



Influence of a 6-week cholesterol education program on blood lipids and LDL oxidation
by Kimberly Rae Monahan

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in
Health and Human Development
Montana State University
© Copyright by Kimberly Rae Monahan (2003)

Abstract:

To evaluate the effectiveness of two different approaches of dietary education to reduce blood lipids and oxidative stress. **METHODS:** Volunteers with moderately elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels (100mg/dL-159mg/dL) were randomized into either intensive or conventional education groups. The intensive education group (n=12) attended 6 classes and received a nutrition education manual. The conventional group (n=11) received a nutrition education manual. Three-day weighed diet records, 3-day physical activity records, anthropometric measurements, blood pressure, plasma total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides (TG) and LDL-C were collected at baseline, 6-weeks and 12 weeks. Oxidized LDL-C was measured via copper-mediated oxidation. **RESULTS:** At 6-weeks, there was a significant ($P=0.05$) decrease in TC (-4.96%) and a trend ($P=0.05$) to decrease LDL-C (-10.37%) for the intensive group. At 12-weeks, there was a significant ($P=0.05$) decrease in LDL-C for the conventional (-9.55%) and intensive (-10.54%) groups. The intensive group had greater increases in polyunsaturated fat (4.58%E at baseline; 6.67%E at midstudy; 7.08%E at study-end, $P<0.05$) and greater reductions in saturated fat (9.08%E at baseline; 6.83%E at mid-study, $P<0.01$); however these reductions returned to baseline values at Study-end ($9-.42\pm2.02$ %E, $P<0.05$). Neither educational method resulted in significant oxidative modifications of LDL-C. **CONCLUSIONS:** The reduction in LDL cholesterol level achieved after counseling through intensive education is not superior to that achieved by conventional education. The oxidative modification of LDL-C is not directly effected by nutrition education. Intensive nutrition education can result in alterations of dietary fat intake. Dietary education can result in significant reductions in LDL-C, regardless of the method used.

INFLUENCE OF A 6-WEEK CHOLESTEROL EDUCATION PROGRAM ON
BLOOD LIPIDS AND LDL OXIDATION

by

Kimberly Rae Monahan

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

in

Health and Human Development

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY-BOZEMAN
Bozeman, Montana

July, 2003


APPROVAL

Of a thesis submitted by

Kimberly Rae Monahan

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.


Dr. Mary Miles


(Signature)

30 June 03
Date

Approved for the Department of Health and Human Development


Dr. Ellen Kreighbaum


(Signature)

6/30/03
Date

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

Dr. Bruce R. McLeod


(Signature)


7-11-03
Date

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library.

If I have indicated my intention to copyright this thesis by including a copyright notice page, copying is allowable only for scholarly purposes, consistent with "fair use" as prescribed in the U.S. Copyright Law. Requests for permission for extended quotation from or reproduction of this thesis in whole or in parts may be granted only by the copyright holder.

Signature



Date

7/8/03

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to extend my gratitude to committee members Dr. Mary Miles, Dr. Christina Campbell, and Dr. George Haynes, for their insights, practical advice, and most of all moral support. To Dr. Tim Dunnagan, your presence and advice is much appreciated. Tim Larson, your love, patience, and faith give my life more stability and meaning than you may ever understand. To my parents, thank you for establishing the guiding principles in my life. You continue to remind me that determination and passion are underestimated values. To my family and friends, thank you for giving me the opportunity to escape from this project when I needed it most. Your moral support and love carried me through this process and made me appreciate the role of friendship in life.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
Statement of Problem.....	3
Hypothesis.....	4
Delimitations.....	5
Limitations.....	5
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	7
The Cholesterol Lowering Diet.....	7
Components of a Cholesterol-Lowering Diet.....	10
Dietary Fat.....	10
Saturated Fat.....	11
Polyunsaturated Fat.....	14
Monounsaturated Fat.....	16
Trans Fatty Acids.....	17
Dietary Cholesterol.....	18
Plant Sterols and Plant Stanols.....	20
Fiber.....	21
Nuts.....	23
Animal versus Protein.....	24
Carbohydrates.....	25
Diet and LDL Oxidation.....	27
Strategies for Implementing a Cholesterol Lowering Diet	31
3. METHODS.....	38
Subjects and Design.....	38
Research Protocol.....	39
Laboratory Analysis.....	41
LDL Oxidation.....	42
Dietary Analysis.....	45
Physical Activity Analysis.....	45
Anthropometrics.....	45
Blood Pressure.....	46
Statistical Methods.....	46
4. RESULTS.....	48
Baseline Subject Characteristics.....	48
Dietary Intake.....	50
Analysis of Variance Results.....	52

TABLE OF CONTENTS-CONTINUED

Weight and BMI.....	52
Blood Lipids and Glucose.....	52
Total Cholesterol.....	53
High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol.....	54
Triglycerides.....	55
Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol.....	56
LDL Oxidation Characteristics.....	64
Propagation Rate.....	65
Cholesterol Knowledge.....	66
Nutrition Awareness and Use of Cardioprotective Foods Results.....	66
Summary of Results.....	68
 5. DISCUSSION.....	 70
Blood Lipids	71
Dietary Intake.....	72
Oxidative Stress.....	74
Use of Cardioprotective Foods.....	76
Dietary Education.....	77
Potential Limitations.....	80
 6. SUMMARY.....	 83
 REFERENCES CITED.....	 86
 APPENDICES.....	 100
APPENDIX A: Telephone Screening Questionnaire.....	101
APPENDIX B: Subject Consent Form.....	103
APPENDIX C: Pre-Screening Questionnaire.....	108
APPENDIX D: Pre-Intervention Questionnaire.....	113
APPENDIX E: Post-Intervention Questionnaire.....	115
APPENDIX F: Follow-up Questionnaire.....	117
APPENDIX G: Diet Record Directions and Form.....	120
APPENDIX H: Physical Activity Log.....	123
APPENDIX I: Cholesterol IQ Test.....	127
APPENDIX J: Table of Contents and Chapter One of The Cholesterol Education Manual.....	128
APPENDIX K: Standard Interaction Plots for Education x Time Interactions.....	143

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Dietary recommendations based on the ATP III TLC diet.....	8
2. Schematic of risk-reduction therapy options.....	33
3. Lipoprotein classifications based on the ATP III guidelines.....	34
4. Baseline subject characteristics, medical history, medication and supplement use data.....	49
5. Comparison of energy expenditure and nutrient intake at baseline between treatment groups.....	51
6. Comparison of blood lipids, and glucose at baseline between treatment groups.....	51
7. Comparison of LDLs susceptibility to ex-vivo oxidation at baseline between treatment groups.....	52
8. Comparison of weight, BMI, blood lipids, and glucose between treatment groups.....	57
9. Comparison of energy expenditure and nutrient intake between treatment groups based on 3-day physical activity and weighted diet records.....	60
10. Measures of Antioxidant Vitamins C and E Intake between treatment groups.....	61
11. Measures of Oleic Acid, Linoleic Acid, and Alpha-Linolenic Fatty Acid Intake between treatment groups.....	63
12. Measures of LDL oxidation between treatment groups.....	65
13. Subject nutrition awareness and use of cardioprotective foods.....	67

LIST OF FIGURES

Table	Page
1. The mechanisms of increased LDL-C levels due to high intakes of SFAs.....	12
2. Alternative nutrients for cholesterol-raising SFAs.....	15
3. Mechanisms of increase in LDL-C levels with high dietary cholesterol intakes.....	19
4. Actions of various nutrients on serum TG levels.....	27
5. Hypothetical pathways from diet to CAD that involve LDL oxidative modification as an intermediate step.....	29
6. The change in TC, as a percent, between treatment groups.....	53
7. The change in HDL-C, as a percent, between treatment groups.....	55
8. The change in TG, as a percent, between treatment groups.....	56
9. The change in LDL-C, as a percent, between treatment groups.....	58

GLOSSARY

Copper Mediated Oxidation	Isolated LDL oxidized in the presence of copper.
Hypercholesterolemia	High blood cholesterol
Hyperlipidemia	High lipid (fat) levels in the blood.
Initial Absorbance	The initial level of conjugated dienes or oxidation as assessed by the baseline copper absorbance of a sample subtracted from the uncatalyzed control sample
Lag Time	A phase of the LDL-oxidation process, where the oxidative modification is suppressed by endogenous antioxidants.
LDL oxidation	The oxidative modification of low-density lipoproteins.
Propagation Phase	The propagation phase of LDL oxidation begins after the endogenous antioxidants have been consumed.

ACRONYMS

AHA	American Heart Association
ATP III	Adult Treatment Panel III
CAD	Coronary Artery Disease
CVD	Cardiovascular Disease
HDL	High-density Lipoprotein
LDL	Low-density Lipoprotein
MUFA	Monounsaturated Fatty Acid
NCEP	National Cholesterol Education Program
NHLBI	National Heart Lung and Blood Institute
OxLDL	Oxidized Low-density Lipoprotein
PUFA	Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid
SF	Saturated Fat
TC	Total Cholesterol
TF	Total Fat
TG	Triglycerides
TLC	Therapeutic Lifestyle Changes
USPSTF	US Preventive Services Task Force

ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To evaluate the effectiveness of two different approaches of dietary education to reduce blood lipids and oxidative stress. **METHODS:** Volunteers with moderately elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels (100mg/dL-159mg/dL) were randomized into either intensive or conventional education groups. The intensive education group (n=12) attended 6 classes and received a nutrition education manual. The conventional group (n=11) received a nutrition education manual. Three-day weighed diet records, 3-day physical activity records, anthropometric measurements, blood pressure, plasma total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides (TG) and LDL-C were collected at baseline, 6-weeks and 12 weeks. Oxidized LDL-C was measured via copper-mediated oxidation. **RESULTS:** At 6-weeks, there was a significant ($P=0.05$) decrease in TC (-4.96%) and a trend ($P=0.05$) to decrease LDL-C (-10.37%) for the intensive group. At 12-weeks, there was a significant ($P=0.05$) decrease in LDL-C for the conventional (-9.55%) and intensive (-10.54%) groups. The intensive group had greater increases in polyunsaturated fat (4.58%E at baseline; 6.67%E at midstudy; 7.08%E at study-end, $P<0.05$) and greater reductions in saturated fat (9.08%E at baseline; 6.83%E at mid-study, $P<0.01$); however these reductions returned to baseline values at study-end (9.42 ± 2.02 %E, $P<0.05$). Neither educational method resulted in significant oxidative modifications of LDL-C. **CONCLUSIONS:** The reduction in LDL cholesterol level achieved after counseling through intensive education is not superior to that achieved by conventional education. The oxidative modification of LDL-C is not directly effected by nutrition education. Intensive nutrition education can result in alterations of dietary fat intake. Dietary education can result in significant reductions in LDL-C, regardless of the method used.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI), American Heart Association (AHA), and other organizations have mounted a major effort to reduce risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD) in the United States. These risk reduction programs emphasize the importance of healthy eating habits, coupled with other healthful lifestyle behaviors, to reduce the risk of CVD. With an average of one death every 33 seconds from CVD in the United States, the increased need for continuing research on improved methods for risk reduction and active promotion of these programs in community settings is exceedingly apparent (1).

The most important risk factors for atherosclerosis include smoking, hypertension, dyslipidemia (increased concentrations of low-density lipoproteins (LDL) and decreased concentrations of high-density lipoproteins [HDL]), diabetes, aging, and a family history of premature atherosclerosis (2). The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends intensive behavioral dietary counseling for adult patients with hyperlipidemia and other known risk factors for cardiovascular and diet-related chronic disease (3). The USPSTF recommends that intensive counseling be delivered by primary care clinicians or other specialists, such as nutritionists or dietitians (3).

Intensive nutrition education programs, defined as two or more contacts between advisor and patient per month (4), counseling, and behavioral interventions that reduce dietary fat and cholesterol intake can result in significant improvements in blood lipid levels, therefore reducing the development and progression of coronary artery disease

(CAD) (5). What is less clear is the effect of conventional dietary education, defined as less than one contact between patient and advisor per month (4), on blood lipids and hypercholesterolemia management. The National Cholesterol Education Program's (NCEP) Third Report of the Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults Adult Treatment Panel III (ATP III) (6) recommends dietary therapy as the first line of treatment for individuals with elevated LDL-C (7). ATP III recommends a LDL-C <160 mg/dL as the goal for persons with 0 or 1 CVD risk factor; the primary aim of therapy in this category is to reduce long-term risk. In individuals with a LDL-C between 100mg/dL and 160mg/dL, baseline blood lipids are assessed and persons are started on dietary therapy. The LDL-C response to a cholesterol-lowering diet has been well documented in inpatient and outpatient diet studies (8).

ATP III promotes diet and lifestyle changes as essential strategies for reducing risk for cardiovascular diseases (9). Dietitians are encouraged to adopt these recommendations in treating all patients with elevated blood cholesterol levels and apply their professional experience to the task of blending and tailoring the best dietary approaches for each patient (9). Evidence suggests that intervention programs, taught by dietitians and other health care professionals, which utilize strategies such as two-way-communication as the primary means of managing risk factors, provide a greater benefit to individuals when compared to one-way-communication strategies, such as printed educational materials (10). Two-way communication implies that the two parties in communication have a two-way flow of ideas and information. When communication

exists without the opportunity for the second party to respond or initiate, it is one-way communication (4). Additionally, patients who have received intensive nutrition education, with the objective of lowering serum blood lipids, have been documented as having gained significantly more nutrition knowledge, having greater perceptions of the efficacy of following a cholesterol-lowering diet, consuming a significantly lower percentage of fat, a higher percentage of carbohydrates, and less dietary cholesterol (11). These outcomes are particularly of interest because the objective of nutrition education is not simply to impart knowledge, but also to provide individuals with the knowledge to make wise food choices to aid in reducing serum blood lipids.

The conventional risk factors, such as dyslipidemia, hypertension, diabetes, and smoking, cannot account for all the cases of coronary artery disease. Thus, there are other novel risk factors that appear to be related to premature atherosclerosis. One plausible phenomenon may be the oxidative modification of LDL, rendering the molecule more atherogenic (12). As levels of LDL-C molecules become elevated in the circulation, the opportunity for oxidative modification increases. These modified molecules are then taken up by macrophages inside the arterial wall. It is these cholesterol-laden macrophages that form the start of atherosclerotic plaques (13). In addition, increased oxidation, specifically of the LDL-C molecules, may cause premature atherosclerosis in spite of a lipoprotein profile within reference values (2).

Diet may also play a prominent role in the oxidative modification of LDL-C. Dietary factors such as dietary fat and cholesterol can influence the fatty acid and antioxidant composition of LDL-C, which regulates LDLs susceptibility to oxidation

(14). A significant increase in the capacity of LDL-C to resist oxidation has been associated with behavioral interventions that reduce dietary fat and cholesterol intake and may be associated with a decrease in CAD risk (15).

Statement of Problem

Research comparing the effectiveness and practicality of intensive and conventional approaches to dietary counseling in the area of hyperlipidemia has been mixed (16). We performed a randomized controlled study employing the NCEP ATP III dietary guidelines in subjects with elevated LDL-C. The objective of the present study was to compare the effect, on serum blood lipids and the oxidative modification of LDL, of additional dietary counseling provided through six, one-hour nutrition education classes with conventional nutrition education provided through printed educational materials.

Hypothesis

We hypothesized that when compared to education provided through printed materials, intensive nutrition education, provided through nutrition education classes, would result in greater reductions in serum lipids, greater appropriate changes in macronutrient intake consistent with the NCEP ATP III recommendations, greater use of cardioprotective foods, more favorable awareness regarding appropriate nutrition and high blood cholesterol knowledge, and greater appropriate changes in LDLs susceptibility to *ex-vivo* oxidation:

$$H_0: \mu_{\text{post Intensive}} = \mu_{\text{post conventional}}$$

$$H_A: \mu_{\text{post Intensive}} > \mu_{\text{post Conventional}}$$

where H_A is equal to greater appropriate changes in blood lipids, markers of oxidative stress, use of cardioprotective foods, and nutrition and high blood cholesterol knowledge at study-end.

Delimitations

The inclusion of subjects for this investigation integrated specific fixed limits and boundaries. The first and most significant delimitation is the narrow scope of the inclusion criteria limiting the magnitude of the study population. Subjects were included based on the criteria that their pre-screening calculated LDL-C values were within the range of 100-159 mg/dL. Since any LDL-C above 100 mg/dL has been shown to be atherogenic and high LDL-C (≥ 160 mg/dL) is considered a potential target for LDL-C-lowering drug therapy, particularly in persons with multiple CHD risk factors we excluded individuals with a LDL-C < 100 mg/dL and > 160 mg/dL. This inclusion criterion excluded approximately 47% of the screened individuals. In addition, the scope of individuals that our subject recruitment was able to reach was limited to the Montana State University campus and the reading population of the local newspaper. The combined effects of these factors limited the sampling of subjects and ultimately limits the generalizability of our findings

Limitations

There are limitations which create a potential weakness for this examination. First, although these analyses provide data on intake from foods, they cannot provide information on the specific behavioral changes associated with these reductions in intake. A three day weighed diet record can not accurately predict the specific changes in

behavioral data, (i.e. increased or reduced frequency of consumption and portion size, or substitution with a lower fat alternative) (8). Second, this was an intent-to-treat analysis. Intent to treat analysis is a broad strategy to assess randomized data; it requires that individuals remain in the group to which they were randomized regardless of compliance, crossover to other treatments or withdrawal. In addition this study did not adjust or control for compliance, weight loss, or life style changes beyond recorded dietary, blood lipid results and energy expenditure alterations. Subjects were free living and self selected their foods and represent a cross section of the general population that would be likely to select general clinical care for hyperlipidemia. These subjects received more dietary instructions and support than usually provided in a routine clinical care setting. Additionally, subjects included in the investigation were volunteers and may have had a greater vested interest in reducing blood lipids through behavior modification. Consequently, the amount of LDL-C lowering achieved may represent about the best that can be expected through community-based or intensive clinical programs. Finally, the intervention utilized in this study was relatively short term (6-weeks) and the subsequent follow-up may have not been long enough to detect changes in serum blood lipids in response to dietary modifications.

CHAPTER 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

New food-based dietary recommendations issued by the American Heart Association, to reduce risk for CVD, promote a multifaceted approach (17). The 2000 AHA dietary guidelines recommend a variety of foods to target four major goals: achieve a healthy overall diet, achieve a healthy weight, promote desirable lipid levels, and promote desirable blood pressure (18). Specific foods recommended include fruits and vegetables, grain products, fish, lean meat and poultry, fat-free or low-fat dairy products, and legumes. In addition, NCEPs ATP III therapeutic lifestyle changes (TLC) (Table 1) recommend restrictions of SF and dietary cholesterol and therapeutic dietary options to lower LDL-C: the inclusion of plant stanols/sterols (2-3 g/day), soy protein (25-40g/d) and increased viscous (soluble) fiber (5-10 g/day) (6). Additional cardioprotective foods include nuts and legumes. This chapter will review the scientific basis of cholesterol-lowering diets and strategies for their implementation.

The Cholesterol-Lowering Diet

Diet is associated with several common adult diseases in Western countries, including CVD, diabetes, and several cancers (19). The ATP III guidelines focus extensively on the nonpharmacologic therapy used to specifically prevent CVD for those individuals with abnormal blood lipids. ATP III redirects the public focus from the high-SF atherogenic diet, obesity, and a sedentary lifestyle to a program of therapeutic lifestyle changes. The TLC diet de-emphasizes total fat and focuses on the types of fat ingested. Initiating TLC begins with reducing intakes of SF and cholesterol to begin

Table 1. Dietary recommendations based on the ATP III TLC diet.

Nutrient	Recommended Intake
Saturated fat ^{1,2}	<7%
Polyunsaturated fat ²	Up to 10%
Monounsaturated fat ²	Up to 20%
Total fat ²	25%–35%
Carbohydrate ²	50%–60%
Fiber	20–30 g/d
Viscous (soluble) fiber	5–10g/d
Protein ²	15%
Cholesterol	<200 mg/d
Plant stanols/sterols	2–3g/d
Soy protein	25–40 g/day when replacing animal food products

¹Trans fatty acids also raise LDL-C and should be kept at a low intake.
²As percent of total calories
Note: Regarding total calories, balance energy intake and expenditure to maintain desirable body weight.

lowering LDL C. Subsequently, and additionally, is the emphasis of the health-promoting aspects of the diet that include, among other things, fish, omega-3 fatty acids, and the addition of viscous fiber and plant stanol/sterol esters to reduce LDL-C beyond that previously seen with the NCEPs previous recommendations, the Step I and II diets. At all stages of TLC, ATP III encourages the referral to registered dietitians or other qualified nutritionists for medical nutrition therapy. The ATP III guidelines can provide guidance to practitioners who wish to prevent and treat atherogenic progression, by lowering LDL-C levels, and improve the overall health of the patient.

The combined effects of the components in the cholesterol-lowering diet can result in substantial TC and LDL-C reductions. Jenkins et al (20) examined the

combined effects of the cholesterol-lowering dietary components on blood lipids in hypercholesterolemic subjects, consuming low-fat diets. Thirteen subjects (7 men 6 post menopausal women) with a baseline LDL-C of $174 \pm 7.7\text{mg/dL}$ participated in a 6-week feeding trial which included the combined use of 1g of plant stanols/1,000kcal via enriched margarine, 8.2g of viscous soluble fiber/1,000kcal via oats, barley, and psyllium, and 22.7g of soy protein/1,000kcal via soymilk, soy sausage, soy cold cuts, and soy burgers. Data collection occurred at baseline while subjects were consuming a Step II diet, at weeks 2 and 4, during the 4-week combination diet, and after week-6, during which subjects resumed the Step II diet. The combination diet was compared to the AHA Step II diet. During the combination diet, no significant weight loss was observed. However, when the subjects resumed the Step II diet, there was a significant ($P<0.01$) – $0.20 \pm 0.05\text{kg/week}$ weight loss. This may be explained by the significant ($P<0.05$) decrease in energy intake when compared to the combination diet ($1,999 \pm 118\text{ kcal/d}$; $1,703 \pm 104\text{ kcal/d}$, for the combination and Step II diets, respectively). Significant reductions were observed in blood lipids during the combination diet. At baseline TC levels were $249.8 \pm 8.1\text{mg/dL}$. After consuming the combination diet, TC was significantly reduced ($P<0.01$) by $22.3\% \pm 2.0\%$ ($193.7 \pm 7.7\text{mg/dL}$). At baseline LDL-C levels were $163.2 \pm 4.3\text{mg/dL}$. With the combination diet, LDL-C levels were significantly reduced ($P<0.01$) by $29.0\% \pm 2.7\%$ ($116.4 \pm 6.6\text{mg/dL}$). After the combination diet therapy phase, TC levels returned to $228 \pm 8.5\text{mg/dL}$ while LDL-C levels increased to $146.9 \pm 7.7\text{mg/dL}$. The combined effects of the cholesterol-lowering dietary components, plant sterols, viscous fibers, and vegetable proteins, caused a

subsequent reduction in dietary cholesterol and SF and ultimately reduced TC and LDL-C levels.

Components of a Cholesterol-Lowering Diet

Dietary Fat The type of dietary fat can influence the development and progression of chronic diseases. Additionally, a significant relationship has been demonstrated between the predominant type of fat in the diet and the lipid-laden cellular membrane composition. The best understood risk factor for atherogenesis is serum cholesterol, which can be easily modified by alterations of dietary fatty acids (21).

Fats, such as SF, have been shown to be particularly harmful due to their role in the development of diseases, such as CVD (22, 23). Reductions of dietary fat are associated with changes in plasma TC and LDL-C (22, 23). The Delta-1 Study, (24) demonstrated that reductions in total fat and SF acids in the diet are accompanied with clinically important reductions in TC and LDL-C concentrations. The three diets were examined in this investigation; the AHA Step I diet (55% energy [E%]) from carbohydrate, 15E% protein, 30E% fat, with 9E%, 14E%, 7E% and <1.5E% from SF, MUFA, PUFA, and *trans* fatty acids, respectively), an Average American diet (AAD) (48E% carbohydrate, 15E% protein, 37E% fat, and 16E%, 14E%, 7E% and <1.5E% from SF, MUFA, PUFA, and *trans* fatty acids, respectively) and a low SF (Low-Sat) diet (59E% carbohydrate, 15E% protein, 26E% fat, with 5E%, 14E%, 7E% and <1.5E% from SF, MUFA, PUFA, and *trans* fatty acids, respectively). The Delta-1 Study utilized a randomized crossover design which included three feeding periods. Each subject was randomized to one of six diet sequences (ABC, ACB, BAC, BCA, CAB, or CBA) with

each diet period lasting 8 weeks, and included breaks of 4 to 6 weeks between diet periods. One hundred three healthy, normolipidemic volunteers (57 women, 46 men) were randomized to one of six diet sequences, separated by 4-6 weeks. All meals, except Saturday dinner, were prepared on site with two weekday meals consumed in a supervised cafeteria. The reduction in TC averaged a significant decrease ($P<0.01$) of 5% between the AAD and Step I diet ($202.1 \pm 2.8\text{mg/dL}$ to $191.0 \pm 2.7\text{mg/dL}$, respectively) and an additional 4% ($P<0.01$) during the Low-Sat diet ($183.4 \pm 2.7\text{mg/dL}$). Similarly, LDL-C decreased significantly ($P<0.01$) by 7% between the AAD and the Step I diet ($131.4 \pm 2.7\text{mg/dL}$ to $122.2 \pm 2.6\text{mg/dL}$) with an additional stepwise reduction of 4% ($P<0.01$) with the Low-Sat diet ($116.9 \pm 2.6\text{mg/dL}$). Between the AAD and the Step I diet, TG concentrations significantly increased ($P<0.01$) by 9% (85.1 ± 3.4 to $92.4 \pm 3.7\text{mg/dL}$), but did not change with the consumption of the Low-Sat diet. The reductions in total and LDL-C observed on the Low-Sat diet indicate that reducing total fat and SF, in a 6 week feeding period, can have a significant impact on lipoproteins in normolipidemic individuals. The TLC diet recommends 25-35%, or less, of the day's total calories come from fat; a range similar to the Step I and Low-Sat diets which produced a 7-11% reduction in LDL-C in the previous investigation. Given the beneficial effects of low total and low-SF diet on several biomarkers of CVD risk, it seems prudent to recommend inclusion of these new recommendations into healthy lifestyle and cholesterol-lowering diets, or as a start, in self-selected diets.

Saturated Fat The collective investigations of Ignatowski in 1908 and McGill in 1979 have led to the classic diet-heart hypothesis (25), which postulates the link between

the development of atherosclerosis and the dietary intake of SF and cholesterol (26, 27). Further examinations, such as the Keys Seven Countries correlations between international CHD mortality and SF intake (28) and the investigations of migrant workers adopting the Westernized lifestyles (29), have led to the overwhelming support of the diet-heart hypothesis.

There is a dose-response relationship between SFA and LDL-C, although the mechanisms, whereby saturated fatty acids (SFAs) raise LDL-C levels are not completely understood (30). The most logical evidence for this correlation (see Figure 1) is that SFAs interfere with the LDL-C receptors ability to clear LDL-C particles. Investigations tracking the clearance of the LDL apolipoprotein B-100 have determined that SFA impair the removal of LDL-C from circulation (31).




Figure 1. The mechanisms of increased LDL-C levels due to high intakes of SFAs. The major effect of SFAs appears to be a reduced activity of LDL receptors (Reprinted with permission(30)).

Interventions to avoid atherosclerosis might be more successful if launched early in life when eating and life-style patterns are formed. In the STRIP baby trial,

investigators found that decreasing intakes of SF in infants markedly influenced serum lipid values as early as 13 months. The STRIP baby trial was a randomized, prospective trial of more than 1000 healthy children. These children were regularly monitored for nutrient intakes, serum lipid values, growth, and development (32). One thousand sixty-two infants were randomized to intervention and control groups at 7 months of age. The families of the 540 intervention children were counseled to reduce the child's intake of SF and cholesterol and to ensure adequate energy intake. Five hundred twenty-two control children consumed an unrestricted diet. Food records were kept and serum lipids were measured at 5- to 12-month intervals. Intakes of SF and cholesterol were lower in the intervention children than in control children at 13, 24, and 36 months of age. Between 7 and 13 months serum cholesterol and non-high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations did not change significantly in the intervention group but increased significantly ($P < 0.001$) in the control group. When compared to the control group, the intervention group had lower daily intakes of energy (967.8 ± 189.5 kcal/d; 1040.5 ± 178.1 kcal/d, for the intervention and control groups, respectively, $P < 0.05$) and SF intake (9.3 ± 3.5 g/d; 14.5 ± 4.8 g/d, for the intervention and control groups, respectively $P < 0.001$), and intake of polyunsaturated fat (PUFA) was higher (5.8 ± 2.2 ; 4.4 ± 1.4 g/d, for the intervention and control groups, respectively $P < 0.001$). Thus, increases in serum cholesterol and non-HDL-C concentration that occur in infants between the ages of 7 and 13 months can be avoided by individualized diets which reduce SFA intake.

As a class, saturated fatty acids (SFA) pose a potential risk for increasing LDL-C. However, SFA at varying chain lengths, may not affect serum levels of TC and LDL-C

equally. In the prospective cohort Nurses' Health Study, 80,082 women, aged 34–59, completed validated food-frequency questionnaires in 1980. Women were free from known CVD, cancer, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes (33). During the 14 year of follow-up, 939 documented incident cases of CVD events were reported. In multivariate analyses in which age, smoking, and other covariates were controlled for, intakes of short- to medium-chain SFA (4:0–10:0) were not significantly associated with the risk of CVD. In contrast, intakes of longer-chain SFA (lauric [12:0]; myristic [14:0]; palmitic [16:0]) were each separately associated with a small increase in risk. The multivariate relative risk (RR) for a 1% energy increase from the neutral stearic acid was 1.19 (95% CI: 1.02, 1.37). The ratio of PUFA to SFA was strongly and inversely associated with CHD risk (multivariate RR for a comparison of the highest with the lowest deciles: RR 0.58; 95% CI: 0.41, 0.83; $P < 0.0001$) (33). These data suggest that replacement of long-chain SFA with PUFA will likely reduce the risk of CHD. Intake of the longer chain SFA, lauric, myristic, and palmitic have all been linked to a subsequent rise in LDL-C and TC (34, 22). With the aim of reducing LDL-C, NCEP's TLC diet recommends that all SFAs be reduced to $\leq 7\%$ of total energy (6).

Polyunsaturated Fat Two types of PUFAs occur in the diet, linoleic acid (n-6), mainly from plant oils and animal fats, and linolenic acid (n-3) primarily from certain vegetable and fish oils. Controlled clinical trials indicate that the substitution of PUFA for SFA reduces the risk of CVD (30) (see Figure 2). Additionally, prospective and clinical trial data suggest that higher intakes of n-3 fatty acids reduce the risk for coronary events and mortality. The major n-3 fatty acids include: eicosapentaenoic acid

(EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). In addition, human feeding trials with increased amounts of n-6 fatty acids in the diet have revealed the increased formation of eicosanoid products, specifically prostaglandins, thromboxanes, leukotrienes, hydroxy fatty acids, and lipoxins, in larger quantities than those formed from n-3 fatty acids (35).




Figure 2. Alternative nutrients for cholesterol-raising SFAs. These include stearic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, and carbohydrates. When any of these nutrients replace SFAs in the diet, the level of serum LDL-C falls. The primary mechanism for LDL-C lowering for each nutrient apparently is a release of suppression of SFAs on LDL receptor activity (Reprinted with permission (30)).

The ATP III guidelines recommend the consumption of no more than 10% of total energy in the form of PUFAs. These recommendations are in agreement with Grundy (36) who reviewed the effects of various types of fatty acids on serum cholesterol concentrations. According to his research, linoleic acid (n-6) lowers total and LDL-C concentrations however in cases of PUFA intakes greater than 10%E there is an increased cancer risk as well as an increased susceptibility of LDL to oxidation. Grundy proposed

that diets rich in PUFAs, especially those high in linolenic acid, not make up more than 7% of total caloric intake to avoid complications associated with diets rich in PUFA.

Monounsaturated Fat Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) lower LDL-C levels when compared to SF without reductions in HDL-C or increases in TG levels. In a randomized, double-blind, 5-period crossover study, the effects of a high-MUFA diet on serum lipids and lipoproteins were examined in 22 subjects (37). The study compared the CVD risk profile of an Average American Diet (AAD) (16%E SF, 11%E MUFA, 7%E PUFA) with a Step II diet (7%E SF, 12%E MUFA, 6%E PUFA), a Olive Oil (OO) diet (7%E SF, 21%E MUFA, 6%E PUFA), a Peanut Oil (PO) diet (7%E SF, 17%E MUFA, 9%E PUFA), and a Peanut Butter (PB) diet (8%E SF, 18%E MUFA, 10%E PUFA). The three high-MUFA diets lowered total cholesterol by 10% and LDL-C by 14%. The response was comparable with that observed for the Step II diet. Triglyceride concentrations were 13% lower in subjects consuming the high-MUFA diets and were 11% higher with the Step II diet than with the AAD. The high-MUFA diets did not lower HDL-C, whereas a 4% reduction was observed in the Step II diet compared to the AAD. The results of the present study provide evidence that higher-fat diets that are high in MUFAs and low in SFAs can lower total and LDL cholesterol to a greater degree when compared to an AAD and a Step II diet.

A high-MUFA diet can be an alternative to the recommendations of the Step I diet to favorably affect CVD risk provided it does not exceed the SF recommendations and individual energy needs (38). The high MUFA diet is most widely associated with the Mediterranean diet. In a follow-up trial of the Lyon Diet Heart Study, (39) consecutive

patients who survived a first myocardial infarction, MI, were randomized to either an experimental group (n=144) where they were asked to comply with a Mediterranean-type diet, or a control group (n=83) where they received no dietary advice from the investigators, but were advised to follow a sensible diet by their attending physicians. Eligible patients were <70 y, clinically stable, and had no medical or social conditions that would limit their ability to participate. The rate of cardiac death and nonfatal MI in the experimental group after 46 months (1.24:100 patients per year) is similar to that observed after 27 months (1.32:100). The rate in control subjects was 4.07:100 after 46 months, whereas it was 5.55:100 after 27 months. Following the 46-month investigation, all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, along with recurrent MI and cardiac death, were reduced ($P=0.01$) with the Mediterranean diet. This investigation confirms the long term protective effect of the Mediterranean diet. In response, to these findings, the ATP III guidelines recommend the use of unsaturated fatty acids, up to 20% of total energy, in the form of MUFAs.

Trans Fatty Acids Trans isomers of fatty acids are formed when liquid vegetable oils are partially hydrogenated to form margarine or shortening (40). The relationship between CVD and *trans* fatty acids has grown out of the finding that the straight configuration of the *trans* isomer is similar to that of SFs. In order to further investigate the relationship between *trans* fatty acid intake and CVD mortality, Willett et al studied the dietary data collected from the Nurses' Health Study (40). Among the 85,095 women followed for 8 years, there were 431 cases of CAD and the mean intake of *trans* fat was 4.0g. When adjusted for age and energy intake, the intake of *trans* fatty acids was

strongly associated with the risk of CAD; the multivariate RR for the highest (5.7g) versus the lowest quintile (2.4g) was 1.50 (95% CI; $P < 0.001$).

Mensink and Katan (41) determined that *trans* fatty acids increase plasma LDL-C levels when exchanged for *cis* unsaturated fatty acids in the diet. Subjects were randomly assigned to receive 10%E as oleic, SF, or *trans* fatty acids. The *trans* diet increased plasma LDL, TG, and lipoprotein (a), and additionally lowered HDL cholesterol levels. Replacement of SFAs and *trans* fatty acids with PUFA or MUFA can result in protective benefits. These strategies can be complementary and have the potential to provide the maximum chronic disease protective benefits of dietary fatty acids.

Dietary Cholesterol The major (physiological) effect of dietary cholesterol is to raise LDL-C levels (30). High intakes of dietary cholesterol can lead to elevated levels of circulating LDL by down-regulating the synthesis of the LDL-receptor (42, 43), and increase the size and composition of the LDL particle (44, 30) (See Figure 3). Investigations examining the response of lipoproteins to a high dietary cholesterol diet have shown mixed results. In the outpatient settings where subjects included eggs daily into their customary diets, no significant increase in mean serum cholesterol was found, nor was there a significant association of dietary cholesterol intake with either serum cholesterol or triglyceride (45, 46). In addition, Vorster et al (47) found that the consumption of 3-14 eggs/week consumed by 70 young healthy men, who followed a high SF diet, did not influence CVD risk markers. However, metabolic ward investigations have shown a significant relationship between the intake of dietary cholesterol and elevated LDL-C.




Figure 3. Mechanisms of increase in LDL-C levels with high dietary cholesterol intakes. An increase in hepatic cholesterol content, secondary to excess dietary cholesterol, suppresses synthesis of LDL receptors (Reprinted with permission (30)).

Zanni et al (48) examined 9 healthy women, aged 22-73, and their lipid response to diets with varying dietary cholesterol and SF contents. Four diets, with equivalent macronutrient compositions (14% protein, 31% fat, and 55% carbohydrate), were consumed for 15 days in duration, and were each separated by 3 weeks without diet control. The first diet (corn) was based on corn oil, had a PUFA to SF ratio (P/S) of 2.14, and contained 130 mg of cholesterol. The second diet (corn+) was identical to the first but contained a total of 875 mg of cholesterol. The third diet was based on lard, had a P/S ratio of 0.64, and contained 130 mg of cholesterol. Lastly, the fourth diet (lard+), was identical to the third, but contained 875 mg of cholesterol per day. The main sources of PUFA and SFs were corn oil and lard, respectively, and egg yolk was used for cholesterol supplementation. All diets affected both the number of lipoprotein particles as well as the composition of LDL and HDL. Compared to the corn diet, the high

cholesterol and high SF diet each increased the number of LDL particles by 17% and 9%, respectively, and the cholesterol per lipoprotein by 9%. The combination of SF and cholesterol (corn+) increased the LDL particle number by 18% and decreased particle size, to a small dense molecule, by 24%. Switching from a high SF, high cholesterol diet to a high SF, low cholesterol diet caused an 18% reduction in LDL-C (101.4 ± 32.9 mg/dl; 83.7 ± 30 mg/dl). Switching from a high SF, high cholesterol diet to a high PUFA, high cholesterol diet caused an 11% reduction in LDL-C (101.4 ± 32.9 mg/dl; 88.6 ± 26.1 mg/dl). Switching from a high SF, high cholesterol diet to a high PUFA, low cholesterol diet caused a 28% reduction in LDL-C (101.4 ± 32.9 mg/dl; 72.4 ± 27.2 mg/dl), indicating that a low SF, low cholesterol diet results in the greatest LDL-C lowering response. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that diets which utilize corn oil as a primary fat source, which are low in dietary cholesterol and SF, produce greater LDL-C lowering benefit when compared to high dietary cholesterol and high SF diets.

Plant Stanols and Plant Sterols The ATP III guidelines recommend dietary intakes of 2-3g/day of plant stanol or sterol derivatives to reduce LDL-C levels (6). Sterols are an essential component of cell membranes, and both animals and plants produce them (19). Stanols are saturated sterols and are found in less abundance in nature compared to the sterols. In 1954, Best et al (49) recognized the benefit of using plant sterols to lower serum concentrations of cholesterol. These reductions, observed with both plant sterol and stanols, occur by reducing the absorption of cholesterol from the gut by competing for the limited space for cholesterol in the micelle (50). In addition, adding plant stanol-fortified margarine to the diet results in a reduced absorption of cholesterol in the gut,

exogenous and endogenous, by about half (19). This reduced absorption results in lowered serum cholesterol levels. Clinical studies ranging 4-weeks to 1 year have demonstrated that replacement of butter and margarine with these sterol or stanol spreads can reduce LDL-C levels by approximately 15%-20% (51, 52, 53).

Hallikainen and Uusitupa (54) investigated the cholesterol-lowering effects of two forms of a plant stanol-enriched margarine. This parallel, double-blind feeding study involved 55 hypercholesterolemic subjects who were randomized after a 4-week high fat diet (baseline) to either wood stanol ester margarine (Benecol®), a vegetable stanol ester margarine (Take Control®), or a control margarine for 8-weeks. The daily mean intake of stanol esters was 2.31g and 2.16g for the wood and vegetable stanol margarines, respectively. After 8-weeks, TC was significantly reduced ($P<0.001$) 18.3% ($253.29 \pm 30.16\text{mg/dL}$ to $206.5 \pm 29.39\text{mg/dL}$) in the wood stanol ester group and 15.9% ($237.05 \pm 31.32\text{mg/dL}$ to $199.15 \pm 30.16\text{mg/dL}$) in the vegetable stanol ester group. Additionally, LDL-C was significantly reduced ($P<0.001$) 23.3% ($175.56 \pm 27.84\text{mg/dL}$ to $134.57 \pm 29.78\text{mg/dL}$) in the wood stanol ester group and there was a trend ($P=0.072$) for a reduction of 18.8% in the vegetable stanol ester group. These investigations offer insight into the use of plant stanol ester-enriched margarines to effectively lower TC and LDL-C in hypercholesterolemic subjects.

Fiber Fiber intake of 5-10g/day of viscous soluble fiber can reduce LDL-C levels by approximately 3-5% (6). Most viscous fibers (i.e. psyllium, oat bran, guar, and pectin) have hypocholesterolemic effects, decreasing LDL-C without a subsequent rise in TG (55). Decreased bile acid absorption, increased fecal bile acid losses, depleted bile salt

pools and the diversion of cholesterol synthesis to lipoprotein precursors likely are the mechanism for the hypocholesterolemic mechanism of fiber (55). In a meta-analysis, Brown et al. (56) examined 67 controlled trials to determine the effect of fiber intake on cholesterol levels. Approximately 2-10g/d of soluble fiber was associated with a 1.74 mg/dL reduction in TC and a 2.20 mg/dL reduction in LDL-C. Triglycerols and HDL-C were not significantly influenced by consuming soluble fiber.

There is debate as to the degree of cholesterol reduction caused by soluble fibers (56). Kelley et al (57) examined the subsequent reduction in TC and LDL-C after consuming 100 g of oat bran for 4 weeks. Thirteen hypercholesterolemic subjects (7 men, 6 postmenopausal women, TC>200 mg/dL) participating in an adult fitness program substituted their low-fat, low cholesterol diet with recipes containing oat bran. Blood samples were collected at baseline, 2-weeks, and 4-weeks. After 4-weeks, TC was significantly reduced ($P < 0.05$) 8.2% compared to baseline (226.61 ± 10.05 mg/dl to 208.04 ± 9.28 mg/dl) and LDL-C was significantly reduced ($P < 0.05$) 9.9% (155.45 ± 9.67 mg/dl to 139.99 ± 8.12 mg/dL). Although this investigation utilized 100g/d of oat bran to achieve maximum reductions, Jenkins et al (20) determined that consuming no more than 8.2g/d of oat bran, used in combination with other soluble fibers, soy, and plant sterols, reduced TC by 22.3% and LDL-C 29.0%. Thus, daily intakes of 100g/d of oat bran produce a 10% reduction in LDL-C however, when used in combination with soy, plant sterols and other soluble fibers, only 8.2g of oat bran are needed to achieve and LDL-C reduction of 29%.

Vegetable and fruit fibers have been associated with a reduction in the incidence of CVD. Jenkins et al (58) examined the effects of a high fiber diet, predominantly from fruits and vegetables on lipoproteins. Ten healthy, normolipidemic volunteers were randomized to one of three diet sequences each two weeks in duration. A high fiber vegetable and nut diet (55g/1,000 kcal) was compared to a starch-based diet containing cereals and legumes and a therapeutic low-fat diet. The high fiber vegetable and nut diet produced the greatest reductions in LDL-C ($33\% \pm 4\%$, $P < 0.001$) and the greatest outputs of fecal bile acid (1.13 ± 0.03 g/d, $P = 0.002$). The lipid reductions occurred within one week of initiating the high fiber vegetable and nut diet and were sustained for the two-week duration of the diet.

Nuts Epidemiologic and clinical studies have shown that nut consumption is associated with favorable plasma lipid profiles and reduced cardiovascular risk (59). These effects may result from their high MUFA content, but nuts contain constituents, other than fatty acids, that might be cardioprotective. Jenkins et al (60) observed that 73 g of almonds, used as snacks in the diets of hyperlipidemic subjects, significantly reduce coronary heart disease risk factors: LDL-C ($-9.4 \pm 1.9\%$; $P < 0.001$), the LDL:HDL cholesterol ratio ($-12.0 \pm 2.1\%$; $P < 0.001$), and oxidized LDL concentrations ($-14.0 \pm 3.8\%$; $P < 0.001$). These reductions were probably in part due to the nonfat (protein and fiber) and MUFA components of the nut. Additional human feeding studies have demonstrated reductions of 8-12% in LDL-C when almonds and walnuts are substituted for more traditional fats (61).

The TLC diet recommends using nuts to meet the MUFA recommendation of up to 20% of total energy (6). The NCEP recommends that diets contain 1 oz of nuts per day to reduce the risk of heart disease. In addition, NCEP suggests that intake of nuts fit within the calorie and fat goal of the hypercholesterolemic patient. Based on the data from the Nurses' Health Study it is estimated that substitution of the fat from 1 ounce of nuts for equivalent energy from carbohydrate in a 2000 kcal diet was associated with a 30% reduction in CHD risk and the substitution of nut fat for SF was associated with 45% reduction in risk (62).

Animal versus Soy Protein Dietary protein, in general, has little effect on serum LDL-C levels or other lipoprotein fractions (6). However, substituting soy protein for animal protein has been reported to lower LDL-C (63). The ATP III panel recommends that total protein intake should not be excessive (average 50 to 100 g/d) and should be reasonably proportional (15% of calories per day) to carbohydrate (50-60% of calories per day) and fat (25-35% of calories per day) intake. Further, selected protein foods should not contribute excess total fat, SF, or cholesterol to the diet (6).

High intakes of soy protein can cause small reductions in LDL-C when it replaces animal protein. Soybeans are naturally high in a group of compounds collectively termed isoflavonic phytoestrogens or isoflavones (64). The three major isoflavones in soybeans are genistin, daidzin, and glycerin (65). Evidence for an independent effect of isoflavonoids on blood cholesterol concentrations has been demonstrated in humans. Findings are inconsistent regarding both the dose and potential benefit of soy protein. Cassidy et al (66) observed significant reductions in TC and LDL-C in young healthy

women with a 45mg dose of isoflavonoids, but did not observe a comparable response with 23mg of isoflavonoids. In contrast, investigations have indicated that 25 g/day of soy protein, in a diet low in SFAs and cholesterol, can have an LDL-C lowering effect of approximately 5% (63).

The mechanism for which soy produces a cholesterol-lowering response is mixed. Dietary soy may enhance bile acid secretion and indirectly cause a subsequent increase in rates of cholesterol excretion (67). In addition, isoflavones may reduce cholesterol via an estrogen-mediated mechanism and produce a similar cholesterol response as in females receiving estrogen replacement therapy (68).

Isoflavones have additionally been linked to reducing the oxidative modification of LDL-C by macrophages (69), enhance the resistance of LDL to modification (70), and increase the antioxidant pool, providing a longer phase of protection for the molecule (71). Feeding rats soy protein, compared with casein, has been reported to lower oxidative stress as measured by thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS). Additionally, Kapiotis (69) has observed the ability of genistein to inhibit LDL oxidation *in vitro*.

Carbohydrates A central tenet of most dietary advice relating to lipids and cardiovascular disease risk for the past 40 years has been to reduce fat (and cholesterol) intake (72). However, diets that replace fat with carbohydrate, isocalorically, have been consistently observed to worsen certain elements of the plasma lipid profile (73). There is some concern in consuming high-carbohydrate (>55% of calories from carbohydrates), lower-fat diets. Reduction in total fat coupled with increased carbohydrate, intake can

result in a decrease in HDL-C (24). Ginsberg et al (24), found that patients with normo-triglyceride levels had an increase on the Step I and Low-SF diets compared to the AAD. These changes in plasma triglycerides correlated with the changes in HDL ($r = -.40$, $P < .001$). The mean HDL-C level fell further when patients were changed from the Step I diet to the Low-SF diet. This decrease is most likely due to the increase in carbohydrate intake from 55% to 69% of total calories.

The mechanisms underlying carbohydrate-induced hypertriglyceridemia remain unresolved (74). Hudgins et al. (75) measured the synthesis of new fatty acids (de-novo lipogenesis) by two independent methods in obese and lean volunteers given 10% fat and 75% carbohydrate versus 30% fat and 55% carbohydrate diets. Both diets were relatively high in simple sugars (sugar:starch ratio, 60%:40%). The study utilized a randomized, cross-over design. Serum triglycerides increased significantly ($P < 0.001$) on the high-carbohydrate diet to similar degrees in both lean and obese subjects, and the change in triglycerides was highly correlated ($r = 0.89$) with the stimulation of hepatic de-novo lipogenesis, as measured by indirect calorimetry. However, there were no relationships between body mass index (BMI), insulin levels, hepatic de-novo lipogenesis or plasma triglyceride concentrations. The authors concluded that high-carbohydrate/low-fat diets that are high in simple sugars stimulate fatty acid synthesis from carbohydrate feedings, and that plasma triglyceride levels increase in proportion to the amount of fatty acid synthesis suggesting a mechanistic link (76). In addition, a few reports suggest that high-carbohydrate diets interfere with the lipolysis of TG-rich lipoproteins, (i.e. the removal of fatty acids from VLDL) (See Figure 4) (30).

Bunyard et al. (77) had similar findings in an investigation of dietary factors associated with the decrease in HDL-C concentration in obese, postmenopausal women placed on a low-fat diet. Adherence to the AHA Step I diet reduced HDL-C ($-16\% \pm 10\%$). The only dietary change that predicted decreases in HDL-C concentrations was the increase in the percent of energy from simple sugar ($r = -0.32$, $P < .05$). Therefore, a substitution of simple carbohydrates for dietary fat may lead to a reduction in HDL-C. Bunyard et al. (77) suggested placing emphasis on complex carbohydrates, such as fruit and whole grains, to produce a less drastic HDL-C decrease.




Figure 4. Actions of various nutrients on serum TG levels. The rise in TG on low-fat, high carbohydrate diets may be due, in part, to a reduced synthesis of lipoprotein lipase (Reprinted with permission (30)).

Diet and LDL Oxidation

LDL modified by oxidation has been implicated in the development of atherosclerosis (78). Oxidized LDL (OxLDL) is taken up by special receptors that do not recognize unmodified LDL (78). Uptake by these receptors is not down-regulated by internal macrophage cholesterol content and leads to the production of lipid-laden foam

cells (79). The cytotoxicity of the OxLDL has been considered in the promotion of endothelial dysfunction, vascular smooth muscle cell growth and proliferation, and rapid evolution of the fatty streak into a more advanced lesion, therefore increasing the risk of developing CVD (80).

There are primarily four phases in LDL oxidation, *in vitro*. First, initiated by a reactive oxygen species, a hydrogen atom is extracted from a PUFAs double bond causing molecular rearrangement and conjugated diene formation (2). Next, the lag phase is characterized by the presence of endogenous and exogenous antioxidants that suppress the oxidation process (2). The period of LDLs resistance to oxidative susceptibility, the lag time, has been inversely correlated with the severity of the coronary atherosclerosis (i.e., as the lag phase is prolonged, the severity of atherosclerosis is less). Third, in the propagation phase, another hydrogen atom is extracted from the PUFAs double bond forming lipid peroxides (2). Finally, in the decomposition phase, double bonds are cleaved forming aldehydes (2).

Dietary fats influence the fatty acid composition of LDL (81) which then regulates LDLs susceptibility to oxidation (82) (see Figure 5). To investigate the effects of long-term-well characterized dietary habits (a strict vegetarian diet, n=11; a high fish intake diet, n=9; and a high SF (milk fat) diet, controls, n=7) on the oxidative modification of LDL, Korpela, et al. (83) examined the LDL of 27 volunteers. The mean intake of SF of the control, vegetarian, and fish diets was $19 \pm 1\%$ E, $9 \pm 2\%$ E, and $14 \pm 2\%$ E, respectively. Using sequential flotation ultracentrifugation, oxidation of LDL was carried out by using copper sulfate as a pro-oxidant. The lag phase of LDL oxidation was




Figure 5. Hypothetical pathways from diet to CAD that involve LDL oxidative modification as an intermediate Step (84).

shortest (116 ± 12 min) in the fish group and longest (165 ± 23 min) in the vegetarian group, and the control group was between the first and second groups (129 ± 6 min). The oxidation percentage, a measure of the final absorbance of CuSO_4 when oxidation is stopped using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) after 2.5 h, was highest (44%) in the fish group and lowest (22%) in the vegetarian group and intermediate (31%) in the control group. The proportions of EPA and DHA, within the LDL molecule, were highest in the fish and lowest in the vegetarian groups. Linoleic acid was highest among the vegetarians. In the fish group, the vitamin A concentration in serum was higher than in vegetarians and controls. Beta-carotene was lower than in the other groups, but in alpha-tocopherol, or lycopene concentrations there were no statistically significant differences. Long-term dietary habits can be useful in predicting the fatty acid composition of the LDL particle and can influence the susceptibility of LDL to oxidation.

In the fish group with the highest content of omega-3 fatty acids in LDL, the oxidation susceptibility of LDL was highest. In the vegetarian group with less omega-3 fatty acids in LDL, the LDL was more resistant to oxidation.

LDL particles rich in n-6 PUFAs have been shown to be more susceptible to oxidative modification than LDL particles enriched with MUFAs (78, 85, 86, 87). It is now generally accepted that the more PUFAs are present in the diet, the more readily LDL is to undergo oxidative modification (80, 85, 87). In general, a daily intake of PUFAs comprising more than 10% of total energy intake is not recommended (78). Moderate use of MUFA oils, canola oil and olive oil, decreases LDL-C levels and LDL oxidation and appears preferable to use of highly PUFA fats. In one study (88), olive oil decreased LDL oxidation and also decreased macrophage uptake of LDL-C.

Intensive atherosclerosis treatment programs have been shown by Parks et al. (89) to lower the oxidative modification of LDL-C in patients with CAD. This intensive treatment program consisted of exercise, stress management, and the consumption of a diet containing < 10% of total calories from fat. It is important to note the ATP III guidelines recommend an intake of 25-35% of calories from fat. A LDL-C goal was set at 105 mg/dL for the 25 participating subjects. There was no control group used for this study. Subjects were assessed for age, BMI, menopausal status, health history, medication and supplement use, and lipid panel was performed at baseline. The three month treatment program included: exercise at 60-70% of maximum heart rate for 20 min/d, 5 d/week, stress management education including mental imagery and relaxation techniques, and group meals 1 d/week. Subjects were instructed to give up all meat, fish,

chicken, oil, and dairy products and to remove all of these food items from their home. After three months, subjects were reassessed. At baseline and 3-months the following data was reported: carbohydrate intake 248 g/d to 337 g/d, fat intake 39 g/d to 16 g/d and 20.9%E to 8.2 %E for baseline and 3-months, respectively. Parks et al. (89) reported a significant 24% increase in mean lag time and a significant 29% reduction in propagation rate for Ox-LDL concluding that this diet of < 10%E from total fat poses a risk reduction for future CAD-related events. Thus, patients with CAD, who undergo atherosclerosis-reversal therapy, specifically dietary therapy, can reduce LDL oxidative susceptibility

Strategies for Implementing a Cholesterol-Lowering Diet

Individualized dietary therapy is the key to achieving life-long management of a cholesterol-lowering diet (90). In addition both health professionals and patients must be willing to make a long-term commitment to this endeavor. Permanent dietary changes require both time and effort. Whereas some patients are able to modify their food-consumption practices relatively quickly and easily, others may need 6-12 months or even longer (90).

There are two major objectives of patient education and counseling related to nutrition: improving health status and changing dietary behaviors. The primary therapeutic aim of the TLC diet is to reduce long-term risk. Initiation of TLC is recommended for those individuals with 0–1 risk factor whose LDL-C levels are ≥ 160 mg/dL (see Table 2). The NCEP ATP III guidelines recommend drug therapy when LDL-C levels are ≥ 190 mg/dL and is determined by clinical judgment at LDL-C levels of 160-189 mg/dL. The ATP III guidelines recommend that LDL-C levels should be

checked after patients have been on the TLC diet for 6 weeks. Referral to registered dietitians or other qualified nutritionists is encouraged during all stages of dietary therapy.

Despite the evidence that secondary prevention and behavior modification reduce mortality in patients with CAD, these types of programs and guidelines, such as ATP III, fail to be completely utilized in the clinical setting. Pearson et al. (91) found only 18% of patients with documented CAD reached the goals set forth by the NCEP Step II guidelines. Davidson (92) found <50% of patients with CAD reached the LDL-C goal of <100 mg/dL. Since LDL-cholesterol levels <100 mg/dL throughout life are associated with a very low risk for CHD in populations, they can be called *optimal* (6) (Table 3). Even when LDL-C concentrations are *near optimal* (100–129 mg/dL), atherogenesis occurs; hence, such levels must also be called *above optimal* (6). At levels that are *borderline high* (130–159 mg/dL), atherogenesis proceeds at a significant rate, whereas at levels that are *high* (160–189 mg/dL) and very high (190 mg/dL) it is markedly accelerated (6).

Counseling directed at behavioral and attitudinal change may produce greater changes than traditional educational approaches to health promotion (93). Complete behavior modifications have been shown to prevent the development and progression of CAD. In the 1980s and 1990s, the Lifestyle Heart Study investigated the idea that aggressive, comprehensive lifestyle changes could alter the clinical and angiographic progression of coronary disease (94). The original trial analyzed both lipid and quantitative angiographic parameters after a 12-month intervention period that included

Table 2. Schematic of risk-reduction therapy options.

ATP III: LDL-C Treatment Cutpoints for Therapy (6)		
Risk Category	Initiate TLC	Consider Drug Therapy
CAD and CAD risk equivalents*	≥100 mg/dL	≥130 mg/dL (100–129 mg/dL: drug optional)†
≥2 risk factors	≥130 mg/dL	10-year risk 10%–20%: ≥130 mg/dL 10-year risk <10%: ≥160 mg/dL
0–1 risk factor	≥160 mg/dL	≥190 mg/dL (160–189 mg/dL: LDL-C-lowering drug optional)

*CAD risk equivalents include: non-coronary forms of clinical atherosclerotic disease and diabetes.
†Some authorities use LDL-C-lowering drugs if TLC does not achieve LDL-C <100 mg/dL; others use drugs to modify HDL-C and TG.

intensive lifestyle changes involving diet (strict vegetarian, with 10% of calories obtained from fat), aerobic exercise, stress management, smoking cessation, and group psychosocial support (95). Comprehensive risk factor reduction resulted in a 37.2% reduction in LDL-C levels, which was associated with a decrease of 91% in the frequency of cardiac angina episodes. Although the study sample was small and the interventional strategy extremely rigorous, the Lifestyle Heart Trial clearly suggested that modifications of lifestyle alone, including diet, smoking, exercise, and stress exposure, in a highly motivated population may yield measurable benefits (95). Additionally, the Lifestyle Heart Trial noted a correlation with the degree of change in atherosclerosis and extent of lifestyle change, suggesting potential for slowing progression of atherosclerosis with modest changes and potential halting or reversal of coronary atherosclerosis through considerable lifestyle modification.

Table 3. Lipoprotein classifications based on the ATP III guidelines.

ATP III: Lipoprotein Classification (6)	
LDL-C (mg/dL)	
<100	Optimal
100–129	Above, near optimal
130–159	Borderline high
160–189	High
≥190	Very high
HDL C (mg/dL)	
<40	Low
≥60	High
TC (mg/dL)	
<200	Desirable
TC= total cholesterol, HDL-C= high density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG= triglyceride, LDL-C= low density lipoprotein cholesterol.	

In order to examine the dose response effect of intensive dietary intervention on blood lipids, Walden et al. (96) implemented a six month dietary trial for hypercholesterolemic men and women utilizing the NCEP Step II diet (<30% of calories from total fat and <7% from SF). The beFIT trial, a randomized diet therapy trial, included 409 free-living subjects randomized to either receive immediate counseling (n=217) or delayed counseling (n=192). Dietary counseling consisted of eight weekly educational classes designed to achieve a NCEP Step II diet. The immediate group began classes promptly following inclusion, while the delayed group began approximately six months later. All subjects were screened for blood lipids, anthropometrics, medical history, and dietary analysis, utilizing a 4-day diet record, at 1, 2, 3, and 6-months following initiation of the dietary counseling. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as elevated LDL-C at two screening evaluations, while combined hyperlipidemia was defined as a triglyceride level at or above the age and sex-specific 75th percentile value at

one or both evaluations. Following 6-months of dietary intervention, women and men in both the hypercholesterolemia and combined hyperlipidemia groups significantly lowered ($P<.001$) their intakes of: calories, total, saturated, MUFA, and PUFA fats (% kcal), and dietary cholesterol. After 6 months of dietary intervention, TC and LDL-C were significantly lower in all groups ($P<.001$). The LDL-C reduction was the least in hypercholesterolemic women, intermediate in combined hyperlipidemic women and men, and greatest in hypercholesterolemic men. The amount of change was not different for total or LDL-C when compared between women and men with the same lipid disorder, between women with different lipid disorders, or between men with different lipid disorders. HDL cholesterol was significantly decreased ($P<.001$) in hypercholesterolemic and combined hyperlipidemic women. The reduction was significantly different ($P<.001$) for hypercholesterolemic women, -4.3 mg/dL (-6.4%), compared with both hypercholesterolemic men, -1.0 mg/dL (-1.3%), and combined hyperlipidemic men, -1.4 mg/dL (-2.7%). After 6 months of diet, >50% of each group had LDL-C values in the desirable range based on the NCEP goals (LDL<100mg/dL) and LDL-C reductions of 7.6% to 8.8% after 6 months of dietary intervention were statistically significant. This investigation illustrated teaching the NCEP diet to free-living subjects as a viable strategy for dietary intervention. Additionally, the investigators established that a 6-month dietary intervention could significantly decrease fat and cholesterol intakes along with LDL-C levels. The results of this intervention did not differ among gender, with the exception of HDL, or classification of hypercholesterolemia.

Fonarow et al. (97) developed the Cardiac Hospitalization Atherosclerosis Program (CHAMP) to be implemented before patient discharge. This program development came after a departmental assessment found a lack of physician adherence to national guidelines. This program initiated drug therapy, dietary therapy, and exercise programs in patients with established CAD, and performed an outcome assessment after a two year follow up. After two years, the use of statins increased from 6% to 86% ($P < 0.01$) and there was a significant ($P < 0.01$) increase in patients achieving a LDL-C $\leq$ 100 mg/dL (6% versus 58%) (97).

In another investigation, hyperlipidemic patients were followed for six months either by a cardiac rehabilitation nurse, or by the patients' cardiologist. Senaratne et al. (98) concluded that a planned strategy of management for hyperlipidemia with a cardiac rehabilitation nurse assigned to obtain and follow lipid profiles and initiate therapy is more effective in controlling hyperlipidemia than leaving the management to the cardiologist. Patients TC, LDL-C, and the percent of patients on drug therapy were significantly better in the intervention group ($P = 0.01$).

Intervention provided by specialized cardiac case managers can significantly increase physicians' adherence to the NCEP ATP III guidelines. Lacy et al. (99) investigated the percentage of patients with a LDL-C $\geq$ 130 mg/dL who were admitted to the hospital with diagnosed CAD. With the initiation of the intervention, the use of drug therapy increased from 17% pre-intervention to 82% during intervention ($P < 0.01$).

All health professionals should play a key role in developing and implementing nutrition care plans for patients who require dietary therapy for elevated LDL-C levels.

Specifically, registered dietitians (RDs) must assume a major role in implementing the ATP III guidelines. The role of the RD in LDL-lowering therapy includes: performing an in depth assessment of current diet and dietary habits, developing an individualized and intensive care plan, teaching patients the principles of the ATP III diet, closely monitoring the effectiveness of the dietary therapy plan, and serving as a resource to patients regarding implementation of the therapeutic diet (100). The ATP III guidelines recommend that at any and every stage of dietary therapy, effective dietary modification will be facilitated by consultation with a registered dietitian or other qualified nutritionist for medical nutrition therapy (6). Medical nutrition therapy involves the assessment of nutritional status and the assignment of diet, counseling, and/or specialized nutrition therapies to treat an illness or condition (101).

Patients who adhere to medical nutrition therapy and adopt other appropriate components of lifestyle change may prevent or delay the need for pharmacotherapy (102). The management of hyperlipidemia requires collaborative efforts between health care professionals from multiple disciplines, including dietetics professionals. This multidisciplinary approach can help patients achieve optimum LDL-C-lowering through a combination of medical nutrition therapy and other components of lifestyle change.

CHAPTER 3

METHODS

Subjects and Design

A total sample population ($n=51$) age 20 years and older was drawn from the Montana State University-Bozeman campus and the Bozeman, Montana community for initial screening. All subjects read and signed an Institutional Review Board approved informed consent document (APPENDIX B). Subjects were interviewed by means of a structured questionnaire (APPENDIX C) for demographic data, history of chronic diseases, lifestyle habits, use of medications, and family history. In addition, all subjects were screened for fasting plasma concentrations of TC, HDL-C, TG and LDL-C.

Inclusion criteria conformed to the NCEP criteria for dietary treatment: LDL-C levels between 100mg/dL and 159mg/dL (6). For this particular range of LDL-C, ATP III recommends assessment of baseline blood lipids and the initiation of dietary therapy. Since any LDL-C above 100 mg/dL appears to be atherogenic and high LDL-C (≥ 160 mg/dL) is considered a potential target for LDL-C-lowering drug therapy, particularly in persons with multiple CHD risk factors we excluded individuals with a LDL-C < 100 mg/dL and > 160 mg/dL. Dietary therapy appears to have the greatest impact on LDL-C when baseline values fall between 100mg/dL and 159mg/dL (6).

Potential subjects were excluded based on the following criteria: a diagnosis of normolipidemia upon pre-screening as defined by a plasma LDL < 100 mg/dL; or a diagnosis of hyperlipidemia upon pre-screening as defined by a plasma LDL $\geq$

160mg/dL; a serum TG level > 400mg/dL; self reported CVD or any unstable cardiovascular condition; uncontrolled hypertension determined by a blood pressure >140/90 uncontrolled with medication; self-reported diagnosis of diabetes or insulin resistance; pregnancy within the previous 12 months; chronic renal or liver disease; and treatment of hyperlipidemia with lipid-lowering drugs.

Female participants taking estrogen, in the form of birth control and hormone replacement therapy (HRT) were included in the pre-screening process. Currently, the data regarding the effects of estrogen on the oxidation of LDL-C are mixed (103). Santanam et al (104) acknowledged that estradiol, in the form of HRT does not inhibit the oxidation of LDL by copper. Bureau et al (105) established HRT's potential to reduce LDL-C but determined no affect on oxidizability or oxidation of LDL.

All participants were allowed to continue complimentary and alternative supplement use such as antioxidants, multivitamins, functional foods, and other forms of non-pharmaceutical therapy. Based on a similar preliminary study in our lab, a very high proportion (65%) of older women interested in research study participation were currently taking some form complementary or alternative medicine. This proportion may even be an underestimate as woman initially taking these supplements were not included in the test population.

Research Protocol

Subjects who met the inclusion criteria ($n=24$) were assembled at an orientation class, during which the program director provided information regarding the study and guidance on performing a 3-day weighed diet record and a Bouchard (106) physical

activity record. At this session, the subjects were randomly assigned using a random number generator (Random Number Generator Pro®, Issaquah, WA) to receive counseling by means of intensive cholesterol education (N=12) or conventional cholesterol education (N=12)

All participants completed 3-day weighed diet (APPENDIX G) and physical activity records (APPENDIX H) including two regular weekdays and one weekend day. After completion of the 3-day records, all subjects were scheduled for a pre-intervention screening session during which the program director reevaluated the subject's risk factors, performed a brief physical examination of height, weight, and blood pressure, and performed a pre-intervention fasted blood collection. Each participant was tested for baseline knowledge using the Cholesterol IQ Quiz (APPENDIX I) (107).

The conventional group received a cholesterol education manual (APPENDIX J) which included general information about hypercholesterolemia, guidelines for healthy nutrition, as well as some specific dietary recommendations and recipes obtained from the AHA and the NCEPs ATP III guidelines. This group was instructed to read the manual at a self guided, self paced rate. No further education was provided for this group.

The intensive group attended 6-one hour cholesterol education sessions (defined as "intervention phase") designed to simplify the dietary modifications conforming to the NCEP ATP III diet and provide more specific dietary recommendations. These counseling sessions were executed by the program director, nutrition graduate students, and dietitians specializing in nutrition education. Emphasis was placed on awareness of

the cholesterol-heart disease connection, fats and oils, reading nutrition fact labels, heart healthy shopping, portion size control, incorporating soy foods, adding plant stanols or sterol spreads, choosing low-fat options, increasing soluble fiber intake, selecting lean meats, poultry and fish, and increasing the intake of fruits and vegetables. In addition, the intensive group received the cholesterol education manual.

Post-intervention and follow-up measurements were performed in both groups. Fasted blood samples were collected at 6 weeks (defined as “post-intervention”) and 12 weeks (“follow-up”). In addition, all subjects completed a 3-day weighed diet and physical activity record, a medical status questionnaire (APPENDIX E, F), a Cholesterol IQ Test, and a brief physical examination of height, weight, and blood pressure.

The education provided through the 6-week educational classes and the cholesterol education manual employed the principles of Evidence-based Medicine. Evidence-based Medicine involves integrating multiple clinical expertises with the best available external clinical evidence from systematic research (108). The one-way and two-way communication education models were developed through a conscientious, explicit and judicious use of current research, specifically utilizing the NCEP ATP III guidelines (6).

Laboratory Analysis

Blood samples were collected after an overnight fast of at least 12 hours from the antecubital vein into one 6-mL heparin and two 6-mL K₃-EDTA Vacutainer® collection tubes (Greiner Vacuette Evacuated Blood Collection Tubes, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh,

PA) using standard venipuncture techniques. Samples were separated within 10 minutes of collection using a refrigerated 21000R Marathon centrifuge (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) at 2500 (g force) and 16°C for 10 minutes. All samples were then placed into 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes (Phoenix Research Products Hayward, CA) using standard pipeting techniques, and stored at -80 °C until analysis. Samples obtained for LDL oxidation were stored with a 20µL solution prepared from 1.8g sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) dissolved in 500µL of deionized water. Kleinveld et al (109) reported storage of plasma samples by this method reduced the incidence of oxidizibility. For each analysis, all samples for an individual were analyzed in the same assay run.

Plasma TC, HDL-C, and TG concentrations were measured by standard laboratory techniques using a Kodak Ektachem analyzer (Vitros DT60, Rochester, NY) and the procedure described by Lie et al (110). The LDL-C was calculated as follows: $(\text{LDL-C}) = (\text{TC}) - [\text{HDL-C} + (\text{TG}/5)]$, as described by Friedewald et al (111). Fasting plasma glucose was determined, to later observe subjects for metabolic syndrome. Each sample was run in duplicate, from heparinized plasma using a Sigma-Aldrich glucose enzymatic assay, (product number 115A-IKT), (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) modified for a 96-well plate analysis. Analyses were rerun when the coefficient of variance (CV) exceeded 10%. The average CV for a single analytical intrassay run for glucose was 5.4%, while the between-run interassay was 3.2%.

LDL-Oxidation The following procedure is currently used to perform the kinetic analysis of lipid peroxidation in the Montana State University Nutrition Research Laboratory. Due to the lower densities of plasma lipoproteins, relative to the other

plasma proteins, sequential flotation ultracentrifugation has been the principle method used for their isolation and classification (112). Thawed plasma samples for baseline, post-intervention and follow-up visits were isolated via sequential density ultracentrifugation with sodium chloride (.09% and 16.7%, respectively) (112). To adjust the density of the plasma to 1.006 g/mL, 0.5 mL of a 0.9% NaCl solution was combined with 0.5 mL of plasma and transferred to a 1-mL thick-walled, polyallomer tube (Beckman Centrifuge Tubes) allowing plasma and saline to mix. The plasma-0.9% NaCl mixture was then placed in a benchtop ultracentrifuge (Optima TLX) and a fixed-angle rotor (TLA 120.2) (Beckman Instruments, Palo Alto, CA) at 16 °C for 2.5 hours at 10,000 RPM.

After the initial ultracentrifugation of the plasma, the tubes were transected at 0.5 mL from the base using a graduated slicer (Beckman Instruments, Palo Alto, CA). The upper VLDL contents were discarded leaving 0.5mL of the HDL/LDL sample. The remaining solution in the lower section was transferred via a 9" glass Pasteur pipette (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) to a new polyallomer tube. The final volume and density of the sample was adjusted to 1.063 g/mL using 500 μ L of a 16.7% NaCl solution. Subsequent to centrifugation at 16 °C for 2.5 hours at 10,000 RPM, each tube was transected 0.05mL from its base leaving the upper 0.05 ml of the isolated LDL contents for collection.

Following isolation, the isolated LDL was desalted and made EDTA free by gel-filtration in Econo-Pac 10DG columns (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA) with a sodium phosphate buffer solution using the method of Puhl et al (113).

Protein concentrations of the LDL filtrate recovered from the column were then determined using a Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and the methods of Smith et al (114) modified for measurement using a μ Quant Universal microplate spectrophotometer (Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc. Winooski, VT.). The protein concentration was used to normalize LDL-C concentrations to 0.10 mg protein/ml for oxidation assay.

Oxidation was determined as the production of hydroperoxides with conjugated double bonds (conjugated dienes) by continuously monitoring the change in absorbance at 234 nm as described by Esterbauer et al. (115). The LDL preparations were diluted with PBS to contain 0.10 mg protein/ml. Oxidation was started by adding 10 μ l of Cupric Chloride Dihydrate solution (CuSO_4) to 1.0 ml of the adjusted LDL solution into the wells of a Costar 96 well UV flat bottom plate. All samples were prepared in duplicate. For these measurements, we used a μ Quant Universal microplate spectrophotometer (Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc. Winooski, VT.), kept at 37°C. The absorbance was automatically recorded at 10-minute intervals for 8 hours. The spectrophotometer was connected to a computer for data collection and analysis. Several measurements were obtained from the absorbance versus time curves. The resulting diene verses time profile provided lag phase, propagation rate, and maximum diene concentration for each subject.

To minimize the effect of method variation on the results, all samples from an individual study subject were analyzed simultaneously. In addition, one control sample was always processed with the subject samples. The control sample was drawn from one healthy subject, treated and stored identically to the subjects. The average CV for a

single analytical intrassay run for OxLDL was 4.3%, while the between-run interassay was 5.5%.

Dietary Analysis

The evaluation of the baseline, post-intervention, and follow-up dietary intake was performed using the average daily intake of the individual nutrients from the 3-day dietary records of all subjects. Nutrient composition of daily intake was computed using the computer program, Nutritionist ProTM Version 1.2 (First DataBank Inc., San Bruno, California).

Physical Activity Analysis

The Bouchard Three-Day Activity Record (106) was utilized to determine average daily energy expenditure at baseline, post-intervention, and follow-up. Subjects recorded their physical activity intensity from the one of nine intensity categories every 15 minutes on a data form. Each category is assigned an energy expenditure value per kg/15 minutes from which daily energy expenditure for physical activity was calculated. The three days of activity monitored included 2 regular weekdays and 1 weekend day. In a reliability study, an interclass coefficient yielded 0.96 (106).

Anthropometrics

Subjects were assessed for height and weight for calculation body mass index (BMI). Body weight was measured on a balance beam scale, with shoes and bulky clothing removed. The scale was zeroed each time before use by putting the beam weight on zero and assessing the beam scale balance. Height was measured using a stadiometer. Subjects were asked to remove shoes, stand with heels together, with back straight, and

heels, buttocks, shoulders and head touching the back of the stadiometer. BMI was calculated using the Quetelet index or kg/m^2 (116).

Blood pressure

Blood pressure was measured using a sphygmomanometer and a stethoscope. All subjects were fitted with the proper cuff size and assessed on the left arm at all three visits unless extenuating circumstances arose.

Statistical Methods

All data were analyzed using SPSS version 10.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). A two-way between-subjects repeated measures analysis of variance was used to determine significance using education group assignment as the independent between-subjects variable, the time points as the within-subjects variable, and the demographic, diet composition, blood lipid and LDL oxidation measurements as the dependent variables. The main effect (education and time) and interaction between the factors (education x time) were tested using the multivariate criterion of Wilks's lambda (λ), where 1=the strongest possible relation and 0=no relation between a repeated measure and the dependent variable. Post hoc paired *t*-tests were used following a significant time main effect. To ensure that the overall risk remained 0.05 the post hoc analyses were controlled for Type I errors across the three tests at the 0.05 level using the Holm's sequential Bonferroni procedure by using the equation α/n , where α is equal to 0.05 and n is equal to the number of comparisons. In addition, post hoc paired *t*-tests were used following a significant education x time interaction. Post hoc independent sample *t*-tests were used following a significant group interaction. A tetrad comparison, a comparison

involving four means, was employed using the independent samples *t*-tests following a significant group interaction to determine the mean difference between education groups over the three time points. This was accomplished by subtracting the mean values of the intensive group from the mean values of the conventional group, and then comparing the mean differences for each time point. Treatment effects were considered significant at a value of $P < 0.05$. A Wilcoxon test was utilized to compare differences in subject awareness between groups at baseline and follow-up. The computation of the value of the Wilcoxon test involves a) computing the difference scores, b) ranking the absolute values of the difference scores, and then c) finding the mean rank for all the cases with negative difference scores and the mean rank for all cases with positive difference scores.

In order to provide an unbiased assessment of this investigation's efficacy (118), an intention-to-treat analysis was strictly applied. Subjects remained in their given groups and data from subjects who withdrew from the investigation were included in the statistical analysis. In addition, missing data was appropriately handled. Missing data was handled via a method termed user-missing values. User-missing values are numeric values which are defined as missing for the SPSS statistical package. The number 9,999 was chosen to stand for missing values, since that value did not already exist in the data set. User-missing values were utilized for unattainable lipoprotein measures and values beyond 2 standard deviations.

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS

Fifty-one subjects were screened, and 24 subjects (47%) were excluded for a lipoprotein profile that did not meet the inclusion criteria, one subject was excluded for the use of contraindicated medications, and one subject was excluded for pregnancy. One subject, randomized to the conventional group, withdrew prior to baseline measurements. The following analyses include 23 subjects with completed lipid and dietary data at baseline, post-intervention and follow-up.

Baseline Subject Characteristics

Subjects randomized to the intensive ($n=12$) and conventional ($n=11$) groups were similar in age, anthropometric measures, diastolic BP, and demographic characteristics (Table 4). At baseline, systolic BP differed significantly ($P<0.05$) between intensive (123.17 ± 10.43 mm/Hg) and conventional (111.27 ± 13.92 mm/Hg) groups. The majority of subjects were white ($n=22$), none of the subjects were current smokers and only one subject was a former smoker. Approximately 74% ($n=14$) of the women were postmenopausal. Of those postmenopausal women, four were using HRT. Approximately 22% ($n=5$) of all subjects self-reported a family history of early CVD, defined as a cardiovascular event in a primary relative before the age of 55 for men and 65 for women. Roughly 52% ($n=12$) of all subjects self-reported a family history of diabetes mellitus (see Table 4).

Table 4. Baseline subject characteristics, medical history, medication and supplement use data.

Variable	Intensive Group (n = 12)		Conventional Group (n = 11)	
Age (years)	53.67 ± 11.76		51.09 ± 4.28	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	24.66 ± 2.89		24.85 ± 3.83	
Systolic BP (mm/Hg)	123.17 ± 10.43		111.27 ± 13.92*	
Diastolic BP (mm/Hg)	76.67 ± 5.21		72.36 ± 8.57	
	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Male sex	3	25	3	27
Postmenopausal women	7	58	7	58
Family history of CAD	8	68	8	73
Family history of early CAD	1	8	4	36
Family history of Diabetes Mellitus	5	42	7	64
Medication and supplement use				
Vitamin supplements	10	83	5	45
Aspirin	2	17	2	18
Estrogen therapy/HRT	2	17	2	18

Values = mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05.

Supplement and Medication Use

Fifteen of the 23 subjects used some form of vitamin supplement before entering the program. For these subjects vitamin usage remained constant throughout the 12-week study period, with the exception of one subject from the intensive group who discontinued the use of fish oil supplements and one subject from the conventional group who began using fish oil supplements. Specifically, 11 of the 23 subjects took a multivitamin supplement, 7 used a daily calcium supplement, 4 used a daily vitamin C supplement, 4 subjects used vitamin E, 3 used a B-complex vitamin, 2 used glucosamine, 1 subject used garlic, and 1 subject used ginkgo biloba supplements (see Table 4). In addition to dietary supplements, subjects were allowed to continue the use of

medications. Subjects self reported the use of aspirin ($n=3$), antidepressants ($n=4$), proton pump inhibitors ($n=2$), antihypertensive medications ($n=1$), nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents ($n=1$), antiepilepsy medications ($n=1$), antihistaminic medications ($n=1$), osteoporosis prevention medications ($n=1$), and antihypothyroidism medication ($n=1$) (Table 4).

Dietary Intake

The two groups reported similar energy expenditure and dietary macronutrient intakes of calories: total fat, approximately 30%E and SF, 9% kcal for the intensive and conventional groups; dietary cholesterol, 169 and 253 mg/d and fiber, 21 and 23 g/d for the intensive and conventional group, respectively (see Table 5). At pre-intervention, alpha linolenic acid (n-3) intake was the only baseline dietary observation which displayed a trend ($P= 0.09$) for significant difference in the intensive group as compared to the conventional group (0.42 ± 0.31 g; 0.73 ± 0.51 g, respectively).

Blood Lipid, Glucose, and Oxidation

Prior to intervention, subjects randomized to the intensive and conventional groups were similar in blood lipid values and LDL oxidation characteristics (see Table 6). At baseline, plasma glucose concentrations differed significantly ($P<0.05$) between intensive (99.12 ± 15.97 mg/dL) and conventional (114.96 ± 14.52 mg/dL) groups. Additionally, at baseline, there was a trend ($P= 0.08$) for a significant difference for propagation rate values between intensive ($8.46\text{E-}03 \pm 2.08\text{E-}03$ abs/min) and conventional ($1.05\text{E-}02 \pm 3.17\text{E-}3$ abs/min) groups (see Table 7).

Table 5. Comparison of energy expenditure and nutrient intake at baseline between treatment groups.

Characteristic	Intensive Group (n = 12)	Conventional Group (n = 11)	F ²	P Value
Energy expenditure:				
Kcal	3185.58±720.99	3073.92±755.95	0.52	0.34
Dietary intake:				
Kcal	2027.66±612.83	2240.10±682.64	0.50	0.44
Carbohydrate ¹	53.25 ± 12.73	49.73 ± 7.67	1.85	0.44
Protein ¹	17.33 ± 3.50	15.27 ± 4.05	0.45	0.21
Total Fat ¹	29.92 ± 8.14	30.45 ± 5.42	1.42	0.86
Saturated Fat ¹	9.08 ± 2.97	8.73 ± 2.72	0.01	0.77
PUFA ¹	4.58 ± 2.07	5.45 ± 2.54	0.46	0.38
n-6(g)	4.13 ± 3.19	7.76 ± 6.73	2.45	0.11
n-3(g)	0.42 ± 0.31	0.73 ± 30.51	1.98	0.09 ^a
MUFA ¹	8.33 ± 2.93	9.82 ± 2.48	1.06	0.21
n-9(g)	10.50 ± 6.43	14.68 ± 6.03	0.03	0.12
Cholesterol (mg)	168.81 ± 113.02	252.59 ± 148.17	0.54	0.14
Fiber (g)	21.36 ± 9.22	23.06 ± 14.50	0.07	0.74

Values =mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, ^aP=0.09 between groups.

Kcal=kilocalories, MUFA= monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA= polyunsaturated fatty acids.

¹ Percent of total Kcal, ² F statistic for group differences from analysis of variance

Table 6. Comparison of blood lipids, and glucose at baseline between treatment groups.

Characteristic	Intensive Group (n = 12)	Conventional Group (n = 11)	F ²	P Value
Blood Lipids:				
TC ¹	233.58 ± 33.21	252.40 ± 30.41	0.54	0.17
LDL-C ¹	149.87 ± 38.26	161.58 ± 22.23	0.87	0.71
HDL-C ¹	62.75 ± 19.62	62.00 ± 14.64	0.61	0.64
TG ¹	104.83 ± 40.87	132.09 ± 61.17	2.19	0.33
Glucose ¹	99.12 ± 15.97	114.96 ± 14.52	0.17	0.02*

Values =mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, ^aP=0.09 compared to Intensive group.

TC= total cholesterol, HDL-C= high density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG= triglyceride, LDL-C= low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

¹ = mg/dL, ² F statistic for group differences from analysis of variance

Table 7. Comparison of LDLs susceptibility to *ex-vivo* oxidation at baseline between treatment groups.

Characteristic	Intensive Group (<i>n</i> = 12)	Conventional Group (<i>n</i> = 11)	<i>F</i> ²	<i>P</i> Value
Lag time (min)	62.91 ± 14.47	65.48 ± 14.75	0.01	0.68
Propagation rate ¹	8.46E-03 ± 2.08E-03	1.05E-02 ± 3.17E-03	0.74	0.08 ^a
Initial absorbance ¹	1.27E-01 ± 8.80E-02	1.23E-01 ± 6.84E-02	0.01	0.90

Values =mean ± standard deviation. **P*<0.05, ^a*P*=0.08 between groups.
¹ = abs/min, ² *F* statistic for group differences from analysis of variance

Analysis of Variance Results

Weight and BMI

Weight (kg) and BMI (kg/m²) were compared using a repeated measures ANOVA on both factors (educational method, time points) to determine the effect of the educational approaches throughout the 12-week assessments. The multivariate tests for weight and BMI indicated no significant differences between the main effects (education and time) or in the interaction between the factors (education and time) (see Table 8).

Blood Lipids and Glucose

A repeated measures ANOVA was performed on both factors (educational method, time points) to determine the effect of the educational approaches on blood lipids and plasma glucose throughout the 12-week assessments. No significant differences between the main effects (education and time) or the interaction between the factors (education and time) existed for TG or glucose. A significant group main effect was determined for TC, a trend for a significant interaction effect was confirmed for HDL-C, and a trend for a significant time effect was established for LDL-C. Figures 6, 7, 8, and 9 depict the

change in blood lipid values represented as a percent between baseline and post-intervention, post-intervention and study-end for each group.

Total Cholesterol The test of education main effect for TC indicated a significant group effect ($F_{2, 20} = 6.29, P < 0.05$) (see Table 8). To evaluate the differences between the two education methods for each time point an independent samples t -test was conducted. For TC, the post-intervention comparison demonstrated a significant ($P < 0.05$) difference between the intensive and conventional education groups ($222.00 \pm 22.83 \text{ mg/dl}$ versus $252.27 \pm 31.89 \text{ mg/dL}$, respectively) ($F = 0.80, t_{11} = -2.64$) (see Table 8).

To examine the change in TC values through out the investigation the values were examined as a percent change from each time point. The intensive group reduced mean TC values 4.96% at post intervention compared to baseline (see Figure 6). At the




Figure 6. The change in TC, as a percent, between treatment groups.

follow-up analysis, TC was reduced by an additional 0.86%. These findings were not consistent with a Step-wise reduction but rather, continuance of the estimated 5% reduction seen in TC after the intervention. In contrast, the conventional group did not experience a considerable change in TC at post-intervention (0.09%). Although, at follow-up the conventional group saw a reduction of 6.02% in TC; this was an approximate 2% larger reduction when compared to the intensive group at post-intervention.

High-density Lipoprotein Cholesterol The multivariate tests for HDL indicated a trend for a significant interaction effect ($F_{2,20} = 2.98, P=0.08$, APPENDIX K). In order to evaluate the trend for the significant interaction (education type and time) effect, a tetrad comparison was performed. Differences in mean HDL values between the two education groups displayed a trend for a significant difference between post-intervention and follow-up, ($t_{10} = 2.22, P=0.05$). Although the differences in mean HDL-C values were lower for the intensive education group at baseline, the difference decreased at post-intervention (see Table 8). At follow-up there was a trend for a significant difference in HDL-C concentrations in the conventional group.

To examine the change in HDL-C values throughout the investigation, the values were examined as a percent change from each time point (see Figure 7). In the intensive group, HDL was reduced 0.94% at post intervention compared to baseline. At the follow-up analysis, HDL was reduced by 1.41%. These findings are consistent with a negative step-wise reduction although this reduction was quite small. In contrast, the conventional group experienced a considerable negative change in HDL at post-




Figure 7. The change in HDL-C, as a percent, between treatment groups.

intervention (-7.19%). Although, at follow-up, the conventional group saw an increase of 15.82% in HDL, a return to baseline followed by an additional $\approx 7.6\%$ increase when compared to the intensive group at post-intervention.

Triglycerides For the intensive group, TG was reduced -14.60% at post intervention compared to baseline. At the follow-up analysis, TG values increased 14.88%, re-establishing baseline values. In contrast, the conventional group did not experience a considerable change in TG at post-intervention (-0.62%). Although, at follow-up, the conventional group saw a reduction of -10.80% in TG; this was greater reduction when compared to the intensive group, whose values returned to baseline at follow-up.




Figure 8. The change in TG, as a percent, between treatment groups.

Low-density Lipoprotein Cholesterol The multivariate tests indicated a trend for a significant time main effect for LDL ($F_{2, 20} = 3.18, P=0.06$). A post hoc paired-samples t -test was performed to determine at which time point the significance was revealed. The analysis identified a significant ($P<0.05$) pair-wise comparison among the means for post-intervention and follow-up LDL ($t_{11} = 2.36$), and a trend ($P=0.05$) for significance between the means for baseline and follow-up LDL, ($t_{10} = 2.18$) (see Table 8).

To examine the change in lipid values through out the investigation, the values were examined as a percent change from each time point (see Figure 9). For the intensive group, LDL was reduced 10.37% at post intervention compared to baseline. At the follow-up analysis, LDL was reduced by 0.18%. These findings were not consistent with a Step-wise reduction but rather, continuance of the estimated 10% reduction seen in

Table 8. Comparison of weight, BMI, blood lipids, and glucose between treatment groups.

Measure	Baseline	Post-intervention	Follow-up	F-statistic ³
N				
Intensive	12	12	12	
Conventional	11	11	11	
Weight(kg)				
	72.96±13.31	72.63±12.49	72.69±13.39	0.13
	71.12±14.64	70.64±14.15	70.35±14.58	
BMI(kg/m ²)				
	24.66±2.89	24.58±2.72	24.58±2.99	0.01
	24.85±3.83	24.68±3.63	24.58±3.89	
TC ¹				
Intensive	233.58±33.21	222.00±22.83	220.08±25.58	6.30
Conventional	252.05±28.87	252.27±31.89* ^c	237.09±37.07	
HDL-C ¹				
Intensive	58.73±14.49	58.18±11.55	57.36±16.67† ^d	0.46
Conventional	62.00±14.64	57.45±13.82	66.64±16.00† ^d	
TG ¹				
Intensive ²	110.18±38.21	94.09±38.34	108.09±51.48	1.30
Conventional	132.09±61.17	131.27±77.20	117.09±63.45	
LDL-C ¹				
Intensive ²	159.15±21.77	142.64±27.39† ^a	142.38±24.18* ^b	2.20
Conventional	162.57±21.35	168.56±32.32† ^a	147.04±33.75* ^b	
Glucose ¹				
Intensive	99.12±15.97	101.73±17.88	103.10±20.15	1.48
Conventional	114.96±14.52*	104.07±10.46	101.68±16.01	

Values =mean ± standard deviation. * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, ^a Time effect compared to baseline,

^b Time effect compared to post-intervention, ^c Education x Time interaction compared to baseline, ^d Education x Time interaction compared to post-intervention, ^e Education effect compared to Intensive group. † $P=0.05$.

TC= total cholesterol, HDL-C= high density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG= triglyceride, LDL-C= low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

¹ = mg/dL, ² = Values for LDL and HDL at baseline for the Intensive group were determined using $n=11$, ³ F statistic for group differences from analysis of variance.

LDL after the intervention. In contrast, the conventional group did not experience a considerable change in TC at post-intervention, and in fact experienced a 3.68% increase.

Although, at follow-up, the conventional group saw a reduction of -12.77% in LDL; this was an approximate 2% larger reduction when compared to the intensive group at post-intervention.




Figure 9. The change in LDL-C, as a percent, between treatment groups.

Dietary Intake

To compare the effect of the nutrition education on total daily energy expenditure and intake and macronutrient intake, at baseline, post-intervention, and follow-up between groups, a two-way between subjects ANOVA was performed (Table 9). No significant differences exist between the main effects (education and time) or in the interaction between the factors (education and time) for energy expenditure and the dietary parameters for energy intake from protein, carbohydrate, MUFA dietary cholesterol, dietary fiber, and vitamin C and E. Significant results were seen in the

dietary parameters for energy intake, total fat, SF, oleic acid, PUFA, linoleic acid and alpha linolenic acid.

Energy Intake The multivariate tests indicated a significant time main effect for energy intake ($F_{2,20} = 4.24, P < 0.05$). A post hoc paired-samples *t*-test was performed to determine at which time point the significance was revealed. The analysis identified a significant ($P < 0.05$) pair-wise comparison among the means for baseline and post-intervention for energy intake ($t_{11} = 2.48$) (see Table 9).

Total Fat The multivariate tests indicated a significant education x time interaction effect for percent of total energy from fat intake ($F_{2,20} = 4.20, P < 0.05$, APPENDIX K). In order to evaluate the significant education x time interaction effect, a tetrad comparison was performed to evaluate whether the mean difference between the two educational methods for total energy from fat intake were the same between any two time periods. Differences in mean total energy from fat intake between the two education groups displayed a significant difference between post-intervention and follow-up ($t_{11} = -3.46, P < 0.01$).

Table 9 shows that although the difference in mean total energy from fat intake was lower for the intensive education group at baseline and at post-intervention, there was a significant difference at follow-up with the intensive method of education resulting in a greater total energy from fat intake.

Saturated Fat The multivariate tests indicated a significant time main effect for percent of total energy from SF intake ($F_{2,20} = 3.84, P < 0.05$, APPENDIX K), and a

Table 9. Comparison of energy expenditure and nutrient intake between treatment groups based on 3-day physical activity and weighted diet records.

Diet	Baseline	Post-intervention	Follow-up	F-statistic ³
N				
Intensive	12	12	12	
Conventional	11	11	11	
Energy Expenditure ¹				
Intensive	3185.58±720.99	3073.92±755.95	2945.25±802.52	2.76
Conventional	2889.73±818.36	2742.27±663.01	2890.55±802.87	
Energy Intake ¹				
Intensive	2027.66±612.83	1846.48±616.95 ^{*a}	1950.94±888.64	0.01
Conventional	2240.10±682.64	1732.52±668.56 ^{*a}	1930.25±699.53	
Protein ²				
Intensive	17.33±3.50	18.33±2.74	18.08±3.66	1.56
Conventional	15.27±4.05	16.18±4.47	16.73±5.92	
Carbohydrate ²				
Intensive	53.25±12.73	53.17±9.52	47.00±12.08	0.01
Conventional	49.73±7.67	51.27±8.25	51.45±10.74	
Total Fat ²				
Intensive	29.92±8.14	28.17±7.48	32.67±5.40 ^{**d}	0.00
Conventional	30.45±5.43	31.36±8.24	29.00±7.39 ^{**d}	
Saturated Fat ²				
Intensive	9.08±2.97	6.83±2.25 ^{**c}	9.42±2.02 ^{*b *d}	0.28
Conventional	8.73±2.72	9.09±2.91 ^{**c}	9.09±4.23 ^{*b *d}	
MUFA ²				
Intensive	8.33±2.93	8.75±2.70	9.75±3.47	0.01
Conventional	9.82±2.48	8.91±3.91	8.36±3.04	
PUFA ²				
Intensive	4.58±2.07	6.67±2.67 ^{*a}	7.08±1.88 ^{*b *c †d}	0.08
Conventional	5.45±2.54	7.00±3.44 ^{*a}	5.18±2.14 ^{*b *c †d}	
Cholesterol(mg)				
Intensive	168.81±113.02	163.96±96.77	211.64±175.25	1.22
Conventional	252.59±148.17	221.91±132.15	205.61±118.24	
Dietary Fiber(g)				
Intensive	21.35±9.22	28.32±13.34	21.92±8.69	0.15
Conventional	23.06±14.50	21.25±12.77	21.94±14.22	

Values =mean ± standard deviation. * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, ^a Time effect compared to baseline, ^b Time effect compared to post-intervention, ^c Education x Time interaction compared to baseline, ^d Education x Time interaction compared to post-intervention, ^e Education effect compared to Intensive group. † $P=0.07$

Kcal=kilocalories, MUFA= monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA= polyunsaturated fatty acids.

¹= Kcal, ²= Percent of total Kcal, ³ F statistic for group differences from analysis of variance.

Table 10. Measures of Antioxidant Vitamins C and E Intake between treatment groups.

Diet	Baseline	Post-intervention	Follow-up	<i>F</i> -statistic ¹
N				
Intensive	12	12	12	
Conventional	11	11	11	
Vitamin C				
(mg)	142.65±116.13	151.46±57.87	107.40±54.28	2.55
Intensive	128.65±68.30	108.01±70.37	132.81±88.65	
Conventional				
Vitamin E				
(mg)	7.94±5.98	8.04±5.19	6.56±6.73	0.84
Intensive	5.65±3.89	7.18±25.74	8.36±8.12	
Conventional				

Values =mean ± standard deviation.
¹ *F* statistic for group differences from analysis of variance.

significant education x time interaction effect for percent of total energy from SF intake ($F_{2,20} = 5.12, P < 0.05$). A paired-samples *t*-test was performed to determine at which time point the significance was revealed. The analysis identified a significant ($P < 0.05$) pair-wise comparison among the means for post-intervention and follow-up SF intake ($t_{11} = -2.29$). In order to evaluate the significant education x time interaction effect, a tetrad comparison was performed to evaluate whether the mean difference between the two educational methods for percent of total energy from SF intake were the same between any two time periods. Differences in mean percent of total energy from SF intake between the two education groups displayed a significant difference between baseline and post-intervention ($t_{11} = -3.24, P < 0.01$), and a significant difference between post-intervention and follow up ($t_{11} = -2.64, P < 0.05$). Table 9 shows that although the difference in mean percent of total energy from SF intake was lower for the conventional education group at baseline, the difference reversed significantly at post-intervention and

total energy from SF intake was lower in the intensive education group. This change again reversed itself at follow-up with the intensive method of education resulting in a greater percent of total energy from SF intake.

Oleic Fatty Acid As shown in Table 11, the multivariate tests indicated a trend for a significant education x time interaction effect for the intake from oleic acid ($F_{2,20} = 3.32, P=0.06$). In order to evaluate the significant education x time interaction effect, a tetrad comparison was performed to evaluate whether the mean difference between the two educational methods for oleic acid intake were the same between any two time periods. Differences in mean percent of oleic acid intake between the two education groups displayed a significant difference between baseline and follow-up ($t_{11} = -2.77, P<0.05$).

Polyunsaturated Fat The multivariate tests indicated a significant time main effect for percent of total energy from PUFA intake ($F_{2,20} = 4.48, P<0.05$), and a significant education x time interaction effect for percent of total energy from PUFA intake ($F_{2,20} = 4.73, P<0.01$, APPENDIX K). A paired-samples *t*-test was performed to determine at which time point the significance was revealed. The analysis identified a significant ($P<0.05$) pair-wise comparison among the means for baseline and post-intervention and additionally, baseline and follow-up PUFA intake ($t_{11} = -2.86$ and $t_{11} = -2.48$, respectively)

In order to evaluate the significant education x time interaction effect, a tetrad comparison was performed to evaluate whether the mean difference between the two educational methods for percent of total energy from PUFA intake were the same between any two time periods. Differences in mean percent of total energy from PUFA

intake between the two education groups displayed a significant difference between baseline and follow-up ($t_{11} = -2.96$, $P < 0.05$), and a trend for a significant difference between post-intervention and follow up ($t_{11} = -2.03$, $P = 0.07$).

Table 9 shows that although the difference in mean percent of total energy from PUFA intake was lower for the intensive education group at baseline, the difference reversed significantly at follow-up and total energy from PUFA intake was higher in the intensive education group. In addition, there was a trend for a significant difference between follow-up and post-intervention with the conventional method of education resulting in a reduction of the percent of total energy from PUFA intake at follow-up.

Table 11. Measures of Oleic Acid, Linoleic Acid, and Alpha-Linolenic Fatty Acid Intake between treatment groups.

Diet	Baseline	Post-intervention	Follow-up	F-statistic ¹
N				
Intensive	12	12	12	
Conventional	11	11	11	
Oleic (g)				
Intensive	10.50±6.43	13.02±7.98	13.87±10.08* ^c	3.32
Conventional	14.68±6.03	12.23±11.09	10.14±7.39* ^c	
Linoleic (g)				
Intensive	4.13±3.17	7.72±4.47	7.99±4.30* ^c † ^d	3.99
Conventional	7.76±6.73	8.39±9.08	5.88±5.94* ^c † ^d	
Alpha-Linolenic (g)				
Intensive	0.42±0.30	0.77±0.30* ^c	0.77±0.52* ^c	2.64
Conventional	0.73±0.51†	0.69±0.54* ^c	0.57±0.32* ^c	

Values = mean ± standard deviation. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, ^a Time effect compared to baseline, ^b Time effect compared to post-intervention, ^c Education x Time interaction compared to baseline, ^d Education x Time interaction compared to post-intervention, ^e Education effect compared to Intensive group.

† $P = 0.09$

¹ F statistic for group differences from analysis of variance

Linoleic Acid As shown in Table 11, the multivariate tests indicated a significant education x time interaction effect for the intake from linoleic acid ($F_{2,20} = 3.99$, $P < 0.05$, APPENDIX K). In order to evaluate the significant education x time interaction effect, a tetrad comparison was performed to evaluate whether the mean difference between the two educational methods for linoleic acid intake were the same between any two time periods. Differences in mean percent of oleic acid intake between the two education groups displayed a significant difference between baseline and follow-up ($t_{11} = -2.34$, $P < 0.05$), and a trend between post-intervention and follow-up ($t_{11} = -1.85$, $P = 0.09$).

Alpha-Linolenic Acid Also shown in Table 11, the multivariate tests indicated a trend for a significant education x time interaction effect for the intake from oleic acid ($F_{2,20} = 2.64$, $P = 0.09$). In order to evaluate the significant education x time interaction effect, a tetrad comparison was performed to evaluate whether the mean difference between the two educational methods for oleic acid intake were the same between any two time periods. Differences in mean percent of oleic acid intake between the two education groups displayed a significant difference between baseline and post-intervention ($t_{11} = -2.46$, $P < 0.05$), and between baseline and follow-up ($t_{11} = -2.28$, $P < 0.05$).

Low-density Lipoprotein Oxidation Characteristics

To compare the effect of the nutrition education on OxLDL lag time, propagation rate and initial absorbance, at baseline, post-intervention, and follow-up between groups, a two-way between subjects ANOVA was performed (Table 12). No significant

differences exist between the main effects (education and time) or in the interaction between the factors (education and time) for lag time or initial absorbance. Trends for significant results were seen in propagation rate.

Propagation Rate The multivariate tests indicated a trend for significant education x time interaction effect for propagation rate ($F_{2,20} = 2.70, P=0.09$). In order to evaluate the trend for a significant education x time interaction effect on propagation rate, a tetrad comparison was performed to evaluate whether the mean difference between the two educational methods for propagation rate were the same between any two time periods. Differences in propagation rate between the two education groups displayed a trend for a significant difference between baseline and post-intervention ($t_{11} = -2.01, P=0.07$), and a trend for a significant difference between post-intervention and follow up ($t_{11} = -2.00, P=0.07$).

Table 12. Measures of LDL oxidation between treatment groups.

Diet	Baseline	Post-intervention	Follow-up	<i>F</i> -statistic ¹
N				
Intensive	12	12	12	
Conventional	11	11	11	
Lag time				
Intensive	62.91±14.47	63.62±21.97	63.18±13.78	
Conventional	65.48±14.75	59.54±9.23	57.91±8.05	0.19
Propagation rate				
Intensive	8.55E-03±2.16E-03	1.07E-02±3.24E-03 ^{†a}	7.67E-03±1.26E-03 ^{†b}	2.99
Conventional	1.05E-02±3.17E-03 ^{††}	9.75E-03±2.27E-03 ^{†a}	1.44E-02±1.35E-02 ^{†b}	
Absorbance				
Intensive	1.30E-01±9.16E-02	1.21E-01±4.91E-02	8.49E-02±2.80E-02	0.12
Conventional	1.23E-01±6.84E-02	1.12E-01±2.86E-02	1.25E-01±6.17E-02	

Values = mean ± standard deviation. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, ^a Time effect compared to baseline, ^b Time effect compared to post-intervention, ^c Education x Time interaction compared to baseline, ^d Education x Time interaction compared to post-intervention, ^e Education effect compared to Intensive group. [†] $P=0.07$ ^{††} $P=0.08$ ¹ *F* statistic for group differences from analysis of variance

Table 12 shows that although the difference in mean propagation rate was lower for the intensive education group at baseline, the difference reversed significantly at follow-up and propagation rate was higher in the intensive education group. In addition, there was a trend for a significant difference between follow-up and post-intervention with the conventional method of education resulting in a reduction of the propagation rate at follow-up. We conducted an independent samples *t*-test to evaluate the differences between the two education methods for each time point. For propagation rate, the post-intervention comparison demonstrated a significant ($P<0.05$) difference between the intensive and conventional education groups ($F=0.80$, $t_{11}=-2.64$).

Cholesterol Knowledge

Results from the Cholesterol IQ test were analyzed and compared to determine the effect of the educational approaches throughout the 12-week assessment on cholesterol knowledge. The multivariate tests indicated a significant time effect ($F_{2,20}=4.08$, $P<0.05$), a nonsignificant interaction effect ($F_{2,20}=1.91$, $P=0.17$), and a nonsignificant education effect ($F_{2,20}=0.23$, $P=0.63$). In a post hoc paired samples *t*-test, a significant time effect was observed between the baseline and post-intervention ($t_{10}=-2.42$, $P<0.05$) and the baseline and follow-up assessments ($t_{10}=-2.49$, $P<0.05$).

Nutrition Awareness and Use of Cardioprotective Foods Results

In order to compare differences in subject awareness between groups at baseline and follow-up, the Wilcoxon test was used (see Table 13). At baseline there were no significant differences in nutrition awareness or use of cardioprotective foods, with the exception of portion sizes (Intensive= 2.08, Conventional =2.82, $z=-2.17$, $P=0.03$; 0=

Table 13. Subject nutrition awareness and use of cardioprotective foods.

Awareness	Intensive	Conventional	<i>P</i> value	<i>Z</i> -score
How often do you use soy products?				
Baseline	0.83±1.03	0.91±1.64	0.67	-0.43
Follow-up	2.92±1.31	1.09±1.76*	0.02	-2.43
How often do you use plant stanol/sterol products?				
Baseline	0.25±0.62	0.18±0.60	0.66	-0.45
Follow-up	1.75±1.60	1.18±1.83	0.62	-0.50
How often do you use high fiber foods?				
Baseline	3.50±1.17	2.64±01.50	0.19	-1.31
Follow-up	3.92±1.16	3.64±11.63	0.76	-0.31
How often do you consume nuts?				
Baseline	2.50±1.45	2.73±1.68	0.57	-0.56
Follow-up	3.83±0.72	3.18±1.78	0.34	-0.96
How aware of food labels are you?				
Baseline	2.58±1.64	2.55±1.29	1.00	0.00
Follow-up	4.33±0.98	4.09±0.94	0.67	-0.43
How aware of portion sizes are you?				
Baseline	2.08±0.67	2.82±1.08*	0.03	-2.17
Follow-up	4.08±0.67	4.00±0.77	1.00	0.00
How often do you eat breakfast?				
Baseline	3.83±2.12	4.09±1.38	0.50	-0.68
Follow-up	4.92±0.29	4.36±1.03	0.11	-1.60
How aware of the SF content in foods are you?				
Baseline	2.67±1.07	3.00±1.00	0.53	-0.63
Follow-up	4.33±0.65	4.09±0.70	0.41	-0.82
How aware of the dietary cholesterol content in foods are you?				
Baseline	2.08±1.24	2.82±1.08	0.14	-1.50
Follow-up	4.08±0.67	3.91±0.30	0.66	-0.45

Values =mean ± standard deviation. Difference between treatment groups using the Wilcoxon test.

**P*<0.05 compared to Intensive group

¹Data obtained from rankings on ordinal Likert scale: do not use/not aware =0, seldom use/seldom aware =1, sometimes use/sometimes aware =2, half-the-time use/half-the-time aware =3, frequently use/ most of the time aware =4, use daily/always aware =5

not aware, 5= always aware). At the follow-up evaluation, the intensive group reported significantly higher use of soy products when compared to the conventional group (Intensive= 2.92, Conventional =1.09, $z = -2.43$, $P = 0.02$; 0= do not use, 5= always use).

Summary of Results

In summary, after a 6-week intervention, both the intensive and conventional education groups significantly reduced total energy intake (2027.66±612.83 kcal to 1846.48±616.95 kcal and 2240.10±682.64 kcal to 1732.52±668.56 kcal, $P < 0.05$ for the intensive and conventional groups, respectively) and increased the total percent of energy intake from PUFA (4.58±2.07 % kcal to 6.67±2.67 % kcal and 5.45±2.54 % kcal to 7.00±3.44 % kcal, $P < 0.05$ for the intensive and conventional groups, respectively), when compared to baseline. Additionally, the intensive group had a tendency to reduce LDL-C (159.15±21.77mg/dL to 142.64±27.39mg/dL, $P = 0.05$) significantly reduce the total percent of energy intake from SF intake (9.08±2.97 % kcal to 6.83±2.25 % kcal, $P < 0.01$) significantly increase alpha-linolenic acid intake (0.42±0.30g to 0.77±0.30g, $P < 0.05$) and significantly reduce TC (233.58±33.21mg/dL to 222.00±22.83mg/dL, $P < 0.05$), when compared to baseline.

At study-end, the intensive group continued to increase the total percent of energy intake from PUFA, (4.58±2.07 % kcal to 7.08±1.88 % kcal, $P < 0.05$) when compared to baseline. Furthermore, the intensive group increased their use of soy products, when compared to the conventional group at follow-up (2.92±1.31 and 1.09±1.76, $P < 0.05$ for the intensive and conventional groups, respectively, where 0 = do not use and 5= always use). There was a trend for the conventional group to increase HDL-C, (62.00±14.64

mg/dL to 66.64 ± 16.00 mg/dL, $P=0.05$), reduce intake from linolenic acid (7.76 ± 6.73 g to 5.88 ± 5.94 g, $P<0.05$), alpha-linolenic acid (0.73 ± 0.51 g to 0.57 ± 0.32 g, $P<0.05$), and oleic acid (14.68 ± 6.03 g to 10.14 ± 7.39 g, $P<0.05$) when compared to baseline.

Between midstudy and study-end, both groups significantly reduced LDL-C (159.15 ± 21.77 mg/dL to 142.38 ± 24.18 mg/dL and 162.57 ± 21.35 mg/dL to 147.04 ± 33.75 mg/dL, $P<0.05$ for the intensive and conventional groups, respectively), compared to midstudy. The intensive group increased the total percent of energy intake from fat (28.17 ± 7.48 % kcal to 32.67 ± 5.40 % kcal, $P<0.01$). Additionally, the total percent of energy intake from SF increased to baseline values in the intensive group (6.83 ± 2.25 % kcal to 9.42 ± 2.02 % kcal, $P<0.05$). The conventional group reduced the total percent of energy intake from PUFA (7.00 ± 3.44 % kcal to 5.18 ± 2.14 % kcal, $P<0.05$).

CHAPTER 5

DISCUSSION

The current study compared the effectiveness of two different approaches of dietary education, intensive and conventional to reduce blood lipids and oxidative stress. The results from both groups following the dietary intervention were associated with a reduced energy intake and an increased energy intake from PUFA. Although there were few significant differences in dietary intake between the two treatment groups immediately following the 6-week intervention, greater improvements were observed in the intensive education group in regards to LDL-C, total energy intake from SF and alpha-linolenic acid intake. No significant differences were observed in the conventional group in regards to blood lipids and OxLDL characteristics however, there was trend for propagation rate to increase following the intensive education.

The majority of the findings at midstudy were inconsistent with those at study end. At study-end the intensive education was associated with a continued increase in energy intake from PUFA, a reversion to energy intake from SF to baseline values, an increase in oleic acid and linoleic fatty acids, increased use of soy products and a reduction in TC values. In contrast, at study-end, the conventional education was associated with a reduction in intake from linolenic acid, alpha-linolenic acid, and oleic acid, and a trend for an increase in HDL-C. One notable finding was that both groups increased their cholesterol knowledge scores when compared to their baseline Cholesterol IQ test. In addition, at the study-end both groups significantly reduced LDL-C.

Blood Lipids

Our investigation showed no difference in efficacy of reducing blood lipid concentrations between dietary advice from classroom education and printed education materials alone at study end. Our results are similar to or slightly better than responses seen in shorter-term studies of moderately hypercholesterolemic subjects who were taught NCEP (119) (120) or AHA (121) (122) (123) diets and self-selected their foods. In those studies, the minimum LDL cholesterol response, -3.5%, was at 6 weeks in subjects with mild hypercholesterolemia (123). The reductions in our investigation were most similar to the 8% reductions in a 4-month study of hypercholesterolemic men. (120). The greatest response, -12.4% after 3 months, was reported for men after coronary artery bypass graft surgery, who were apparently very motivated, in that they were taught the NCEP Step I but achieved the Step II diet goals(124). Nevertheless, the results are consistent with those of Neil et al (125) who found that the impact of dietary advice is equally effective on blood lipids when given by a dietitian, a practice nurse, or a diet leaflet.

The reductions observed in both the intensive and conventional groups in serum LDL-C concentrations of 10.54 % and 9.55%, respectively after 12 weeks of nutrition intervention, were statistically significant, furthermore, these findings may have clinical significance. Relatively small reductions in LDL-C cholesterol concentrations may be clinically useful if accompanied by other nutritional changes. In our small group of 23 subjects, this intervention was not powered to yield significant improvements; the sample size of our investigation was quite small, and should have been based on power

calculations. As an afterthought sample size predictions using a nomogram for calculating sample size and power (117), were consistent with a standard difference of 1.0. With a sample size of 24, the probability of detecting a difference existed at a power of 0.7 (70%). Yet, our results of LDL reductions fell within the range of the NCEP ATP III guidelines which suggest that an 8%-14% reduction is seen following dietary education(6). What is more intriguing is that the NCEPs ranges of LDL reductions were based on metabolic ward studies and not a free living population, as in our investigation. When these features are employed, the largest attainable results may be 16%-18% reductions, reported by Schaefer et al (126) when food was provided and 29% reported by Jenkins et al (2) when plant stanols, viscous soluble fiber, and soy protein are consumed in combination.

Dietary Intake

Serum cholesterol concentrations are profoundly influenced by the composition of dietary fat, with SFAs being the major determinant of serum cholesterol (127). However, many factors can influence serum lipid values in addition to SFA consumption. Nevertheless, it is somewhat puzzling that the decrease in SF at midstudy, in the intensive group did not result in significant changes in LDL-C, and the increase in SF intake, from midstudy to study-end resulted in a reduction in LDL-C. In addition, reductions in LDL-C in the conventional group were similar to that of the intensive group at study-end.

In spite of possible raised health consciousness in both groups, SF intake, at study-end, was still higher than the NCEP APT III guidelines for a healthy diet, which state a goal of <7% of total kcal. Since the intensive group was able to reduce SF below

the ATP III recommendations mid study; the subsequent increase may be caused by decreased motivation of the intervention group following the intervention or by insufficient support from the social environment. It is important to note that a lack in continued reinforcements can result in resumption of old nutritional behavior (128). Stronger personal reinforcement on SF consumption may have been needed.

Intake of PUFA increased significantly in both groups at post-intervention. However, at follow-up PUFA intake continued to increase in the intensive group while PUFA intake in the conventional group resumed below baseline values. Investigations have shown that substituting PUFA for SFA in the diet results in an increase LDL-receptor activity and enhanced LDL clearance (30). In our investigation, there was a direct substitution of PUFA for SFA in the intensive group at midstudy. This substitution effect may have played a direct role in the trend for a significant LDL-C reduction. The major regulator of the LDL-receptor synthesis is the amount of cholesterol within the cell. Diets high in SFAs suppress the functional activity of the LDL-receptor by increasing the proportions of cholesteryl esters within the LDL particle. Feeding PUFAs, on the other hand, may decrease the proportions of cholesteryl esters. Vega et al (129) reportedly fed high PUFA diets and noted reductions in LDL-C and apo B ratios implying a degree of cholesterol reduction within the LDL molecule. It is possible that in our investigation, the increased intakes of PUFA and decreased intakes of SF resulted in a modification of the proportions of lipids and proteins in the LDL particles and ultimately increased LDL-receptor activity and LDL clearance. On the other hand, in the

conventional group increased intakes of PUFA without subsequent reductions in SF did not result in LDL-receptor activity and LDL clearance.

Conversely, at study-end, both SFA and PUFA intake significantly increased in the intensive group while SF intake remained constant and PUFA intake decreased below baseline values. Regardless of these factors both groups significantly reduced LDL-C at study-end. One postulation for the reduced LDL-C concentrations maintained at study-end, for the intensive group, may be that the change in LDL-receptor activity, from a reduction in SFA intake has a longer effect on LDL-C concentrations than could be seen with the 6-week follow-up. In addition, although not significant, the conventional group had a reduction in dietary cholesterol intake from baseline to study-end (252.59 ± 148.17 mg to 205.61 ± 118.24 mg, respectively). The meta-analysis of metabolic ward cholesterol feeding studies reported by Clarke et al. (130) indicates that dietary cholesterol increases LDL-C. He reported that a 100 mg/day increase in dietary cholesterol increases the plasma LDL cholesterol by 1.9 mg/dL. Therefore, the inverse may cause a subsequent increase LDL-receptor activity and LDL clearance, producing the significant reductions in LDL-C concentrations observed for the conventional group.

Oxidative Stress

Moderate changes in the fatty acid composition of a Western style diet may be adequate to affect lipoprotein susceptibility to oxidation in vitro (131). The PUFAs, which are the initial substrate for lipid peroxidation, are regarded as the most important determinant of lipoprotein oxidation (81). PUFAs are highly susceptible to lipid peroxidation, because the susceptibility of a fatty acid to oxidation increases with its

number of double bonds. In our investigation, as the intake of PUFAs in the intensive group increased, there was a tendency for propagation rate to increase at midstudy.

However as the intake of PUFA continued to increase at study-end there was a trend for a significant decrease in propagation rate.

In our investigation, in addition to the trend for propagation rate to increase at midstudy, there was a slight, non-significant increase in lag phase, in the intensive group at midstudy. Since the oxidation of the PUFA component within the LDL molecule begins when most of the molecules antioxidant defense has been lost (i.e. the end of the lag phase), the intensive education apparently was associated with an increase in antioxidant protection but not relative to the overall increase in the phase of oxidation.

A possible explanation for these inconsistencies may be the Step-wise increase in MUFA intake, at midstudy and study-end, in the intensive group. As the proportion of MUFA within the LDL molecule increased, in the intensive group, the rate of LDL oxidation was reduced. It is possible that the antioxidants such as phenolic compounds, vitamin E and beta-carotene, found in MUFAs, attributed to the increased antioxidant defense system of the LDL molecule rendering it more less to oxidation at study-end.

Several investigators have compared the influence of dietary MUFA and PUFA on LDL oxidation. First, shown in rabbits, MUFA-rich LDL particles were remarkably resistant to oxidative modification (132). In subsequent studies small groups of human subjects were fed diets differing in their MUFA and PUFA content (133,134,135,136) provided their study participants with high-fat liquid formula diets which had a MUFA content of about 80% of total fatty acids or a PUFA content of about 60%. After these

diets, extremely enriched with MUFA (derived from high-oleic sunflower oil) or PUFA (derived from sunflower oil), were fed the fatty acid composition of isolated LDL particles reflected the fatty acid composition of the diet, and the fatty acid distribution was similar in the different lipid fractions of the LDL-particle. The MUFA content of LDL was strongly related to the rate and the extent of oxidation, whereas the amount of PUFA in the LDL-particles was inversely correlated to the extent of oxidation.

Some other studies were conducted with solid food diets (131,133,134,137) For instance, Bonanome et al. (134) compared a grapeseed oil-enriched diet (45 % fat; 5 % MUFA, 30 % PUFA) to a diet enriched in olive oil (45% fat; 30% MUFA, 5% PUFA) in 12 healthy subjects. Again, the rate of LDL oxidation during the PUFA diet was increased compared to the MUFA diet. In the other studies it could be confirmed that the linoleic acid content of LDL strongly correlated with either the rate or the extent of oxidation (131, 133, 137). Although the results of these investigations were clear, several questions remain open. On the basis of the studies conducted so far, it is not clear whether the decrease in the proportion of PUFA within the LDL molecule is responsible for the decrease in lipid peroxidation when PUFA is replaced with MUFA in the diet.

Use of Cardioprotective Foods

According to a 2001 survey from the United Soybean Board, 42% of consumers were aware of the cholesterol lowering benefits of soy products (138). In our intensive education population, subjects were exposed to a variety of soy products and were encouraged to sample the products and additionally received coupons to purchase soy milk. This exposure may have led to the subsequent increase in use of soy products at

study-end. This exposure may ultimately have led to the significant improvements in the use of soy products. In the Functional Foods for Health Program, RDs were provided with educational materials to aid in delivering dietary information to consumers regarding functional foods (139). Of the 530 subjects who participated in the education and reported their weekly consumption of functional foods, only 20% of those surveyed indicated weekly consumption of soy products at least once a week. Soy was reportedly the functional food which was least consumed and was ranked second to last for intent to consume, next to tea. The educational methods used to aid in the improvement of functional food use included videos, overheads, copy ready consumer handouts, the Functional Food Pyramid, and multiple research and position statements on the benefits of functional foods. It is possible that without direct exposure to a variety of soy products (i.e. taste testing), as utilized in the present investigation, consumers remained skeptical regarding supplemental or adjunctive soy products in their diets, regardless of their knowledge concerning the benefits of soy.

Dietary Education

Specifically, our educational approaches were designed to help individuals incorporate a cholesterol lowering diet into their daily lives to aid in reducing blood lipid levels without the use of pharmaceutical therapy. Over 105 million individuals in the United States have hyperlipidemia (140), and more than 36 million are eligible for pharmaceutical therapy to manage their blood lipids (6). Heightened awareness of the detrimental effects of elevated blood lipids has helped bring to light the need to refocus strategies to improve patient centered interventions and treatment. While pharmaceutical

therapy is arguably an important therapy for hyperlipidemia management, behavioral strategies have long been recommended as first line initial and adjunctive therapy.

It is obvious that the answer to population risk reduction cannot be found exclusively in patient education, but the evidence suggests that patient education and counseling, along with additional support, encouragement, education and environmental approaches is useful (141). In the present study, in addition to the nutrition education, it may have been useful to include further education on specific risk factor reductions, provide cooking classes to aid in the practical application of the dietary modifications, include spousal support and involvement in the education and provide additional reinforcement of the nutrition education, following the termination of the instruction (i.e. follow-up phone calls, monthly nutrition education mailings).

Reinforcement of the nutrition education following the termination of the instruction may be an effective adjunctive method to reduce blood lipids and continue dietary modifications. Kris-Etherton et al (90) examined the impact of a telephone-based, personalized behavior change support service for moderately hypercholesterolemic individuals and the impact on serum lipids dietary modification. An intensive group was instructed to consume 4 servings/day of high-fiber foods and additionally have weekly telephone conversations with a personal coach. The usual care group received a handout describing the NCEP Step-1 diet. The personal coaches offered support and guidance in making lifestyle changes consistent with the National Cholesterol Education Program's (NCEP) cholesterol-lowering guidelines. Following the 7-week intervention, the intervention group significantly reduced LDL-C 7.1% while the usual care group reduced

LDL-C 1.2%. In addition, the intervention group had a greater decrease in energy intake from SF (-1.6%) and increase in soluble fiber intake (7.3%) and increase in exercise when compared to the usual care group ($P < .05$). It may be possible to deduce that this model of interactive support for behavior change coupled with a nutrition education program would provide additional additive benefits to encourage continued dietary modifications and blood lipid reductions.

If nutrition education can improve blood lipid levels then these behavioral interventions may offer additional benefits such as reduced medical expenses and a reduced risk of polypharmacy. However, the obstacles associated with these patient-centered behavioral interventions may make them difficult to employ. It has been proposed that increased participation and interaction with health care professionals' increases an individual's vigilance about their adherence to a treatment program, ultimately leading to a greater reduction in blood lipids. Although, healthcare providers may have difficulty utilizing such techniques due to increased time pressures, limited resources and reimbursement difficulties for interventions such as counseling and medical nutritional therapy. Additionally, there are many uncertainties regarding which approaches to patient education are most effective. In our research experience we found that intensive nutrition education was not superior to conventional nutrition education in reducing blood lipids after 12-weeks of dietary education. Therefore it may be realistic for healthcare providers to provide patients with educational printed materials such as the AHA and American Stroke Association practice tools and information resources or the NCEP *KIT 2002* along with additional informational opportunities provided on line such

as the AHA *Cholesterol Low Down* program and NCEPs *Live Healthier, Live Longer* web site.

Potential Limitations

Diet Analysis Method

There are limitations which create a potential weakness for this examination.

First, although these analyses provide data on intake from foods, they cannot provide information on the specific behavioral changes associated with these reductions in intake. A three day weighed diet record can not accurately predict the specific changes in behavioral data, (i.e. increased or reduced frequency of consumption and portion size, or substitution with a lower fat alternative). In addition, 3-day weighed diet records are time consuming and the act of recording can influence dietary choices of some individuals. In nutrition-intervention research, it is important to consider the sensitivity of dietary assessment instruments to the changes in nutrient intake or dietary behavior under study (142). Therefore, nutrition intervention studies should include at least two types of dietary assessment tools and the relative validity, reliability, and responsiveness of these tools should be reported as part of the study outcome (142).

Health-enhancing dietary changes require qualitative change, not just modification in the amount of food consumed. Since a 3-day weighed diet record can not accurately estimate qualitative change, this method may have been inappropriate for our investigation. One possible alternative to a 3-day weighed diet record may have been the dietary assessment tool MEDFICTS. Kris-Etherton et al (143) determined the validity of this dietary assessment instrument, which is designed to evaluate patient adherence to the

NCEP Step I and Step II diets. MEDFICTS provides a quick way to record food intake, portion size, and frequency of intake while focusing on foods that are the primary contributors of total fat, SF, and dietary cholesterol in the average American diet. The scoring system is modeled after that used in Rate Your Plate, a self-assessment questionnaire providing nutrition information about total fat, SF, and dietary cholesterol. However, the scoring system in MEDFICTS is designed to produce a composite score that interprets total fat, SF, and dietary cholesterol content within the guidelines established by the NCEP for the Step I and Step II diets. This score would provide information for a quantitative statistical comparison, over time, through out dietary interventions.

Free Living Subjects

In addition this study did not adjust or account for compliance, weight loss, or life style changes beyond recorded dietary, blood lipid results and energy expenditure alterations. Subjects were free living and self selected their foods and represent a cross section of the general population that would be likely to select general clinical care for hyperlipidemia. Subjects in this study were recruited from the university and community setting. They were not repeat participants of cholesterol-lowering studies or patients at lipid clinics, were not familiar with dietary modifications to lower cholesterol, and were not selected to maximize compliance as reported elsewhere. (144). Subjects were not excluded from this investigation on the basis of smoking, alcohol consumption, menopausal status, or hormone use as done in other studies.

Assessing dietary intake of free-living subjects who self-select their foods is known to be problematic; biased reporting is especially a concern in an intervention study like this. By use of the doubly labeled water technique, a precise measure to evaluate calorie expenditure, food records have been found to underestimate intake by $\approx 20\%$ (145). Underreporting is more common among women and the overweight % (145, 146). In free-living subjects calculation of nutrients such as fatty acids is made more difficult by the variety of products available on the market and the increased use of convenience and restaurant foods. At baseline, subjects reported consuming approximately 30% total fat and 9% saturated fat, which was lower than the average American adult intake reported in NHANES III of 34% total fat and 12% saturated fat (147).

Time Frame

Finally, the intervention utilized in this study was relatively short term, 6-weeks, 1-hour per week, and the subsequent follow-up may have not been long enough to detect greater changes in serum blood lipids, in response to dietary modifications. Programs for "heart-smart" nutrition education usually vary from one to eight sessions, with individual sessions lasting from 30 minutes to 2 hours (148, 149, 150). Although the duration of the intervention may not have been long enough to observe significant changes, the benefits may be seen in the long term in those individuals who received increased awareness of the link between diet and heart disease and were motivated to seek additional information.

CHAPTER 6

SUMMARY

In free-living populations, interventions to reduce cholesterol levels involve a combination of dietary modifications to alter lipoprotein metabolism and the capacity to motivate the individuals to make the dietary modifications. Investigations which involve intensive nutrition education and counseling, in addition to reducing dietary fat and cholesterol intake have resulted in significant improvements in blood lipid levels (5). Additionally, patients who have received intensive nutrition education, have been documented as having gained significantly more nutrition knowledge, having greater perceptions of the efficacy of following a cholesterol-lowering diet, consuming a significantly lower percentage of fat, a higher percentage of carbohydrates, and less dietary cholesterol (11). Moreover, behavioral interventions which reduce dietary fat have been associated with a significant increase in the capacity of the LDL-C molecule to resist oxidation (15).

The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the effectiveness and practicality of two different educational approaches to reduce blood lipids and oxidative stress through dietary counseling. We hypothesized that additional counseling provided through intensive nutrition education classes would result in greater reductions in serum lipids, greater appropriate changes in macronutrient intake consistent with the NCEP ATP III recommendations, greater use of cardioprotective foods, more favorable awareness regarding appropriate nutrition and high blood cholesterol knowledge, and greater appropriate changes in LDLs susceptibility to *ex-vivo* oxidation.

In this investigation, both the intensive and conventional dietary education groups reduced LDL-C levels. Hence, the level of lipoprotein reduction achieved after counseling through intensive, two-way-communications was not superior to that achieved by conventional one-way-communication, instead they were similar. However, counseling through intensive, two-way-communication did produce greater dietary increases in PUFAs and greater reductions in SFA intake; although these reductions in SFA intake were short term and returned to baseline values with the termination of the intensive dietary education. In addition, intensive dietary education facilitated in a greater reported use of soy products, either as a supplemental or an additive dietary component. However, there was no significant difference between the two educational methods regarding the awareness of appropriate nutrition and high blood cholesterol knowledge. Additionally, neither, dietary counseling through intensive, two-way-communications or conventional one-way-communication had a significant impact on the oxidative modification of LDL-C.

The failure of this investigation to produce copious significant blood lipid and dietary results may be attributed to the 1) the inability of the dietary analysis tools utilized to provide information on the specific behavioral changes associated with the reductions in intake; 2) failure to adjust or account for compliance, weight loss, or life style changes beyond the recorded dietary, blood lipid results, and energy expenditure alterations; 3) subjects were free living and self selected their foods; and 4) the intervention utilized in this study was relatively short term (6-weeks) and the subsequent follow-up may have not been long enough to detect changes in serum blood lipids in

response to dietary modifications. The assemblage of these limitations must be accounted for when examining the results of this analysis and many lifestyle intervention studies.

In summary, our experience demonstrates that teaching dietary and behavioral modifications to free-living hypercholesterolemic persons can be an effective therapeutic strategy. All participants had LDL cholesterol elevations and thus were candidates for diet therapy. Subjects in the intensive intervention group made dietary changes and significantly lowered their LDL cholesterol. Subjects randomized to the conventional education had similarly elevated LDL cholesterol levels, which also changed at study-end. This study provides substantial evidence that behavioral interventions, specific to nutrition education, can play an important role in hyperlipidemia management. There is a clear need for more focused research that addresses program length, educational curricula, and educational tools to further strengthen the existing evidence regarding patient centered interventions for hyperlipidemia.

Dietary modifications, aimed at lowering cholesterol levels, involve long-term lifestyle changes. More importantly, with respect to this study, the type of dietary education and resources provided to the subjects may, in the long term, aid in increasing awareness of the link between diet and heart disease and motivate these individuals to seek additional information. Hence, the effects observed have clinical implications and may aid in the development of hyperlipidemia management recommendations for clinical practice.

REFERENCES CITED

1. Hampl JS, Anderson JV, Mullis R. The role of dietetics professionals in health promotion and disease prevention Position of ADA. J Am Diet Assoc. 2002;102:1680-1687
2. Jialal I. Evolving lipoprotein risk factors: lipoprotein(a) and oxidized low-density lipoprotein. Clin Chem 1998;44:1827-1832
3. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Guide to Clinical Preventive Services, Third Edition: Periodic Updates. AHRQ Publication No. 03-0007, March 2003, Rockville, MD. <http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/periodorder.htm> Accessed April 17, 2003
4. Tang JL, Armitage JM, Lancaster T, Silagy CA, Fowler GH, Neil HA. Systematic review of dietary intervention trials to lower blood total cholesterol in free-living subjects. BMJ 1998;316:1213-20
5. Mullen PD, Simons-Morton DG, Ramírez G, Frankowski, RF, Green LW, Mains DA. A meta-analysis of trials evaluating patient education and counseling for three groups of preventive health behaviors. Patient Education and Counseling 1997;32:157-173.
6. Expert Panel. Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) JAMA 2001 285: 2486-2497.
7. Fedder DO, Koro CE, L'Italien GJ. New National Cholesterol Education Program III guidelines for primary prevention lipid-lowering drug therapy: projected impact on the size, sex, and age distribution of the treatment-eligible population. Circulation. 2002; 105(2):152-156.
8. Brunner E, White I, Thorogood M, Bristow A, Curle D, Marmot M. Can dietary interventions change diet and cardiovascular risk factors? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Public Health. 1997 Sep;87(9):1415-22.
9. Van Horn L, Ernst N. A summary of the science supporting the new National Cholesterol Education Program dietary recommendations: What dietitians should know. J Am Diet Assoc 2001;101:1148-54
10. McGehee MM, Johnson EQ, Rasmussen HM, Sahyoun N, Lynch MM, Carey M. Benefits and costs of medical nutrition therapy by registered dietitians for patients with hypercholesterolemia. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 1995;95:1041-3.

11. Rhodes KS, Bookstein LC, Aaronson LS, Mercer NM, Orringer CE. Intensive nutrition counseling enhances outcomes of National Cholesterol Education Program dietary therapy. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1996;96:1003-10.
12. Witztum JL, Steinberg D. Role of oxidized low density lipoprotein in atherogenesis. *J Clin Invest* 1991;88:1785-1792.
13. Zock PL, Katan MB. Diet, LDL oxidation, and coronary artery disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998;68:759-60.
14. Lahteenmaki TA, Korpela R, Tikkanen MJ, et al. Proliferative effects of oxidized low-density lipoprotein on vascular smooth muscle cells: role of dietary habits. *Life Sci* 1998;63:995-1003.
15. Parks EJ, German JB, Davis PA, et al. Reduced oxidative susceptibility of LDL from patients participating in an intensive atherosclerosis treatment program. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998;68:778-85.
16. Henkin Y, Shai I, Zuk R, Brickner D, Zuilli I, Neumann L, Shany S. Henkin Y. Dietary treatment of hypercholesterolemia: do dietitians do it better? A randomized, controlled trial. *Am J Med*. 2000;109:549-55.
17. Kris-Etherton P, Etherton TD, Carlson J, Gardner C. Recent discoveries in inclusive food-based approaches and dietary patterns for reduction in risk for cardiovascular disease. *Curr Opin Lipidol*. 2002;13(4):397-407.
18. Krauss RM, Eckel RH, Howard B, et al. AHA Dietary Guidelines: Revision 2000: A Statement for Healthcare Professionals From the Nutrition Committee of the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2000;102: 2284-2299
19. Law M. Dietary fat and adult diseases and the implications for childhood nutrition: an epidemiologic approach. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;72:1291-1296.
20. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Faulkner D, et al. A dietary portfolio approach to cholesterol reduction: combined effects of plant sterols, vegetable proteins, and viscous fibers in hypercholesterolemia. *Metabolism* 2002;51:1596-604
21. Mutane M. Comparison between dietary monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids as regards diet-related diseases. *Biomed & Pharmacother* 1997;51:314-317
22. Hegsted DM, McGandy RB, Myers ML, Stare FJ. Quantitative effects of dietary fat on serum cholesterol in man. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1965;17:281-95

23. Keys A, Parlin RW Serum cholesterol response to changes in dietary lipids. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1966;19:175-81
24. Ginsberg HN, Kris-Etherton P, Dennis B, Elmer PJ, Ershow A, Lefevre M, Pearson T, Roheim P, Ramakrishnan R, Reed R, Stewart K, Stewart P, Phillips K, Anderson N. Effects of reducing dietary saturated fatty acids on plasma lipids and lipoproteins in healthy subjects, the delta study, protocol 1. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1998;18:441-9.
25. Anitschkow NN. A history of experimentation on arterial atherosclerosis in animals. In: Bleumenthal HT, ed. *Cowdry's Arteriosclerosis: A Survey of the Problem*. 2nd ed. Springfield, Ill: Charles C Thomas; 1967:21-44.
26. Hu FB, Willwt WC. Optimal Diets for Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease. *JAMA* 2002;288:2569-78.
27. Gordon T. The diet-heart idea: outline of a history. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1988;127:220-225.
28. Keys A. *Seven Countries: A Multivariate Analysis of Death and Coronary Heart Disease*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1980.
29. Kato H, Tillotson J, Nichamen MZ, Rhoads GG, Hamilton HB. Epidemiologic studies of coronary heart disease and stroke in Japanese men living in Japan, Hawaii and California: serum lipids and diet. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1973;97:372-85.
30. Grundy SM, Denke MA. Dietary influences on serum lipids and lipoproteins. *J Lipid Res* 1990 Jul;31(7):1149-72.
31. Shepherd J, Packard CJ, Grundy SM, Yeshurun D, Gotto AM Jr, Taunton OD. Effects of saturated and polyunsaturated fat diets on the chemical composition and metabolism of low density lipoproteins in man. *J Lipid Res* 1980;21:91-9.
32. Niinikoski H, Lapinleimu H, Viikari J, Ronnemaa T, Jokinen E, Seppanen R, Terho P, Tuominen J, Valimaki I, Simell O. Growth until 3 years of age in a prospective, randomized trial of a diet with reduced saturated fat and cholesterol. *Pediatrics* 1997;99:687-94.
33. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Ascherio A, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Hennekens CH, Willett WC. A prospective study of egg consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease in men and women. *JAMA*. 1999;281:1387-94.
34. Grande F, Anderson JT, Chlouverakis C, Proja M, Keys A. Effect of dietary cholesterol on man's serum lipids. *J Nutr* 1965;87:52-62.

35. Hu FB. The balance between n-6 and n-3 fatty acids and the risk of coronary heart disease. *Nutrition* 2001;17:741-42.
36. Grundy, SM. What is the desirable ratio of saturated, polyunsaturated, and monounsaturated fatty acids in the diet? *Am J Clin Nutr* 66(suppl):988S-90S, 1997.
37. Kris-Etherton PM, Pearson TA, Wan Y, Hargrove RL, Moriarty K, Fishell V, Etherton TD. High-monounsaturated fatty acid diets lower both plasma cholesterol and triacylglycerol concentrations. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;70:1009-15.
38. Kris-Etherton PM A new role for diet in reducing the incidence of cardiovascular disease: evidence from recent studies. *Curr Atheroscler Rep.* 1999;1:185-7.
39. de Lorgeril M, Salen P, Martin JL, Monjaud I, Delaye J, Mamelle N. Mediterranean diet, traditional risk factors, and the rate of cardiovascular complications after myocardial infarction: final report of the Lyon diet heart study. *Circulation.* 1999;99:779-85.
40. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Rosner BA, Sampson LA, Hennekens CH. Intake of trans fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease among women. *Lancet* 1993 Mar 6;341(8845):581-5.
41. Mensink RP, Katan MB. Effect of dietary trans fatty acids on high-density and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in healthy subjects. *N Engl J Med* 1990 Aug 16;323(7):439-45.
42. Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Lipoprotein receptors and genetic control of cholesterol metabolism in cultured human cells. *Naturwissenschaften* 1975 Aug;62(8):385-9.
43. Goldstein JL, Brown MS. The low-density lipoprotein pathway and its relation to atherosclerosis. *Annu Rev Biochem* 1977;46:897-930.
44. Rudel LL, Bond MG, Bullock BC. LDL heterogeneity and atherosclerosis in nonhuman primates. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1985;454:248-53.
45. Flynn MA, Nolph GB, Flynn TC, Kahrs R, Krause G. Effect of dietary egg on human serum cholesterol and triglycerides. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1979;32:1051-7.
46. Porter MW, Yamanaka W, Carlson SD, Flynn MA. Effect of dietary egg on serum cholesterol and triglyceride of human males. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1977;30:490-5.

47. Vorster HH, Benade AJ, Barnard HC, Locke MM, Silvis N, Venter CS, Smuts CM, Engelbrecht GP, Marais MP. Egg intake does not change plasma lipoprotein and coagulation profiles. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1992 Feb;55(2):400-10.
48. Zanni EE, Zannis VI, Blum CB, Herbert PN, Breslow JL. Effect of egg cholesterol and dietary fats on plasma lipids, lipoproteins, and apoproteins of normal women consuming natural diets. *J Lipid Res* 1987 May;28(5):518-27.
49. Best MM, Duncan CH, Van Loon EJ, Wathen JD. Lowering of serum cholesterol by the administration of a plant sterol. *Circulation* 1954;10:201-6.
50. Gylling H, Miettinen TA. Serum cholesterol and cholesterol and lipoprotein metabolism in hypercholesterolaemic NIDDM patients before and during sitostanol ester-margarine treatment. *Diabetologia* 1994 Aug;37(8):773-80.
51. Hallikainen MA, Sarkkinen ES, Uusitupa MI. Effects of low-fat stanol ester enriched margarines on concentrations of serum carotenoids in subjects with elevated serum cholesterol concentrations. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 1999;53:966-9.
52. Gylling H, Miettinen TA. Cholesterol reduction by different plant stanol mixtures and with variable fat intake. *Metabolism*. 1999;48:575-80.
53. Gylling H, Puska P, Vartiainen E, Miettinen TA. Serum sterols during stanol ester feeding in a mildly hypercholesterolemic population. *J Lipid Res*. 1999;40:593-600.
54. Hallikainen MA, Uusitupa MI. Effects of 2 low-fat stanol ester-containing margarines on serum cholesterol concentrations as part of a low-fat diet in hypercholesterolemic subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;69:403-10.
55. Anderson JW, Hanna TJ. Impact of nondigestible carbohydrates on serum lipoproteins and risk for cardiovascular disease. *J Nutr* 1999;129(7 Suppl):1457S-66S.
56. Brown L, Rosner B, Willett WW, Sacks FM. Cholesterol-lowering effects of dietary fiber: a meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;69:30-42.
57. Kelley MJ, Hoover-Plow J, Nichols-Bernhard JF, Verity LS, Brewer H. Oat bran lowers total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol but not lipoprotein(a) in exercising adults with borderline hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1994;94:1419-21.

58. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Popovich DG, et al. Effect of a very-high-fiber vegetable, fruit, and nut diet on serum lipids and colonic function. *Metabolism* 2001 Apr;50(4):494-503.
59. Hyson DA, Schneeman BO, Davis PA. Almonds and almond oil have similar effects on plasma lipids and LDL oxidation in healthy men and women. *J Nutr* 2002;132:703-7.
60. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Marchie A, et al. Dose response of almonds on coronary heart disease risk factors: blood lipids, oxidized low-density lipoproteins, lipoprotein(a), homocysteine, and pulmonary nitric oxide: a randomized, controlled, crossover trial. *Circulation* 2002;106:1327-32.
61. Fraser GE. Nut consumption, lipids, and risk of a coronary event. *Clin Cardiol* 1999;22(7 Suppl):III11-5.
62. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, et al. Frequent nut consumption and risk of coronary heart disease in women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 1998;317:1341-5.
63. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Garsetti M, et al. Effect of soy protein foods on low-density lipoprotein oxidation and ex vivo sex hormone receptor activity--a controlled crossover trial. *Metabolism* 2000;49:537-43.
64. Dwyer JT, Goldin BR, Saul N, Gualtieri L, Barakat S, Adlercreutz H. Tofu and soy drinks contain phytoestrogens. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1994;94:739-43.
65. Lichtenstein AH. Soy protein, isoflavones and cardiovascular disease risk. *J Nutr* 1998;128:1589-92.
66. Cassidy A, Bingham S, Setchell KD. Biological effects of a diet of soy protein rich in isoflavones on the menstrual cycle of premenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1994;60:333-40.
67. Potter SM. Soy protein and serum lipids. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 1996;7:260-4.
68. Eaker E, Chesebro JH, Sacks FM, Wenger NK, Whisnant JP, Winston M. Special report: cardiovascular disease in women. Special writing group. *Heart Dis Stroke* 1994;3:114-9.
69. Kapiotis S, Hermann M, Held I, Seelos C, Ehringer H, Gmeiner BM. Genistein, the dietary-derived angiogenesis inhibitor, prevents LDL oxidation and protects endothelial cells from damage by atherogenic LDL. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1997;17:2868-74.

70. Kanazawa T, Osanai T, Zhang XS, Uemura T, Yin XZ, Onodera K, Oike Y, Ohkubo K. Protective effects of soy protein on the peroxidizability of lipoproteins in cerebrovascular diseases. *J Nutr* 1995;125(3 Suppl):639S-46S.
71. Ruiz-Larrea MB, Mohan AR, Paganga G, Miller NJ, Bolwell GP, Rice-Evans CA. Antioxidant activity of phytoestrogenic isoflavones. *Free Radic Res* 1997;26:63-70.
72. Sacks FM, Katan M. Randomized clinical trials on the effects of dietary fat and carbohydrate on plasma lipoproteins and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Med* 2002;113 Suppl 9B:13S-24S.
73. Hellerstein MK. Carbohydrate-induced hypertriglyceridemia: modifying factors and implications for cardiovascular risk. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2002;13:33-40.
74. Parks E, Hellerstein M. Carbohydrate-induced hypertriacylglycerolemia: historical perspective and review of biological mechanisms. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71:412-33.
75. Hudgins LC, Hellerstein MK, Seidman CE, Neese RA, Tremaroli JD, Hirsch J. Relationship between carbohydrate-induced hypertriglyceridemia and fatty acid synthesis in lean and obese subjects. *J Lipid Res* 2000;41:595-604.
76. Hellerstein MK. Carbohydrate-induced hypertriglyceridemia: modifying factors and implications for cardiovascular risk. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2002;13:33-40.
77. Bunyard LB, Dennis KE, Nicklas BJ. Dietary intake and changes in lipoprotein lipids in obese, postmenopausal women placed on an American Heart Association Step I diet. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2002;102:52-7.
78. Puiggros C, Chacon P, Armadans LI, Clapes J, Planas M. Effects of oleic-rich and omega-3-rich diets on serum lipid pattern and lipid oxidation in mildly hypercholesterolemic patients. *Clin Nutr* 2002;21:79-87.
79. Abbey M, Belling GB, Noakes M, Hirata F, Nestel PJ. Oxidation of low-density lipoproteins: intraindividual variability and the effect of dietary linoleate supplementation. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1993;57:391-8.
80. Witztum J L, Steinberg D. Role of oxidized low density lipoprotein in atherogenesis. *J Clin Invest* 1991;88:1785-92.
81. Reaven P, Witztum J. Oxidized low density lipoproteins in atherogenesis: role of dietary modification. *Annu Rev Nutr* 1996;16:51-71.

82. Lahteenmaki TA, Korpela R, Tikkanen MJ, et al. Proliferative effects of oxidized low-density lipoprotein on vascular smooth muscle cells: role of dietary habits. *Life Sci* 1998;63:995-1003.
83. Korpela R, Seppo L, Laakso J, et al. Dietary habits affect the susceptibility of low-density lipoprotein to oxidation. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1999;53:802-7.
84. Zock PL, Katan MB. Diet, LDL oxidation, and coronary artery disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998;68:759-60.
85. Reaven P, Parthasarathy S, Grasse BJ, Miller E, Steinberg D, Witztum JL. Effects of oleate-rich and linoleate-rich diets on the susceptibility of low density lipoprotein to oxidative modification in mildly hypercholesterolemic subjects. *J Clin Invest* 1993;91:668-76.
86. Parthasarathy S, Khoo JC, Miller E, Barnett J, Witztum JL, Steinberg D. Low density lipoprotein rich in oleic acid is protected against oxidative modification: implications for dietary prevention of atherosclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1990;87:3894-8.
87. Bonanome A, Pagnan A, Biffanti S, et al. Effect of dietary monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids on the susceptibility of plasma low density lipoproteins to oxidative modification. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1992;12:529-33.
88. Aviram M, Elias K. Dietary olive oil reduces low-density lipoprotein uptake by macrophages and decreases the susceptibility of the lipoprotein to undergo lipid peroxidation. *Ann Nutr Metab* 1993;37:75-84.
89. Parks EJ, German JB, Davis PA, et al. Reduced oxidative susceptibility of LDL from patients participating in an intensive atherosclerosis treatment program. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1998;68:778-85.
90. Kris-Etherton PM, Taylor DS, Smiciklas-Wright H, Mitchell DC, Bekhuis TC, Olson BH, Slonim AB. High-soluble-fiber foods in conjunction with a telephone-based, personalized behavior change support service result in favorable changes in lipids and lifestyles after 7 weeks. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2002;102 503-10.
91. Pearson TA, Laurora I, Chu H, Kafonek S. The lipid treatment assessment project (L-TAP): a multi center survey to evaluate the percentages of dyslipidemic patients receiving lipid-lowering therapy and achieving low-density lipoprotein cholesterol goals. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160:459-67.
92. Davidson MH. Statin trials in progress: unanswered questions. *Curr Atheroscler Rep*. 2001;3:9-13.

93. Steptoe A, Doherty S, Rink E, Kerry S, Kendrick T, Hilton S. Behavioral counseling in general practice for the promotion of healthy behavior among adults at increased risk of coronary heart disease: randomized trial. *BMJ* 1999;319:943-7.
94. Ornish D, Brown SE, Scherwitz LW, et al. Can lifestyle changes reverse coronary heart disease? The Lifestyle Heart Trial. *Lancet* 1990;336:129-33.
95. Fuster V, Gotto AM Jr. Risk reduction. *Circulation* 2000;102(20 Suppl 4):IV94-IV102.
96. Walden CE, Retzlaff BM, Buck BL, McCann BS, Knopp RH. Lipoprotein lipid response to the National Cholesterol Education Program Step II diet by hypercholesterolemic and combined hyperlipidemic women and men. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1997;17:375-82.
97. Fonarow GC, Gawlinski A, Moughrabi S, Tillisch JH. Improved treatment of coronary heart disease by implementation of a Cardiac Hospitalization Atherosclerosis Management Program (CHAMP). *Am J Cardiol* 2001;87:819-22.
98. Senaratne MP, Griffiths J, Mooney D, Kasza L, Macdonald K, Hare S. Effectiveness of a planned strategy using cardiac rehabilitation nurses for the management of dyslipidemia in patients with coronary artery disease. *Am Heart J* 2001;142:975-81.
99. Lacy CR, Suh DC, Barone JA, et al. Impact of a targeted intervention on lipid-lowering therapy in patients with coronary artery disease in the hospital setting. *Arch Intern Med* 2002;162:468-73.
100. Kris-Etherton PM, Etherton TD, Yu S. Efficacy of multiple dietary therapies in reducing cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1997;65:560-1.
101. Position of The American Dietetic Association: cost-effectiveness of medical nutrition therapy. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1995;95:88-91.
102. Position of The American Dietetic Association: Position of the American Dietetic Association: medical nutrition therapy and pharmacotherapy. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1999;99:227-30.
103. Schwenke DC, Wagner JD, Adams MR. In vitro lipid peroxidation of LDL from postmenopausal cynomolgus macaques treated with female hormones. *J. Lipid Res.* 1999;40:235-244.

104. Santanam N, Shern-Brewer R, McClatchey R, et al. Estradiol as an antioxidant: incompatible with its physiological concentrations and function. *J. Lipid Res.* 1998;39:2111-2118.
105. Bureau I, Laporte F, Favier M, Faure H, Fields M, Favier AE, Roussel AM. No antioxidant effect of combined HRT on LDL oxidizability and oxidative stress biomarkers in treated post-menopausal women. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2002;21:333-8.
106. Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Leblanc C, Lortie G, Savard R, Theriault G. A method to assess energy expenditure in children and adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1983 Mar;37(3):461-7.
107. National Cholesterol Education Program, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Check your cholesterol and heart disease IQ. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, NIH Publication No. 95-3794, May 1995.
108. Evidence-based Medicine Resource Center, Glossary of Evidence-based Medicine Terms <http://www.ebmny.org/> accessed 4/10/03 .
109. Kleinvelde HA, Hak-Lemmers HL, Stalenhoef AFH, Demacker PNM. Improved measurement of low-density-lipoprotein susceptibility to copper-induced oxidation: application of a short procedure for isolating low-density lipoprotein *Clin Chem* 1992;38:2066.
110. Lie RF, Schmitz JM, Pierre KJ, Gochman N. Cholesterol oxidase-based determination, by continuous-flow analysis, of total and free cholesterol in serum. *Clin Chem.* 1976;22:1627-30.
111. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem.* 1972;18:499-502.
112. Naito HK. Lipoprotein separations using TL-100 Tabletop Ultracentrifuge. In: Bioresearch, Beckman Instruments , Palo Alto, CA 1986.
113. Puhl H, Waeg G, Esterbauer H. Methods to determine oxidation of low-density lipoproteins. *Methods Enzymol* 1994;223:425-41.
114. Smith PK, Krohn GT, Hermanson AK et al. Measurement of Protein Using Bicinchoninic Acid. *Analytical Biochemistry* 1985;150:76-85.
115. Esterbauer H, Striegl G, Puhl H, Rotheneder M. Continuous monitoring of in vitro oxidation of human low density lipoprotein. *Free Radic Res Commun.* 1989;6:67-75.

116. Lukaski HC. Methods for the assessment of human body composition: traditional and new. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1987 Oct;46(4):537-56.
117. Altman DG. How large a sample? In: *Statistics in Practice*. Gore SM, Altman DG, London, British Medical Association, 1982:6-8.
118. Montori VM, Guyatt GH. Intention-to-treat principle. *CMAJ* 2001;165:1339-41.
119. Hunninghake DB, Stein EA, Dujovne CA, Harris WS, Feldman EB, Miller VT, Tobert JA, Laskarzewski PM, Quiter E, Held J, Taylor AM, Hopper S, Leonard SB, Brewer BK. The efficacy of intensive dietary therapy alone or combined with lovastatin in outpatients with hypercholesterolemia. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;328:1213-1219.
120. Denke MA, Grundy SM. Individual responses to a cholesterol-lowering diet in 50 men with moderate hypercholesterolemia. *Arch Intern Med*. 1994;154:317-325.
121. Geil PB, Anderson JW, Gustafson NJ. Women and men with hypercholesterolemia respond similarly to an American Heart Association Step I diet. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1995;95:436-441.
122. Bae C, Keenan JM, Wenz J, McCaffrey DJ. A clinical trial of the American Heart Association Step one diet for treatment of hypercholesterolemia. *J Fam Pract*. 1991;33:249-254.
123. Shenberger DM, Helgren RJ, Peters JR, Quiter E, Johnston EA, Hunninghake DB. Intense dietary counseling lowers LDL cholesterol in the recruitment phase of a clinical trial of men who had coronary artery bypass grafts. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1992;92:441-5.
124. Shenberger DM, Helgren RJ, Peters JR, Quiter E, Johnston EA, Hunninghake DB. Intense dietary counseling lowers LDL cholesterol in the recruitment phase of a clinical trial of men who had coronary artery bypass grafts. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1992;92:441-5.
125. Neil HA, L Roe, R J P Godlee, J W Moore, G M G Clark, J Brown, M Thorogood, I M Stratton, T Lancaster, D Mant, and G H Fowler Randomised trial of lipid lowering dietary advice in general practice: the effects on serum lipids, lipoproteins, and antioxidants *BMJ* 1995; 310: 569-573.
126. Schaefer EJ, Lichtenstein AH, Lamon-Fava S, et al. Efficacy of a National Cholesterol Education Program Step II Diet in Normolipidemic and Hypercholesterolemic Middle-Aged and Elderly Men and Women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1995;15:1079-1085.

127. Hegsted DM, Ausman LM, Johnson JA, Dallal GE. Dietary fat and serum lipids: an evaluation of the experimental data. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1993 Jun;57(6):875-83.
128. Kramish Campbell M, De Vellis BM, Strecher VJ, Ammerman AS, De Vellis RF and Sandler RS (1994) Improving dietary behavior: the effectiveness of tailored messages in primary care settings *Am. J. Public Health* 84(5), 783-7.
129. Vega GL, Groszek E, Wolf R, Grundy SM. Influence of polyunsaturated fats on composition of plasma lipoproteins and apolipoproteins. *J Lipid Res.* 1982 Aug;23(6):811-22.
130. Clarke R, Frost C, Collins R, et al, Dietary lipids and blood cholesterol: quantitative meta-analysis of metabolic ward studies, *Br. Med. J.* 1997; 314:112-117.
131. Turpeinen, A.M., Alfthan, G., Valsta, L., Hietanen, E., Salonen, J.T., Schunk, H., Nyyssonen, K. and Mutanen, M. 1995. Plasma and lipoprotein lipid peroxidation in humans on sunflower and rapeseed oil diets. *Lipids.* 199530(6):485.
132. Parthasarathy S, Khoo JC, Miller E, et al.: Low density lipoprotein rich in oleic acid is protected against oxidative modification: implications for dietary prevention of atherosclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1990;87: 3894-3898.
133. Abbey M, Belling GB, Noakes M, et al.: Oxidation of low-density lipoproteins: intraindividual variability and the effect of dietary linoleate supplementation. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1993;57: 391-398.
134. Bonanome A, Pagnan A, Biffanti S, et al.: Effect of dietary monounsaturated fatty acids on the susceptibility of plasma-low density lipoproteins to oxidative modification. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1992;12: 529-533.
135. Reaven P, Parthasarathy S, Grasse BJ, et al.: Feasibility of using an oleate-rich diet to reduce the susceptibility of low-density lipoprotein to oxidative modification in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991;54: 701-706.
136. Reaven P, Parthasarathy S, Grasse BJ, et al.: Effects of oleate-rich and linoleate-rich diets on the susceptibility of low density lipoprotein to oxidative modification in mildly hypercholesterolemic subjects. *J Clin Invest* 1993;91: 668-676.
137. Mata P, Alonso R, Lopez-Farre A, et al.: Effect of dietary fat saturation on LDL oxidation and monocyte adhesion to human endothelial cells *in vitro*. *Arterioscler Throm Vasc Biol* 1996;16:1347-1355.
138. United Soy bean Board. Eight annual national report-consumer attitudes about nutrition 2001. Chesterfield, MO. United Soybean Board 2001.

139. Pelletier S, Kundrat S, Hasler CM. Effects of an Educational Program on Intent to Consume Functional Foods. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2002 Sep;102(9):1297-1300.
140. American Heart Association. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics — 2003 Update. Dallas, Tex.: American Heart Association; 2002.
141. Mullen PD, Simons-Morton DG, Ramírez G, Frankowski, RF, Green LW, Mains DA. A meta-analysis of trials evaluating patient education and counseling for three groups of preventive health behaviors. *Patient Education and Counseling* 1997;32:157-173.
142. Kristal AR, Beresford SA, Lazovich D. Assessing change in diet-intervention research. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1994 Jan;59(1 Suppl):185S-189S.
143. Kris-Etherton P, Eissenstat B, Jaax S, Srinath U, Scott L, Rader J, Pearson T. Validation for MEDFICTS, a dietary assessment instrument for evaluating adherence to total and saturated fat recommendations of the National Cholesterol Education Program Step I and Step II diets. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2001 Jan;101(1):81-6.
144. Hunninghake DB, Stein EA, Dujovne CA, Harris WS, Feldman EB, Miller VT, Tobert JA, Laskarzewski PM, Quiter E, Held J, Taylor AM, Hopper S, Leonard SB, Brewer BK. The efficacy of intensive dietary therapy alone or combined with lovastatin in outpatients with hypercholesterolemia. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;328:1213-1219.
145. Black AE, Prentice AM, Goldberg GR, Jebb SA, Bingham SA, Livingstone MBE, Coward WA. Measurements of total energy expenditure provide insights into the validity of dietary measurements of energy intake. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1993;93:572-579.
146. Briefel RR, McDowell MA, Alaimo K, Caughman CR, Bischof AL, Carroll MD, Johnson CL. Total energy intake of the US population: the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-91. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1995; 62:1072S-1080S.
147. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Daily dietary fat and total food-energy intakes: NHANES III, Phase I, 1988-91. *JAMA.* 1994;271:1309.
148. Kupka-Schutt L. Heart smart nutrition education *J. Nut. Educ.* 1992;24:94.
149. AHA. Culinary hearts kitchen cooking course AHA. Dallas, TX; undated.
150. AHA for Retired Persons. AHA Eating for HEALTHY tomorrows. Washington, DC:Healthy Advocacy Services; 1992.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

TELEPHONE SCREENING QUESTIONNAIRE

Date: _____

First Name: _____

Last Name: _____

1. Have you ever been told that you have heart disease?

☐ YES ☐ NO

2. Do you have unstable angina pectoris or severe arrhythmias?

☐ YES ☐ NO

3. Have you ever had an angiogram or open heart surgery?

☐ YES ☐ NO

4. Do you have congestive heart failure?

☐ YES ☐ NO

5. Do you have severe hypertension despite treatment done to decrease your blood pressure?

☐ YES ☐ NO

6. Do you have chronic obstructive pulmonary disease?

☐ YES ☐ NO

7. Do you think you have high blood cholesterol?

☐ YES ☐ NO

8. Have you ever been told you have a lipid disorder?

☐ YES ☐ NO

9. Are you currently taking or have you ever taken medication for high cholesterol/lipids?

☐ YES ☐ NO

10. Have you been diagnosed with diabetes mellitus (type I or II)?

☐ YES ☐ NO

11. Do you have diagnosed renal disease?

☐ YES ☐ NO

12. Do you have diagnosed liver disease?
☐ YES ☐ NO

13. Have you had a stroke?

☐ YES ☐ NO

14. Do you have a severe orthopedic disability?

☐ YES ☐ NO

IF ALL NO,

"Great it looks like you would be a good candidate for this study. Let me tell you a little more about it."

IF SOME YES,

"Thank you for your interest in this study, but unfortunately you do not qualify."

Participating in this study will require a pre screening interview and fasting blood draw at the MSU Nutrition Research laboratory. At this visit you will have anthropometric measurements done, a blood pressure analysis, and a fasting blood test, which will be used to examine your blood lipids. If you meet our profile, you will be contacted to participate in one of two cholesterol education programs. At that time you will be asked to complete a 3-day diet and physical activity log to reflect your dietary and physical activity habits.

You will NOT be asked to change your physical activity BUT you may be asked to change your diet for this study.

If you are serious about participating in this study, I would like to set up an appointment to complete your enrollment in this study. This appointment will take about an hour and will include a fasting blood draw.

May I please have your contact information?

APPENDIX B
SUBJECT CONSENT FORM

**SUBJECT CONSENT FORM FOR PARTICIPATION IN HUMAN RESEARCH
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY**

STUDY TITLE: INFLUENCE OF A 6-WEEK CHOLESTEROL EDUCATION PROGRAM ON BLOOD LIPIDS AND LDL OXIDATION

Funding: This study is not externally funded.

Investigators: Kimberly R. Monahan, Graduate Student
Mary P. Miles, Ph.D., Assistant Professor
Dept. of Health and Human Development
Hosaeus 101, Montana State University
Bozeman, MT 59717-3540
Phone: (406) 994-6678; FAX (406) 994-6314

You are being asked to participate in a study investigating the influence of dietary behavior modifications based on the Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines set forth by the National Cholesterol Education Program and the National Institutes of Health National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. The specific aim of the ATP III guidelines is to treat high blood cholesterol, therefore preventing the development or progression of cardiovascular disease.

Specifically, this study will identify the 'bad' low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and other related factors from analysis of your blood during a 6-week investigation. This study is of interest because decreases in the 'bad' cholesterol through health behavior modifications have been identified in decreased risk of cardiovascular related events from cardiovascular disease; however the exact mechanisms involved in promoting these decreases in the "bad" cholesterol are not completely understood. Therefore, identifying these variables may further the field of research in the preventative and treatment areas of cardiovascular disease.

You have been identified as a possible subject. You are an adult over the age of 20 years, without know cardiovascular disease, diabetes, or high blood cholesterol whom has expressed interest in study participation. In this study, you may be placed into one of two groups; either a nutrition education treatment group or a control group. If placed into the first group, you will attend six 60 minute education sessions and maintain a personal notebook with goals and educational materials that focus on lowering cholesterol levels through dietary behavior methods. If you are chosen for the second group, you will receive a single cholesterol education supplemental pamphlet, during the first week of the intervention that will address optimal foods to lower blood cholesterol. This group will not attend the nutrition education sessions but will participate in all other procedures of the study. This group will receive all education materials at the end of the intervention. Both groups will record diet and activity logs and have a blood lipid analysis prior to the 6-week intervention and again after a 6-week follow-up appointment succeeding the 6-week intervention. The nutrition education group will also provide a blood lipid sample and diet and activity records immediately following the 6-week intervention. We will also assess blood pressure measurements and anthropometric measurements such as height, weight and waist circumference at all of these visits.

You will be tested in the Montana State University-Bozeman Nutrition Research Laboratory in Herrick Hall.

The study has the following purposes:

- 1) To determine whether oxidation of LDL-C or other blood lipids decreases in a 6-week health behavior modification program that implements the ATP III guidelines. The ATP III guidelines primarily focus on the lowering "bad" cholesterol through dietary guidelines to decrease the risk of developing heart disease
- 2) To determine whether the presence of or absence of dietary education from a health behavior modification program, as outlined by the ATP III guidelines, can change dietary intake and alter LDL-C in 6-weeks.

If you agree to participate in this study you will do the following things:

- 1) You will read and sign this document.
- 2) You will report to the Nutrition Research Laboratory on the MSU campus for a pre screening visit, a week-1 visit, possibly a week-6 visit, and a 6-week follow up visit. You will have blood collected for assessments, which will include: blood lipids, LDL-C and plasma lipid oxidation, along with other related factors. You will need to fast overnight (12 hours) and refrain from vigorous physical activity for a period of 24 hours prior to testing. The results of this analysis will be compared with the criteria for this study to determine inclusion.
- 3) A qualified laboratory assistant using a standard blood pressure cuff will assess your resting blood pressure.
- 4) During your pre-screening visit, you will complete a full interview with an investigator to assess a medical history, a family history, diet history, activity history, and your use of medications and/or vitamins.
- 5) If included into this investigation, you will complete a dietary log of food intake that represents your normal eating pattern and a 3-day activity diary during your 1-week visit and your 6-week visit to aid in interpreting dietary intake and activity changes, if made, during the study.
- 6) If included into this investigation you may attend a 6-week 60-minute education session on the topic of lowering blood cholesterol through dietary means.

Sometimes there are side effects from having blood drawn. These side effects are often called risks, and for this project, the risks are:

Approximately 15-18 ml of blood (3 teaspoons) will be removed by putting a needle in your vein on three occasions. This is the standard medical method used to obtain

blood for tests. There is momentary pain at the time the needle is inserted into the vein, but other discomfort should be minimal. In about 10% of the cases there is a small amount of bleeding under the skin, which will produce a bruise. The risk of infection is less than 1 in 1,000. The pain and bruising is usually mild and a person trained in blood drawing will obtain your blood.

There may be benefits from your participation in this study. These are:

- 1) The opportunity to learn information about your personal blood lipid profile including information about your low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), Total cholesterol (TC), and Triglycerides (TG). However, you should be aware that these are research values and that you should contact your personal physician if you would like interpretation or actual clinical analysis of your blood lipids.
- 2) Exposure to a protocol for studying the determinants of LDL-C oxidation, along with an increased awareness of the risk factors linked to cardiovascular disease and the preventive and treatment methods and guidelines associated with cardiovascular disease.
- 3) You will learn how to assess your energy expenditure and nutrient intake from recording a dietary and activity log.
- 4) You will learn of your current risk factors and your predicted 10-year risk for developing cardiovascular disease or suffering from a cardiovascular disease related event.
- 5) You will learn information regarding dietary methods used to lower your blood cholesterol. Experimental subjects will receive an educational manual to follow the education sessions. Educational materials for the education group will be made available to the control subjects at the completion of the investigation

No other benefits are promised to you.

Confidentiality: The data and personal information obtained from this study will be regarded as privileged and confidential. A code number will identify the data that we collect from you, and all data will be kept in locked offices in the Nutrition Research Laboratory or in Dr. Miles' locked office. The information obtained in this study may be published in scientific journals, but your identity will not be revealed. They will not be released except upon your written request/consent. If during the study you decide to cease your participation, your name will be removed from our study records, and we will not contact you again regarding this study. You will not be penalized in any way.

You will not incur any costs beyond those related to your transportation to and from the Nutrition Research Laboratory at MSU as a result of your participation.

Freedom of Consent: You may withdraw consent in writing, by telephone, or in person with the investigator (Mary Miles at 406-994-6678) and discontinue participation in the

study at any time and without prejudice or loss of benefits (as described above). Participation is completely voluntary.

In the event your participation in this research supported by MONTIS results in injury to you, medical treatment consisting of basic first aid and assistance in getting to Bozeman Deaconess Hospital will be available, but there is no compensation for such injury available.

Further information about this treatment may be obtained by calling Mary Miles at 994-6678.

You are encouraged to express any questions, doubts or concerns regarding this study. The investigator will attempt to answer all questions to the best of her or his ability. The investigator fully intends to conduct the study with your best interest, safety and comfort in mind. *The Chairman of the Human Subjects Committee, Mark Quinn can answer additional questions about the rights of human subjects at 406-994-5721.*

STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION

STUDY TITLE: Influence of a 6-week cholesterol education program on blood lipids and LDL oxidation

AUTHORIZATION: I have read the above and understand the discomforts, inconvenience and risk of this study. I, _____

(PRINT YOUR NAME), agree to participate in this research. I understand that I may later refuse to participate, and that I may withdraw from the study at any time. I have received a copy of this consent form for my own records.

Signed: _____
Subject's Signature

Date: _____

Witness: _____
(If other than the investigator)

Date: _____

Investigator: _____
Mary Miles

Date: _____

APPENDIX C

PRE-SCREENING QUESTIONNAIRE

Subject Information

Date:

Subject ID Number

Name

Phone number

Address

Gender

☐

Male

☐

Female

Age

DOB

Ethnic Heritage (optional)☐

Caucasian

☐

African Am

☐

Asian

☐

American Indian

☐

Hispanic

Menopause status☐

Premenopausal

☐

Postmenopausal

☐

N/A

Does subject smoke?☐

Never smoked

☐

Non-smoking greater than 1 year

☐

Non-smoker less than 1 year

☐

Current smoker

Hyperlipidemia

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes, no meds
- ☐ Controlled on meds
- ☐ NOT controlled on meds

Diabetes

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes, untreated/no meds
- ☐ Yes, oral meds only
- ☐ Yes, IDDM
- ☐ Insulin and Oral Meds

CAD/CHD

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes

Peripheral vascular disease

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes

Previous MI

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Unknown

CVA

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes

Angina

- ☐ No angina
- ☐ Yes, stable angina
- ☐ Yes, unstable angina
- ☐ Unknown

Heart failure

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Unknown

Hypertension

- ☐ No HTN
- ☐ HTN untreated
- ☐ Yes HTN, no meds
- ☐ Yes, controlled on meds
- ☐ NOT controlled on meds

Medications**Supplements****Weight** lbs**Height** inches**BMI** kg/m²**Blood Pressure** mm Hg mm Hg

BLOOD LIPIDS

Date Analyzed:

Total cholesterol

 mg/dL

Low HDL

- ☐ No, >40mg/dl
- ☐ yes, <40 mg/dl

HDL-C level

 mg/dL

LDL-C level

 mg/dL

Triglycerides level

 mg/dL

Admitted into study?

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes

Study Group?

- ☐ Education Classes
- ☐ Pamphlet group

APPENDIX D

PRE-INTERVENTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Visit 1: Pre-Intervention**Demographics**

Last name: _____ First name: _____

Date of visit: _____ Subject #: _____

Health/Medication/Supplement Changes

Have you had any changes in your health status since your last visit?

If yes, please explain: _____

Have you had any changes in your medication or supplement use?

If yes, please explain: _____

Medication/Supplement	Dose	Frequency	Class	Indication

Resting Blood Pressure (Seated)

Blood Pressure: _____ (mm/Hg)

Anthropometric Measures

Height: _____ (in)

Current Body Weight: _____ (lbs) Change in BW: _____ (lbs)

BMI: _____ (kg/m^2)

Ideal Body Weight: _____ (lbs) %IBW: _____

APPENDIX E

POST-INTERVENTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Visit 2: Post-Intervention**Demographics**

Last name: _____

First name: _____

Date of visit: _____

Subject #: _____

Health/Medication/Supplement Changes

Have you had any changes in your health status since your last visit?

If yes, please explain: _____

Have you had any changes in your medication or supplement use?

If yes, please explain: _____

Medication/Supplement	Dose	Frequency	Class	Indication

Resting Blood Pressure (Seated)

Blood Pressure: _____ (mm/Hg)

Anthropometric Measures

Height: _____ (in)

Current Body Weight: _____ (lbs)

Change in BW: _____ (lbs)

BMI: _____ (kg/m²)

Ideal Body Weight: _____ (lbs) %IBW: _____

APPENDIX F
FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONNAIRE

12-week Follow up

Subject Medical and Diet History

NAME: _____

DATE: _____

SUBJECT NUMBER: _____

Comments	YES	N0
Medical changes since 6 week check up?		
Drug or vitamin changes since 6 week check up?		
Current medications:		
Current vitamin/supplements:		
Weight: Height: BMI: Blood Pressure:		
	YES	N0
Women: Post menopausal Currently taking HRT Family History CVD Family History of DM A parent or sibling has had early heart disease (under 55 if male, under 65 if female) Smoker, live/work with smoker		

Diet History (Rate on a scale of use from 0=Never- 5=every day)			
	Prior to study	Present	Primary food used
Soy			
Plant stanol/sterol			
High fiber foods			
Nuts			
Cooking oil (type)			
Additional Information (Rate from 0-5 on awareness of the following topics, 0=never-5=always)			
	Prior to study	Present	Primary food used
Read food labels			
Eat small portions			
Eat breakfast			
Aware of SF content			
Aware of dietary cholesterol content			

APPENDIX G

DIET RECORD DIRECTIONS AND FORM

INSTRUCTIONS:

- ▶ You will pick three consecutive days to include two weekdays and one weekend day (i.e. Thursday, Friday, Saturday) to record your food intake. Try to continue to eat as you usually would. Being overly "good" will not give an accurate picture of your diet.
- ▶ You will begin recording foods on the 3-DAY DIETARY INTAKE FOOD RECORD SHEET, which you have been provided with; beginning with the first food you eat after you awake on DAY 1.
- ▶ Record **all** food and beverages consumed on the record sheets provided.
- ▶ Record the time the food was eaten and a description of the food (please include food labels or recipes if not a common food)
- ▶ If you eat frozen dinners or entrees or at a fast-food restaurant, note the exact name of the item: *Healthy Choice* Chicken Chow Mein, *Taco Bell* Chicken Taco Supreme, *Burger King* Whopper with cheese, etc.
- ▶ Record the quantity of the food eaten, and the location where the food was eaten (i.e. home, work, restaurant etc.).
- ▶ Use the provided food scale to measure the quantity of food you consume.
- ▶ If practical, mixed dishes should be broken down prior to cooking and the individual food items recorded as accurately as possible
- ▶ Remember to include miscellaneous items such as added sugar and salt, snacks, sauces, butter, gravies, and condiments.

SUBJECT NUMBER _____

[illegible]

APPENDIX H

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY LOG

Activity Codes for the Bouchard Three Day Physical Activity Record

Category of activity	Example of activity for each category	Approximate energy expenditure (kcal/kg/15 min)
1	Lying down: - sleeping - resting in bed	0.26
2	Seated: - listening in class - eating - writing by hand or typing - reading - listening to the radio or T.V. - taking a bath	0.38
3	Standing; light activity: - washing oneself - shaving - combing hair - dusting - cooking	0.57
4	Getting dressed Taking a shower Driving a car Taking a walk (strolling)	0.70
5	Light manual work: - housework (washing windows, sweeping etc.) - tailor - baker - painter - brewer - cobbler - mechanic - electrician - painter - lab work - riding a moped - Moderately quick walking (going to school, shopping) - carpentry - masonry - driving a farm tractor - cleaning trees - working in the chemical or electric industries - feeding animals on a farm - doing the bed	0.83
6	Light sport or leisure activities: - light canoeing - volleyball - table tennis - baseball (except the pitcher) - golf - rowing - archery - pinetops - croquet - sailing - cycling (leisure)	1.20
7	Moderate manual work: - machine operating (building industry) - repairing a fence - loading bags or boxes - plantation work - forest work (machine sawing and log handling) - mine work - shoveling snow	1.40
8	Moderate sport or leisure activities: - baseball (pitcher) - badminton - canoeing - cycling (race bike) - dancing - tennis - jogging (slow running) - horseback riding - Alpine skiing - cross-country skiing (leisure) - swimming - gymnastics - brisk walking	1.0

BOUCHARD THREE DAY PHYSICAL ACTIVITY RECORDDay 1Date: ____/____/____
day month year

	Minute Hour	0-15	16-30	31-45	46-60
Last name: _____	0				
First name: _____ In each box, write the number which corresponds to the activity which you have carried out during this 15 minute period. Please consult the activity card that follows to establish the proper coding. If an activity is carried out over a long period (e.g. sleeping) you can draw a continuous line in the rectangular boxes which follow until such a time when there is a change in activity. To understand this better, we suggest that you take a look at the example that follows.	1				
	2				
	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
	7				
	8				
	9				
	10				
	11				
	12				
	13				
	14				
	15				
	16				
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				

Category of activity	Example of activity for each category	Approximate energy expenditure (kcal/kg/15 min)
9	Intense manual work: - felling a tree with an ax - sawing with a hand-saw - working with a pitchfork (on a farm) - cutting tree branches Intense sport or leisure activities: - running in a race - boxing - mountain-climbing - squash - cross-country skiing - ice hockey - basketball - football - racquetball	1.95

APPENDIX I

CHOLESTEROL IQ TEST

CHOLESTEROL AND HEART DISEASE I.Q.

Prepared by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute • NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

Are you cholesterol smart? Test your knowledge about high blood cholesterol with the following statements. Circle each true or false. The answers are given on the back of this sheet.

1. High blood cholesterol is one of the risk factors for heart disease that you can do something about. T F
2. To lower your blood cholesterol level you must stop eating meat altogether. T F
3. Any blood cholesterol level below 240 mg/dL is desirable for adults. T F
4. Fish oil supplements are recommended to lower blood cholesterol. T F
5. To lower your blood cholesterol level you should eat less saturated fat, total fat, and cholesterol, and lose weight if you are overweight. T F
6. Saturated fats raise your blood cholesterol level more than anything else in your diet. T F
7. All vegetable oils help lower blood cholesterol levels. T F
8. Lowering blood cholesterol levels can help people who have already had a heart attack. T F
9. All children need to have their blood cholesterol levels checked. T F
10. Women don't need to worry about high blood cholesterol and heart disease. T F
11. Reading food labels can help you eat the heart healthy way. T F



National Cholesterol Education Program
National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service
National Institutes of Health

NIH Publication No. 95-3794
May 1995

APPENDIX J

TABLE OF CONTENTS AND CHAPTER ONE OF
THE CHOLESTEROL EDUCATION MANUAL

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY-BOZEMAN

Cholesterol Education Guide

Montana State University
• Department of Health and Human Development •
Bozeman • Montana
January • 2003

Table of Contents

CHECK YOUR CHOLESTEROL AND

HEART DISEASE IQ *i*

INTRODUCTION *ii*

CHAPTER 1

The Cholesterol Heart Disease
Connection 1

CHAPTER 2

Fats, Oils, and dietary cholesterol 11

CHAPTER 3

Heart Smart Shopping 19

Reading Labels 24

CHAPTER 4

Complimentary and Alternative Methods to Lower
your Cholesterol 27

CHAPTER 5
Plant Stanols/Sterols 32

CHAPTER 6
Soy 36

CHAPTER 7
Fiber 49

CHAPTER 8
Portion Sizes 51

Eating Out 52

RECIPES

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Introduction

The Third Report of the Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III, or ATP III) represents the National Cholesterol Education Program's (NCEP's) updated clinical guidelines for cholesterol testing and management. ATP III builds on previous ATP reports and expands the indications for intensive cholesterol-lowering therapy in clinical practice. It should be noted that these guidelines are intended to inform, not replace, a physician's clinical judgment, which must ultimately determine the appropriate treatment for each individual.

Background

The third ATP report updates the existing recommendations for clinical management of high blood cholesterol. The NCEP periodically produces ATP clinical updates as needed with advances in the science of cholesterol management. Each of the guideline reports—ATP I, II, and III—has a major component. ATP I outlined a strategy for primary prevention of coronary heart disease (CHD) in persons with high levels of low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol (>160 mg/dL) or those with borderline-high LDL cholesterol (130–159 mg/dL) and multiple (2+) risk factors. ATP II affirmed the importance of this approach and added a new feature: the intensive management of LDL cholesterol in persons with established CHD. For CHD patients, ATP II set a new, lower LDL cholesterol goal of <100 mg/dL. The new ATP III adds a call for more intensive LDL-lowering therapy in certain groups of people, in accord with recent clinical trial evidence, but its core is based on ATP I and ATP II.

LDL Cholesterol: The Primary Target of Therapy

Research from experimental animals, laboratory investigations, epidemiology, and genetic forms of high cholesterol indicate that elevated LDL cholesterol is a major cause of CHD. In addition, recent clinical trials strongly show that LDL-lowering therapy reduces risk for CHD. For these reasons, ATP III continues to identify elevated LDL cholesterol as the primary target of cholesterol-lowering therapy. As a result, the primary goals of therapy and the cut points for initiating treatment are stated in terms of LDL cholesterol.

Dietary Approaches to Lower Your Cholesterol

The program, *Dietary Approaches to Lower Your Cholesterol*, is based upon the recommendations outlined in the ATP III guidelines.

High Blood Cholesterol: What You Need to Know

Your blood cholesterol level has a lot to do with your chances of getting heart disease. High blood cholesterol is one of the major risk factors for heart disease. A risk factor is a condition that increases your chance of getting a disease. In fact, the higher your blood cholesterol level, the greater your risk for developing heart disease or having a heart attack. Heart disease is the number one killer of women and men in the United States. Each year, more than a million Americans have heart attacks; and about a half million people die from heart disease.

How Does Cholesterol Cause Heart Disease?

When there is too much cholesterol (a fat-like substance) in your blood, it builds up in the walls of your arteries. Over time, this buildup causes "hardening of the arteries" so that arteries become narrowed and blood flow to the heart is slowed down or blocked. The blood carries oxygen to the heart, and if enough blood and oxygen cannot reach your heart, you may suffer chest pain. If the blood supply to a portion of the heart is completely cut off by a blockage, the result is a heart attack.

High blood cholesterol itself does not cause symptoms; so many people are unaware that their cholesterol level is too high. It is important to find out what your cholesterol numbers are; lowering high cholesterol levels lessens the risk for developing heart disease and reduces the chance of a heart attack or dying of heart disease. Cholesterol lowering is important for everyone--younger, middle age, and older adults; women and men; and people with or without heart disease.

What Do Your Cholesterol Numbers Mean

Everyone age 20 and older should have their cholesterol measured at least once every 5 years. It is best to have a blood test called a "lipoprotein profile" to find out your cholesterol numbers. This blood test is done after a 9- to 12-hour fast and gives information about:

- Total cholesterol
- LDL (bad) cholesterol--the main source of cholesterol buildup and blockage in the arteries
- HDL (good) cholesterol--helps keep cholesterol from building up in the arteries
- Triglycerides--another form of fat in your blood

ATP III CLASSIFICATION OF LDL, TOTAL, AND HDL CHOLESTEROL (MG/DL)

- **LDL Cholesterol - Primary Target of Therapy**

<100	Optimal
100-129	Near Optimal/Above Optimal
130-159	Borderline High
160-189	High
≥190	Very high

- **Total Cholesterol**

<200	Desirable
200-239	Borderline High
≥240	High

- **HDL Cholesterol**

<40	Low
≥60	High

Lipoproteins

LDL Your LDL (bad) cholesterol level is a good indicator of your risk for heart disease. Lowering LDL is the main aim of treatment if you have high cholesterol. In general, the higher your LDL level, the greater your chance of developing heart disease.

HDL (good) cholesterol protects against heart disease, so for HDL, higher numbers are better. A level less than 40 mg/dL is low and is considered a major risk factor because it increases your risk for developing heart disease. HDL levels of 60 mg/dL or more help to lower your risk for heart disease.

Triglycerides can also raise heart disease risk. Levels that are borderline high (150-199 mg/dL) or high (200 mg/dL or more) may require treatment in some individuals.

What Affects Cholesterol Levels?

A variety of things can affect cholesterol levels. These are things you can do something about:

Diet. Saturated fat and cholesterol in the food you eat can make your blood cholesterol level go up. Saturated fat is the main culprit, but cholesterol in foods also matters. Reducing the amount of saturated fat and cholesterol in your diet helps lower your blood cholesterol level.

Here are steps to lower cholesterol or keep it low:

- ☞ Follow a low-fat low cholesterol diet
- ☞ Be more physically active
- ☞ Lose weight if you are overweight

Weight. Being overweight is a risk factor for heart disease. It also tends to increase your cholesterol. Losing weight can help lower your LDL and total cholesterol levels, as well as raise your HDL and lower your triglyceride levels.

Physical Activity. Not being physically active is a risk factor for heart disease. Regular physical activity can help lower LDL (bad) cholesterol and raise HDL (good) cholesterol levels. It also helps you lose weight. You should try to be physically active for 30 minutes on most, if not all, days.

Factors you have no control over can also affect cholesterol levels. These include:

Age and Gender. As women and men get older, their cholesterol levels rise. Before the age of menopause, women have lower total cholesterol levels than men of the same age. After the age of menopause, women's LDL levels tend to rise.

Heredity. Your genes partly determine how much cholesterol your body makes. High blood cholesterol can run in families.

What Is Your Risk For Developing Heart Disease or Having A Heart Attack?

In general, the higher your LDL level and the more risk factors you have (other than LDL), the greater your chances of developing heart disease or having a heart attack. Some people are at high risk for a heart attack because they already have heart disease. Other people are at high risk for developing heart disease because they have diabetes (which is a strong risk factor) or a combination of risk factors for heart disease. Follow these steps to find out your risk for developing heart disease.

1 Do You Have Any of the Following Risk Factors?

Step 1

Major risk factors (*circle those that apply to you*)

Y / N Cigarette smoking

Y / N High Blood Pressure (140/90 mmHg or higher or on blood pressure medication)

Y / N Low HDL cholesterol (less than 40 mg/dL)*

Y / N Family history of early heart disease (heart disease in father or brother before age 55; heart disease in mother or sister before age 65)

Y / N Age (men 45 years or older; women 55 years or older)

*If your HDL cholesterol is 60 mg/dL or higher, subtract 1 from your total count.

2 How Many Major Risk Factors Do You Have?

Step 2

0 to 1 Risk Factor.



Your LDL goal is less than 160 mg/dL.

The main aim of cholesterol-lowering treatment is to lower your LDL level enough to reduce your risk of developing heart disease or having a heart attack.

Even if your cholesterol level does not need treatment, you should still follow a Heart Healthy Diet, manage your weight, and get regular physical activity.

The higher your risk for heart disease, the lower your LDL goal will be. There are 2 main ways to lower your cholesterol if it is above goal:

Therapeutic Lifestyle Changes (TLC) - includes a cholesterol-lowering diet called the TLC diet, physical activity, and weight management. TLC is for anyone whose LDL is above goal.

2+ Risk Factors

If you have 2 or more risk factors (not including LDL-cholesterol) for heart disease. Your LDL goal and treatment will be the same as for people who already have heart disease or who have diabetes.



Your LDL goal is less than 100 mg/dL

The main aim of cholesterol-lowering treatment is to lower your LDL level enough to reduce your risk of developing heart disease or having a heart attack. Even if your cholesterol level does not need treatment, you should still follow a TLC Diet, manage your weight, and get regular physical activity.

The higher your risk for heart disease, the lower your LDL goal will be. There are 2 main ways to lower your cholesterol if it is above goal:

Therapeutic Lifestyle Changes (TLC) - includes a cholesterol-lowering diet called the TLC diet, physical activity, and weight management. TLC is for anyone whose LDL is above goal.

THERAPEUTIC LIFESTYLE CHANGES IN LDL-LOWERING THERAPY

In May of 2001, the government's National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP), part of the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute rolled out their third addition of the Adult Treatment Panel (ATP III) guidelines for cholesterol reduction. These new guidelines introduce the latest information known to date on how to optimally reduce your risk for coronary heart disease.

Due to more stringent cholesterol cut-off points, it is estimated that millions of people are at much greater risk for heart disease than previously realized. This means more and more people will be walking away from their doctor's office

with a cholesterol-lowering drug prescription in hand (it is estimated prescription drug needs will increase from 13 to 36 million). Medications aside, what these new guidelines also so vividly illustrate is the growing epidemic of poor dietary habits, obesity, hypertension, hyperlipidemia and sedentary lifestyles that lead to the number one killer in America today.

Because of this the ATP intensified the use of nutrition, physical activity and weight control in the treatment of elevated cholesterol and titled it the "Therapeutic Lifestyle Changes" (TLC) treatment plan. Even if you come out with a gold star on your cholesterol level and overall risk for coronary heart disease, most of us would surely benefit from implementing these guidelines.

ATP III recommends a multifaceted lifestyle approach to reduce risk for cardiovascular disease. Its essential features are:

- Reduced intakes of saturated fats (<7% of total calories)
- Reduced dietary cholesterol (<200 mg per day)
- Therapeutic options for enhancing LDL lowering such as plant stanols/sterols (2 g/day)
- Increased viscous (soluble) fiber (10-25 g/day)
- Weight reduction
- Increased physical activity

To initiate TLC, intakes of saturated fats and cholesterol should be reduced first to lower LDL cholesterol. To improve overall health, ATP III's TLC Diet generally contains the recommendations embodied in the Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2000. One exception is that total fat is allowed to range from 25-35% of total calories provided saturated fats and *trans* fatty acids are kept low.

THE NEW CHOLESTEROL GUIDELINES

The following table can help you implement the new guidelines into practical terms you and your family can enjoy and reap heart-healthy benefits:

New TLC guidelines	Why? What does this mean?	Examples
Saturated Fat – less than 7% of total	Diets high in saturated fats are linked to increased risk of coronary heart	Fatty cuts of meat, skin on poultry, egg yolks,

calories	disease. Saturated fats are thought to have the most potent cholesterol raising potential.	lard, butter, whole milk dairy products, palm kernel oil, palm oil, coconut oil, desserts and sweets, fried foods and most snack foods and fast foods made with hydrogenated or partially hydrogenated fats. These fats are generally solid at room temperature.
Trans Fat – as little as possible	Trans fatty acids are formed when a liquid fat is turned into a solid one; a process called hydrogenation. Research indicates that trans fat have the same cholesterol-raising effect that saturated fats do. Therefore we recommend keeping your trans fat intake as low as possible.	To keep your trans fat intake down, limit foods with the following ingredients: partially hydrogenated oil, hydrogenated oil, stick margarine and shortening. Limit your intake of fried foods, cakes, pies and other foods containing the above. Foods containing trans fat are also solid at room temperature.
Polyunsaturated Fat – up to 10% of total calories	Diets moderate in polyunsaturated fats are recommended. Substituting polyunsaturated fats for saturated fats will reduce both total and LDL but do have the potential to also lower HDL levels when consumed in large amounts. That is why they should be consumed to no more than 10% of total calories each day.	Margarine, soybean, safflower, sunflower, cottonseed and corn oils, pumpkin and sunflower seeds, most salad dressings and mayonnaise. These fats stay liquid at room and refrigerator temperatures.
Monounsaturated Fat – up to 20% of total calories	Most desirable source of fat in the diet. Substituting monounsaturated fats for saturated fats will reduce both total and LDL cholesterol while sparing the reduction of HDL	Olive and canola oils, nuts, nut butters and oils (e.g. peanut butter, almond oil), avocados and olives. These fats

	cholesterol.	stay liquid at room temperature but solidify slightly when placed in the refrigerator.
Total Fat – 25% - 35% of total calories	All of the fat you consume on a daily basis should not exceed 35% of total calories. Research indicates that the lowest incidence of heart disease in many regions and cultures such as the Mediterranean region consume 25%-35% of total fat from calories each day. But keep in mind these diets contain the greatest percentage of fat calories from mono and polyunsaturated fats.	All of the sources of fat noted above.
Dietary Cholesterol – less than 200 milligrams each day	Excesses in dietary cholesterol have been linked to increases in coronary heart disease. Consuming less than 200 milligrams per day is a prudent attempt at lowering your risk.	Cholesterol comes from two sources – that which your body creates and that which is found in animal products (meat, poultry, fish, egg yolks and dairy contain dietary cholesterol). Choose reduced fat or lean sources of animal products to help reduce your dietary cholesterol intake.
Carbohydrates – 50% - 60% of total calories	Carbohydrates are the building blocks of a heart-healthy diet. Choose complex carbohydrates (instead of refined ones with white flour) to get the maximum nutritional benefit from these foods.	Whole grain or oat based breads, crackers, pastas and cereals, other whole wheat/grain based flour products; brown or wild rice; couscous, quinoa, barley, buckwheat; lentils, split peas and beans; fruits and vegetables.
Fiber – 20-30 grams	Dietary fiber, specifically the viscous	All of the above complex

per day	(soluble) form, is associated with a decrease in cholesterol and contributes to a host of other health benefits.	carbohydrate food sources. Aim for a minimum of 10 or more grams of viscous (soluble) fiber each day by increasing oats, barley, lentils, split peas, beans, fruits and vegetables. Aim for 8 or more servings from fruits and vegetables daily, eat legumes like beans or lentils at least 3 times a week and choose only unrefined flour based products.
Protein – Approximately 20% of total calories	Dietary protein can come from both plant and animal sources and is an essential nutrient to good health. The problem is, many protein sources (especially animal sources) contain a lot of saturated fat and cholesterol so choose your protein sources wisely.	Major sources of protein in the diet: beef, veal, pork, fish, chicken, legumes like lentils and beans, dairy products, nuts, seeds and soy foods.
Total Calories – balance energy intake with output to achieve or maintain a desirable body weight	Excessive calories, regardless of the source, results in weight gain. Excessive weight gain over time can result in obesity, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, joint problems and a host of other debilitating diseases including heart disease.	Aim to consume 4-6 small meals and snacks daily. Avoid skipping meals and eating late at night for optimal weight maintenance.
Margarine enriched plant sterol/stanol esters	Plant sterols are substances naturally occurring in plants. They are similar in structure to the cholesterol molecule and when ingested, inhibit the cholesterol molecule from being absorbed in the small intestine, resulting in a net decrease in overall cholesterol.	The NCEP recommend incorporating margarine enriched with stanols as an enhancement to therapy prescribed by your physician, NOT as a replacement for diet, lifestyle change or



		prescribed lipid-lowering medications. Currently, two stanols are available on the market- Benecol® and Take Control® .
--	--	---



You may wonder HOW it is you can incorporate these guidelines into your and your families' hectic lifestyle. Take the following steps one day at a time and focus first on the foods in your diet that are high in saturated fat and cholesterol. Start making simple substitutions for saturated fats with mono and polyunsaturated fats, couple this with a focus on fruits, vegetables and whole grains, some physical activity and you are well on your way towards reaching your nutritional goals. Below is an example of how the TLC guidelines would be implemented for someone on a 1,800-calorie diet.


Nutrient	For a 1,800-calorie diet
Saturated fat, <7% of calories	14 grams or less per day
Polyunsaturated fat, up to 10% of calories	Up to 20 grams per day
Monounsaturated fat, up to 20% of calories	Up to 40 grams per day
Total fat, 25% to 35% of calories	Between 50 and 70 grams per day
Carbohydrate, 50% to 60% of calories	Between 225 and 270 grams per day
Protein, about 15% of calories	Around 67 grams per day
Cholesterol	Less than 200 milligrams per day
Fiber	20-30 grams per day with a focus on viscous (soluble) fiber

APPENDIX K

STANDARD INTERACTION PLOTS FOR
EDUCATION X TIME INTERACTIONS







MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY - BOZEMAN



3 1762 10385521 7