



Hemagglutinin from Acrididae (Grasshopper) : preparation and properties
by Mark Richard Stebbins

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in
Biochemistry

Montana State University

© Copyright by Mark Richard Stebbins (1984)

Abstract:

The proteinaceous hemagglutinin (lectin) present in the hemolymph of *Melanoplus sanguinipes* (F.), was isolated and biochemically characterized. The protein was purified to homogeneity by affinity chromatography on a column of Sepharose-galactose. The hemagglutinin showed broad specificity and agglutinated several erythrocyte types. Gel filtration and electrophoresis showed that grasshopper hemagglutinin was a high molecular weight (600-700 K dalton) non-covalent aggregate of 70 K dalton subunits. The 70 K dalton subunits contained two disulfide-linked polypeptide chains of molecular weight 40,000 and 28,000 respectively. The purified hemagglutinin contained a preponderance of acidic and polar amino acid residues and a small amount of glucosamine. Hemagglutination activity toward human asialo erythrocytes was destroyed by treatment of the hemagglutinin with trypsin, heat or EDTA. Hemagglutination inhibition studies showed that low concentrations (<5 mM) of both galactosidic and glucosidic carbohydrates are bound by the hemagglutinin and cause inhibition of erythrocyte agglutination. The strongest inhibitors of hemagglutination were the alpha anomers of D-galactose. Hemolymphatic hemagglutinin isolated from *Melanoplus differentialis* yielded identical physicochemical results as did hemagglutinin from *Melanoplus sanguinipes*. It was concluded that a single hemagglutinin protein was the substance responsible for all hemagglutinating activity present in the hemolymph of either species.

Research directed toward the elucidation of the possible roles that grasshopper hemagglutinin plays in grasshopper immune/defense mechanisms was initiated by producing antibodies to purified hemagglutinin in rabbits and mice. These antibodies were specific for grasshopper hemagglutinin as shown by gel double diffusion and immunoelectrophoresis. Individual subunits were recognized by rabbit antiserum as shown by an indirect immunochemical detection procedure where rabbit antiserum (bound to protein subunits immobilized on a nitrocellulose filter) is recognized by an enzyme-conjugated "second" antibody. Applications of this research toward future immunological localization studies of grasshopper hemagglutinin in insect hemocyte maintenance cultures are discussed.

HEMAGGLUTININ FROM ACRIDIDAE (GRASSHOPPER) :
PREPARATION AND PROPERTIES

by
Mark Richard Stebbins

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree
of
Master of Science
in
Biochemistry

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

June, 1984

MAIN LIB.
N378
ST 31
cop 2

ii

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Mark Richard Stebbins

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

May 24, 1984
Date

Kenneth B. Hagner
Chairperson,
Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

May 24, 1984
Date

Edwin H. Abbott
Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

June 6, 1984
Date

Henry L. Parsons
Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgement of source is made.

Permission for extensive quotation from or reproduction of this thesis may be granted by my major professor, or in his absence, by the Director of Libraries when, in the opinion of either, the proposed use of the material is for scholarly purposes. Any copying or use of the material in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature Mark R. Stephens

Date May 25, 1984

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my research advisor, Dr. Ken Hapner, for his enthusiasm and guidance during the course of this project.

I also wish to express my appreciation to the following persons from Montana State University who generously offered advice and assistance during my graduate study.

Dr. John E. Robbins, Chemistry Dept.

Sharon J. Hapner, Biology Dept.

Dr. Clifford W. Bond, Microbiology Dept.

Dr. Guylyn R. Warren, Chemistry Dept.

Dr. Samuel J. Rogers, Chemistry Dept.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
ABSTRACT.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	2
RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	9
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	10
Isolation of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin.....	10
Collection of hemolymph.....	10
Hemagglutination assay.....	10
Preparation of asialo erythrocytes.....	11
Affinity chromatography.....	11
Protein assay.....	12
Carbohydrate Inhibitor of Hemagglutination.....	12
Biochemical Characterization of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin.....	13
Gel filtration.....	13
Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE).....	13
Nondenaturing discontinuous PAGE.....	13
Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) PAGE.....	14
Urea PAGE.....	15
Isoelectric focusing.....	15
Amino acid analysis.....	16
Stability.....	16
Production of Antiserum in Rabbits.....	17
Production of Antibodies in Murine Ascitic Fluid.....	17
Gel Double Diffusion.....	18
Immunochemical Detection of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin.....	18
Immunoelectrophoresis.....	18
Protein transfer from PAGE gels to nitrocellulose filters.....	19
Glucose oxidase conjugated goat-anti- (rabbit IgG) IgG.....	20

TABLE OF CONTENTS--Continued

	Page
RESULTS.....	21
Purification of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin.....	21
Affinity chromatography.....	21
Stepwise elution.....	22
Elution with other desorbants.....	22
Erythrocyte Agglutination.....	24
Native Molecular Structure of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin.....	25
Gel filtration.....	25
Nondenaturing electrophoresis.....	27
Isoelectric focusing.....	27
Subunit Structure of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin....	30
SDS electrophoresis.....	30
Electrophoresis in urea.....	30
Isoelectric focusing in urea.....	33
Amino Acid Composition.....	33
Carbohydrate Inhibition of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin.....	34
Molecular Stability of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin..	37
Antigenicity of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin.....	40
Rabbit antiserum.....	40
Immune murine ascitic fluid.....	40
Immunological Detection of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin.....	43
Immuno electrophoresis.....	43
Glucose oxidase immunoenzyme.....	43
DISCUSSION.....	45
Purification.....	45
Molecular Structure.....	48
Inhibition of Hemagglutination.....	52
Stability.....	54
Immunological Studies.....	55
CONCLUSIONS.....	58
REFERENCES CITED.....	60
APPENDIX.....	63

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Hemagglutination of Various Erythrocytes by Whole Hemolymph, Absorbed Hemolymph and Purified Hemagglutinin.....	25
2. Amino Acid Composition of Purified Hemagglutinin from <u>Melanoplus sanguinipes</u> and <u>Melanoplus differentialis</u>	34
3. Carbohydrate Inhibition of Hemagglutination by Whole Grasshopper Hemolymph and Purified Hemagglutinin.....	36

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Affinity chromatography of grasshopper hemolymph.....	23
2.	Gel filtration of grasshopper hemolymph.....	26
3.	Nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of purified grasshopper hemagglutinin.....	28
4.	SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of whole grasshopper hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin.....	29
5.	Urea polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of grasshopper hemagglutinin.....	31
6.	Isoelectric focusing of native and urea denatured grasshopper hemagglutinin.....	32
7.	Heat stability of hemagglutinating activity of whole grasshopper hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin.....	38
8.	Trypsin stability of hemagglutinating activity of whole grasshopper hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin.....	39
9.	Gel double diffusion of whole grasshopper hemolymph, affinity adsorbed hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin vs. rabbit antiserum.....	41
10.	Immunoelectrophoresis of purified hemagglutinin.....	42

ABSTRACT

The proteinaceous hemagglutinin (lectin) present in the hemolymph of Melanoplus sanguinipes (F.), was isolated and biochemically characterized. The protein was purified to homogeneity by affinity chromatography on a column of Sepharose-galactose. The hemagglutinin showed broad specificity and agglutinated several erythrocyte types. Gel filtration and electrophoresis showed that grasshopper hemagglutinin was a high molecular weight (600-700 K dalton) non-covalent aggregate of 70 K dalton subunits. The 70 K dalton subunits contained two disulfide-linked polypeptide chains of molecular weight 40,000 and 28,000 respectively. The purified hemagglutinin contained a preponderance of acidic and polar amino acid residues and a small amount of glucosamine. Hemagglutination activity toward human asialo erythrocytes was destroyed by treatment of the hemagglutinin with trypsin, heat or EDTA. Hemagglutination inhibition studies showed that low concentrations (<5 mM) of both galactosidic and glucosidic carbohydrates are bound by the hemagglutinin and cause inhibition of erythrocyte agglutination. The strongest inhibitors of hemagglutination were the alpha anomers of D-galactose. Hemolymphatic hemagglutinin isolated from Melanoplus differentialis yielded identical physico-chemical results as did hemagglutinin from Melanoplus sanguinipes. It was concluded that a single hemagglutinin protein was the substance responsible for all hemagglutinating activity present in the hemolymph of either species.

Research directed toward the elucidation of the possible roles that grasshopper hemagglutinin plays in grasshopper immune/defense mechanisms was initiated by producing antibodies to purified hemagglutinin in rabbits and mice. These antibodies were specific for grasshopper hemagglutinin as shown by gel double diffusion and immunoelectrophoresis. Individual subunits were recognized by rabbit antiserum as shown by an indirect immunochemical detection procedure where rabbit antiserum (bound to protein subunits immobilized on a nitrocellulose filter) is recognized by an enzyme-conjugated "second" antibody. Applications of this research toward future immunological localization studies of grasshopper hemagglutinin in insect hemocyte maintenance cultures are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

An organism's survival is often dependent in part upon endogenous "immune" protection systems that render the host exempt from the potentially harmful effects of pathogens and other foreign substances. Higher vertebrate organisms possess an integrated cellularly and humorally mediated antibody immune system, the hallmarks of which are the immunoglobulins, complement proteins, and lymphocytes [1].

What do we know about the immune systems of lower vertebrates and invertebrates, particularly insects? It has been speculated that the mammalian immune system may have evolved from the hemocyte cells of invertebrates [2]. Certainly the success of the insects' strategy for survival is manifest in their numbers. Over 700,000 currently living species of insects have been identified, which amounts to over one-half of all living things found on the earth. Several lines of evidence are available to clearly indicate that the immunoglobulin-complement system is not present in the insect. Two basic events are known to occur upon the introduction of foreign matter into the hemocoel of an insect: phagocytosis of smaller particles (< 10 micrometers, μm) and encapsulation of larger

particles [3]. Phagocytosis initially requires recognition of the foreign substance. This is followed by chemotactic attraction and subsequent attachment of the foreign substance to the phagocyte. The final phase is ingestion and neutralization of the foreign material. Particles too large to be phagocytosed are encapsulated and neutralized in a membranous capsule. Encapsulated bacteria have been shown to aggregate into melanized nodules [4]. What, then, is the nature of nonself recognition in insects? Is recognition accomplished by cells alone? Are humoral factors involved in recognition? One clue may lie in a group of proteins called agglutinins that occur ubiquitously in hemolymph, the blood of insects.

Agglutinins are polyvalent lectins that can recognize and bind to specific carbohydrate molecular structures on cell surfaces of bacteria and vertebrate erythrocytes. The cells are thus crosslinked and clumped or aggregated. In the case of red cells, the term hemagglutination is descriptive of the activity. The clumping activity can be visually observed, and this fact forms the basis for the convenient hemagglutination assay for detection of hemagglutinins.

Soluble hemagglutinin activity is generally present in the hemolymph of invertebrate organisms [5,6] and most current research on invertebrate hemagglutinins is

directed toward their putative (carbohydrate) recognitory capabilities as humoral and/or cellular immunosubstances [7]. Hemagglutinins have been implicated as opsonins in some invertebrates including crayfish [8], mollusks [9] and oysters [10,11]. Nonetheless, the in vivo function(s) of invertebrate hemagglutinins is unknown and experimental data supportive of their involvement in immune mechanisms is largely circumstantial and inconclusive.

The reasons for studying insect immune systems are two-fold. First, annual losses of food crops in the United States caused by insects have been estimated at 13% [12]. In the western United States grasshoppers alone destroy more than 30 million dollars worth of food crops each year [13]. Our rapidly increasing human population demands increased global food production capacity which is increasingly dependent on the effective control of insect pests. Insect control involving toxic pesticides is facing many drawbacks including technological limitations due to evolving genetic resistance, nonspecificity and sociological-legal restraints [14]. These facts along with recent advances in biotechnology have opened the door for research and development of biological control systems; that is, the strategic introduction of bacteria or viruses that are pathogenic to a specific (pest) organism [15]. The major obstacle limiting progress in this area is the highly successful immunodefense system of

insects, the biochemical basis of which is poorly understood.

Another reason for studying insect hemagglutinins is that lectins bind to cell surface polysaccharides, glycoproteins, and glycolipids in a specific fashion and provide a way to study the architecture of cell surfaces [16]. Some lectins differentially agglutinate certain mammalian cells in culture, depending on the structure of their surface polysaccharides. Since these cell surface carbohydrates are often antigenic in a nonself environment, lectins can provide a means by which to indicate donor-recipient tissue compatibility in tissue transplants based on a similar agglutination pattern [17]. Also, some lectins preferentially agglutinate virally or chemically transformed mammalian cells in culture as well as cells from spontaneous tumors [18] and are therefore useful tools for the cytogeneticist and the cancer researcher [19].

The possible involvement of hemagglutinins in insect defense systems is described in reviews by Whitcomb, et al. [20] and more recently by Lackie [17] and Ratner and Vinson [21]. Insect agglutinins may be active in both recognitory and processing (phagocytosis, encapsulation) phases of immunosurveillance and protection. Elucidation of the in vivo function of insect agglutinins has been hampered by the unavailability of highly purified and

characterized agglutinins, and associated immunological detection procedures.

Hemagglutinating activity has been described in the hemolymph of several insect specimens including grasshoppers [22,23], crickets [24], flesh fly [25], cockroaches [26,27,28,29,30,31], beetles [32], locusts [28], milkweed bug [33], and butterflies and moths [34,35].

An injury induced hemagglutinin from Sarcophaga peregrina larvae that is also detected in high amounts in the early pupal stage was isolated and characterized by Komano, et al. [25]. It is a 190,000 molecular weight (MW) protein consisting of four 32,000 MW and two 30,000 MW noncovalently associated subunits. Only the 32,000 MW subunit is present in normal larvae. The authors suggest that the 30,000 MW subunit may be produced from 32,000 MW subunits by a protease that is activated commensurate with body wall injury [36]. This idea is based on indirect evidence that both 32,000 MW and 30,000 MW subunits show similar tryptic peptide maps. Alternatively, the 30,000 MW subunit may be synthesized de novo. In a further study using a radioimmunoassay Komano, et al. [37] showed that the lectin was synthesized in the fat body and secreted into the hemolymph both on injury and on pupation. Also, the amount of lectin on the outer hemocyte surface increased upon injury and on pupation. The authors suggest that this hemagglutinin may be involved in

nonspecific recognition in an immune system that culminates in phagocytosis of foreign substances or fragments of tissue undergoing metamorphosis.

Hapner and Jermyn [24] isolated a hemagglutinin from the cricket Teleogryllus commodus (Walker) on an affinity matrix of Sepharose-fetuin. Cricket hemagglutinin was completely desorbed from the affinity column with buffer that contained 0.1 M N-acetyl neuraminic acid, and incompletely with buffer containing 0.1 M 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-glucose. Purified cricket hemagglutinin activity was inhibited by the two desorbants as well as by N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (10 mM), N-acetyl-D-galactosamine (50 mM), and EDTA (10 mM). The unpurified cricket hemagglutinin was shown to be a high molecular weight glycoprotein complex of disulfide-linked 31,000 MW and 53,000 MW polypeptide chains.

Amirante, et al. [38] described the presence of two hemagglutinins in the hemolymph of the cockroach Leucophaea maderae L. Amirante and Mazzalai [39] used fluorescein-labeled antiserum, to show that both hemagglutinins were synthesized in granular hemocytes and spherule cells. The authors propose that the two hemagglutinins are probably released into the hemolymph where they may be responsible for "cellular immunological reactions".

Grasshopper hemolymph nonspecifically agglutinates all human ABO and many animal erythrocytes. The hemagglutinin activity exhibits a broad pattern of carbohydrate inhibition of hemagglutination and shows highest sensitivity to inhibition by both galactosidic and glucosidic structures [22]. This broad range of hemagglutination and carbohydrate inhibition resides in individual insects [23] and is not the result of the pooling of hemolymph from many insects. Individual grasshoppers are therefore viewed as either containing complex mixtures of agglutinins of various specificities (heteroagglutinins) or a single hemagglutinin of broad red cell and carbohydrate binding capability.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The specific objectives of this study are:

a. Purify and characterize the hemagglutinin from the hemolymph of Melanoplus sanguinipes and Melanoplus differentialis.

b. Immunize rabbits and mice using purified hemagglutinin as the immunogen.

c. Develop methodology for indirect immunochemical localization procedures for grasshopper hemagglutinin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

Collection of hemolymph. Adult M. sanguinipes and M. differentialis grasshoppers were provided from permanent colonies at the USDA Rangeland Insect Laboratory, Bozeman, MT. Hemolymph was collected with a capillary pipette from ether-anaesthetized insects as previously described [22]. The hemolymph was pipetted into an equal volume of ice cold Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) (1.5 mM KH_2PO_4 , 8 mM Na_2HPO_4 , 0.9 mM CaCl_2 , 2.7 mM KCl , 0.5 mM MgCl_2 , 0.135 M NaCl , pH 7.2) which contained 0.001 M phenylthiourea (PTU) to inhibit melanin formation. Hemocytes and coagulum were removed by centrifugation at 3000 g and the clear yellow supernatant was stored at -20°C .

Hemagglutination assay. Human ABO erythrocytes were a gift from Physicians Laboratory Service, Inc. (Bozeman, MT) and animal erythrocytes were purchased from Colorado Serum Company (Denver, CO). Erythrocytes were washed four times by centrifugation in ice cold DPBS prior to use. Hemagglutination activity was detected at 22°C by serial two-fold dilution of 25 microliter (ul) hemagglutinin sample with 25 ul DPBS using plastic V-bottom microtiter dishes. After dilution of the sample, 25 ul of a 2.5%

suspension of erythrocytes in DPBS was added and agglutination was visually determined after 30 min. The reciprocal of the highest dilution causing agglutination of erythrocytes was the hemagglutination titer. Controls not containing hemagglutinin were always performed.

Preparation of asialo erythrocytes. Asialo human erythrocytes were prepared by incubating for one hour at 37°C 0.5 ml human O⁺ erythrocytes with 3 mg neuraminidase (type 5, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) in 10 ml DPBS at pH 5.7. The asialo red cells were washed four times with ice cold DPBS prior to use.

Affinity chromatography. D-Galactose was covalently attached to Sepharose 4B (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ) by the divinylsulfone method [40]. A 0.5 x 3 cm column was prepared and washed with 100 volumes of ice cold DPBS. About 50 ml of grasshopper hemolymph (previously diluted with an equal volume of DPBS, 1 mM PTU) was passed through the column (10 ml/hr) at 4°C. The column was then washed with ice cold DPBS until the absorbance of the effluent at 280 nm returned to zero. Adsorbed hemagglutinin activity was released from the column upon elution with DPBS that contained 0.2 M D-galactose. After the first one ml fraction was collected, the column flow was stopped and the column was allowed to incubate for several hours at 22°C before the second one ml fraction was collected. Subsequent fractions were collected after similar

incubation periods. Hemagglutination titer was immediately determined by hemagglutination assay using asialo human O⁺ erythrocytes.

Protein assay. Protein concentrations of eluted fractions were determined relative to a bovine serum albumin standard by the method of Bradford [41] with the Bio Rad protein assay kit (Bio Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA). Collected fractions were stored at -20°C.

Carbohydrate Inhibition of Hemagglutination

Minimal inhibitory concentrations of carbohydrates were determined by performing the hemagglutination assay in the presence of carbohydrates ranging downward in concentration from 100 mM to 0.3 mM. The initial sample of hemagglutinin was adjusted by dilution to a titer of 64-128. The serially diluted hemagglutinin and the added carbohydrate (25 μ l) were incubated 5 min prior to addition of 25 μ l human O⁺ asialo erythrocytes. All determinations were done in duplicate and titer was visually estimated after one hour. Controls in which DPBS was substituted for the carbohydrate solution were done concurrently. Direct comparison of the hemagglutination titer for the inhibited and noninhibited assays allowed determination of the inhibitor concentration which decreased the titer by 50% (one agglutination well).

Biochemical Characterization of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

Gel filtration. Whole hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin were chromatographed separately on a 1.5 x 120 cm column of Sepharose 6B. The column was developed at 22°C at a flow rate of 12 ml/hr with a pH 7.2 buffer consisting of 10 mM tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂ and 50 mM D-galactose. Calibration standards were thyroglobulin (669,000), ferritin (440,000), catalase (232,000) and aldolase (151,000) (Pharmacia). Column effluent was monitored at 280 nm and 2 ml fractions were collected. Aliquots were assayed for hemagglutinin activity using asialo erythrocytes.

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Protein samples were electrophoresed, under various conditions, in 140 x 160 x 1.5 mm polyacrylamide slab gels using the apparatus and procedures from Hoefer Scientific (San Francisco, CA). Acrylamide and sodium dodecyl sulfate were from Sigma. N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide and N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine were from Aldrich Chemical Company (Milwaukee, WI). Enzyme grade urea was from Bethesda Research Laboratories (Gaithersburg, MD) and protein molecular weight markers were from Pharmacia. All other chemicals were of reagent grade.

Nondenaturing discontinuous PAGE. Nondenaturing discontinuous PAGE was carried out using a 3.1%, pH 7.5 stacking gel and a 5%, pH 8.3 separating gel, both

containing 0.2 M D-galactose. Samples contained approximately 10 ug protein mixed with 1/10 volume 50% sucrose. Electrophoresis was carried out at 20 mA/gel for 6 hr at 13°C. Gels were fixed for 1 hr in 12.5% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid in water, stained 2 hr in 0.25% (w/v) Coomassie Blue G-250 in water and destained 24 hr in methanol/acetic acid/water (5:7:88 by vol). The position of non-denatured haemagglutinin was related to the standard protein markers: thyroglobulin (669,000), ferritin (440,000), catalase (232,000) and lactate dehydrogenase (140,000).

Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) PAGE. Electrophoresis in SDS polyacrylamide gel slabs was done using 4%, pH 6.8 stacking and 12%, pH 8.8 separating gels according to Laemmli [42]. Samples that contained 10-30 ug protein were denatured by heating 2 min at 95 °C in an equal volume of pH 6.8 buffer (0.1 M tris·HCl, 4% SDS and 20% glycerol) that did or did not contain 2-mercaptoethanol. Standard molecular weight markers were treated similarly. Electrophoresis was continued for 3 hr at 22°C and 30 mA/gel. Gels were stained 4 hr in 0.12% (w/v) Coomassie Blue R-250 in methanol/acetic acid/water (5:1:4 by vol), destained in the same solvent for 1 hr and then destained 24 hr in methanol/acetic acid/water (5:7:88 by vol). Standard curves were calculated from the migration positions of bovine serum albumin (68,000), ovalbumin

(43,000), chymotrypsinogen (25,700) and lysozyme (14,300) relative to that of the phenol red marker dye. Apparent molecular weights for reduced and non-reduced conditions were extrapolated from plots relating migration and log molecular weight for the reduced and non-reduced standard protein markers, respectively.

Urea PAGE. Electrophoresis using urea as the denaturant was performed as in the nondenaturing system except that both stacking and separating gels contained 6 M urea and 0.02 M EDTA. Before application to the gel, solid urea was added to all protein samples to give a final concentration of 6 M. Reduced protein samples were prepared by incubation in 5% 2-mercaptoethanol for 2 min at 95°C prior to addition of urea. Samples contained 10-20 ug protein. Electrophoresis was performed at 200 volts for 18 hr at 22°C.

Isoelectric focusing. Isoelectric focusing of purified hemagglutinin was done in 5 x 90 mm 6% polyacrylamide gel rods with a Hoefer DE 102 Tube Gel Unit according to Wrigley [43]. Carrier ampholyte in the pH range 3-10 was from LKB Products (Bromma, Sweden). All nondenaturing gels contained 0.2 M D-galactose. Isoelectric focusing under denaturing conditions was performed in gels containing 6 M urea. Isoelectric focusing was continued for 4 hr at 1 mA/tube and at 13°C. Focused gels were fixed 2 hr in methanol/water (3:7 v/v)

that contained 3.45% (w/v) sulfosalicylic acid and 11.5% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. They were then soaked in destain solution (ethanol/acetic acid/water, 25:8:67 by vol) for 2 hr and stained 20 min at 50°C with 0.12% (w/v) Coomassie Blue R-250 in destain solution. Destaining was continued 12-24 hr or until bands were visible.

Amino acid analysis. Samples of hemagglutinin (100 ug) were refluxed in vacuo in 6 M HCl for 18 hr at 110°C. The hydrolyzates were dried in a vacuum desiccator, dissolved in pH 2.2 citrate sample buffer and analyzed on a Beckman 120C amino acid analyzer according to Spackman, et al. [44]. Performic acid oxidation of protein samples prior to hydrolysis was performed by the method of Hirs [45]. Cysteic acid was assumed to have a ninhydrin color value equal to that of aspartic acid. No corrections were made for incomplete hydrolysis or partial hydrolytic destruction of amino acid residues.

Stability. Heat stability of the hemagglutinin was examined by periodic hemagglutination assay of 250 ul samples incubated at 37°C and 55°C in DPBS. Susceptibility to trypsin was similarly determined by incubating hemagglutinin (250 ul) with 25 ug active trypsin (Sigma) at 37°C. The hemagglutination titer (human O⁺ asialo erythrocytes) was adjusted to 1024 by dilution with DPBS for both whole hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin prior to each experiment.

Production of Antiserum in Rabbits

Female New Zealand white rabbits were each immunized with 100 ug of purified hemagglutinin according to the multiple intradermal injection method of Vaitukaitus [46]. The immunogen was prepared by emulsifying one ml of hemagglutinin solution (DPBS, 0.2 M D-galactose) with one ml of complete Freund's adjuvant that contained 5 mg/ml T. bacillus. For each animal, control serum was obtained before immunization and antiserum was collected weekly beginning 6 weeks post immunization.

Production of Antibodies in Murine Ascitic Fluids

Two BALB/c-BYJ mice were immunized with purified hemagglutinin according to the method of Tung [47]. Each mouse was injected intraperitoneally on days 0, 14, 21, 28, and 35 with an emulsion of complete Freund's adjuvant and purified hemagglutinin solution (9:1 v/v). Each 0.2 ml immunization contained approximately 40 ug purified hemagglutinin. Mice were tapped when ascitic fluid build-up became appreciable, usually every 3 days after the 5th injection. An 18 gauge needle (without syringe) was inserted into the peritoneal cavity and the fluid was collected directly into a centrifuge tube. Typically about 5 ml of hyperimmune ascitic fluid was obtained from each tap. Sodium azide was added to the ascitic fluid to a final concentration of 0.025% (w/v), and the mixture was

allowed to incubate overnight at 22°C. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 8,000 rpm (SS-34 rotor, 5 min) and at 4°C. The supernatant was centrifuged again at 4 °C (15,000 rpm, 20 min) and the fatty layer formed in this step was removed by aspiration. The remaining solution was then filtered through glass wool to remove any residual lipid. Hyperimmune ascitic fluid was stored in 1 ml aliquots at -50°C.

Gel Double Diffusion

Antibody production from rabbit antiserum and murine ascitic fluid was monitored by double diffusion of antiserum and hemagglutinin in 0.5% agarose gels [48]. Agarose was dissolved in DPBS and in DPBS that contained 0.1 M each D-galactose and D-glucose. Titer values of antisera and ascites were the reciprocal of the highest dilution that produced a precipitin line after 48 hr incubation in a moist environment at 22°C.

Immunochemical Detection of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

Immuno-electrophoresis. Purified grasshopper hemagglutinin were electrophoresed in 1.5 mm thick 0.5% agarose gels on plain 25 x 75 mm microscope slides according to the method of Grabar and Williams [49]. The gels were prepared using reservoir buffer (0.025 M barbital, 0.005 M sodium barbital, pH 8.6) that contained 0.2 M galactose and 0.025% (w/v) NaN₃. Electrophoresis was carried out at

1 mA/gel for 2-3 hr in a cooled (13°C) horizontal electrophoresis unit. Following electrophoresis the center trough was filled with 0.1 ml of rabbit antiserum and the gel was incubated at 37°C for 24 hr. Precipitin lines were evaluated visually and stained with amido black to obtain a permanent record. Gels to be stained were first washed in 300 ml of 10 mM PBS (pH 7.2) for 24 hr (1 change) to remove non-precipitated protein. Gels were then rinsed in deionized water (5 min), covered with wet filter paper, and air-dried at 37°C overnight. The slides were stained for 5 minutes at 22°C in 1% amido black (w/v) in destain solution, acetic acid/water (7:93 v/v), and destained with 3 successive 100 ml washes. The gels were air-dried and the blue banding patterns were evaluated visually.

Protein transfer from PAGE gels to nitrocellulose filters. The methods of Towbin [50] were used to electroelute proteins from various types of polyacrylamide gel slabs onto nitrocellulose filter paper of 0.2 μ m porosity (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH). For review, see Gershoni and Palade [51]. The transfer apparatus was a Hoefer TE series transphor unit, and all transfers were performed at 13°C. SDS-PAGE and nondenaturing-PAGE gels were transferred in buffer (25mM tris, 192 mM glycine, 20% methanol v/v, pH 8.3) with the nitrocellulose on the anodic side of the gel for 30-60 minutes (depending on the

percentage of acrylamide in the gel) at a current of 0.7-0.8 amps. Urea gels were transferred in 0.7% acetic acid with the nitrocellulose on the cathodic side of the gel for 45 minutes. Following the transfer, the gels were stained with Coomassie Blue in the usual fashion. Nitrocellulose strips were stained either with amido black or immunochemically with glucose oxidase (Appendix I).

Glucose oxidase conjugated goat anti-(rabbit IgG) IgG. This glucose oxidase immunoenzyme was purchased from Cappel Laboratories (Malvern, PA) and was used to identify native grasshopper hemagglutinin or subunits thereof that had been immobilized on nitrocellulose filters. Generally the method of Rathev, et al. [52] was followed, and the staining procedure is outlined in the Appendix. The glucose oxidase method was also used to stain strips of nitrocellulose onto which had been spotted 2 ul of various hemagglutinin and control protein solutions. Following disclosure, nitrocellulose strips were dried overnight between weighted blotter paper.

RESULTS

These results describe the isolation, biochemical characterization, and immunological characterization of the hemagglutinin from M. sanguinipes. During this work, parallel studies with hemolymphatic hemagglutinin from M. differentialis were performed which yielded virtually identical results.

Purification of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

Affinity chromatography. The hemagglutinin present in grasshopper hemolymph was purified on a column of Sepharose-galactose as shown in Figure 1. In a typical affinity purification experiment, about 350 ug of hemagglutinin was isolated from a 50 ml hemolymph sample. This represented hemolymph collected from approximately 300-400 insects. The minimal concentration of purified hemagglutinin capable of agglutinating human O⁺ asialo erythrocytes was 20 ng/ml. Hemolymph, prior to application to the column, typically had a hemagglutination titer in the range 512-1024. The titer value of hemolymph emerging from the column was in the range 8-16 showing that approximately 98% of the hemagglutinin activity in the original sample was adsorbed by the

affinity matrix. When desorbing buffer (DPBS, 0.2 M D-galactose) was applied to the affinity column (arrow in Fig. 1), a small peak of 280 nm absorbancy and a coincident peak of hemagglutinin activity emerged from the column. The hemagglutinin activity trailed somewhat behind the absorbancy peak indicating that release of activity from within the Sepharose-galactose matrix was not instantaneous.

Stepwise elution. In later experiments the adsorbed affinity matrix was incubated in one column volume of desorbing buffer for several hours prior to elution. This procedure resulted in a slightly higher yield and a more highly concentrated preparation of hemagglutinin.

Elution with other desorbants. Adsorbed hemagglutinin was also released from the affinity column by elution with either DPBS that contained 0.2 M sucrose or by 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) that contained 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 50 mM EDTA. In both cases, molecular characteristics of the desorbed protein were indistinguishable from those associated with hemagglutinin released from the affinity column by elution with DPBS that contained 0.2 M D-galactose.




Figure 1. Affinity chromatography of grasshopper hemolymph. Elution of pure grasshopper hemagglutinin is with 0.2 M galactose in DPBS (arrow).

Erythrocyte agglutination

Hemolymph that had passed through the Sepharose-galactose column was examined for possible residual hemagglutinating activity toward other human and animal erythrocyte types. The ability of purified hemagglutinin and of whole (non-adsorbed) hemolymph to agglutinate these cells was also examined. These data are summarized in Table 1 and indicate that essentially all hemagglutinin activity was removed from the original hemolymph sample by one pass over the affinity matrix, and was regained upon elution with desorbing buffer (DPBS, 0.2 M D-galactose). This particular sample had generally low activity and, in contrast with previously shown data (Hapner, [23], did not agglutinate normal human red cells. Rabbit erythrocytes behaved anomalously since not only did adsorbed hemolymph show a high titer, but heat-denatured purified hemagglutinin showed a high amount of agglutinating capability as well. The control experiment, however, showed that rabbit cells are not agglutinated and settle normally in DPBS alone. Agglutination of rabbit erythrocytes was therefore viewed as being caused by nonspecific factors, perhaps hydrophobic protein interactions.

Table 1. Hemagglutination of Various Erythrocytes by Whole Hemolymph, Adsorbed Hemolymph and Purified Hemagglutinin.

Cell type	Hemagglutination titer*		
	Whole Hemolymph	Adsorbed Hemolymph	Pure Hemagglutinin
A ⁺	NA	NA	NA
B ⁺	NA	NA	NA
AB ⁺	NA	NA	NA
O ⁺	NA	NA	NA
Asialo O ⁺	128	T	4096
Pig	128	T	4096
Cat	32	NA	1024
Calf	16	T	64
Chick	NA	NA	NA
Sheep	NA	NA	NA
Rabbit	2048	1024	4096

*T, Trace; NA, No Activity

Native Molecular Structure of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

Gel filtration. The native molecular weight of grasshopper hemagglutinin was examined by gel filtration. As shown in Figure 2, the hemagglutinin activity from a sample of whole hemolymph emerged as a single peak of high molecular weight near 700,000. This peak emerged separately from major regions of protein absorbancy indicating that it was not associated with principal hemolymph proteins. When a sample of purified hemagglutinin was placed on the column, under identical flow conditions, the elution position of hemagglutinin activity was unchanged from that shown in Figure 2. The size of the molecular aggregate was therefore independent of other




Figure 2. Gel filtration of grasshopper hemolymph. The arrow indicates the emerging position of a 669,000 MW standard protein.

hemolymph factors. If D-galactose was omitted from the elution buffer, no detectable hemagglutinin activity was recovered from the gel filtration column, suggesting a structural dependence of the hemagglutinin on the presence of D-galactose.

Nondenaturing electrophoresis. Electrophoresis of hemagglutinin under nondenaturing conditions produced a single protein band of molecular weight near 590,000 (Figure 3). Some diffuse lightly stained areas were detectable in the lower molecular weight regions of the gel slab indicating the possible presence of contaminants or protein fragments dissociated from the high molecular weight aggregate. Omission of D-galactose from the polyacrylamide slab, or prior incubation of the hemagglutinin sample in 5 mM EDTA resulted in the disappearance of the 590,000 molecular weight protein band, a result analogous to the above observation concerning gel filtration in the absence of D-galactose.

Isoelectric focusing. A single broad band in the slightly acidic pH range resulted upon isoelectric focusing of purified grasshopper hemagglutinin under nondenaturing conditions (Figure 6, gel 1). Purified, native hemagglutinin was therefore viewed as being a homogeneous population of protein of nearly identical ionic character.




Figure 3. Nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of purified grasshopper hemagglutinin. Individual lanes contain: [1] standard protein markers; [2] thyroglobulin; [3] and [4] purified hemagglutinin.




Figure 4. SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of whole grasshopper hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin. Lanes 1, 2, 3 contain nonreduced protein, and lanes 4, 5, 6 contain reduced protein. Lanes 1,6, molecular weight markers; lanes 2,5 whole grasshopper hemolymph; lanes 3,4, purified hemagglutinin.

Subunit Structure of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

SDS electrophoresis. The subunit structure of grasshopper hemagglutinin was examined by electrophoresis in SDS polyacrylamide gels. Figure 4 shows that in the presence of SDS the agglutinin traveled as a single band of 70,000 molecular weight (MW). Upon reduction with 2-mercaptoethanol the 70,000 MW band disappeared and two new bands appeared at 40,000 MW and 28,000 MW. Neither the reduced nor the non-reduced bands corresponded to any principal protein bands resulting from the simultaneous electrophoresis of whole hemolymph. In the case of nonreduced hemagglutinin some darkly staining material remained at the top of the separating gel (Figure 4, lane 3) and was apparently aggregated or precipitated protein incompletely solubilized by the SDS. Samples of whole hemolymph (lane 2) and the protein molecular weight standards (lane 1) behaved similarly.

Electrophoresis in urea. The subunit structure of grasshopper hemagglutinin was analyzed further by urea electrophoresis and isoelectric focusing in urea. When purified hemagglutinin was electrophoresed in polyacrylamide gels containing 6 M urea, the nonreduced molecule migrated as a homogeneous ionic species (Figure 5, band A). When the hemagglutinin was incubated in 2-mercaptoethanol prior to electrophoresis, the single band disappeared and a diffuse area of staining of greater




Figure 5. Urea polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of grasshopper hemagglutinin. Band A, nonreduced hemagglutinin; Band B, reduced hemagglutinin.




Figure 6. Isoelectric focusing of native and urea-denatured grasshopper hemagglutinin. Gel 1, native hemagglutinin; gel 2, urea-denatured hemagglutinin.

mobility, possibly representing several bands, appeared (Figure 5, band B).

Isoelectric focusing in urea. Isoelectric focusing of purified hemagglutinin in gels containing 6 M urea showed that the denatured subunits (70,000 MW by SDS electrophoresis) do exhibit some charge heterogeneity since several distinct closely spaced bands were present in the acidic region of the pH gradient (Figure 6, gel 2). These bands were in a position corresponding to a slightly more acidic pI than was the band observed for the native molecule (Figure 6, gel 1).

Amino Acid Composition.

Results of amino acid analysis of grasshopper hemagglutinin from both M. sanguinipes and M. differentialis are shown in Table 3. Given the limits of experimental error in calculating integration values by the half height method, grasshopper hemagglutinin from M. sanguinipes and M. differentialis appear extremely similar in amino acid content. The protein contained relatively high amounts of aspartic acid and glutamic acid, and a low amount of methionine. The presence of a low amount of cystine present in the molecule was confirmed by showing the presence of cysteic acid after performic acid oxidation of grasshopper hemagglutinin (data not shown). A ninhydrin sensitive peak in the position of glucosamine

suggested that grasshopper hemagglutinin contained small amounts of associated carbohydrate.

Table 2. Amino Acid Composition of Purified Hemagglutinin from Melanoplus sanguinipes and Melanoplus differentialis.

Amino Acid	gAA/100g protein		residues/70 Kdalton	
	<u>M. sang</u>	<u>M. diff</u>	<u>M. sang</u>	<u>M. diff</u>
Lysine	4.6	4.6	25	25
Histidine	3.0	3.4	16	18
Arginine	5.4	6.0	24	27
Aspartic Acid	12.2	11.9	74	72
Threonine	6.3	6.2	44	43
Serine	4.7	4.5	38	36
Glutamic Acid	14.1	14.0	76	76
Proline	6.3	5.4	45	39
Glycine	3.4	4.5	42	55
Alanine	5.1	5.5	50	54
Cystine	4.1	4.1	14	14
Valine	5.3	4.9	37	35
Methionine	1.8	1.3	10	7
Isoleucine	4.6	4.4	28	27
Leucine	7.4	7.6	46	47
Tyrosine	5.2	5.4	22	23
Phenylalanine	4.8	5.0	23	24
Tryptophan	-	-	-	-
Glucosamine	1.7	1.2	7	5

* not determined

Carbohydrate Inhibition of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

The carbohydrate binding specificity of grasshopper hemagglutinin was examined through hemagglutination inhibition tests. Table 3 lists the minimal inhibitory concentrations determined for several carbohydrates and carbohydrate derivatives. Table 3 also includes minimal

inhibitory concentrations previously determined [23] for whole grasshopper hemolymph.

The similar broad inhibition pattern obtained for both whole hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin showed that certain glucosidic carbohydrates and certain galactosidic carbohydrates were both capable of inhibiting hemagglutination when present at concentrations in the 1-5 mM range. These results suggested that grasshopper hemagglutinin has broad carbohydrate specificity and was not limited to interaction with a single structural type of carbohydrate receptor. The best carbohydrate inhibitors appeared to be the alpha-anomers of simple galactosides, however there was no clear preference over several other galactose or glucose containing oligosaccharides. EDTA, a divalent metal ion chelator, was among the strongest inhibitors and was effective at 1.2 mM. Inhibition of hemagglutination by EDTA was apparently due to the removal of divalent cations from the hemagglutinin that were obligatory for the active conformation of the protein.

Table 2. Carbohydrate Inhibition of Hemagglutination by Whole Grasshopper Hemolymph and Purified Hemagglutinin.

Inhibitor*	Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (mM)	
	Whole Hemolymph**	Pure Hemagglutinin
Galactosidic:		
α-PNP-galactose	1.5.	0.6
α-Me-galactose	6.2	1.5
Stachyose	3.1	2.5
2-deoxygalactose	6.2	2.5
Raffinose	6.2	2.5
β-Me-galactose	12.	3.1
Fucose	12.	3.1
Galacturonate	6.2	6.2
L-Fucosê	25.	12.
Melibiose	12.	12.
Galactose	25.	12.
Galactonic- -lactone	25.	12.
Lactulose	25.	25.
β-PNP-galactose	12.	25.
Glucosidic:		
Palatinose	6.2	3.1
Maltotriose	ND	6.2
Melizitose	12.	6.2
α-PNP-glucose	12.	12.
α-Me-glucose	25.	25.
Maltose	25.	25.
Sucrose	25.	50.
Trehalose	ND	>100.
Other:		
L-Rhamnose	12.	6.2
L-Sorbose	25.	12.
L-Arabinose	25.	25.
EDTA	1.5	1.5

* Abbreviations: PNP, para-nitrophenyl; Me, methyl;

ND, not done; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetate.

** Data from Hapner, [23].

Molecular Stability of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

Grasshopper hemagglutinin lost no hemagglutination activity when stored for weeks at -20°C in DPBS that contained 0.2 M D-galactose. Activity was slowly lost (days) when the purified hemagglutinin was warmed to room temperature. Dialysis or concentration by membrane ultrafiltration of solutions of hemagglutinin resulted in irreversible loss of activity. Hemagglutinating activity of both purified hemagglutinin and whole hemolymph was rapidly lost upon incubation at 56°C whereas both retained full activity after 6 hr at 37°C (Figure 7). Purified hemagglutinin was less stable than that in whole hemolymph and lost all activity in one minute. Treatment of purified hemagglutinin with 0.1% active trypsin at 37°C resulted in rapid and reproducible disappearance of activity after four hours incubation as shown in Figure 8. There appeared to be a lag period during which the protein retained resistance to trypsin and then suddenly, activity was destroyed. Control experiments without trypsin retained hemagglutinin activity throughout the incubation period. Low concentrations (5mM) of EDTA destroyed all hemagglutinin activity in both whole grasshopper hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin.




Figure 7. Heat stability of hemagglutinating activity of whole grasshopper hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin.
 (—, whole hemolymph; ----, purified hemagglutinin).




Figure 8. Trypsin stability of hemagglutinating activity of whole grasshopper hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin. (—, whole hemolymph; ----, purified hemagglutinin).

Antigenicity of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

Rabbit antiserum. Small amounts (50-100 ug) of purified hemagglutinin elicited antibody production in both rabbits and mice. Rabbit antiserum reached an immuno double diffusion titer value of 8-16 at about 15 weeks after immunization. The rabbit antiserum produced a precipitin band against either whole grasshopper hemolymph or purified grasshopper hemagglutinin when subjected to gel double diffusion (Figure 9). No precipitin band was formed against affinity adsorbed hemolymph that contained no hemagglutinin. When double diffusion of purified grasshopper hemagglutinin versus antiserum was performed in agarose that contained both 0.1 M D-glucose and 0.1 M D-galactose, a double line occurred, whereas when the sugars were absent from the gel only a single band was produced. Whole grasshopper hemolymph always produced a single precipitin band regardless of the presence or absence of sugars. The double band was viewed as possibly resulting from aggregation anomalies of the hemagglutinin molecule in the absence of hemolymphatic factors.

Immune murine ascitic fluid. Hyperimmune ascitic fluid was tapped from immunized mice beginning on about day 38 of the immunization schedule (3 days after the fifth injection). Subsequent taps were performed every 3 to 5 days following the first tap until the production of ascites fluid subsided. Typically, about 25 ml of ascites




Figure 9. Gel double diffusion of whole grasshopper hemolymph, affinity adsorbed hemolymph, and purified hemagglutinin vs. rabbit antiserum. Wells 2,5, whole hemolymph; wells 3,6, affinity adsorbed hemolymph; wells 1,4, purified hemagglutinin; center well, rabbit antiserum.




Figure 10. Immunoelectrophoresis of purified hemagglutinin.

fluid was collected over a period of about 3 weeks. Mouse ascitic fluid typically had an immuno double diffusion titer value of 32.

Immunological Detection of Grasshopper Hemagglutinin

Immuno-electrophoresis. Samples of purified hemagglutinin previously electrophoresed under nondenaturing conditions in agarose gels formed one major precipitin band when diffused against rabbit antiserum as shown in Figure 10. A minor band also occurred near the origin. The presence of two bands indicated that at least two species of immunoreactive protein (perhaps two aggregate forms, as seen in gel double diffusion) were present in the initial purified hemagglutinin sample.

Glucose oxidase immunoenzyme. Nonreduced and reduced samples of grasshopper hemagglutinin were electrophoresed on SDS polyacrylamide gel slabs, electroeluted onto nitrocellulose filters and subjected to immunochemical staining with glucose oxidase. Preliminary results indicated that all subunits of grasshopper hemagglutinin were specifically recognized by the primary (rabbit) antiserum. This method was extremely sensitive as shown by its ability to detect as little as 1-2 ng of purified hemagglutinin that had been previously spotted onto a strip of nitrocellulose. Controls which gave no staining

were DPBS, DPBS-0.2 M galactose, human serum, 3% (w/v) BSA-saline, and standard molecular weight proteins.

DISCUSSION

Previous work in this laboratory established the presence of hemagglutinating activity in the hemolymph of several genera of acrididae (grasshoppers) [22]. Individual grasshoppers representing four Melanoplus spp. were subsequently shown to contain similar broad-spectrum hemolymphatic hemagglutinin [23]. The data presented in this thesis are concerned with the biochemical nature of the hemagglutinin from Melanoplus sanguinipes and Melanoplus differentialis. The major conclusion reached as a result of this research is that a single hemagglutinin protein is responsible for all of the observed hemolymphatic hemagglutinating activity.

Purification

Affinity chromatography of grasshopper hemolymph on a matrix of Sepharose-galactose was a reliable one-step method for isolating pure, active hemagglutinin in high yield. Grasshopper hemagglutinin was estimated to account for only 0.1% of the total soluble protein in hemolymph and affinity chromatography provided a means to obtain highly purified grasshopper hemagglutinin in sufficient concentration for direct biochemical analyses. Purified hemagglutinin was also obtained in sufficient amounts to

elicit specific antibody production in rabbits and mice (see below).

Elution of grasshopper hemagglutinin adsorbed to the affinity matrix was normally performed with 0.2 M galactose in DPBS (Figure 1). The released protein had an identical broad-spectrum erythrocyte binding profile as did the original sample of whole hemolymph from which it had been prepared (Table 1). Only trace amounts of hemagglutinating activity was present in the adsorbed hemolymph that had passed through the affinity matrix. Elution of activity was also performed with 0.2 M sucrose in DPBS, and the protein eluted in this fashion exhibited an identical erythrocyte binding profile as well as identical physicochemical properties as did the hemagglutinin eluted with DPBS-galactose. Elution of adsorbed protein from the affinity matrix was also performed using denaturing (SDS) buffers. Although the desorbed protein in the latter case was inactive (incapable of hemagglutination), it showed identical electrophoretic characteristics as did hemagglutinin desorbed under nondenaturing conditions.

These findings confirmed that all the hemagglutinating activity was removed from the affinity matrix with either glucosylic or galactosylic carbohydrates, and further that both carbohydrate binding capabilities reside in the same molecule. Moreover, only hemagglutinin

protein was retained by the affinity column because the eluted proteins were in all cases homogeneous and identical, as discussed below.

Typically, 350 ug of hemagglutinin was purified from a 50 ml diluted hemolymph sample (25 ml actual hemolymph) as determined by protein assay. Since individual grasshopper specimens generally yielded about 35 ul of hemolymph, this preparation represented about 700 insects. Hemagglutinin was therefore a minor component (0.5 ug/insect) of the total hemolymphatic protein content. This conclusion was further supported by gel filtration (Figure 2) and SDS-PAGE (Figure 4) data which showed that grasshopper hemagglutinin did not correspond to any major hemolymphatic proteins. It was essential therefore to elute grasshopper hemagglutinin from the affinity column as efficiently as possible to obtain samples in high enough concentrations for biochemical analyses. As shown in Figure 1, some peak trailing occurred when the affinity column was eluted with 0.2 M galactose. In fact, activity was detectable in the effluent after 20 ml of desorbant buffer had passed through the column. Since grasshopper hemagglutinin was unstable toward ultrafiltration, and could not be concentrated in that fashion, this problem was minimized by incorporating a stepwise elution procedure. After the first one ml fraction was collected, the column was allowed to incubate several hours (or

overnight) in desorbing buffer before the second fraction was collected. The procedure was repeated several times. Using this technique, essentially all activity was obtained in the first 3 or 4 fractions. The cause of the peak trailing is unclear, but it may be due to high affinity between the hemagglutinin and the galactose matrix and restricted accessibility of binding sites.

Molecular Structure

The native molecular weight of grasshopper hemagglutinin was estimated to be about 700,000 by gel filtration (Figure 2), and 590,000 by electrophoresis under native conditions (Figure 3). These values are significantly larger than those obtained for the hemagglutinin from the flesh fly, Sarcophaga peregrina, which has a native MW of 190,000 [25]. On the other hand Melanoplus hemagglutinin is smaller than the hemagglutinin from the cricket, Teleogryllus commodus, which has a native molecular weight estimated to be several million [24].

Retention of the native aggregated structure of grasshopper hemagglutinin during gel filtration and nondenaturing PAGE was dependent on the presence of stabilizing carbohydrate. No activity was detected upon gel filtration unless galactose (0.1 M) was incorporated into the filtration buffer. Similarly, no 590,000 MW band occurred in nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels unless

galactose was incorporated into the acrylamide solution prior to polymerization.

The diffuse lightly stained material of lower MW that resulted during electrophoresis in nondenaturing gels (Figure 3) probably represented partial dissociation of the native aggregate. The possibility exists that this material accounted for the difference in native MW values as determined by gel filtration and electrophoresis, however no firm conclusion was possible.

Nondenaturing PAGE and gel filtration both suggested that purified grasshopper hemagglutinin is a homogeneous moiety, a conclusion that is further supported by isoelectric focusing data. Isoelectric focusing of purified grasshopper hemagglutinin in native conditions (Figure 6, gel 1) indicated that it is homogeneous with regard to isoelectric pH. When the native aggregate was dissociated into 70,000 MW subunits by urea-denaturation, the subunits focused at a position closer to the acidic end of the gel (Figure 6, gel 2). These data suggested that some acidic amino acid side chains were masked in the native aggregated structure and become exposed upon urea denaturation.

Determinations of the subunit structure of grasshopper hemagglutinin showed that the native aggregate was composed of 70,000 MW subunits, which, in turn were comprised of disulfide linked 40,000 MW and 28,000 MW

polypeptide chains. The hemagglutinin from Sarcophaga is comprised of 30,000 MW and 32,000 MW polypeptides and the lighter fragment is probably synthesized by proteolytic modification of the heavier, perhaps in response to a wound-related inductive stimulus [53]. Although no evidence was sought in this research, a similar event could occur in Melanoplus whereby 28,000 MW polypeptides may be proteolytic products of 40,000 MW polypeptides.

Comparisons of the molecular structure of the hemagglutinins from different types of insects reveals few, if any, similarities. For example, Teleogryllus hemagglutinin [24] exclusively employs disulfide bonding to form the high MW (several million) native structure. Melanoplus hemagglutinin utilizes disulfide bonding to form 70,000 MW subunits which are then noncovalently associated to form the native structure. Finally, the native structure of Sarcophaga hemagglutinin [25] involves no disulfide bonding, rather only noncovalent associations.

Ionic homogeneity of the subunits from grasshopper hemagglutinin was investigated further by electrophoresis of nonreduced and reduced subunits in polyacrylamide gels containing 6 M urea, and by isoelectric focusing of nonreduced subunits. In urea-electrophoresis, proteins migrate as a function of their molecular charge and their molecular size. Denatured subunits (70,000 MW by sodium

dodecyl sulfate-PAGE) migrated in a homogeneous band when electrophoresed in urea whereas the 28,000 MW and 40,000 MW subunits migrated as a smear of closely spaced bands of uncertain number (Figure 5). Isoelectric focusing of 70,000 MW subunits showed that the single band observed on urea-PAGE was actually comprised of several slightly different isoforms of the protein (Figure 6, gel 2). These isoforms were approximately equal in staining intensity, and their significance with respect to the structure of the native hemagglutinin is unknown.

The amino acid analysis of grasshopper hemagglutinin (Table 2) showed high amounts of acidic and polar amino acids, and a low amount of methionine. All of the other common amino acids were present in moderate amounts. A preponderance of acidic amino acids is compatible with isoelectric focusing data that show grasshopper hemagglutinin to be an acidic molecule. Grasshopper hemagglutinin is apparently a glycoprotein as evidenced by the presence of glucosamine which accounts for approximately 1-2% of the total molecular weight of the molecule.

Only slight differences were observed in the amino acid compositions between hemagglutinin prepared from M. sanguinipes hemolymph and that from M. differentialis. Experimental limitations are inherent in the analysis of small quantities of protein, including the requirement for hand-calculating integration values by the half-height

method. Therefore, the numerical values in Table 2 are probably not as significant as shown and, although the reverse could be true, it is possible that the amino acid composition of the two hemagglutinins were even more similar than Table 2 indicates.

Inhibition of Hemagglutination

As shown in Table 3, purified grasshopper hemagglutinin accounted for the complete carbohydrate inhibition characteristics previously described for whole hemolymph [23]. Table 1 shows that passage over the galactose affinity column removed most hemagglutinating activity from whole hemolymph and that both whole hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin have the same broad erythrocyte agglutination profile. These data, along with physico-chemical data, support the conclusion that all of the hemagglutinating activity present in the hemolymph of both M. sanguinipes and M. differentialis is due to a single hemagglutinin protein.

A specific feature of grasshopper hemagglutinin is that it exhibits broad specificity by binding both D-glucosidic and D-galactosidic structures. This represents a deviation from most described lectins which generally exhibit very strict specificity for a single type of carbohydrate structure. Sarcophaga hemagglutinin is highly specific for D-galactose and lactose [25], and

hemagglutinin from Teleogryllus is inhibited by N-acetylated sugars [24]. The hemagglutinins from Periplaneta americana (cockroach) and Schistocerca gregaria (locust) hemolymph are inhibited by both D-glucosylic and D-galactosylic carbohydrates [28], however, neither of these activities can yet be attributed to a single molecule as the hemagglutinin(s) have not been purified.

The strongest inhibitors of grasshopper hemagglutinin were the alpha anomers of D-galactose. Alpha-PNP-D-galactose and alpha-methyl-D-galactose inhibited hemagglutination at a concentration 20 times less than did their glucosidic analogs. D-galactosidic di- and oligosaccharides inhibited hemagglutination at concentrations approximately equal to their glucosidic counterparts. Although most D-glucosidic disaccharides were inhibitory, trehalose was not inhibitory at comparable concentrations. Whether grasshopper hemagglutinin is, in vivo, more specific for either D-glucosidic structures or D-galactosidic structures, or whether its actual target is a different, presently unidentified carbohydrate, remains to be determined.

Among the strongest inhibitors of hemagglutination was EDTA. This was presumably not due to inhibition per se, but rather to the removal of divalent cations upon which the native structure of the hemagglutinin is

dependent. The possible involvement of divalent cations in the native structure of grasshopper hemagglutinin was also suggested by the fact that a 590,000 MW band did not occur when an EDTA-treated sample of hemagglutinin was subjected to electrophoresis. This dissociation was apparently nonreversible, since EDTA-inactivated samples of purified hemagglutinin showed no hemagglutination activity after incubation with excess divalent cations.

Stability

Purified grasshopper hemagglutinin was stable for months when stored at -20°C in DPBS that contained 0.2 M D-galactose. As previously mentioned, galactose appeared to stabilize the native structure of grasshopper hemagglutinin as it was necessary to incorporate galactose into gel filtration buffers to avoid loss of activity, and into nondenaturing polyacrylamide electrophoresis gels to prevent dissociation of the native aggregate.

Hemagglutinating activity of both whole grasshopper hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin was destroyed by heating one minute at 56°C , whereas both were stable at 37°C (Figure 7). Whole hemolymph was stable upon incubation with trypsin, whereas purified hemagglutinin was reproducibly destroyed after 4 hours (Figure 8). Sarcophaga hemagglutinin is resistant to heat treatment at 80°C for 5 minutes and to trypsin for a duration of 30

minutes (37°C). The fact that grasshopper hemagglutinin was initially stable toward trypsin suggested the presence of a shielded, trypsin-sensitive peptide bond(s), whose integrity is obligatory for hemagglutination.

Immunological Studies

Small amounts of purified grasshopper hemagglutinin successfully elicited an immunological response in rabbits. Specific antisera have also been elicited in rabbits against Sarcophaga hemagglutinin [25] and against the heteroagglutinins from Leucophaea [31].

The antiserum obtained in this study was specific for grasshopper hemagglutinin as shown by gel double diffusion (Figure 9). Single homogeneous precipitin lines were observed for both whole grasshopper hemolymph and purified hemagglutinin, whereas no precipitin was formed against hemolymph that contained no hemagglutinin. An anomalous result was obtained when both 0.1 M glucose and 0.1 M galactose were incorporated into the double diffusion gel in that for purified hemagglutinin, a second, lighter precipitin line was also present closer to the peripheral (hemagglutinin) well. In the latter case, only the major line was continuous with the (single) line produced for whole hemolymph. A similar observation was seen upon immunoelectrophoresis (Figure 10) where a second minor precipitin arc occurred near the origin when purified

hemagglutinin was electrophoresed in agarose gels that contained 0.2 M galactose. The significance of these minor bands is not understood, however, they may represent hemagglutinin molecules that have spontaneously aggregated into larger, slower migrating molecular forms. In the case of immunoelectrophoresis, however, the possibility exists that aggregation of hemagglutinin occurs in such a way as to result in the formation of aggregates that do not migrate in the given electrophoretic conditions, but simply diffuse away from the well during and after electrophoresis.

Purified hemagglutinin was also antigenic in mice, as shown by precipitin formation on gel double diffusion between purified antigen (hemagglutinin) and mouse ascitic fluid. Preliminary indications suggested that the murine ascitic fluid had a higher titer value than did rabbit antiserum. Preliminary data involving the indirect identification of antigenic subunits with antibody-conjugated glucose oxidase immunoenzyme suggested that all structural components of grasshopper hemagglutinin (i.e. 30,000, 40,000 and 70,000 MW) contained antigenic determinants that are recognized by primary (rabbit) antiserum.

The production of specific antibodies against purified grasshopper hemagglutinin and the development of specific associated immunochemical detection procedures is

the first step in succeeding research designed to establish the possible association of grasshopper hemagglutinin with specific grasshopper hemocytes.

CONCLUSIONS

The major conclusion to be drawn from data derived from this research is that one protein (lectin) is the substance responsible for all hemagglutinating activity present in the hemolymph of either Melanoplus sanguinipes or Melanoplus differentialis. Grasshopper hemagglutinin is an ionically homogeneous 600,000-700,000 molecular weight aggregate of 70,000 MW subunits. The subunits are comprised of 28,000 MW and 40,000 MW polypeptide chains that are connected by disulfide bonds. The hemagglutinin is an acidic molecule (estimated pI 5-6), and it contains a preponderance of acidic and polar amino acids as well as a small amount of associated carbohydrate. Grasshopper hemagglutinin exhibits broad-spectrum carbohydrate binding capability and it is strongly inhibited by both D-glucosidic and D-galactosidic structures. The strongest inhibitors are the alpha anomers of D-galactose. Purified hemagglutinin is stable when stored at -20°C in the presence of galactose but is destroyed by heating to 56°C or by exposure to trypsin. Divalent cations are apparently required for activity and they may be involved in the maintenance of the aggregated form of the molecule.

Grasshopper hemagglutinin is antigenic in rabbits and mice. Initial experimentation utilizing an indirect immunoenzyme assay indicates that all components of the hemagglutinin molecule (i.e. 70,000 MW, 40,000 MW and 28,000 MW subunits) are immunoreactive with primary (rabbit) antiserum.

Throughout this research, comparative experiments were performed with hemagglutinin from two species of Melanoplus. In all cases, essentially identical results were obtained, and it appears likely that the same hemagglutinin protein is present throughout Melanoplus. Whether or not this is the case generally in the Acrididae, must be determined through additional experimentation.

REFERENCES CITED

1. Hildemann, W.H. (1974). Life Sci. 14:605-614.
2. Burnet, F.M. (1968). Nature 218:426-430.
3. Salt, G. (1970). The Cellular Defense Reactions of Insects. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York and London.
4. Ratcliffe, N.A. and S.J. Gagen. (1976). J. Inv. Pathol. 28:373-382.
5. Cohen, E. (1974). Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 234:1-412.
6. Yeaton, R.W. (1981). Dev. Comp. Immunol. 5:391-402.
7. Lackie, A.M. (1980). Parasitol. 80:393-412.
8. McKay, D., C.R. Jenkin and D. Rowley. (1969). Aust. J. Exp. Biol. Med. Sci. 47:125-134.
9. Anderson, R.S., and R.A. Good. (1976). J. Inv. Pathol. 27:57-64.
10. Vasta, G.R. (1982). J. Inv. Pathol. 40:367-377.
11. Tripp, M.R. (1966). J. Inv. Pathol. 8:478-484.
12. Pimental, D., (ed.) (1981). Handbook of Pest Management in Agriculture. Vol. 1, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. pp. 3-78.
13. Berry, R.A. (1978). Insects and Mites of Economic Importance in the Northwest. Oregon State Univ. Press, Corvallis, OR. p. 98.
14. Carter, L.J. (1976). Science 191:836-837.
15. Pimental, D., (ed.) (1981). Handbook of Pest Management in Agriculture. Vol. 2, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. pp. 318-356.
16. Nicolson, G.L. (1974). Int. Rev. Cytol. 48:90-190.
17. Lackie, A.M. (1981). Dev. Comp. Immunol. 5:191-204.

REFERENCES CITED--Continued

18. Sela, B.A., H. Lis, N. Sharon and L. Sachs. (1970). J. Membrane Biol. 3:267.
19. Sharonh, N. and H. Lis. (1972). Science. 177:949-959.
20. Whitcomb, R.F., M. Shapiro and R.R. Granados. (1974). Physiology of Insecta, (Rockstein, M., ed.), Vol. 5, Academic Press, New York. pp. 448-537.
21. Ratner, S. and S.B. Vinson. (1983). Amer. Zool. 23:185-194.
22. Jurenka, R., K. Manfredi and K.D. Hapner. (1982). J. Insect Physiol. 28(2):177-181.
23. Hapner, K.D. (1983). J. Insect Physiol. 29(1):101-106.
24. Hapner, K.D. and M.A. Jermyn. (1981). Insect Biochem. 11(3):287-295.
25. Komano, H., D. Mizuno and S. Natori. (1980). J. Biol. Chem. 255(7):2919-2924.
26. Scott, M.T. (1971). Archs. Zool. Exp. Gen. 112:73-80.
27. Scott, M.T. (1972). J. Insect. Pathol. 19:66-71.
28. Lackie, A.M. (1981). J. Insect Physiol. 27:139-143.
29. Anderson, (1972). Infection and Immunity. 5:55-59.
30. Donlon, W.C. and C.T. Wemyss. (1976). J. Inv. Pathol. 28:191-194.
31. Amirante, G.A. (1976). Experientia. 32:526-528.
32. Stylen, D., M. Peferoen and A.DeLoof. (1982). J. Insect Physiol. 28:465-470.
33. Feir, D., and M.A. Walz. (1964). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer. 57:388.
34. Umetsu, K.S., S. Kosaka, S. Kashimura and T. Suzuki. (1979). Tokoru J. Esp. Med. 129:161-167.
35. Yeaton, R.W. (1980). Ph.D. Diss., Univ. of PA, 229 p.

REFERENCES CITED--Continued

37. Komano, H., R. Nozawa, D. Mizuno and S. Natori. (1983). J. Biol. Chem. 258(4):2143-2147.
38. Amirante, A.G., F.L. DeBernardi and P.C. Magnetti. (1976). Boll. Zool. 43:63-67.
39. Amirante, G.A. and F.G. Mazzalai. (1978). Dev. Comp. Immunol. 2:735-740.
40. Fornstedt, N. and J. Porath. (1975). FEBS Lett. 57:187-191.
41. Bradford, M.M. (1976). Anal. Biochem. 72:248.
42. Laemmli, U.K. and M. Favre. (1973). J. Mol. Biol. 80:575-599.
43. Wrigley, 1971. (1971). Methods Enzymol. 22:559-564.
44. Spackman, D.H., W.H. Stein and S. Moore. (1958). Anal. Chem. 30:1190.
45. Hirs, C.H.W. (1967). Methods Enzymol. 11:197-199.
46. Vaitukaitus, J.L. (1981). Methods Enzymol. 73:46-52.
47. Tung, A.S. (1983). Methods Enzymol. 93:12-23.
48. Ouchterlony, O. (1948). Ark. Kemi Mineral. Geol. 26 B:1-9.
49. Grabar, P. and C.A. Williams. (1953). Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 10:193.
50. Towbin, H., T. Staehelin and J. Gordon. (1979). Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 46(9):4350-4354.
51. Gershoni, J.M. and G.E. Palade. (1983). Anal. Biochem. 131:1-15.
52. Rathlev, T, J.M. Hocko, G.F. Franks, S.C. Suffin, C.M. O'Donnell and D.D. Porter. (1981). Clin. Chem. 27(9):1513-1515.
53. Komano, H., D. Mizuno and S. Natori. (1981). J. Biol. Chem. 256(14):7087-7089.

APPENDIX

Amido Black stain procedure:

1. Stain: 0.1% (w/v) amido black in destain
3-5 min
2. Destain: methanol/acetic acid/water (90:2:8 by
vol)
3 X 3 min

Indirect immunostaining with glucose oxidase immunoenzyme:

1. Incubate strip in saline (10mM tris, 0.9% (w/v)
NaCl, pH 7.2
37°C 1 hr
2. Rinse twice with saline
r.t. 5 min total
3. Incubate strip in primary antiserum that has
been diluted 1:50 with 3% (w/v) BSA in
saline (step 1)
37°C 1 hr
4. Rinse strip five times with saline
r.t. 30 min total
5. Incubate strip in second antibody (i.e. anti-IgG/
glucose oxidase conjugate (1mg/ml that has
been diluted 1:1000 with 3% BSA-saline)
37°C 1 hr
6. Rinse strip five times with saline
r.t. 30 min total
7. Incubate strip in disclosing solution:
-2.5 mM p-nitro blue tetrazolium (Sigma)
-41.7 mM D-glucose
-0.326 mM phenazine methosulfate
37°C 1-12 hr
8. Rinse strip with water and dry between weighted
blotter paper or paper towels.

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES



, 3 1762 10015551 2

W.A.D. 100

N378
St31
cop.2

