



Shrunken endosperm mutants from barley, *Hordeum vulgare* L.  
by Alvin John Jarvi

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree by  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY in Genetics  
Montana State University  
© Copyright by Alvin John Jarvi (1970)

Abstract:

Six spontaneous shrunken endosperm barley mutants were identified and described. All mutants were inherited as single recessive genes and assigned the symbols *se* through *se6*. Five of the mutants do not express xenia. The mutants varied in fertility, seed weight, and sieve size assortment. Cytological studies indicated that the greatest frequency of dividing endosperm nuclei were found in samples of the third to the seventh floret from the base of the spike from collections made 5-7 days after pollination at 1-3 p.m. One multiploid sporocyte plant was found and no mitotic abnormalities in endosperm tissue were observed. Four mutants were located on chromosome 1, one on chromosome 3, and one on chromosome 6.

Double crossovers in the interstitial segments of translocations is offered as an explanation of some ratios observed. The mutants may have potential as males or pre-flowering selective genes in hybrid barley systems.

SHRUNKEN ENDOSPERM MUTANTS IN BARLEY, HORDEUM VULGARE L.

by

ALVIN JOHN JARVI

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty in partial  
fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

by

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Genetics

Approved:

PDSkaan

Head, Major Department

Robert H. Eshik

Co-Chairman, Examining Committee

G. Allan Taylor

Co-Chairman, Examining Committee

J. Goering

Dean, Graduate Division

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
Bozeman, Montana

June, 1970

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the assistance, encouragement and constructive criticism of Professor R. F. Eslick and Dr. E. A. Hockett during the course of this study. Appreciation is expressed to Dr. R. T. Ramage, University of Arizona, for assistance and suggestions. The author wishes to thank Lewis Lehmann for growing the F<sub>2</sub> populations of the se5 crosses in Rambar's greenhouse at Tucson, Arizona.

An acknowledgement is due to the Plant and Soil Science Department for use of their facilities.

A special acknowledgement to my wife, Maxine, and to my sons for their patience and consideration throughout the course of this study.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                    | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| VITA . . . . .                                     | ii          |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT . . . . .                           | iii         |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS. . . . .                         | iv          |
| LIST OF TABLES . . . . .                           | vi          |
| LIST OF FIGURES. . . . .                           | vii         |
| LIST OF PLATES. . . . .                            | viii        |
| ABSTRACT . . . . .                                 | ix          |
| INTRODUCTION . . . . .                             | 1           |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE . . . . .                     | 2           |
| DESCRIPTION OF MUTANT LINES: . . . . .             | 8           |
| Materials and Methods . . . . .                    | 8           |
| Results and Discussion. . . . .                    | 8           |
| General Comments . . . . .                         | 8           |
| Betzes <u>se</u> . . . . .                         | 9           |
| Betzes <u>se2</u> . . . . .                        | 9           |
| Compana <u>se3</u> . . . . .                       | 10          |
| Compana <u>se4</u> . . . . .                       | 10          |
| Sermo X: Glacier <sup>7</sup> <u>se5</u> . . . . . | 10          |
| Compana <u>se6</u> . . . . .                       | 11          |
| Betzes <u>se-x</u> . . . . .                       | 11          |

|                                                                  | <u>Page</u> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| CYTOLOGY . . . . .                                               | 18          |
| Materials and Methods . . . . .                                  | 18          |
| Results and Discussions . . . . .                                | 20          |
| Endosperm Mitosis. . . . .                                       | 20          |
| Pollen Mother Cell Meiosis . . . . .                             | 32          |
| LOCATION OF SHRUNKEN ENDOSPERM GENES ON BARLEY GENETIC MAPS. . . | 42          |
| Materials and Methods . . . . .                                  | 42          |
| Results and Discussion. . . . .                                  | 44          |
| Location of <u>se</u> . . . . .                                  | 44          |
| Location of <u>se2</u> . . . . .                                 | 48          |
| Location of <u>se3</u> . . . . .                                 | 48          |
| Location of <u>se4</u> . . . . .                                 | 49          |
| Location of <u>se5</u> . . . . .                                 | 49          |
| Location of <u>se6</u> . . . . .                                 | 50          |
| ALLELISM . . . . .                                               | 59          |
| Materials and Methods . . . . .                                  | 59          |
| Results and Discussion. . . . .                                  | 59          |
| GENERAL DISCUSSION . . . . .                                     | 62          |
| SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS. . . . .                                 | 64          |
| LITERATURE CITED . . . . .                                       | 66          |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <u>TABLE</u> |                                                                                                             | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| I.           | Physical data on mutant lines. . . . .                                                                      | 13          |
| II.          | ANOVA for weight/100 seeds and fertility . . . . .                                                          | 13          |
| III.         | F <sub>2</sub> plant segregation ratios for shrunken<br>endosperm genes. . . . .                            | 17          |
| IV.          | Distribution of endosperm mitotic stages in<br>Compana at various days after pollination. . . . .           | 22          |
| V.           | Distribution of endosperm mitotic stages in<br>samples from Betzes at various hours of the day. . . . .     | 25          |
| VI.          | Distribution of endosperm mitotic stages at<br>various positions along the spike of Betzes. . . . .         | 28          |
| VII.         | Distribution of endosperm mitotic stages within<br>the variety Betzes based on one head samples . . . . .   | 31          |
| VIII.        | Distribution of endosperm mitotic stage in<br>the shrunken endosperm lines, Compana<br>and Betzes . . . . . | 33          |
| IX.          | F <sub>2</sub> genetic linkage data. . . . .                                                                | 52          |
| X.           | F <sub>3</sub> mutant X translocation data . . . . .                                                        | 53          |
| XI.          | F <sub>2</sub> genetic linkage data. . . . .                                                                | 55          |
| XII.         | F <sub>2</sub> mutant X translocation data . . . . .                                                        | 56          |
| XIII.        | Allelism data for seven shrunken endosperm<br>mutants. . . . .                                              | 61          |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>FIGURE</u> |                                                                                                 | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1.            | Sieve size distribution of Betzes and Betzes shrunk endosperm mutants. . . . .                  | 14          |
| 2.            | Sieve size distribution of Betzes and Betzes shrunk endosperm mutants. . . . .                  | 15          |
| 3.            | Sieve size distribution of Glacier and Sermo X Glacier <sup>7</sup> <u>se5</u> . . . . .        | 16          |
| 4.            | Percentage of endosperm cells in prophase in Comana at various days after pollination . . . . . | 23          |
| 5.            | Percentage of endosperm cells in Betzes at various hours of the day. . . . .                    | 26          |
| 6.            | Percentage of endosperm cells in prophase at various positions of the spike of Betzes. . . . .  | 29          |

## LIST OF PLATES

| <u>PLATE</u> |                                                                                                         | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1.           | Early prophase of endosperm mitosis in <u>se5</u><br>1300x. . . . .                                     | 34          |
| 2.           | Prophase of endosperm mitosis in Betzes 4000x. . .                                                      | 35          |
| 3.           | Prophase of endosperm mitosis in <u>se3</u> 4000x . . . .                                               | 36          |
| 4.           | Late prophase of endosperm mitosis in <u>se6</u> 5000x. .                                               | 37          |
| 5.           | Metaphase of endosperm mitosis in <u>se</u> 4000x . . . .                                               | 38          |
| 6.           | Unusual metaphase spread of endosperm mitosis in<br><u>se2</u> 2000x. . . . .                           | 39          |
| 7.           | Anaphase I in the <u>se5</u> multiploid sporocyte<br>illustrating more than 14 univalents 1000X . . . . | 40          |
| 8.           | Anaphase I in the <u>se5</u> multiploid sporocyte<br>illustrating variations in ploidy levels .250X . . | 41          |

## ABSTRACT

Six spontaneous shrunken endosperm barley mutants were identified and described. All mutants were inherited as single recessive genes and assigned the symbols se through se6. Five of the mutants do not express xenia. The mutants varied in fertility, seed weight, and sieve size assortment. Cytological studies indicated that the greatest frequency of dividing endosperm nuclei were found in samples of the third to the seventh floret from the base of the spike from collections made 5-7 days after pollination at 1-3 p.m. One multiploid sporocyte plant was found and no mitotic abnormalities in endosperm tissue were observed. Four mutants were located on chromosome 1, one on chromosome 3, and one on chromosome 6. Double crossovers in the interstitial segments of translocations is offered as an explanation of some ratios observed. The mutants may have potential as males or pre-flowering selective genes in hybrid barley systems.

## INTRODUCTION

Very few qualitative factors affecting the endosperm have been described in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). It is unusual that more of these factors have not been identified and studied in barley because of the ease with which endosperm characteristics expressing xenia can be handled. Endosperm mutants have played an important role in the basic studies of maize genetics. The characteristics most studied have been those which express xenia. In these cases  $F_2$  segregation ratios can be obtained directly from the seed on  $F_1$  ears.

The possible use of pre-flowering selective genes in hybrid barley systems suggested this study of six mutants influencing endosperm development. Gene action and linkage relationships are of prime importance in hybrid barley systems presently proposed.

The possible role of endosperm mutant types in barley hybrid systems was the objective of this study. Areas of investigation included the inheritance of the mutant genes, location of mutants on the barley genetic maps and the endosperm cytology of the mutant lines.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Weijer (1952) catalogued the existing genetic studies in maize and included the following endosperm characteristics: brittle endosperm, bt; defective endosperm, de; floury endosperm, fl; soft starch, n; mealy endosperm, me; opaque endosperm, o; reduced endosperm, re; shrunken endosperm, sh; sugary endosperm, su; and waxy endosperm, wx. All of the above mutant types are recessive and express xenia.

One plant characteristic influencing the endosperm phenotype in maize was reported by Mangelsdorf (1926). In a study of several xenia expressing defective endosperm types, Mangelsdorf included one plant character defective endosperm, depl. Xenia was not expressed by depl which gave 3 normal : 1 defective endosperm plant segregations. Pollination of mutant plants with normal pollen resulted in defective endosperm F<sub>1</sub> seed and reciprocal crosses yielded normal F<sub>1</sub> seed. He concluded that the characteristic was dependent on the genotype of the mother plant, not of the developing seed.

A typical example of the xenia expressing endosperm characters in maize is the reduced endosperm genes rel and re2 reported by Esther (1931). Both genes were single recessives and pollination of homozygous recessive plants with normal pollen and the reciprocal crosses resulted in normal F<sub>1</sub> seed. The F<sub>2</sub> seed, on the F<sub>1</sub> plant ear, segregated 3 normal : 1 reduced endosperm seed. There was a direct effect of the pollen on the trait being studied.

Harlan (1914) reported that the blue aleurone character in barley was due to an anthocyanin pigment. This is one of the few characters in barley which expresses xenia. Myler and Stanford (1942) demonstrated that two dominant complementary genes were involved in the expression of this character. For the blue aleurone to be expressed there must be at least one dominant allele present at each of the two loci. They found one gene to be in the linkage group that is now designated as part of chromosome 1 and the other on what is now designated as chromosome 4, Ramage, Burnham, and Hagberg (1961).

Two genes which influence the chemical composition of the starchy endosperm have been reported in barley. Nilan (1964) summarized the studies on the waxy endosperm character. One of the genes, wx, is a simply inherited recessive and expresses xenia for the trait. The mutant gene, wx, alters the composition of the starch by decreasing the amylose content from about 20% to nearly zero. Another gene, reported by Walker and Merritt (1969), approximately doubled the amylose content in the endosperm of the variety 'Glacier'. The mutant has been designated as ac38 and was inherited as a simple recessive. There was a dosage effect of the mutant gene and with increasing doses of the mutant gene there was a logarithmical increase in the amylose content. From the dosage effect it appears that this mutant gene expresses xenia.

Reid and Wiebe (1968) referred to a kernel type in barley in which the starch was replaced by a sugary liquid. As the seed matured it collapsed and the collapsed seed failed to germinate. Stocks of this mutant could be maintained as heterozygotes which expressed xenia. Harlan (1957) referred to a similar or possibly the same mutant type. Harlan and Pope (1925) discussed a similar nonheritable situation of "watery kernels" in which the seeds contained a sugary liquid. These seeds had a normal seed coat and embryo but no aleurone layer or starchy endosperm. The authors proposed that these may be cases of single fertilization in which only the embryo was fertilized. Another case of liquid endosperm was reported by Brown (1955) in Limnodea arkansana, which differs from the cases mentioned in barley. This type of endosperm remains as a liquid even under dry storage conditions where it contained about 26% water. Dore (1956), following Brown's observations, reported on an additional 17 genera in four species of grass having a similar liquid endosperm.

Robertson (1932) described a simply inherited recessive albino mutant (at<sub>2</sub>), which also influenced endosperm development in the barley variety 'Canada Thorpe'. Seed containing the mutant gene in the homozygous condition expressed an altered endosperm phenotype. The altered endosperm facilitated an accurate separation of the homozygous mutant seed prior to germination. Upon germination the

mutant seeds had a watery appearance compared to the white starchy appearance of normal seeds. The mutant seeds weighed 2.34 grams/100 compared to 4.65 grams/100 for the normal.

Harvey, Reinbergs, and Somaroo (1968) described a simply inherited recessive gene for female sterility derived from a colchicine-treated barley population. The character was a simply inherited recessive gene. Seed set on the female sterile plants ranged from 14-22% in a rough-awn, hairy-stigma genotype. The authors stated that the seed obtained on the sterile plants was small and could be removed mechanically from a mixture. They indicated the line may have potential as a pollen parent in hybrid barley in which the female sterile line could be mixed directly with the female parent. Nilan (1964) summarized quantitatively inherited factors influencing kernel weight.

Hakansson (1953) reported on endosperm development in  $2x \times 4x$  barley crosses and the reciprocal crosses. In the  $2x \times 4x$  crosses endosperm mitotic irregularities were common, especially the formation of giant endosperm nuclei. Small amounts of starch were deposited very late in development. In the reciprocal crosses,  $4x \times 2x$ , mitotic irregularities were rare and starch deposition began early. Brink and Cooper (1947) reviewed many studies similar to the one of Hakansson's. This review covered many species crosses and demonstrated

results similar to Hakansson's study. They indicated that the high chromosome number female X low chromosome number male crosses were more nearly in balance, with respect to ploidy level, between the endosperm, the embryo, and the maternal tissue, than were the reciprocal crosses. The high chromosome number female X low chromosome number male crosses generally resulted in fewer and plumper seeds than the reciprocals. The reciprocal seeds were badly shriveled and germinated poorly. The seed produced from the crosses between different ploidy levels and/or between species was generally smaller than normal. Ramage and Day (1960) reported that the frequency of trisomics produced from translocation heterozygotes is higher in the lighter seed portion. They pointed out that the frequency of the trisomics could be increased by the use of an aspirator or seed blower to separate the lighter seed.

The post fertilization period of 15 hours of barley was described by Pope (1937). The first endosperm division was within 6 hours after pollination and at 15 hours there were eight endosperm cells. Randolph (1936) followed the endosperm development in maize. At 3 days there were free endosperm nuclei with a definite tendency for the divisions to occur in unison. This tendency continued even after the endosperm was almost completely cellular. At first cell division activity was prevalent throughout the endosperm and later became localized in the

perpheral regions.

Clark and Copeland (1940) and Duncan and Ross (1950) used similar and quite simple techniques for fixing and preparing smear preparations of the dividing endosperm cells of maize. The fixing was accomplished with 3 parts 100% ethanol : 1 part acetic acid. The endosperm was smeared in a small drop of aceto-carmin and heated after the cover slip was in place. Clark and Copeland used the above method for studying abnormal endosperm division which gave rise to high rates of mosaic formations. Punnett (1953), using similar methods for fixing and staining, observed hexaploid endosperm cells in maize. It was postulated that these  $6N=60$  cells arose from two duplications during interphase followed by a single normal mitosis.

## DESCRIPTION OF MUTANT LINES

### Materials and Methods

The mutants involved in this study are characterized by a "thin" or "shrunk endosperm" phenotype. These mutants are designated as shrunk endosperm mutants and have been given the gene symbol "se".<sup>1</sup> All are natural occurring mutants in spring barley cultivars, Hordeum vulgare L. The mutants include 'Betzes' se and se2; 'Compana' se3, se4, and se6; and 'Sermo' x 'Glacier'<sup>7</sup> se5 which were collected and seed provided for this study by R. F. Eslick. A possible shrunk endosperm mutant in Betzes (se-x) was collected by the author.

### Results and Discussion

General Comments. All of the numbered mutants are fairly easy to classify compared to the normal phenotypes. Comparisons of all mutant types, Betzes and Compana are presented in Table I. The mutants se, se2, se3 and se6 have normal fertility whereas se4 and se5 have significantly lower levels of fertility (Table I). Considerable variation exists in seed weight (Table I) and sieve size distribution (Figures 1, 2 and 3) among the various lines. When se, se2, se3, se4 and se5 were used as females, the F<sub>1</sub> seed (hybrid seed) was shrunk, but when these lines were used as a pollen source in crosses with normal types, the F<sub>1</sub> seed was normal. The F<sub>1</sub> plants and F<sub>2</sub> seed (seed produced on a F<sub>1</sub>

---

<sup>1</sup>Correspondence with T. Tsachiya, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado. Dates January 26, 1970.

plant) from the above crosses were of the normal phenotype and did not express xenia. The mutant se6 expresses xenia for the endosperm trait. F1 seed from crosses using se6 either as a male or female with normal types does not express the shrunken characteristic. F2 seed segregation of 375 normal seeds : 143 shrunken fit a 3 : 1 ratio at a probability of .10 - .25. This segregation was obtained from heads of se6 x normal F1 plants expressing xenia. Plant segregations of the numbered mutants except se5 fit the hypothesis that each mutant is a single recessive gene (Table III). The hypothesis that se5 is a single recessive gene was rejected by the plant segregation reported in Table III, however, supported by the good fit to the independent Chi-squares with the unlinked translocations as tabulated in Table X.

Betzes se. Betzes shrunken endosperm-1 (se) was collected from a seed increase field at Aberdeen, Idaho in 1958. Fair stands of this mutant can be obtained under field conditions, but poor stands result from adverse conditions during emergence.

Betzes se2. Betzes shrunken endosperm-2 (se2) was collected from a commercial field of Betzes near Bozeman, Montana in 1965 as a shrunken endosperm mutant. The homozygous line has never produced a plant under field conditions. Plants can be obtained from the shrunken seeds by germinating the seed on blotters with a 10% sucrose solution. About 25% of the seeds germinated produce plants after transplanting the

seedlings to soil when the coleoptile has reached an inch in length. There is little or no starch deposited in the seed that develops on a homozygous mutant plant. Due to poor germination no  $F_1$  plants were obtained from the hybrid seed produced when se2 was used as the female.

Compana se3. Compana shrunken endosperm-3 (se3) was collected from a commercial field of Compana near Bozeman, Montana in 1963 as a possible male sterile. The mutant se3 is phenotypically quite similar to Betzes se. Generally good stands of this mutant can be obtained under field conditions.

Compana se4. Compana shrunken endosperm-4 (se4) was collected from a commercial field of Compana near Bozeman, Montana in 1960 as a possible male sterile. It has a mean seed set of 51.2% which is significantly less than Compana (Table I). The sterile florets appear to start seed development but abort before they reach half the length of the lemma. The mutant se4 can be grown under field conditions but poor stands are obtained when less than optimum conditions prevail during germination and emergence.

Sermo X Glacier<sup>7</sup> se5. Sermo X Glacier<sup>7</sup> shrunken endosperm-5 (se5) was obtained from one of the backcross breeding programs at Bozeman, Montana in 1965. The mutant se5 has a reduced level of fertility with a mean seed set of 16.9% which is significantly less

than all of the other lines examined (Table I). Sieve size distribution of se5 and Glacier are compared in Figure 3 which indicates that se5 has a higher proportion of thinner seeds and a greater range in size than Glacier. It is difficult to classify se5 compared to normal types on seed size alone. The caryopsis of se5 generally extends beyond the lemma and palea more than the normal types. With the difference in caryopsis length and the high degree of female sterility it is possible to classify this mutant.

Compana se6. Compana shrunken endosperm-6 (se6) was collected from a commercial field of Compana near Bozeman, Montana in 1963 as a possible unicum mutant. Compana se6 will grow equally as well as Compana under field conditions. No differences can be detected between se6 and Compana in development until the hard dough stage. At this stage, se6 develops a depression in the center of the lemma which becomes progressively more distinct with maturity. The mature endosperm of se6 appears much harder than Compana when cut with a knife but no qualitative tests were made. Segregation ratios can be separated into three classes due to the expression of xenia in the heterozygous plants (Table III).

Betzes se-x. Betzes shrunken endosperm-x (se-x) was collected as a shrunken mutant in 1969 at Tucson, Arizona. The original plant appeared to be similar to se. This line was planted at Bozeman in

1969 and did not appear to be a classifiable mutant. It was similar to Betzes in fertility and seed weight (Table I) and in-sieve size distribution (Figure 1). The F<sub>1</sub> seed, F<sub>1</sub> plants, and F<sub>2</sub> seed from crosses involving se-x did not appear to be abnormal in any way. This may be an example of material which must be screened to find heritable mutants or may be an environmentally sensitive mutant and possibly could be classified under a different environment.

TABLE I. Physical data on mutant lines.

| Variety              | Gene symbol assigned | Previous symbol | fertility <sup>1/</sup> | 100 seed weight <sup>1/</sup> | Seed size distribution |                 |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
|                      |                      |                 |                         |                               | on 6/64 sieve          | thru 5/64 sieve |
|                      |                      |                 | %                       | gms                           | %                      | %               |
| Betzes               | ---                  | ---             | 98.1a                   | 4.06bc                        | 71                     | 1               |
| Betzes               | <u>se</u>            | <u>th1</u>      | 96.7a                   | 1.34f                         | 0                      | 98              |
| Betzes               | <u>se2</u>           | <u>th2</u>      | 96.8a                   | 0.60g                         | 0                      | 100             |
| Betzes               | <u>se-x</u>          | <u>th-x</u>     | 96.6a                   | 3.82c                         | 56                     | 5               |
| Compana              | ---                  | ---             | 94.2a                   | 5.68a                         | 94                     | 1               |
| Compana              | <u>se3</u>           | <u>th7</u>      | 95.0a                   | 1.88e                         | 0                      | 94              |
| Compana              | <u>se4</u>           | <u>th6</u>      | 51.2b                   | 2.13e                         | 2                      | 61              |
| Compana              | <u>se6</u>           | <u>th5</u>      | 95.0a                   | 4.26b                         | 26                     | 3               |
| Sermo X              | <u>se5</u>           | <u>th8</u>      | 16.9c                   | 2.64d                         | 36                     | 17              |
| Glacier <sup>7</sup> |                      |                 |                         |                               |                        |                 |

<sup>1/</sup> Means with like letters are not significantly different from each other at the 1% probability level.

-13-

TABLE II. ANOVA for weight/100 seeds and fertility.

| Source | DF | Mean Squares     |           |
|--------|----|------------------|-----------|
|        |    | Weight/100 seeds | Fertility |
| Lines  | 8  | 62.11**          | 4132.14** |
| Error  | 36 | 0.08             | 8.69      |
| Total  | 44 |                  |           |

\*\* Significant at the 1% probability level.






Figure 1. Sieve size distribution of Betzes and Betzes shrunk endosperm mutants.



3/4 inch slotted sieve size width in 64th's of an inch

Figure 2. Sieve size distribution of Compana and Compana shrunk endosperm mutants.



3/4 inch slotted sieve size width in 64th's of an inch

Figure 3. Sieve size distribution of Glacier and Sermo X Glacier<sup>7</sup> se5.

TABLE III. F<sub>2</sub> plant segregation ratios for shrunken endosperm gene.

| Cross                           | Se   | sese | Total | X <sup>2</sup> for 3:1 | Probability |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|------------------------|-------------|
|                                 | no.  | no.  | no.   | value                  | %           |
| <u>Se Se</u> X <u>se se</u>     | 169  | 54   | 233   | 0.073                  | 75.0-90.0   |
| <u>Se2 Se2</u> X <u>se2 se2</u> | 1518 | 497  | 2015  | 0.028                  | 75.0-90.0   |
| <u>Se3 Se3</u> X <u>se3 se3</u> | 1333 | 424  | 1757  | 0.709                  | 25.0-50.0   |
| <u>Se4 Se4</u> X <u>se4 se4</u> | 594  | 184  | 778   | 0.756                  | 25.0-50.0   |
| <u>Se5 Se5</u> X <u>se5 se5</u> | 189  | 98   | 287   | 4.907                  | 02.5-05.0   |

| Cross                           | SeSe | Sese | sese | Total | X <sup>2</sup> for 1:2:1 | Probability |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|--------------------------|-------------|
|                                 | no.  | no.  | no.  | no.   | value                    | %           |
| <u>Se6 Se6</u> X <u>se6 se6</u> | 107  | 216  | 97   | 420   | 0.815                    | 50.0-75.0   |

## CYTOLOGY

### Materials and Methods

Florets, after being clipped to the level of the developing seed, were killed and fixed in 3 parts 100% ethanol : 1 part acetic acid (Farmer's fluid, as described by Smith, 1947). Samples were kept at room temperature for 24 hours and then transferred to 70% ethanol for storage. The fixed immature seeds were transferred from the floret onto a microscope slide, a small drop of 5% acetocarmine stain was then placed on the slide, and the seed cut in half and "teased" with dissecting needles to distribute the endosperm cells into the stain. Seed fragments were removed and a cover slide added. The slide was heated for about 1 minute over a flask of hot water. This method is similar to the one described by Duncan and Ross (1950) for maize endosperm and resulted in satisfactory staining of the endosperm nuclei.

It was of interest to determine the time after pollination when one could be assured of finding a sufficient number of endosperm cells in mitotic division. Heads on one plant of *Compana* male sterile-10 were pollinated at daily intervals with *Compana* pollen. Heads were covered with glycine bags while in the boot stage to assure controlled pollinations. One head was pollinated for each day at 10 a.m. for 10 consecutive days. All heads were collected on the 11th day between 9 and 10 a.m. and fixed in Farmer's fluid. Counts of cells in various

stages of division were made from the 9th and 13th florets from the base of the head. Ratios of the various stages of division for the days after pollination were tested by the heterogeneity Chi-square test (LeClerc, Leonard, and Clark, 1962).

Head samples of Betzes were collected on the hour for the period from 8 a.m. through 5 p.m. to determine if the hour of sample collection influenced the proportion of endosperm cells in the various stages of mitosis. Heads were collected and fixed in Farmer's fluid when the developing seed was one-half to two-thirds the length of the lemma. Mitotic division ratios were based on the 9th and 13th florets counting from the base of the spike. Ratios of the various stages of endosperm mitosis for the given hours of the day were tested by heterogeneity Chi-square.

A sample of three heads was taken from Betzes when the seed was one-half to two-thirds the length of the lemma. These samples were collected between 9 to 10 a.m. and fixed in Farmer's fluid. Cells were scored for the stages of endosperm mitosis from every other seed proceeding up from the base of the spike on one head. For two additional heads every fourth floret starting from the base of the spike was scored for the stages of mitotic division. These samples were used to determine if the position of the floret had any influence on endosperm mitosis. These samples were also used to determine if

division ratios were homogenous within a single line. The heterogeneity Chi-square test was used to test the ratios for the positions and among heads from a single variety.

Samples from all mutant lines, Compana, and Betzes were collected when the developing seed was one-half to two-thirds the length of the lemma. These samples were collected between 9-10 a.m. and killed and fixed in Farmer's fluid. Seeds from the 9th and 13th florets were scored for endosperm mitotic stages. The heterogeneity Chi-square test was used to test the division ratios between the lines.

Meiotic divisions were examined in all mutant lines. Samples were killed and fixed in Farmer's fluid when pollen mother cells were undergoing meiotic divisions. Samples were maintained at room temperature for 24 hours and then transferred to a refrigerator until they were examined. Smear preparations were made from the anthers, using an acetocarmine method similar to the one described by Smith (1947). All stages of meiotic division were examined in all lines.

#### Results and Discussion

The possibility of chromosome abnormalities in the mutant types was investigated. It was of interest to determine the proper sampling procedure to best observe the endosperm cells in division.

Endosperm mitosis. The stage of seed development was one variable studied. The samples for the 1st and 2nd days after pollination

had too few endosperm cells to score. The percentage of endosperm cells in the various mitotic stages and the total number of cells counted are given in Table IV. The heterogeneity Chi-square of 147.108 with 28 degrees freedom is significant at the 0.5% level and indicates that the distribution of the cells in the various stages of division are not the same for the given dates after pollination.

Chromosome counts can most easily be made in prophase, therefore, it is of interest to know when the largest percentage of the cells are in prophase. The percentage of cells in prophase are plotted in Figure 4. A regression of the percent prophase on the days after pollination, excluding the third date, indicated that the percentage of cells drops 1.52% each day after the 4th day. The third date was not included in the regression analysis since divisions have been reported by Randolph (1936) to be definitely synchronized in the free endosperm nuclei. A correlation coefficient of -0.99 for the 4th through 10th days after pollination was highly significant and 98% of the variation in the percent prophase may be accounted for by days after pollination for dates four through ten.


It appeared that the 4th day after pollination had the highest percentage of prophase cells. Between the 5th and 7th day after pollination there are more total cells in prophase because of the

TABLE IV. Distribution of endosperm mitotic stages in *Compana* at various days after pollination.

| Days after<br>Pollination | Percentage of cells counted in<br>given stages of division |          |           |          |           | Total number of<br>cells counted |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------------------------|
|                           | Interphase                                                 | Prophase | Metaphase | Anaphase | Telophase |                                  |
| 1                         |                                                            |          |           |          |           | 0 <sup>1/</sup>                  |
| 2                         |                                                            |          |           |          |           | 0 <sup>1/</sup>                  |
| 3                         | 90.1                                                       | 3.7      | 2.4       | 1.5      | 2.2       | 455                              |
| 4                         | 81.9                                                       | 10.1     | 4.0       | 1.0      | 3.0       | 504                              |
| 5                         | 84.4                                                       | 7.3      | 1.6       | 3.0      | 3.7       | 493                              |
| 6                         | 86.8                                                       | 5.9      | 1.0       | 2.0      | 4.3       | 494                              |
| 7                         | 90.7                                                       | 4.6      | 1.1       | 1.1      | 2.4       | 454                              |
| 8                         | 90.6                                                       | 3.3      | 1.1       | 2.2      | 2.8       | 459                              |
| 9                         | 93.6                                                       | 1.9      | 0.6       | 0.8      | 3.0       | 472                              |
| 10                        | 98.8                                                       | 0.2      | 0.2       | 0.0      | 0.7       | 423                              |
| $\bar{X}$                 | 89.4                                                       | 4.8      | 1.5       | 1.5      | 2.3       |                                  |

Heterogeneity  $\chi^2 = 147.108$  with 28 df Prob.  $< 0.5\%$ .

<sup>1/</sup> At days 1 and 2 there were too few cells to count.



⊙ Not included in regression analysis

\*\* Significant at 1% probability level

Figure 4. Percentage of endosperm cells in prophase in Compana at various days after pollination.

larger number of cells present. The 8th through the 10th day after pollination has an increasing amount of starch present which increases the difficulty in observing the endosperm cells. On the 5th day after pollination the developing seed is about one-half the length of the lemma and about two-thirds the length of the lemma on the 7th day. The one-half to two-thirds length was the stage of development sampled in the other studies.

Samples taken 8 a.m. through 5 p.m. were scored for the various stages of division. The percentage of cells in the various stages of division at the various hours sampled are shown in Table V. Heterogeneity Chi-square test indicated the distribution of cells in the various stages of division was not the same for the different hours for which samples were taken. Figure 5 indicates that there may be two peaks in which a higher percentage of the cells are in prophase. A smaller peak occurred between 9 and 10 a.m. with a larger one between 1 and 3 p.m. Samples for all other studies were taken between 9 and 10 a.m., however, a more appropriate collection time might have been 1 to 3 p.m.

The position of the seed on the rachis was also considered as a factor which could influence frequency of the various mitotic stages of endosperm cells. The percentage of cells in the various stages of division as related to position of the seed is shown in Table VI.

TABLE V. Distribution of endosperm mitotic stages in samples collected from Betzes at various hours of the day.

| Hour<br>Samples | Percentage of cells counted in<br>given stages of division |          |           |          |           | Total number<br>cells counted |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
|                 | Interphase                                                 | Prophase | Metaphase | Anaphase | Telophase |                               |
| 8 a.m.          | 92.6                                                       | 3.7      | 0.6       | 0.8      | 2.3       | 1119                          |
| 9 a.m.          | 89.2                                                       | 4.8      | 0.9       | 1.9      | 3.1       | 1400                          |
| 10 a.m.         | 89.3                                                       | 4.7      | 1.1       | 2.0      | 2.8       | 1376                          |
| 11 a.m.         | 93.6                                                       | 2.3      | 0.9       | 0.6      | 2.6       | 1314                          |
| 12 a.m.         | 91.4                                                       | 3.1      | 0.7       | 1.6      | 3.2       | 1361                          |
| 1 p.m.          | 83.9                                                       | 6.7      | 3.0       | 3.5      | 3.0       | 1507                          |
| 2 p.m.          | 88.6                                                       | 5.5      | 1.5       | 1.9      | 2.5       | 1391                          |
| 3 p.m.          | 87.6                                                       | 7.5      | 0.6       | 1.5      | 2.8       | 469 <sup>1/</sup>             |
| 4 p.m.          | 93.9                                                       | 2.3      | 0.5       | 0.7      | 2.7       | 442 <sup>1/</sup>             |
| 5 p.m.          | 96.0                                                       | 0.7      | 0.2       | 0.9      | 2.2       | 447 <sup>1/</sup>             |
| $\bar{X}$       | 90.0                                                       | 4.3      | 1.2       | 1.7      | 2.8       |                               |

Heterogeneity  $\chi^2 = 194.410$  with 36 df Prob. <8.5%.

<sup>1/</sup> One head sample, all others are three head samples.




Figure 5. Percentage of endosperm cells in prophase in Betzes at various hours of the day.

Heterogeneity Chi-square was significant at the 0.5% probability level and indicates that the percentage of cells in the various stages of division are not the same for seeds from different positions on the spike. The percentage of the cells in prophase as related to the position of the seed on the spike is plotted in Figure 6. Regression of the percent prophase cells on the position of the seed on the spike, excluding the seed located at the first internode, indicated that the percent cells in prophase dropped 0.298% for each node above the third rachis node. A correlation coefficient of -0.79 for the 3rd through 23rd node was highly significant. Sixty-two percent of the variation in percent prophase cells could be accounted for by the position of the seed for the rachis nodes three through twenty-three. The floret at the first internode is one of the last to pollinate on the spike and this low rate of division may be related to days after pollination. Samples for the other studies were taken from the 9th and 13th rachis nodes, numbering from the base of the spike. It appears that sampling near the base of the spike, the third floret or above, would yield the highest percentage of endosperm cells in the prophase stage.

Material from the spike position study was also used to determine the variation of percent cells in the various mitotic stages within a single line. Three one-head samples, with the total of six


TABLE VI. Distribution of endosperm mitotic stages at various positions along the spike of Betzes.

| Seed <sup>1/</sup><br>Position | Percentage of cells counted in<br>given stage of division |          |           |          |           | Total number<br>cells counted |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
|                                | Interphase                                                | Prophase | Metaphase | Anaphase | Telophase |                               |
| 1                              | 97.8                                                      | 0.0      | 0.1       | 0.1      | 0.1       | 713                           |
| 3                              | 73.9                                                      | 9.3      | 5.3       | 4.0      | 7.5       | 399 <sup>2/</sup>             |
| 5                              | 79.1                                                      | 5.2      | 4.2       | 5.6      | 6.0       | 737                           |
| 7                              | 83.9                                                      | 8.5      | 1.7       | 1.7      | 4.2       | 236 <sup>2/</sup>             |
| 9                              | 90.6                                                      | 4.1      | 0.5       | 1.3      | 3.5       | 764                           |
| 11                             | 86.9                                                      | 5.6      | 1.0       | 1.3      | 5.2       | 305 <sup>2/</sup>             |
| 13                             | 85.7                                                      | 4.6      | 2.0       | 1.6      | 6.1       | 741                           |
| 15                             | 87.3                                                      | 6.3      | 1.3       | 2.1      | 3.0       | 237 <sup>2/</sup>             |
| 17                             | 86.8                                                      | 3.5      | 2.5       | 2.1      | 5.1       | 712                           |
| 19                             | 96.7                                                      | 1.5      | 0.0       | 0.0      | 1.8       | 274 <sup>2/</sup>             |
| 21                             | 83.1                                                      | 4.2      | 2.7       | 3.7      | 6.3       | 670                           |
| 23                             | 96.0                                                      | 1.2      | 0.8       | 0.4      | 1.6       | 252 <sup>2/</sup>             |
| $\bar{X}$                      | 87.0                                                      | 4.2      | 2.0       | 2.3      | 4.5       |                               |

Heterogeneity  $\chi^2 = 293.756$  with 44 df Prob. <0.5%

<sup>1/</sup> Numbering rachis nodes from base of spike.

<sup>2/</sup> One head sample, all others are three head samples.



⊙ Not included in the regression analysis.

\*\* Significant at 1% probability level.

Figure 6. Percentage of endosperm cells in prophase at various positions on the spike of Betzes.

corresponding florets, each were each scored for stages of division (Table VII). A heterogeneity Chi-square was significant at the 0.5% probability level, which indicates considerable variation in the percent cells in the various mitotic stages within a single line. In other words, there was considerable sampling error involved in these studies which might have been related to the synchronization of the endosperm division.

The seven mutant types, Compana, and Betzes were also compared for the percentage of endosperm cells in the various mitotic stages (Table VIII). The heterogeneity Chi-square was significant at the 0.5% probability level but with the variation within a single line being significant at 0.5% probability level the differences between lines may be due to random variation. All stages of endosperm mitosis were observed for all of the mutants, Compana, and Betzes and no visual abnormalities were observed. Chromosome counts at the prophase stage of endosperm mitosis were made and all lines contained 21 chromosomes.

Generally, poor spreads of the endosperm nuclei were obtained. A colchicine treatment was tried without success. Plate 1 shows an early prophase endosperm cell of se6. In some cells this stage could be counted. Plate 2 shows an endosperm cell of Betzes which is typical of the poor prophase spreads obtained. Plate 3 illustrates

TABLE VII. Distribution of endosperm mitotic stages within the variety Betzes based on one head samples.

| Head      | Percentage of cells counted in<br>given stages of division |          |           |          |           | Total number<br>cells counted |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
|           | Interphase                                                 | Prophase | Metaphase | Anaphase | Telophase |                               |
| 1         | 86.4                                                       | 5.2      | 2.0       | 2.0      | 4.4       | 1632                          |
| 2         | 81.4                                                       | 4.0      | 3.4       | 4.2      | 7.0       | 1425                          |
| 3         | 94.9                                                       | 1.1      | 0.6       | 1.1      | 2.3       | 1280                          |
| $\bar{X}$ | 87.2                                                       | 3.6      | 2.1       | 2.5      | 4.7       |                               |

Heterogeneity  $\chi^2 = 133.146$  with 8 df Prob. <0.5%.

a good spread of endosperm prophase in se3. A later stage of endosperm prophase in se6 is shown in Plate 4. Endosperm metaphase spreads were generally poor as illustrated in Plate 5 which made it difficult to make counts of metaphase chromosomes. Plate 6 shows an unusual endosperm metaphase spread found in se2 in which the chromosomes were extremely contracted and well spread. This was the only dividing cell observed on the slide.

Pollen mother cell meiosis. All lines were examined for meiotic abnormalities in pollen mother cells. Meiosis was observed from pachytene through pollen grains with all cases being normal except one plant in the mutant line se5. This one plant varied from the normal 14 univalents to about 70 univalents in anaphase I cells. A single anaphase cell with approximately 70 univalent chromosomes is shown in Plate 7. Plate 8 illustrates variations in ploidy levels of the cells. A similar meiotic abnormality was described by Smith (1942). This condition was described as multiploid sporocytes controlled by a single recessive gene. The abnormal se5 plant produced no seed and all other plants from this line examined had normal meiosis.

TABLE VIII. Distribution of endosperm mitotic stages in the shrunken endosperm lines, Compana and Betzes.

| Line                                       | Percentage of cells counted in<br>given stages of division |          |           |          |           | Total number<br>cells counted |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
|                                            | Interphase                                                 | Prophase | Metaphase | Anaphase | Telophase |                               |
| Betzes                                     | 87.2                                                       | 3.6      | 2.1       | 2.5      | 4.7       | 4337                          |
| Betzes <u>se</u>                           | 87.3                                                       | 4.3      | 2.2       | 3.0      | 3.2       | 3274                          |
| Betzes <u>se2</u>                          | 93.4                                                       | 4.0      | 0.5       | 0.5      | 1.6       | 3091                          |
| Betzes <u>se-x</u>                         | 91.4                                                       | 4.8      | 0.0       | 0.9      | 2.9       | 442 <sup>1/</sup>             |
| Compana                                    | 85.1                                                       | 7.7      | 0.6       | 1.3      | 5.3       | 470 <sup>1/</sup>             |
| Compana <u>se3</u>                         | 90.6                                                       | 3.4      | 1.3       | 1.1      | 3.6       | 446 <sup>1/</sup>             |
| Compana <u>se4</u>                         | 89.3                                                       | 4.8      | 0.9       | 1.8      | 3.3       | 456 <sup>1/</sup>             |
| Compana <u>se6</u>                         | 89.0                                                       | 4.8      | 1.0       | 1.8      | 3.4       | 3303                          |
| Sermo X Glacier <sup>7</sup><br><u>se5</u> | 87.0                                                       | 6.0      | 1.1       | 2.3      | 3.6       | 470 <sup>1/</sup>             |
| $\bar{X}$                                  | 89.0                                                       | 4.3      | 1.4       | 1.9      | 3.4       |                               |

Heterogeneity  $\chi^2 = 212.779$  with 32 df Prob. < 0.5%

<sup>1/</sup> One head sample, all others are three head samples.




Plate 1. Early Prophase of endosperm mitosis  
in se5 1300X.




Plate 2. Prophase of endosperm mitosis in  
Betzes 4000X.



Plate 3. Prophase of endosperm mitosis in  
se3 4000X.




Plate 4. Late Prophase of endosperm mitosis  
in se6 5000X.




Plate 5. Metaphase of endosperm mitosis in  
se 4000X.




Plate 6. Unusual metaphase spread of endosperm mitosis in se2 2000X.




Plate 7. Anaphase I in the se5 multiploid sporocyte illustrating more than 14 univalents 1000X.




Plate 8. Anaphase I in the se5 multiploid sporocyte illustrating variations in ploidy levels 250X.

## LOCATION OF SHRUNKEN ENDOSPERM GENES ON BARLEY GENETIC MAPS

### Materials and Methods

The crosses between the mutant lines (excluding se5) and stocks homozygous for translocations were made in 1967 and 1968 at Bozeman. In all crosses the translocation stocks were used as the females. The translocations T1-6c, T1-7a, T2-4a, T2-6a, T3-5b, T3-7a, and T4-5a segregated for the male sterile gene, ms, which was used as an aid in crossing. All other translocations did not contain male sterility and were emasculated prior to crossing. It is always desirable to grow F<sub>3</sub> progenies to obtain reliable linkage estimates. Each chromosome must be represented at least twice in a translocation tester set in order to place a gene on a chromosome. This requires a minimum of seven reciprocal translocations in a tester set. It would be desirable to have one breakpoint in every chromosome arm to gain maximum linkage information from the tests. Since no translocation tester set with breakpoints in every chromosome arm is available at the present, it is desirable to base linkage estimates on more than the minimum number of translocation testers. Conflicting results of linkage tests using F<sub>2</sub> translocation data due to chance deviations are common, particularly with small populations. Crosses between the translocations and se5 were made by Dr. R. T. Ramage in the spring of 1967. Crosses involving male sterile-b were made prior to this study. In the linkage studies, Compana ms10 was used as a female in the

crosses with the mutant lines.

The F<sub>1</sub> plants from the crosses were grown in the greenhouse at Bozeman, in the field at Bozeman, Montana, or in the field at Mesa, Arizona. An attempt to grow at least five F<sub>1</sub> plants for each cross was not successful in some cases. F<sub>1</sub> plants from crosses between translocations and mutants were checked for semi-sterility to insure that the plants were hybrids. All F<sub>1</sub> plants were checked for the phenotypic expression of the heterozygous condition of the mutant genes. F<sub>1</sub> plants were pulled and harvested individually.

The F<sub>2</sub> generations were grown at Bozeman or Mesa, Arizona under field conditions except the se5 crosses which were grown in the greenhouse at Tucson, Arizona. F<sub>2</sub>'s were planted with 25 seeds per three meter row, generally with four rows of each F<sub>2</sub> family and four F<sub>2</sub> families in an attempt to grow 400 F<sub>2</sub> plants per cross. F<sub>2</sub> plants were classified for fertile (designated in the tables as 'Fert') vs semi-sterile (designated in the tables as 'SS'), dominant vs recessive mutant phenotype and for male sterility where it was involved. Two sets of one head samples were taken from each F<sub>2</sub> plant of each phenotypic classification, except male steriles.

F<sub>3</sub> progenies were grown under field conditions at Bozeman in 1968 or 1969. The F<sub>2</sub> heads were planted in hills on 60 cm centers and classified for the F<sub>3</sub> phenotypes to obtain the F<sub>2</sub> genotypes.

Linkage between two genes was determined by the linkage Chi-square test. The percent recombination was calculated for the populations with a significant linkage Chi-square by the maximum-likelihood method. This calculation was facilitated by the formulas and tables presented in Allard (1956). Significant deviations from the expected independent ratios, as indicated by Chi-square tests for the translocation-mutant crosses, were used as an indicator of linkage where complete classifications were not possible. In the cases where complete classifications of translocation-mutant segregant were possible, linkage detection was based on significant linkage Chi-square tests. The percent recombination for the translocation-mutant segregant was calculated by the maximum likelihood method as described by Hanson and Kramer (1950). The percent recombination was based on the F<sub>3</sub> data when it was available and on F<sub>2</sub> data where no F<sub>3</sub> populations were grown. Recombination percentages based on F<sub>3</sub> data were calculated by combining both the fertile and semi-sterile classes when both populations were grown.

#### Results and Discussion

Location of se. Linkage was detected between Betzes male sterile-b (ms-b) and se with the recombination values of  $11.3 \pm 3.7\%$  (Hockett unpublished data) and  $6.6 \pm 3.4\%$  (Eslick unpublished data), based on F<sub>3</sub> linkage tests (Table IX). The crosses of ms-b by

translocation tester-stocks were completed previously so it was not necessary to cross se with the translocation testers. Recombination values based on F<sub>3</sub> data (Eslick unpublished data) indicate ms-b is located on chromosome 1 (Table X). Segregation of ms-b and the translocations not involving chromosome 1 (except T2-4a) fit the Chi-square test for independence at the 5% probability level (Table X). From the data shown in Table X it can be concluded that ms-b must be located on chromosome 1 and se must also be located on chromosome 1 due to the linkage with ms-b.

The location of ms-b and se in a specific arm of chromosome 1 requires some additional information. Eslick (1969) reported ms10 to be in the centric region of chromosome 1. The naked caryopsis gene (n) is located approximately 10 recombination units from the centromere in the long arm of chromosome 1, Ramage, Burnham, and Hagberg (1961). A three point linkage test between ms10, n and se indicated ms10 and n are inherited independently of se (Table IX). A recombination value of  $12.7 \pm 1.6\%$  was obtained between n and ms10 (Table IX). The gene brachtic (br) has been placed in the distal end of the short arm of chromosome 1, Anonymous (1964). The gene br is inherited independently of ms-b (Table XI). The independence of se and ms10 or n, independence of ms-b and br, and the linkage of ms-b and se indicates that ms-b and/or se are not located

near the centromere or in the distal end of the short arm of chromosome 1.

The translocation  $Tl_d^6$  breakpoint is located near br, with a recombination value of  $10.0 \pm 4.6\%$ , Ramage et al. (1961). This places the  $Tl_d^6$  breakpoint in the distal end of the short arm of chromosome 1. A recombination value of  $4.0 \pm 1.1\%$  has been reported between albino seedling (a<sub>c2</sub>) and  $Tl_a^7$  breakpoint, Ramage et al. (1961). Both a<sub>c2</sub> and br are in the short arm of chromosome 1 with br being distal to and independent of a<sub>c2</sub>, Anonymous (1964). From this it may be concluded that the  $Tl_a^7$  breakpoint is in the short arm of chromosome 1 and at least 50 recombination units in towards the centromere from br. The breakpoint of  $Tl_e^5$  may be located in the long arm of chromosome 1, Hagberg and Persson (1964). The  $Tl_c^3$  and  $Tl_b^5$  breakpoints appear to be located in the short arm of chromosome 1 between a<sub>c2</sub> and the centromere, Anonymous (1964). The  $Tl_c^6$  and  $Tl_e^6$  breakpoints on chromosome 1 have not been reported. The marker genes and the translocation breakpoints could then be ordered in the following manner on chromosome 1.

| short arm |                          | Tl-3c                 |             | long arm |  |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|--|
| Tl-6d     | Tl-7a                    | Tl-5b                 | centromere  | Tl-5e    |  |
| <u>br</u> | <u>ms-b</u><br><u>se</u> | <u>a<sub>c2</sub></u> | <u>ms10</u> | <u>n</u> |  |

Ramage (1964) stated there was a reduction of crossing over in the area between translocation breakpoints and the centromere interstitial area). This reduction in crossing over is a function of an excess of alternate disjunction in barley translocation heterozygotes at metaphase I. Crossovers in the interstitial area followed by alternate disjunction lead to spores which abort due to chromosomal deficiencies. It appears that this reduction in crossing over in the interstitial area is based on single cross overs in that area. If a double cross over occurs within the interstitial area, the resulting gametes should be viable. If a gene is located between the centromere and the translocation breakpoint and at least 50 recombination units from both the centromere and the breakpoint, one would expect  $.50 \times .50$  or 25% double cross overs. The 25% double cross overs would be recombinant types with respect to the translocation breakpoint. This could explain the  $23.6 \pm 4.7\%$  recombination of ms-b with  $Tl_d^6$  breakpoint and the 0.0% recombination of ms-b with  $Tl_a^7$  breakpoint.

The gene se was also crossed with the translocations Tl-4d, Tl-5f, and Tl-7c. No recombination was detected with Tl-4d and Tl-7c breakpoints based on  $F_2$  linkage tests (Table XII).  $F_3$  progenies of these crosses should be classified to locate this gene more precisely.

Location of se2. The male sterile gene, ms10, has been reported to be near the centromere of chromosome 1 by Eslick (1969). A recombination value of  $5.7 \pm 1.6\%$  was obtained from a F<sub>3</sub> linkage test between ms10 and se2 (Table IX). Recombination values of  $2.8 \pm 0.9\%$  and  $4.6 \pm 1.4\%$  were obtained from F<sub>3</sub> tests between se2 and breakpoints of T1-6c and T1-7a, respectively (Table X). Independence was obtained between se2 and T3-5b and T4-5a breakpoints based on F<sub>3</sub> data (Table X). Independence was indicated by Chi-square tests based on F<sub>2</sub> data between se2 and T2-4a, T2-5c, T2-6c, T3-7a, and T3-7e breakpoints (Table XII). The mutant se2 yielded 0.0% recombination with the translocation T1-4c, T1-4d, T1-5f, and T1-7g breakpoints based on F<sub>2</sub> data (Table XII).

Several balanced tertiary trisomics were obtained from the segregants of the cross T1-6c X se2. The trisomic plants expressed phenotypes corresponding to short arm chromosome 1 trisomics (personal communication, Dr. R. T. Ramage). From the data it appears that se2 is located in the short arm of chromosome 1 about  $5 \pm$  recombination units from the centromere.

Location of se3. The following recombination values were obtained from F<sub>3</sub> data between se3 and the following translocation breakpoints: T2-6a with  $30.8 \pm 5.2\%$ ; T3-5b with  $19.2 \pm 2.9\%$ , T3-7a with  $10.5 \pm 2.0\%$  and independence with T1-6c, T1-7a and T4-5a

breakpoints (Table X). In additional  $F_2$  tests se3 showed linkage with T2-5c and T3-6j breakpoints with recombinations of  $12.9 \pm 14.9\%$  and  $0.0\%$ , respectively (Table XII). T2-5c's standard error is quite large and if one considers two standard errors, the gene could be independent of the translocation breakpoints.  $F_2$  linkage tests between se3 and T1-5f, T2-4a, T2-5a, and T4-6a breakpoints indicated independence by Chi-square tests (Table X). Therefore, se3 must be located on chromosome 3.

Location of se4.  $F_3$  linkage tests were completed for four translocation tests with se4. Linkage was indicated with T1-6c and T1-7a breakpoints in the  $F_2$  generation and again in the  $F_3$  generation. Recombination values of  $30.3 \pm 4.4\%$  and  $25.2 \pm 3.9\%$  were obtained between se4 and T1-6c and T1-7a breakpoints respectively (Table X).  $F_3$  linkage tests indicated independence with the T3-5b and T4-5a breakpoints (Table X). From additional  $F_2$  tests, the following recombination values were obtained between se4 and the breakpoints of the translocations T1-5f with  $13.5 \pm 13.5\%$ , T1-7e with  $6.5 \pm 8.7\%$ ; and T2-4d with  $11.3 \pm 10.6\%$  (Table XII). Independence was indicated, based on  $F_2$  data with breakpoints T1-7g, T2-4a, T2-6a, T3-6f, and T3-7a (Table XII). It appears that se3 is located on chromosome 1 and not near the centric region.

Location of se5. All linkage tests between translocation testers

and se5 were carried through the  $F_3$  generation. These linkage tests produced the following recombination values with the translocation breakpoints: 0.0% with T1-3f; T1-5f and T1-6a;  $1.0 \pm 0.6\%$  with T1-6c; and  $26.5 \pm 7.4\%$  with T2-5a (Table X). Independence was obtained with T2-3f, T3-4a, T4-5a, T5-7b, and T6-7b breakpoints (Table X). The tight linkage with breakpoints of all translocations involving chromosome 1 indicates se5 must be located near the centric region of chromosome 1. An attempt to establish a balanced tertiary trisomic with se5 was unsuccessful. A total of 22 possible trisomics were progeny tested of which none were balanced.

Location of se6. Based on  $F_3$  data from crosses with the translocation tester set, se6 showed  $0.7 \pm 0.4\%$  recombination with T1-6c breakpoint and  $2.5 \pm 1.0\%$  recombination with T2-6a breakpoint (Table X). Both of these translocation breakpoints have been reported to be in the long arm of chromosome 6 by Ramage *et al.* (1961). Independence was obtained from  $F_3$  data for the translocation T1-7a, T2-4a, T3-5b, T3-7a, and T4-5a (Table X).

Additional  $F_2$  tests with ten translocation breakpoints showed significant linkage Chi-square values in five cases (Table XII). These translocations and recombination values are as follows: T2-4d with  $15.9 \pm 2.6\%$ , T2-5d with  $26.9 \pm 3.7\%$ , T3-6f with  $23.6 \pm 4.1\%$ , T4-6a with 0.0%, and T5-6d with  $0.6 \pm 0.4\%$  (Table XII). Independence

was indicated between se6 and T1-5f, T1-7g, T2-4e, T2-5c, and T3-7d breakpoints (Table XII). This data gave additional evidence that se6 is located on chromosome 6. The linkage obtained between se6 and T2-4d and T2-5d breakpoints was most likely due to chance deviations since T2-4e and T2-5c did not show linkage.

TABLE IX. F<sub>3</sub> genetic linkage data.

| Cross       |   |                         | F3 Segregations |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | Total | Phase | Linkage<br>Chi-square | Recombination<br>± standard error |
|-------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
|             |   |                         | BB              |     |     | Bb  |     |     | bb  |     |     |       |       |                       |                                   |
| a           | X | b                       | AA              | Aa  | aa  | AA  | Aa  | aa  | AA  | Aa  | aa  |       |       |                       |                                   |
|             |   |                         | no.             | no. | no. | no. | no. | no. | no. | no. | no. | no.   |       | value                 | %                                 |
| <u>ms-b</u> | X | <u>se</u> <sup>1/</sup> | 0               | 1   | -   | 5   | 17  | -   | 8   | 1   | -   | 58    | R     | 22.463                | 11.3 ± 3.7                        |
| <u>ms-b</u> | X | <u>se</u> <sup>2/</sup> | 0               | 0   | -   | 0   | 22  | -   | 17  | 0   | -   | 39    | R     | 15.462                | 6.6 ± 3.4                         |
| <u>ms10</u> | X | <u>se</u>               | 58              | 98  | -   | 55  | 69  | -   | 28  | 22  | -   | 330   | R     | 0.932                 | independent                       |
| <u>n</u>    | X | <u>se</u>               | 58              | 88  | 10  | 66  | 50  | 8   | 29  | 18  | 3   | 330   | R     | 17.023                | independent                       |
| <u>ms10</u> | X | <u>n</u>                | 119             | 34  | -   | 9   | 137 | -   | 3   | 18  | -   | 330   | C     | 269.531               | 12.7 ± 1.6                        |
| <u>ms10</u> | X | <u>se</u>               | 0               | 9   | -   | 11  | 161 | -   | -   | -   | -   | 181   | R     | 20.457                | 5.7 ± 1.6                         |

<sup>1/</sup> Hockett unpublished data<sup>2/</sup> Eslick unpublished data

TABLE X. F3 mutant X translocation data.

| Cross      |          | F3 Segregation |         |      |      | Total | 1AA:2Aa Chi-square <sup>1/</sup> |           | Recombi-<br>nation $\pm$ S.E. |
|------------|----------|----------------|---------|------|------|-------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| Mutant     | X Trans. | AA Fert        | Aa Fert | AASS | AaSS |       | Fert. Class.                     | SS. Class |                               |
|            |          | no.            | no.     | no.  | no.  | no.   | value                            | value     | %                             |
| <u>se2</u> | X T1-6c  | 70             | 0       | 10   | 105  | 185   | 34.993*                          | 31.407*   | 2.8 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>2/</sup>   |
| <u>se2</u> | X T1-7a  | 47             | 0       | 12   | 84   | 143   | 90.020*                          | 18.750*   | 4.6 $\pm$ 1.4                 |
| <u>se2</u> | X T3-5b  | 16             | 31      | 2    | 1    | 50    | 0.010                            | 1.500     | -----                         |
| <u>se2</u> | X T4-5a  | 22             | 28      | 0    | 0    | 50    | 2.567                            | ---       | -----                         |
| <u>se3</u> | X T1-6c  | 32             | 38      | 49   | 46   | 165   | 4.833*                           | 14.290*   | independent                   |
| <u>se3</u> | X T1-7a  | 41             | 63      | 50   | 69   | 223   | 1.734                            | 4.035*    | independent                   |
| <u>se3</u> | X T2-6a  | 33             | 37      | 33   | 71   | 174   | 6.012*                           | 0.120     | 30.8 $\pm$ 5.2                |
| <u>se3</u> | X T3-5b  | 4              | 12      | 34   | 175  | 225   | 0.498                            | 27.394*   | 19.2 $\pm$ 2.9                |
| <u>se3</u> | X T3-7a  | 52             | 7       | 25   | 104  | 188   | 79.707*                          | 11.302*   | 10.5 $\pm$ 2.0                |
| <u>se3</u> | X T4-5a  | 20             | 39      | 53   | 65   | 177   | 0.083                            | 7.127*    | independent                   |
| <u>se4</u> | X T1-6c  | 32             | 37      | 38   | 89   | 196   | 5.283*                           | 0.665     | 30.3 $\pm$ 4.4                |
| <u>se4</u> | X T1-7a  | 31             | 32      | 29   | 85   | 177   | 7.143*                           | 3.198     | 25.2 $\pm$ 3.9                |
| <u>se4</u> | X T3-5b  | 26             | 44      | 1    | 2    | 73    | 0.459                            | ---       | -----                         |
| <u>se4</u> | X T4-5a  | 15             | 35      | 0    | 1    | 51    | 0.251                            | ---       | -----                         |
| <u>se5</u> | X T1-3f  | 24             | 0       | 0    | 25   | 49    | 48.000*                          | 12.496*   | 0.0                           |
| <u>se5</u> | X T1-5f  | 28             | 0       | 0    | 19   | 47    | 56.027*                          | 9.499*    | 0.0                           |
| <u>se5</u> | X T1-6a  | 34             | 0       | 0    | 14   | 48    | 68.003*                          | 7.001*    | 0.0                           |
| <u>se5</u> | X T1-6c  | 49             | 0       | 3    | 116  | 168   | 98.003*                          | 50.841*   | 1.0 $\pm$ 0.6                 |
| <u>se5</u> | X T2-3f  | 13             | 33      | 1    | 2    | 49    | 0.532                            | 0.000     | -----                         |
| <u>se5</u> | X T2-5a  | 20             | 21      | 4    | 4    | 49    | 4.402*                           | 0.995     | 26.5 $\pm$ 7.4                |
| <u>se5</u> | X T3-4a  | 12             | 38      | 0    | 0    | 50    | 1.960                            | ---       | -----                         |
| <u>se5</u> | X T4-5a  | 13             | 20      | 5    | 11   | 49    | 0.546                            | 0.031     | -----                         |
| <u>se5</u> | X T5-7b  | 13             | 20      | 5    | 9    | 47    | 0.546                            | 0.036     | -----                         |
| <u>se5</u> | X T6-7b  | 17             | 33      | 0    | 0    | 50    | 0.010                            | ---       | -----                         |

TABLE X. F3 mutant X translocation data.

| Cross                    | Mutant X Trans. | F3 Segregation |         |      |      | Total | 1AA:2Aa Chi-square <sup>1/</sup> |          | Recombination ± S.E. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|------|------|-------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
|                          |                 | AA Fert        | Aa Fert | AASS | AaSS |       | Fert Class                       | SS Class |                      |
|                          |                 | no.            | no.     | no.  | no.  | no.   | value                            | value    | %                    |
| <u>se6</u>               | X T1-6c         | 57             | 2       | 0    | 44   | 103   | 106.268*                         | 22.010*  | 0.7 ± 0.4            |
| <u>se6</u>               | X T1-7a         | 36             | 61      | 0    | 0    | 97    | 0.625                            | ---      | -----                |
| <u>se6</u>               | X T2-4a         | 28             | 54      | 0    | 2    | 84    | 0.024                            | ---      | -----                |
| <u>se6</u>               | X T2-6a         | 40             | 0       | 6    | 90   | 136   | 80.010*                          | 31.688*  | 2.5 ± 1.0            |
| <u>se6</u>               | X T3-5b         | 27             | 33      | 0    | 0    | 60    | 3.675                            | ---      | -----                |
| <u>se6</u>               | X T3-7a         | 27             | 52      | 0    | 0    | 79    | 0.026                            | ---      | -----                |
| <u>se6</u>               | X T4-5a         | 22             | 40      | 0    | 0    | 62    | 0.129                            | ---      | -----                |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T1-3c         | 27             | 15      | 9    | 48   | 92    | 18.107*                          | 6.970*   | 13.9 ± 3.1           |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T1-5b         | 20             | 19      | 5    | 6    | 50    | 5.654*                           | 0.723    | 25.4 ± 7.0           |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T1-5e         | 30             | 51      | 1    | 2    | 84    | 0.500                            | ---      | 36.0 ± 10.4          |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T1-6c         | 14             | 29      | 2    | 0    | 45    | 0.114                            | ---      | independent          |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T1-6d         | 27             | 27      | 12   | 35   | 101   | 6.750*                           | 1.290    | 23.6 ± 4.7           |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T1-6e         | 20             | 28      | 14   | 39   | 101   | 1.500                            | 1.315    | 29.3 ± 6.3           |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T1-7a         | 32             | 0       | 0    | 15   | 47    | 63.970*                          | 7.500*   | 0.0                  |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T2-4a         | 46             | 54      | 14   | 12   | 126   | 5.221                            | 4.915*   | 33.8 ± 7.1           |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T2-6a         | 18             | 32      | 0    | 0    | 50    | 0.159                            | ---      | -----                |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T3-5b         | 13             | 33      | 0    | 4    | 50    | 0.531                            | ---      | -----                |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T3-7a         | 16             | 32      | 0    | 0    | 48    | 0.000                            | ---      | -----                |
| <u>ms-b<sup>3/</sup></u> | X T4-5a         | 14             | 29      | 4    | 3    | 50    | 0.114                            | 1.795    | -----                |

<sup>1/</sup> \*Significant at the 5% probability level.

X<sup>2</sup> >3.94 with 1df significant at 5% probability level.

<sup>2/</sup> Recombination values calculated for significant independent Chi-square for the fertile and/or the semi-sterile classes.

<sup>3/</sup> Eslick, unpublished data.

TABLE XI. F<sub>2</sub> genetic linkage data.

| Cross       |   |           | F <sub>2</sub> Segregations |      |      |      | Total | Phase | Linkage | Recombination    |             |
|-------------|---|-----------|-----------------------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|---------|------------------|-------------|
| a           | X | b         | A_B_                        | A_bb | aab_ | aabb |       |       |         | ± standard error |             |
|             |   |           | no.                         | no.  | no.  | no.  | no.   |       | value   |                  | %           |
| <u>ms-b</u> | X | <u>br</u> | 86                          | 21   | 50   | 10   | 162   | R     | 0.967   |                  | independent |

TABLE XII. F<sub>2</sub> mutant X translocation data.

| Cross      |          | F <sub>2</sub> Segregation |      |     |     | Total | Independent<br>Chi-square <sup>1</sup> | Recombi-<br>nation ± S.E.        |
|------------|----------|----------------------------|------|-----|-----|-------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Mutant     | X Trans. | A Fert                     | A SS | aa  | ms  |       |                                        |                                  |
|            |          | no.                        | no.  | no. | no. | no.   | value                                  | %                                |
| <u>se</u>  | X T1-4d  | 49                         | 52   | 30  | -   | 131   | 0.400                                  | 39.5 ± 35.52/                    |
| <u>se</u>  | X T1-5f  | 7                          | 15   | 10  | -   | 32    | 3.333                                  | 0.0                              |
| <u>se</u>  | X T1-7c  | 8                          | 38   | 14  | -   | 60    | 20.089*                                | 0.0                              |
| <u>se2</u> | X T1-4c  | 100                        | 204  | 92  | -   | 396   | 37.055*                                | 0.0                              |
| <u>se2</u> | X T1-4d  | 83                         | 172  | 91  | -   | 346   | 30.824*                                | 0.0                              |
| <u>se2</u> | X T1-5f  | 108                        | 297  | 139 | -   | 544   | 87.640*                                | 0.0                              |
| <u>se2</u> | X T1-6c  | 66                         | 120  | 66  | 31  | 273   | 50.458*                                | see F <sub>3</sub> <sup>3/</sup> |
| <u>se2</u> | X T1-7a  | 43                         | 101  | 93  | 54  | 291   | 54.834*                                | see F <sub>3</sub>               |
| <u>se2</u> | X T1-7g  | 101                        | 255  | 104 | -   | 460   | 70.150*                                | 0.0                              |
| <u>se2</u> | X T2-4a  | 39                         | 38   | 21  | 29  | 127   | 1.017                                  | -----                            |
| <u>se2</u> | X T2-5c  | 75                         | 81   | 60  | -   | 216   | 1.111                                  | -----                            |
| <u>se2</u> | X T2-6a  | 69                         | 85   | 43  | 59  | 256   | 3.384                                  | -----                            |
| <u>se2</u> | X T3-5b  | 47                         | 118  | 87  | 62  | 314   | 46.184*                                | see F <sub>3</sub>               |
| <u>se2</u> | X T3-7a  | 32                         | 52   | 25  | 29  | 138   | 6.587                                  | -----                            |
| <u>se2</u> | X T3-7e  | 22                         | 20   | 11  | -   | 53    | 0.617                                  | -----                            |
| <u>se2</u> | X T4-5a  | 68                         | 118  | 72  | 49  | 307   | 26.161*                                | see F <sub>3</sub>               |
| <u>se3</u> | X T1-5f  | 66                         | 84   | 35  | -   | 185   | 5.984                                  | -----                            |
| <u>se3</u> | X T1-6c  | 69                         | 38   | 33  | 19  | 159   | 25.365*                                | see F <sub>3</sub>               |
| <u>se3</u> | X T1-7a  | 101                        | 113  | 78  | 73  | 365   | 6.054                                  | -----                            |
| <u>se3</u> | X T2-4a  | 27                         | 33   | 30  | 24  | 114   | 5.012                                  | -----                            |
| <u>se3</u> | X T2-5a  | 35                         | 32   | 20  | -   | 87    | 0.326                                  | -----                            |
| <u>se3</u> | X T2-5c  | 62                         | 90   | 40  | -   | 192   | 7.222*                                 | 12.9 ± 14.9                      |
| <u>se3</u> | X T2-6a  | 70                         | 107  | 53  | 59  | 289   | 12.160*                                | see F <sub>3</sub>               |
| <u>se3</u> | X T3-5b  | 16                         | 208  | 100 | 107 | 431   | 26.191*                                | see F <sub>3</sub>               |
| <u>se3</u> | X T3-6j  | 42                         | 89   | 37  | -   | 168   | 18.325*                                | 0.0                              |

TABLE XII. F<sub>2</sub> mutant X translocation data. (continued)

| Cross      |         | F <sub>2</sub> Segregation |      |     |     | Total | Independent<br>Chi-square <sup>1/</sup> | Recombi-<br>nation ± S.E. |
|------------|---------|----------------------------|------|-----|-----|-------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mutant X   | Trans.  | A Fert                     | A SS | aa  | ms  |       |                                         |                           |
|            |         | no.                        | no.  | no. | no. | no.   | value                                   | %                         |
| <u>se3</u> | X T3-7a | 63                         | 135  | 56  | 56  | 310   | 38.970*                                 | see F <sub>3</sub>        |
| <u>se3</u> | X T4-5a | 52                         | 111  | 63  | 78  | 304   | 4.548                                   | -----                     |
| <u>se3</u> | X T4-6a | 35                         | 42   | 22  | -   | 99    | 1.067                                   | -----                     |
| <u>se4</u> | X T1-5f | 23                         | 33   | 15  | -   | 71    | 2.445                                   | 13.5 ± 13.52/             |
| <u>se4</u> | X T1-6c | 76                         | 124  | 60  | 66  | 326   | 17.039*                                 | see F <sub>3</sub>        |
| <u>se4</u> | X T1-7a | 61                         | 128  | 49  | 65  | 303   | 30.958*                                 | see F <sub>3</sub>        |
| <u>se4</u> | X T1-7a | 34                         | 57   | 27  | -   | 118   | 6.260*                                  | 6.5 ± 8.7                 |
| <u>se4</u> | X T1-7g | 95                         | 88   | 58  | -   | 241   | 0.383                                   | independent               |
| <u>se4</u> | X T2-4a | 23                         | 32   | 17  | 21  | 93    | 1.915                                   | -----                     |
| <u>se4</u> | X T2-4d | 32                         | 48   | 17  | -   | 97    | 6.409*                                  | 11.3 ± 10.6               |
| <u>se4</u> | X T2-6a | 31                         | 39   | 18  | 31  | 119   | 1.981                                   | -----                     |
| <u>se4</u> | X T3-5b | 75                         | 89   | 58  | 63  | 285   | 2.652                                   | -----                     |
| <u>se4</u> | X T3-6f | 88                         | 96   | 67  | -   | 251   | 0.723                                   | -----                     |
| <u>se4</u> | X T3-7a | 61                         | 61   | 31  | 52  | 205   | 1.838                                   | -----                     |
| <u>se4</u> | X T4-5a | 53                         | 117  | 70  | 75  | 315   | 25.614*                                 | see F <sub>3</sub>        |

|            |         | F <sub>2</sub> Segregation |      |        |      | ms  | Total | Independent<br>X <sup>2</sup> Value |
|------------|---------|----------------------------|------|--------|------|-----|-------|-------------------------------------|
| Mutant X   | Trans.  | A Fert                     | A SS | aaFert | aaSS |     |       |                                     |
|            |         | no.                        | no.  | no.    | no.  | no. | no.   |                                     |
| <u>se6</u> | X T1-6c | 70                         | 122  | 53     | 6    | 77  | 328   | 51.286* See F <sub>3</sub>          |
| <u>se6</u> | X T1-7a | 96                         | 91   | 35     | 28   | 68  | 318   | 3.185                               |
| <u>se6</u> | X T2-4a | 80                         | 76   | 26     | 29   | 61  | 272   | 1.374                               |
| <u>se6</u> | X T2-6a | 41                         | 97   | 39     | 13   | 54  | 244   | 29.558* See F <sub>3</sub>          |
| <u>se6</u> | X T3-5b | 60                         | 85   | 15     | 23   | 40  | 223   | 15.423* See F <sub>3</sub>          |
| <u>se6</u> | X T3-7a | 79                         | 91   | 24     | 24   | 68  | 286   | 2.151                               |
| <u>se6</u> | X T4-5a | 62                         | 121  | 11     | 33   | 70  | 297   | 33.744* See F <sub>3</sub>          |

TABLE XII. F<sub>2</sub> mutant X translocation data (continued)

| Mutant X Trans. | Cross   | Fertile |     |     | Semi-sterile |     |     | Total | Independent<br>Chi-square | Linkage<br>Chi-square | Recombi-<br>nation $\pm$ S.E. |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-----|-----|--------------|-----|-----|-------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
|                 |         | AA      | Aa  | aa  | AA           | Aa  | aa  |       |                           |                       |                               |
|                 |         | no.     | no. | no. | no.          | no. | no. | no.   | value                     | value                 | %                             |
| se6             | X T1-5f | 13      | 19  | 9   | 21           | 28  | 6   | 96    | 12.292*                   | 2.688                 | -----                         |
| se6             | X T1-7g | 9       | 23  | 6   | 10           | 16  | 3   | 67    | 6.851                     | 0.851                 | -----                         |
| se6             | X T2-4d | 36      | 19  | 22  | 15           | 57  | 8   | 157   | 41.002*                   | 35.168*               | 15.9 $\pm$ 2.6                |
| se6             | X T2-4e | 11      | 25  | 11  | 14           | 18  | 6   | 85    | 4.271                     | 1.899                 | -----                         |
| se6             | X T2-5c | 11      | 17  | 2   | 6            | 23  | 7   | 66    | 9.030                     | 3.576                 | -----                         |
| se6             | X T2-5d | 43      | 33  | 23  | 31           | 59  | 16  | 205   | 23.771*                   | 9.329*                | 26.9 $\pm$ 3.7                |
| se6             | X T3-6f | 27      | 26  | 18  | 10           | 33  | 8   | 122   | 15.672*                   | 10.279*               | 23.6 $\pm$ 4.1                |
| se6             | X T3-7d | 8       | 9   | 3   | 12           | 23  | 1   | 56    | 18.714*                   | 3.357                 | -----                         |
| se6             | X T4-6a | 9       | 0   | 5   | 0            | 19  | 0   | 33    | 36.455*                   | 31.970*               | 0.0                           |
| se6             | X T5-6d | 48      | 0   | 42  | 1            | 95  | 1   | 187   | 180.166*                  | 179.472*              | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.4                 |

1/ \*Significant at 5% level.

$X^2 > 5.99$  with 2df significant at 5% probability level.

$X^2 > 7.81$  with 3df significant at 5% probability level.

$X^2 > 9.49$  with 4df significant at 5% probability level.

$X^2 > 11.10$  with 5df significant at 5% probability level.

2/ Recombination values calculated only when significant independence  
Chi-square or linkage detected with chromosomes involved.

3/ Linkage based on F<sub>3</sub> test, included in Table XI.

## ALLELISM

### Materials and Methods

All combination of crosses among the mutant lines, including reciprocals, were attempted in the allelism studies. These were emasculated crosses with the exception of se which was segregating ms-b when it was used as a female. Several attempts were made to complete all crosses. The F<sub>1</sub> allelism tests were grown either at Bozeman or Mesa, Arizona in space planted rows. F<sub>2</sub> progenies were grown where possible to be sure that the segregation of both mutant genes occurred. These F<sub>2</sub> populations were planted at 25 seeds per three meter row with two rows from each of four F<sub>1</sub> plants.

### Results and Discussion

All combinations including reciprocal of the mutants were tested for allelism except the combinations se2 X se4 and se2 X se5. No viable seed was obtained in these two crosses in three attempts. Both se4 and se5 are partially sterile as females and se2 is a poor female because of the low viability of the seed. All F<sub>1</sub> plants had normal seed except those involving se6 which expressed xenia for se6 and normal seed. In the cases where F<sub>2</sub> segregations were observed both genes could be classified except in se X se3 crosses in which both genes produce a similar phenotype. Adverse environmental conditions during emergence considerably reduced stands of F<sub>2</sub> population. The allelism data is present in Table XIII and F<sub>2</sub> segregations are

based on plants maturing from 200 seeds planted. Included in this table are F<sub>1</sub>'s from allelism tests between the possible Betzes mutant se-x and the other mutants. It is doubtful that se-x is a classifiable shrunken endosperm mutant.

None of the genes tested in F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> allelism tests proved to be allelic. The mutants se2 and se4 are both on chromosome 1 but se2 is located near the centromere and se4 is not. The phenotype of these two mutants are different, so it is unlikely that these two mutants are allelic. The mutants se2 and se5 are both on chromosome 1 and near the centric region. The phenotypes are different, with se2 having normal fertility and very little endosperm, while se5 has low fertility (about 16%) and considerable endosperm development. Based on the phenotypic expressions of these mutants it is doubtful that they are allelic.

TABLE XIII. Allelism data for seven shrunken endosperm mutants.

| Cross<br>aaBB X AAbb     | Classifiable phenotype of<br>seed on F <sub>1</sub> plants | F <sub>2</sub> Segregations |           |                  |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------------------|
|                          |                                                            | (aa _ _)                    | (A _ B _) | ( _ _ bb)        |
| <u>se</u> X <u>se2</u>   | Normal                                                     | 9                           | 31        | 13               |
| <u>se</u> X <u>se3</u>   | Normal                                                     | -                           | 58        | 38 <sup>1/</sup> |
| Reciprocal               | Normal                                                     | -                           | 34        | 25 <sup>1/</sup> |
| <u>se</u> X <u>se4</u>   | Normal                                                     | 4                           | 7         | 1                |
| <u>se</u> X <u>se5</u>   | Normal                                                     | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| Reciprocal               | Normal                                                     | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| <u>se</u> X <u>se6</u>   | Normal, <u>se6</u>                                         | 13                          | 35        | 11               |
| Reciprocal               | Normal, <u>se6</u>                                         | 8                           | 22        | 12               |
| <u>se3</u> X <u>se2</u>  | Normal                                                     | 2                           | 6         | 0                |
| <u>se3</u> X <u>se4</u>  | Normal                                                     | 8                           | 27        | 6                |
| <u>se5</u> X <u>se3</u>  | Normal                                                     | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| <u>se5</u> X <u>se4</u>  | Normal                                                     | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| <u>se6</u> X <u>se2</u>  | Normal, <u>se6</u>                                         | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| <u>se6</u> X <u>se3</u>  | Normal, <u>se6</u>                                         | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| Reciprocal               | Normal, <u>se6</u>                                         | 13                          | 37        | 10               |
| <u>se6</u> X <u>se4</u>  | Normal, <u>se6</u>                                         | 15                          | 42        | 18               |
| <u>se6</u> X <u>se5</u>  | Normal, <u>se6</u>                                         | 4                           | 13        | 3                |
| <u>se-x</u> X <u>se</u>  | Normal                                                     | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| <u>se-x</u> X <u>se2</u> | Normal                                                     | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| <u>se-x</u> X <u>se3</u> | Normal                                                     | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| <u>se-x</u> X <u>se4</u> | Normal                                                     | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| <u>se-x</u> X <u>se5</u> | Normal                                                     | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |
| <u>se-x</u> X <u>se6</u> | Normal, <u>se6</u>                                         | No F <sub>2</sub> Test      |           |                  |

<sup>1/</sup> aa and bb are phenotypically similar and cannot be separated.

## GENERAL DISCUSSION

The non-xenia expressing mutants se through se5 could possibly be used as males in hybrid barley production. Seed would be mixed with the female stock at planting and blown or sieved out in harvest and/or in cleaning operations. The mutants se and se3 could be used directly unless difficulties in stand establishment or competition were determined to be important. To obtain stands se2 would have to be used in a balanced tertiary trisomic, of which four were established in this study. In the proper trisomic form the seed is plump and establishes well. The mutants se4 and se5 would be less desirable types and probably have to be used as balanced tertiary trisomics because of the associated sterility. The mutants se, se2, se4, and se5 are located on chromosome 1 and would provide a choice of mutant types to be used in hybrid systems.

The mutant se6 was the only mutant studied which expresses xenia. This mutant could possibly be used as a pre-flowering selective gene in hybrid systems. Eslick (1969) proposed the use of pre-flowering selective genes in separating desired homozygous types (for example seeds homozygous for male sterility factors) from segregating populations. The mutant se6 may be useful in such systems but the commercial hybrid would express xenia for the shrunken endosperm trait.

All of the mutants involved in this study could be used as marker

genes in mapping the chromosomes of barley. The mutants se and se4 need additional study for more precise location on chromosome 1 and could be used as genetic markers for a chromosome arm. The mutants se2 and se5 can be used as genetic markers in the centric region of chromosome 1 as an alternative to the genetic marker msl0. The mutant se3 provides an additional marker gene for chromosome 3. Very few genes have been located on chromosome 6, so se6 should become an important genetic marker for that chromosome.

Biochemical assays of the mutant types were not included in this study, but could provide pertinent information in further studies of these mutants. The mutant se6 could be grown on a commercial scale, therefore, it would be important to know if it had an altered starch or protein composition which could enhance its economic value. Enzyme studies could also be pursued to obtain a better understanding of the deposition of starch in the developing seed.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Six spontaneous shrunken endosperm mutants were identified and described. All mutants were inherited as single recessive genes and assigned the symbols se through se6. The endosperm phenotype of se, se2, se3, se4, and se5 is dependent on the genotype of the maternal plant and there is no expression of xenia. The mutant se6 expresses xenia. Extra chromosomal inheritance may be excluded since all of the mutant types are transferred consistently through the pollen and the genes segregate normally in all succeeding generations.

Seed set was normal in the mutants except for se4 and se5 which had a mean seed set of 51% and 17%, respectively. Mutants varied in 100 seed weight from 0.60 grams for se2 to 4.26 grams for se6. Sieve size assortment varied, with all of the mutants having a higher proportion of smaller seed than their normal counterparts.

No abnormal chromosome numbers or unusual division patterns of endosperm mitosis were found. The best time to sample for dividing endosperm nuclei was 5 to 7 days after pollination and 1 to 3 p.m. Seeds from near the base of the spike, excluding the bottom two florets, appear to have a higher level of endosperm mitosis and should result in the highest success of observing divisional stages. The only meiotic abnormality found was a multiploid sporocyte in one of several se5 plants examined.

The mutants se, se2, se4, and se5 were located on chromosome 1

by means of linkages with translocations and male sterile marker genes. Linkage studies with se revealed that some of the recombinant types between genes and translocation breakpoints could be explained by double crossovers in the interstitial segment. The mutants se3 and se6 were located on chromosome 3 and 6, respectively. None of the genes tested proved to be allelic. The combinations se2 X se4 and se2 X se5 produced no viable seeds and were not tested for allelism.

The mutants se, se2, se3, se4 and se5 could possibly be used as males in commercial hybrid seed production. Seed could be mixed with female stocks and removed at harvest or cleaning. The mutant se6 may be used in hybrid systems as a pre-flowering selective gene.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Allard, R. W. 1956. Formulas and tables to facilitate the calculation of recombination values in heredity. *Hilgardia* 24:235-279.
- Anonymous, 1964. The chromosomes of barley. *Barley Genetics I. Proc. of 1st Int. Barley Genetics Symposium.* p. 188-189.
- Brink, R. A. and D. C. Cooper. 1947. The endosperm in seed development. *Bot. Rev.* 13:423-541.
- Brown, W. V. 1955. A species of grass with liquid endosperm. *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club* 82:284-285.
- Clark, F. J. and F. C. Copeland. 1940. Chromosome aberrations in the endosperm of maize. *Amer. Jour. Bot.* 27:247-251.
- Dore, W. G. 1956. Some grass genera with liquid endosperm. *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club* 83:335-337.
- Duncan, R. E. and J. G. Ross. 1950. The nuclei in differentiation and development. III. Nuclei of maize endosperm. *Jour. Hered.* 41:259-268.
- Eslick, R. F. 1969. Balanced male steriles and dominant pre-flowering selective genes for use in hybrid seed production. *Barley Genetics II. Proc. of 2nd Int. Barley Genetics Symposium.* In print.
- Ester, W. H. 1931. Heritable characters of maize. XLII - Reduced endosperm. *Jour. Hered.* 22:250-252.
- Hagberg, A. and G. Persson. 1964. Practical use of mutations in genetics, taxonomy and breeding. *Barley Genetics I. Proc. of 1st Int. Barley Genetics Symposium.* p. 55-67.
- Hakansson, A. 1953. Endosperm formation after 2x, 4x crosses in certain cereals, especially in Hordeum vulgare. *Hereditas* 39:57-64.
- Hanson, W. D. and H. H. Kramer. 1950. The determination of linkage intensities from F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> genetic data involving chromosomal interchanges in barley. *Genetics* 35:559-569.

- Harlan, H. V. 1914. Some distinctions in our cultivated barleys with reference to their use in plant breeding. USDA Bull. No. 137. pp. 38.
- Harlan, H. V. 1957. One Man's Life with Barley. Exposition Press, New York. pp. 223.
- Harlan, H. V. and M. N. Pope. 1925. Some cases of apparent single fertilization in barley. Amer. Jour. Bot. 12:50-53.
- Harvey, B. L., E. Reinbergs, and B. H. Somaroo. 1968. Inheritance of female sterility in barley. Can. Jour. Plt. Sci. 48:417-418.
- LeClerg, E. L., W. H. Leonard, and A. G. Clark. 1962. Field Plot Technique. 2nd Edition. Burgess Publishing Co. Minneapolis.
- Mangelsdorf, P. C. 1926. The genetics and morphology of some endosperm characters in maize. Conn. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull. 279:509-614.
- Myler, J. L. and E. H. Stanford. 1942. Color inheritance in barley. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 34:427-436.
- Nilan, R. A. 1964. The cytology and genetics of barley 1951-1962. Research studies. Washington State University. pp. 275.
- Pope, M. W. 1937. The time factor in pollen tube growth and fertilization in barley. Jour. Agr. Res. 54:525-529.
- Punnett, H. H. 1953. Cytological evidence of hexaploid cells in maize endosperm. Jour. Hered. 44:257-259.
- Ramage, R. T. 1964. Chromosome aberrations and their use in genetics and breeding. Barley Genetics I. Proc. of 1st Int. Barley Genetics Symposium. p. 99-115.
- Ramage, R. T., C. R. Burnham, and A. Hagberg. 1961. A summary of translocation studies in barley. Crop Sci. 1:277-279.
- Ramage, R. T. and A. D. Day. 1960. Separation of trisomic and diploid barley seeds produced by interchange heterozygotes. Agron. J. 52:590-591.

- Randolf, L. F. 1936. Developmental morphology of the caryopsis in maize. Jour. Agr. Res. 53:881-916.
- Reid, D. A. and G. A. Wiebe. 1968. Taxonomy, botany, classification and world collection in USDA Agr. Handbook No. 338:61-84.
- Robertson, D. W. 1932. The effects of a lethal in the heterozygous condition on barley development. Colo. Agr. Exp. Sta. Tech. Bull. 1. pp. 12.
- Smith, L. 1942. Cytogenetics of a factor for multiploid sporocytes in barley. Amer. Jour. Bot. 29:451-456.
- Smith, L. 1947. The acetocarmine smear technic. Stain Tech. 22:17-31.
- Walker, J. T. and N. R. Merritt. 1969. Genetic control of abnormal starch granules and high amylose content in a mutant of Glacier barley. Nature 221:482-483.
- Weijer, J. 1952. A catalogue of genetic maize types together with a maize bibliography. Bibli. Genetica XIV 189-425.

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES



3 1762 10005670 2

~~FILED~~  
D378

J298

Jarvi, Alvin John

cop.2

Shrunken endosperm  
mutants in barley

| NAME AND ADDRESS |      |
|------------------|------|
| 12-28-72         |      |
| 12-28-72         | RR 5 |
|                  | RR 5 |
| 12-24-1977       |      |

D378  
J298  
cop.2