. Relationships among some weanling and yearling traits for sheep born in 1951. Proc. West. Sect. Am. Soc. Animal Prod. 4:XXIV.

Terrill, C. E. 1958. Fifty years of progress in sheep breeding. J. Animal Sci. 17:944.

Turner, H. N., R. H. Hayman and R. W. Prunster. 1958. Repeatability of twin births. Proc. Aust. Soc. Animal Prod. 2:106.

Turner, H. N. 1960. Estimating genetic and phenotypic parameters in sheep. C.S.I.R.O. Aust. Dir. Animal Hlth. and Prod. Rpt. No. 1.

Turner, H. N., R. H. Hayman and L. K. Triffit, and R. W. Prunster. 1962. Response to selection for multiple births in the Australian Merino. A Progress Report. Animal Prod. 4:165.

Vachal, J. 1962. The heritability of the greasy wool yield of Stravropol Merino sheep. ABA 30:514.

Vesely, J. A. and S. B. Slen. 1961. Heritability of weaning weight, yearling weight and clean fleece weight in range Romnelet sheep. Canada J. Animal Sci. 41:109.

Wright, G. M. and P. G. Stevens. 1953. Lifetime wool production and breeding performances of Romney Marsh and Corriedale ewes. N. Z. J. Sci. Tech. Agric. 34:430.

Young, S. S. Y., H. N. Turner, and C. H. S. Dolling. 1960. Comparison of estimates of repeatability and heritability for some production traits in Merino rams and ewes. II. Heritability. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 11:604.

Young, S. S. Y., H. N. Turner, and C. H. S. Dolling. 1963. Selection for fertility in Australian Merino sheep. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 14-40.

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES
3 1762 10012827 9

N378
B299
Cop.2