



The effect of a progesterone implant and GnRH infusion on blood LH and progesterone levels in postpartum cows  
by Pi-Hsueh Shirley Li

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE in Animal Science  
Montana State University  
© Copyright by Pi-Hsueh Shirley Li (1975)

**Abstract:**

Six mature 15-16 days postpartum cows were selected for calving date, breed and weight and paired for experimental purposes. One individual of each pair served as a treated cow and the other as a control. All cows were nursing calves throughout the entire experiment. Three treated cows received a subcutaneous silastic progesterone implant at either 15 or 16 days postpartum. Six days later they were treated for 37 treatments with 50 ug synthetic gonadotrophinreleasing hormone (GnRH) in one ml of acidified saline at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr via indwelling jugular catheters. Two hr after treatment 37, the progesterone implant was removed and thirty hr posttreatment 37 the treated cows received 500 ug of GnRH (treatment 38). The three control cows were infused with 1 ml of acidified saline at the same time interval. Rectal palpation of the ovaries was conducted periodically to assess changes in ovarian size and follicular growth. Blood samples were collected via jugular catheters, at times (30, 60, 90 and 120 min) following treatments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 13, 25, 37 and 38. Serum LH and progesterone levels were measured by radioimmunoassay.

Data were analyzed by the method of least squares. Serum LH concentrations were observed to peak between 30 and 60 min following treatments 1, 2, 3, 4, 13, 37 and 38 and dropped at 90 and 120 min posttreatment. Following treatments 5 and 25, serum LH concentration peaked at 90 min and dropped at 120 min. The time for maximum LH response during the experiment was found to be 150 min. The mean peak LH concentration of treated cows was  $8.40 \pm 1.57$  ng/ml compared with the mean basal level of  $3.18 \pm 0.10$  ng/ml for control cows ( $P < 0.05$ ).

The LH responses were significantly greater ( $P < 0.01$ ) following treatments 2 and 3 (18.88 and 12.70 ng/ml) than the mean serum LH concentration of the control cows. LH release appeared ( $P < 0.10$ ) to be cubical in response to GnRH infusions. Least squares progesterone mean in treated cows was  $0.85 \pm 0.052$  ng/ml compared with the mean of  $0.25 \pm 0.017$  ng/ml for control cows ( $P > 0.05$ ). LH and progesterone were not correlated significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) during the 37 treatments ( $r = 0.064$ ), nor were they correlated significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) during treatments I through 5 ( $r = 0.18$ ). Ovarian activity as determined by rectal palpation was increased in two of the three treated cows. The mean LH peak in response to the 500 ug GnRH infusion (treatment 38) was  $7.72 \pm 0.60$  ng/ml, however, none of the cows ovulated.

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for an advanced degree of Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for extensive copying of this thesis for scholarly purposes may be granted by my major professor, or, in his absence, by the Director of Libraries. It is understood that any copying or publication of this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature Pihaveh Shirley Li

Date Aug. 14, 1975

1137

THE EFFECT OF A PROGESTERONE IMPLANT AND GnRH INFUSION  
ON BLOOD LH AND PROGESTERONE LEVELS  
IN POSTPARTUM COWS

by

PI-HSUEH SHIRLEY LI

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree

of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Animal Science

Approved

Edward J. Moody  
Chairman, Examining Committee

R. W. Blackwell  
Head, Major Department

Henry J. Parsons  
Graduate Dean

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
Bozeman, Montana

September, 1975

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my deep gratitude to Dr. Edward L. Moody for his advice, assistance and understanding throughout my graduate program. My thanks are extended to Drs. Larry L. Jackson and James A. McMillan for their suggestion and encouragement as my graduate committee member.

My sincere appreciation is extended to Mr. David K. Han for his advice in the entire experiment, assistance in GnRH infusion and blood collection and criticism on this manuscript.

I would also like to thank Mr. Robert Friedrich for assistance in statistical analyses of these data and Mr. Robert Brown for technical laboratory assistance.

Very special appreciation is extended to Mrs. Frankie Larson for typing the manuscript.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
VITA. . . . .	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS . . . . .	iii
INDEX TO TABLES . . . . .	vi
INDEX TO FIGURES. . . . .	vii
INDEX TO APPENDIX TABLES. . . . .	ix
ABSTRACT. . . . .	x
INTRODUCTION. . . . .	1
LITERATURE REVIEW . . . . .	3
Postpartum Endocrine Physiology in the Cow . . . . .	3
Postpartum interval. . . . .	3
Exogenous hormone therapy. . . . .	4
Pituitary levels of gonadotrophin in the postpartum cows. . . . .	5
Blood levels of LH and prolactin in the postpartum cows. . . . .	6
Hypothalamic Control of Gonadotrophins . . . . .	7
Hypophysiotropic hormones. . . . .	7
Anatomical organization of the regulatory system of anterior pituitary secretion . . . . .	9
Neural mechanisms controlling gonadotrophin secretion. . . . .	9
Antagonism Between Prolactin and Gonadotrophin Production . . . . .	11
Gonadotrophin-Releasing Hormone (GnRH) . . . . .	12
Chemistry. . . . .	12
Physiology . . . . .	13
Radioimmunoassay of Protein Hormones . . . . .	21
Radioimmunoassay of Steroid Hormones . . . . .	23

	Page
MATERIALS AND METHODS . . . . .	25
Hormones . . . . .	25
Experimental Animals . . . . .	25
Collection of blood samples. . . . .	26
Ovarian examination. . . . .	27
LH assay . . . . .	27
Progesterone assay . . . . .	31
Analysis of data . . . . .	37
RESULTS . . . . .	40
Serum LH Levels. . . . .	40
Effect of Progesterone Treatment . . . . .	42
Ovulation Response . . . . .	44
DISCUSSION. . . . .	57
Serum LH Levels. . . . .	57
Effect of Progesterone Treatment . . . . .	60
Ovulation Response . . . . .	64
SUMMARY . . . . .	67
APPENDIX. . . . .	70
LITERATURE CITED. . . . .	76

## INDEX TO TABLES

Table		Page
1	SERUM LH RESPONSE TO SYNTHETIC LH-RH/FSH-RH IN BULLS . . . . .	15
2	BOVINE SERUM LH RESPONSES TO SYNTHETIC LH/RH/FSH-RH IN VARIOUS CARRYING MEDIA. . . . .	15
3	COMPOSITION OF EXPERIMENTAL TRIALS . . . . .	25
4	OVARIAN DIAMETER AND ITS RELATED SIZE. . . . .	27
5	LEAST SQUARES LH AND PROGESTERONE MEANS FOR PROGESTERONE-IMPLANTED GnRH-INFUSED AND ACIDIFIED SALINE-INFUSED 15-16 DAYS POSTPARTUM COWS. . . . .	41
6	LEAST SQUARES ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF SERUM LH LEVELS FOR PROGESTERONE-IMPLANTED GnRH- INFUSED 15-16 DAYS POSTPARTUM COWS . . . . .	41
7	LEAST SQUARES ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF SERUM PROGESTERONE LEVELS FOR PROGESTERONE-IMPLANTED GnRH-INFUSED 15-16 DAYS POSTPARTUM COWS. . . . .	43
8	RELEASE RATE OF PROGESTERONE FROM THE IMPLANT. . . . .	44

## INDEX TO FIGURES

Figure	Page
1 Elution diagram of iodination reaction mixture from a 1x30 cm column of Bio-Gel P-60. . . . .	38
2 LH standard curve (NIH-LH-B7). . . . .	39
3 Least squares LH means for 104 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused (treated) and acidified saline-infused (control) 15-16 days postpartum cows. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline or 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1). . . . .	45
4 LH levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused (treated) and acidified saline-infused (control) 15 days postpartum cows 33 and 939. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline or 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1). . . . .	46
5 LH levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused (treated) and acidified saline-infused (control) 16 days postpartum cows 125 and 018. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline or 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1). . . . .	47
6 LH levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused (treated) and acidified saline-infused (control) 16 days postpartum cows 623 and 609. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline or 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1). . . . .	48
7 Least squares LH and progesterone means for 104 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused 15-16 days postpartum cows. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1). . . . .	49

Figure	Page
8 Least squares LH and progesterone means for 104 hr for acidified saline-infused 15-16 days postpartum cows. One ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2 hr intervals over a period of 72 hr and 10 ml at treatment 38 (Hour 0= Treatment 1) . . . . .	50
9 LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused 15 days postpartum cow 33. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1) . . . . .	51
10 LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for acidified saline-infused 15 days postpartum cow 929. One ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr and 10 ml at treatment 38 (Hour 0= Treatment 1) . . . . .	52
11 LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused 16 days postpartum cow 125. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1) . . . . .	53
12 LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for acidified saline-infused 16 days postpartum cow 018. One ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr and 10 ml at treatment 38 (Hour 0=Treatment 1) . . . . .	54
13 LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused 16 days postpartum cow 623. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. Hour 0=Treatment 1) . . . . .	55
14 LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for acidified saline-infused 16 days postpartum cow 609. One ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2 hr intervals over a period of 72 hr and 10 ml at treatment 38. (Hour 0=Treatment 1) . . . . .	56

## INDEX TO APPENDIX TABLES

Table		Page
9	OVARIAN CHANGES DURING EXPERIMENTAL PERIOD IN TRIAL 1. . . . .	71
10	OVARIAN CHANGES DURING EXPERIMENTAL PERIOD IN TRIAL 2. . . . .	72
11	OVARIAN CHANGES DURING EXPERIMENTAL PERIOD IN TRIAL 3. . . . .	75

## ABSTRACT

Six mature 15-16 days postpartum cows were selected for calving date, breed and weight and paired for experimental purposes. One individual of each pair served as a treated cow and the other as a control. All cows were nursing calves throughout the entire experiment. Three treated cows received a subcutaneous silastic progesterone implant at either 15 or 16 days postpartum. Six days later they were treated for 37 treatments with 50 ug synthetic gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) in one ml of acidified saline at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr via indwelling jugular catheters. Two hr after treatment 37, the progesterone implant was removed and thirty hr post-treatment 37 the treated cows received 500 ug of GnRH (treatment 38). The three control cows were infused with 1 ml of acidified saline at the same time interval. Rectal palpation of the ovaries was conducted periodically to assess changes in ovarian size and follicular growth. Blood samples were collected via jugular catheters, at times (30, 60, 90 and 120 min) following treatments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 13, 25, 37 and 38. Serum LH and progesterone levels were measured by radioimmunoassay. Data were analyzed by the method of least squares. Serum LH concentrations were observed to peak between 30 and 60 min following treatments 1, 2, 3, 4, 13, 37 and 38 and dropped at 90 and 120 min post-treatment. Following treatments 5 and 25, serum LH concentration peaked at 90 min and dropped at 120 min. The time for maximum LH response during the experiment was found to be 150 min. The mean peak LH concentration of treated cows was  $8.40 \pm 1.57$  ng/ml compared with the mean basal level of  $3.18 \pm 0.10$  ng/ml for control cows ( $P < 0.05$ ). The LH responses were significantly greater ( $P < 0.01$ ) following treatments 2 and 3 (18.88 and 12.70 ng/ml) than the mean serum LH concentration of the control cows. LH release appeared ( $P < 0.10$ ) to be cubical in response to GnRH infusions. Least squares progesterone mean in treated cows was  $0.85 \pm 0.052$  ng/ml compared with the mean of  $0.25 \pm 0.017$  ng/ml for control cows ( $P > 0.05$ ). LH and progesterone were not correlated significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) during the 37 treatments ( $r = 0.064$ ), nor were they correlated significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) during treatments 1 through 5 ( $r = 0.18$ ). Ovarian activity as determined by rectal palpation was increased in two of the three treated cows. The mean LH peak in response to the 500 ug GnRH infusion (treatment 38) was  $7.72 \pm 0.60$  ng/ml, however, none of the cows ovulated.

## INTRODUCTION

Many factors influence the interval from parturition to first ovulation. For good reproductive performance the interval to a highly fertile ovulation must be 80 days or less, however, numerous studies with beef cattle have reported that about 25% of the cattle are not reproductively active by 80 days postpartum. The occurrence of ovulation without estrus is one form of early postpartum ovarian activity in some of the cows. The frequent absence of behavioral estrus in connection with early ovulations suggest that either the steroid hormone levels or the hypothalamic centers on which they act are abnormal, however, little is known about the endocrine physiology in postpartum cows. Treatments which would initiate estrous cycles early in the postpartum period would enhance reproductive performance. One of the hormones which may have some potential in stimulating postpartum ovarian activity is gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH).

The amino acid sequence of GnRH isolated from porcine hypothalamic tissue was determined in 1971 as (pyro) Glu-His-Trp-Ser-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Arg-Pro-Gly (NH<sub>2</sub>), and this decapeptide was then artificially synthesized. Treatment with synthetic GnRH has resulted in an increased release of FSH and LH in various species of animals. The half-life of GnRH has been found to be between 4 to 7 min. It seems reasonable to assume that if GnRH could be released to stimulate the release of gonadotrophins over a longer period of time more changes in ovarian morphology would result and ovulation would be induced.

The objectives of this study were to 1) evaluate the effect of repeated infusion of GnRH over an extended period of time on serum LH concentration and ovarian changes and 2) determine the effect of prolonged exposure to progesterone implant on pituitary responsiveness to GnRH.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Postpartum Endocrine Physiology in the Cow

Postpartum interval. Postpartum interval refers to the interval beginning with parturition and ending with a designated event, such as first estrus, first ovulation, first breeding, conception or complete involution of the uterus. First ovulation refers to the first ovulation postpartum, whether or not it is accompanied by estrus. First estrus refers to those cases which are also accompanied by first ovulations (Casida, 1968). There is a wide variation in the reported time (32 to 78 days) from parturition to first estrus (Casida and Venzke, 1936; Shannon et al., 1952; Olds and Seath, 1953; Menge et al., 1962). First ovulation after calving has been reported at 14 days (Wagner and Hansel, 1969), 15 days (Morrow et al., 1966), 19 days (Menge et al., 1962) and 35 days postpartum (Casida and Wisnicky, 1950). The first estrus did not coincide with first ovulation. This discrepancy was probably due to the occurrence of ovulations without estrus (Menge et al., 1962). On the basis of rectal palpations and clinical observations, the time period needed for uterine involution to occur has been reported to be from 25 (Morrow et al., 1966) to 50 days (Buch et al., 1955). Studies based on histologic criteria suggest a parturition to involution interval of 25 to 30 days for most animals (Gier and Marion, 1968; Wagner and Hansel, 1969). Wilbank and Cook (1958) reported that nursing calves delays the return to postpartum cyclic activity and depresses fertility. The onset of post-parturient ovarian activity and ovulation does not appear to be directly related to the rate of uterine involution.

(Buch et al., 1955), although some conditions of the uterus can delay involution and the recommencement of estral cyclicity (Morrow et al., 1966). There are some factors, such as suckling (Wiltbank and Cook, 1958), nutrition (Wagner and Oxenreider, 1971) and season (Buch et al., 1955), reported to effect the interval from parturition to first estrus.

Exogenous hormone therapy. Numerous experiments have been performed to alter postpartum physiology with exogenous steroid hormones. A wide variety of postpartum hormone treatments, with only a few exceptions, have been ineffective in shortening postpartum intervals.

Casida and Wisnicky (1950) did not find significant effect on postpartum intervals by injecting Holstein cows with 20 mg of diethylstilbestrol dipropionate within 9 hours after calving. Work by Foote et al. (1960) indicated that a single injection of progesterone suspended in carboxymethyl cellulose 14 days postpartum tended to delay both estrus and ovulation in postpartum beef cows. However, Foote and Hunter (1964) treated postpartum beef cows with progesterone and estrogen or estrogen alone and found that the average intervals from calving to uterine involution, estrus and ovulation were significantly decreased.

Saiduddin et al. (1968) obtained shorter postpartum intervals to estrus, ovulation and conception in response to exogenous progesterone and estradiol at various stages postpartum in beef cows. Brown et al. (1972) found that progesterone feeding followed by estrogen administration had a greater effect in reducing the interval lengths to first

estrus, first ovulation and conception, especially during the early postpartum intervals. Maher et al. (1973) reported that human chorionic gonadotrophin treatment 27 to 34 hr after removal of progesterone implant in beef cows may decrease the time from calving to estrus and ovulation.

Pituitary levels of gonadotrophin in the postpartum cows. The data on pituitary gonadotrophin levels in the postpartum cows in many reports may be summarized by stating that luteinizing hormone (LH) levels generally rise after parturition while follicular stimulating hormone (FSH) is usually at a peak level at parturition and declines following parturition.

Labhsetwar et al. (1964) found that FSH was highest at calving and decreased after parturition. They also found that follicular development was greatest 21 days postpartum when pituitary FSH was lowest.

Saiduddin and Foote (1964) found an increase in pituitary LH from day 5 to day 30 postpartum in suckled cows by utilizing the ovarian ascorbic acid depletion (OAAD) assay. Saiduddin et al. (1966) studied the effects of suckling on pituitary LH activity during the first 30 days postpartum and found that pituitary LH content was increased at days 10, 20 and 30 postpartum when compared to levels found at parturition.

Quenedo et al. (1967) found that the pituitary LH concentration was 9.0 ug/mg of dry anterior pituitary in beef cattle at 20 days after

calving. Wagner, Saatman and Hansel (1969) reported that pituitary LH concentration at 7 and 30 days postpartum in milked cows was 2.07 ug/mg of fresh anterior pituitary and 2.62 ug/mg, respectively. No effect of suckling on pituitary LH content was found.

The results of Labhsetwar et al. (1964), Saiduddin and Foote (1964), Saiduddin et al. (1966), Quenedo et al. (1967) and Wagner et al. (1969) may be interpreted as a pituitary accumulation of LH preparatory for ovulation and a continued more gradual release of FSH to stimulate ovarian follicular development in preparation for ovulation.

Blood levels of LH and prolactin in the postpartum cows. Ingalls, Hafs and Oxender (1971) measured serum LH and prolactin in heifers from 30 days before parturition until first estrus postpartum. They found that serum prolactin concentration was from 50 to 100 ng/ml until 2 days before parturition, exceeded 200 ng/ml during the 2 days before parturition, began declining at parturition and reached a level of about 60 ng/ml at 60 hr postpartum, and ranged from 50 to 100 ng/ml thereafter. LH in blood serum did not change measurably.

Arije, Wiltbank and Hopwood (1971) observed LH and prolactin levels in cows from 3 to 4 weeks prepartum to the second postpartum estrus and reported that LH levels were less than 1 ng/ml before parturition and remained between 1 and 1.5 ng/ml during postpartum interval with periodic peaks of up to 3 ng/ml after 2 weeks postpartum. Prolactin levels were below 50 ng/ml during late gestation, and increased to above 300 ng/ml from 2 days before parturition to 20 days postpartum. In

the remainder of the postpartum period, prolactin levels remained between 100 to 200 ng/ml.

### Hypothalamic Control of Gonadotrophins

Hypophysiotropic hormones. The hypothalamus is known to contain releasing hormones. It controls the secretion of LH and FSH from the anterior pituitary gland (Guillemin, 1964). This control is mediated by neurohumoral substance(s) designated LH-releasing hormone (LH-RH) and FSH-releasing hormone (FSH-RH) (Schally et al., 1968) instead of LH-releasing factor (LRF) and FSH-releasing factor (FRF). LH- and FSH-releasing activity can be extracted from the hypothalamus.

Campbell, Feuer and Harris (1964) reported that intrapituitary infusion of crude extracts of median eminence induced a high percentage of ovulatory response in rabbits. Nikitovitch-Winer (1962) demonstrated ovulation in the rat by intrapituitary injection of crude bovine median eminence extract. McCann (1962) observed an ovarian ascorbic acid depletion on intravenous injection of crude acidic extracts of rat stalk median eminence tissue into immature rats pretreated with gonadotrophins. Although these experiments indicated that the extracts of median eminence from various species contained a mediator for release of ovulation hormone, little was known about the chemical nature of this mediator.

Fawcett, Reed, Charlton and Harris (1967) reported that partially purified LRF obtained from beef hypothalami induced ovulation when injected into the pituitaries of estrus rabbits. Schally and Bowers

(1964) obtained highly purified LRF from bovine hypothalamus. These preparations were highly active in depleting ovarian ascorbic acid in immature female rats, in inducing a rise of plasma LH in ovariectomized estrogen-progesterone treated rats and in stimulating the release of LH into the incubation medium from isolated rat pituitary in vitro.

An FSH-releasing action of hypothalamic extracts were demonstrated shortly after the discovery of LRF. These extracts were found to elevate plasma FSH in spayed female rats in which the release of FSH had been inhibited either by the injection of estrogen and progesterone or by lesions in the median eminence, and a dose-response relationship was obtained (Dhariwal et al., 1965; Igarashi and McCann, 1964, Igarashi, Nallar and McCann, 1964). Several laboratories reported a depletion of pituitary FSH after administration of hypothalamic extracts in castrated, steroids-blocked rats (Kuroshiman et al., 1966; Saito et al., 1967). LRF also increased FSH release into incubated medium from pituitary in vitro (Kuroshiman et al., 1965; Mittler and Meites, 1964; Watanabe and McCann, 1968).

LH-RH has been isolated from porcine hypothalamus and physiological studies indicated that it causes release of both FSH and LH (Schally et al., 1971). The isolated porcine LH-RH showed FSH-RH activity in ng doses. In various chromatographic systems no FSH-RH activity could be detected in hypothalamic fractions except those corresponding to LH-RH (Schally et al., 1971). Schally et al. (1971) then suggested that one single hypothalamic hormone, designated FSH-RH/LH-RH may control the

release of both FSH and LH. Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) will be used for convenience in later section of this review to describe the action of this hormone.

Recently, the structure of GnRH has been determined as a decapeptide (Matsuo et al., 1971a; Baba et al., 1971) and the decapeptide has been artificially synthesized (Matsuo et al., 1971b). The synthetic decapeptide was found to possess the same biological properties as the natural pure LH-RH.

Anatomical organization of the regulatory system of anterior pituitary secretion. The hypothalamic hormones are secreted by neurons in the basal hypothalamus and pass to the pituitary via the hypothalamic-hypophyseal vessels to exert their effects on specific cell types (Gay, 1972). Long and short portal vessels serve as the method of communication between hypothalamic neurons and hormone secreting cells of the anterior pituitary gland. Releasing factors may be secreted on or near the capillary network of the portal vessels and be rapidly transported to the cells of the anterior pituitary gland (Gay, 1972).

Neural mechanisms controlling gonadotrophin secretion. The pituitary gonadotrophin secretion may have dual neural control which appears to be different between the rhesus monkey and the rat. Little is known about the neural mechanisms controlling gonadotrophin secretion in most species. However, in the rhesus monkey, the control systems which govern basic and surge secretion of gonadotrophins are resident within

the medial basal hypothalamus (Knobil, 1974). While in the rat, the first level of control is the tonic secretion of gonadotrophin, situated in hypophysiotropic area of the hypothalamus (Halasz et al., 1962), which stimulates the production of FSH and LH is able to maintain ovarian follicular growth and estrogen secretion (as reviewed by Flerko, 1966). The hypophysiotrophic area includes the whole of the arcuate nucleus, the ventral part of the anterior periventricular nucleus and the parvocellular region in the retro-chiasmatic area (Halasz et al., 1962).

The other is the "release regulating mechanism", located in the preoptic-suprachiasmatic area of the hypothalamus. This neural mechanism triggers the burst of LH secretion responsible for ovulation. In the absence of this mechanism, the hypophysiotropic structures still function normally and gonadotrophins are secreted at a basal rate which evokes the constant vaginal estrus syndrome (as reviewed by Flerko, 1966).

This concept is further demonstrated by Halasz (1968) and by Schneider et al. (1969). Surgical transection of the anterior hypothalamus abolishes the cyclic release of LH in the female but not the basal secretion of LH in either sex (Halasz, 1968). It has been proposed that the preovulatory surge of LH is controlled by one group of neurons, while the basal secretion in both sexes is regulated by other neurons (Gay, 1972). Schneider, Crighton and McCann (1969) studied the effect of electrical lesions in the suprachiasmatic region on the

content of LRF stored in the stalk-median eminence and reported that the LRF concentration in the stalk-median eminence of rats with supra-chiasmatic lesions induced constant vaginal estrus. Hypothalamic LRF concentrations were significantly lower than in spayed rats without lesions. They concluded that LRF-secreting neurons may be located in the suprachiasmatic area and be responsible for the discharge of ovulatory amounts of LH.

Pituitary secretions of gonadotrophins are controlled directly by hypothalamic secretion of GnRH. The hypothalamus is stimulated by estrogens to release GnRH (Gay, 1972). It has been known that increasing levels of serum estrogen are associated with the preovulatory release of LH in diestrous ewes (Goding et al., 1969) and cycling cows (Christensen et al., 1971). Kato and Vिलее (1967) studied the pattern of distribution of labeled estradiol in ovariectomized rats and found that radioactive estradiol was incorporated into the anterior hypothalamus. Their findings suggest that the anterior hypothalamus is a direct target tissue of estradiol. The autoradiographic results of Pfaff (1968) that the anterior portion of the hypothalamus concentrates estradiol support the conclusion of Kato and Vилее.

#### Antagonism Between Prolactin and Gonadotrophin Production

The high levels of prolactin in early lactation were thought to be related to postpartum anestrus (Han, 1973). Release of FSH and LH is inhibited by the milking stimulus, and the ovaries are relatively inactive except in the rat and mouse (as reviewed by McCann and Porter,

1969). Considerable evidence exists for a reciprocal relationship of hypothalamic control of gonadotrophin and prolactin secretion. The evidence is, 1) when endogenous prolactin secretion is suppressed by median eminence implants of prolactin through autofeedback mechanism, the pituitary response by increasing gonadotrophin secretion, 2) the intensity of the suckling stimulus from the nursing young responsible for gonadotrophin suppression is also responsible for increased prolactin secretion, 3) when the pituitary responds to a prolactin stimulator (perphenazine) and gonadotrophin suppressor (methallibure), serum prolactin is increased, 4) histological changes exist for a reduction in size and number of secretory granules in pituitary secreting cells and an increase in size of gonadotrophs in response to prolactin producing pituitary tumors (MtT-WID pituitary tumors) and 5) various neurotransmitters when injected into the third ventricle of the brain produce inverse effects on the secretion of gonadotrophins and prolactin (as reviewed by Han, 1973).

#### Gonadotrophin-Releasing Hormone (GnRH)

Recently the amino acid sequence of GnRH has been established. This molecule has been synthesized and the synthetic hormone is available for investigation in farm animals. The following review will be confined to the chemistry and physiological function of synthetic GnRH.

Chemistry. Two laboratories independently reported isolation of porcine (Schally et al., 1971) and ovine (Amoss et al., 1971) GnRH.

Matsuo et al. (1971a) identified the peptide structure of porcine GnRH as (pyro) Glu-His-Trp-Ser-Trp-Gly-Leu-Arg-Pro-Gly(NH<sub>2</sub>), by the use of the combined Edman-dansyl procedure coupled with the selective tritiation method of C-terminal analysis. The N-terminal groups were identified by dansylation. The decapeptide was then synthesized by the solid phase method (Matsuo et al., 1971b) and shown to possess the same biological properties as the natural pure porcine (Schally et al., 1972) GnRH, when tested in laboratory animals.

Physiology. GnRH causes release of LH in sheep, cattle and pigs. Domanski and Kochman (1968) demonstrated that intra-anterior pituitary infusion of eight to ten hypothalamic equivalents were effective in inducing ovulation in ewes during late anestrus but not during mid anestrus.

Gay, Niswender and Midgley (1970) found an increase in serum LH in the anestrus ewes with repeated injections of two hypothalamic equivalents, but no ovulation occurred. When a total dose of 400 ug synthetic GnRH was administered intramuscularly in two equal injections to late anestrus ewes, serum LH levels were increased to 39.1<sup>±</sup>6.8 ng/ml (Reeves et al., 1972). This LH level could be comparable to the pre-ovulatory surge (25 to 30 ng/ml) (as reviewed by Convey, 1973). Apparently, the sensitivity of the pituitary to GnRH is increased in late anestrus ewes. In contrast, Reeves, Tarnavsky and Chakraborty (1974) treated ewes with synthetic GnRH at three periods of anestrus.

They found no difference of pituitary responsiveness to GnRH at the three stages of anestrus (early, mid and late).

Reeves, Arimura and Schally (1970) studied the effects of different doses (1, 3, 9 and 27 ug) of purified porcine GnRH administered intracarotidly to diestrous ewes, wethers and a ram. They found a significant increase in serum LH in each animal after administration of GnRH at all dosages. The maximum LH response in the ewes and ram was 3 ug of GnRH. Greater doses (9 and 27 ug) of GnRH could not induce higher levels of serum LH in the ewe or the ram. However, wethers responded to increasing doses of purified GnRH with a peak of 160 ng/ml after 27 ug administration with a linear increase. It appeared that the pituitary is more responsive to GnRH in the castrate than in the intact sheep.

Golter et al. (1973) studied the dose response relationship of changes in serum LH in response to synthetic GnRH in bulls and the effects of various carrying media on this response. The results were summarized in tables 1 and 2, respectively.

The results obtained seemed to show a dose related increase in serum LH and a significant influence on the LH response to the synthetic decapeptide depending on the carrying media used.

TABLE 1. SERUM LH RESPONSE TO SYNTHETIC LH-RH/FSH-RH IN BULLS \*\*\*

LH-RH/FSH-RH <sup>a</sup> ug/kg body weight	Peak serum LH (ng/ml) <sup>b</sup>	Time to peak serum LH (min)	Length of LH serum response (min)
0.0	1.9±0.4 <sup>c</sup>	--	--
0.03	2.7±0.4	30±6	55±15
0.3	14.8±2.1*	40±0	220±12
3.0	46.2±7.0**	137±15	366±33

<sup>a</sup> Pure synthetic LH-RH/FSH-RH (Hoechst).

<sup>b</sup> NIH-LH-B7 standard.

<sup>c</sup> Mean ± SE.

\* Different from control P < .05.

\*\* Different from control P < .01.

\*\*\* From Golter et al. (1973).

TABLE 2. BOVINE SERUM LH RESPONSES TO SYNTHETIC LH-RH/FSH-RH<sup>a</sup> IN VARIOUS CARRYING MEDIA\*\*

Injection media	Peak serum LH (ng/ml) <sup>b</sup>	Time to peak serum LH (min)	Length of LH serum response (min)
Acidified saline	41±10 <sup>c</sup>	130±13	285±40
2% CMC	55±10	180±23	600±92*
Silastic 732 RTV	4±4*	150±121	--

<sup>a</sup> Containing 1.3 ug LH-RH/FSH-RH (Hoechst)/kg body weight.

<sup>b</sup> NIH-LH-B7 standard.

<sup>c</sup> Mean ± SE.

\* Different from acidified saline P < .05.

\*\* From Golter et al. (1973).

Zolman et al. (1973) demonstrated a linear increase in LH response with increasing levels of GnRH in bulls and a quadratic increase in luteal phase heifers. They reported that the nature of the bovine LH response to GnRH differs between sex. The male bovine pituitary is more responsive to GnRH than that of females. Zolman et al. (1973) also observed a dose related increase in LH release in vitro when bovine pituitary tissue was exposed to 1 or 4 ng purified porcine GnRH, suggesting that GnRH acts directly on the pituitary to cause LH release.

Classical physiochemical methods of LH-RH purification have failed to separate LH-RH and FSH-RH activities. Schally et al. (1972) thus suggested that the isolated FSH and LH-releasing hormone controls the secretion of both FSH and LH from pituitary. Redding et al. (1972) have reported that synthetic GnRH in the rat stimulates not only the release of LH but also FSH in vitro. Reeves et al. (1972) described changes of serum FSH after GnRH treatment in sheep. Results of Arimura et al. (1972) indicate that synthetic LH-RH can stimulate a considerable release of FSH as well as LH in vivo, during prolonged infusions. This report supports the concept that LH-RH decapeptide also has FSH-RH activity. Arimura et al. (1973) also observed an FSH response to GnRH in humans. Kaltenbach et al. (1974) showed the effect of synthetic GnRH on FSH release in heifers.

In contrast, several researchers suggest that separate hypothalamic hormones release FSH and LH (Johansson et al., 1972; Bowers et al., 1973). Johansson et al. (1972) incubated the homogenates of porcine hypothalamic tissue in the presence of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -glutamic acid and  $^{14}\text{C}$ -glutamine which allow the biosynthesis of hypothalamic hormones and fractionated this material from above biosynthesis. They obtained two different groups of fractions each of which showed activities for the release of both FSH and LH. On the basis of the ratio of the levels of FSH and LH which were released, one group indicated the presence of the known decapeptide, pGlu-His-Trp-Ser-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Arg-Pro-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> and the other group revealed the exceptionally high release of FSH. If this latter fraction was further fractionated by partition chromatography using Sephadex G-25 and the resulting samples assayed in vitro by using female rat pituitary, the ratio for FSH/LH release was greater than that observed with synthetic LH-RH. This observation indicates that the decapeptide reported by Matsuo et al. (1971a) is only LH-RH. A partially purified fraction of FSH-RH having low LH-RH activity from porcine hypothalamus released a greater amount of FSH than the LH-RH decapeptide (Bowers et al., 1973). Because the relative FSH/LH releasing ratio is greater than that of the LH-RH decapeptide, Bowers et al. then concluded that the LH-RH decapeptide is only the physiological regulator of LH, and FSH-RH is a separate hypothalamic releasing hormone.

Apparently, more biological and chemical evidences are needed to determine whether one or two hypothalamic hormones are responsible for the release of FSH and LH.

Most of the experimental studies with GnRH performed in vivo are based only on acute experiments. An injection of GnRH induced a considerable rise of serum LH associated with a very slight increase in serum FSH (Arimura et al., 1972; Rennels et al., 1971). However, GnRH can "stimulate a considerable release of FSH as well as of LH in vivo if given by prolonged infusion" (Arimura et al., 1972).

Evans and Nikitovitch-Winer (1969) demonstrated that chronic administration of crude sheep hypothalamic extracts could reactivate the pituitary graft. The trophic action of such extracts could be due to a complex of the treatment, since crude hypothalamic extracts seemed to contain various hypophysiotropic hormones and other substance.

Arimura et al. (1973) observed a significant stimulation of FSH cells of the pituitary grafts and a lesser change in LH cells in hypophysectomized female rats bearing pituitary grafts. They also found large Graafian follicles in GnRH treated animals and higher levels of circulating estrogens. This estrogen level was not great enough to induce vaginal cornification. Thus, prolonged exposure might be essential for full stimulation of FSH release by the GnRH decapeptide.

The pituitary gland in the immature pig also responds to repeated stimulation with exogenous synthetic GnRH by releasing LH into circulation (Chakraborty et al., 1973).

During the normal estrous cycle, regression of the corpus luteum and fall in progesterone is followed by an increase in serum estrogen concentration in the cow (Henricks et al., 1972; Wettemann et al., 1972) and ewe (Moore et al., 1968; Obst et al., 1971). Soon after the peak or at the peak of serum estrogen, serum LH increased in the cow (Wettemann et al., 1972) and ewe (McCracken et al., 1971; Scaramuzzi et al., 1971). It has been known that  $17\beta$ -estradiol causes the preovulatory surge of LH secretion in the sheep (Goding et al., 1969; Beck and Reeves, 1973). Jonas et al. (1973) noted an increase in both FSH and LH after infusion of  $17\beta$ -estradiol into anestrus ewes. Ying, Fang and Greep (1971) also noted an increase in both serum FSH and LH levels in the intact immature female rats when a single injection of estradiol benzoate was given. The peak serum FSH and LH concentrations of individual estradiol treated ewes were similar to preovulatory surges of FSH and LH concentrations reported by Goding et al. (1969) and Reeves et al. (1971). The isolated GnRH was reported to induce an increase in both serum FSH and LH in the ewe (Reeves et al., 1972; Jonas et al., 1973). Since estradiol also induces an increase in both serum FSH and LH in the anestrus ewe (Reeves, Beck and Nett, 1974), this study supports the suggestion by Jonas et al. (1973) that estradiol acts via the hypothalamic decapeptide.

The estrogen-induced LH surge can be blocked by prior administration of progesterone (Cumming et al., 1971; Scaramuzzi et al., 1971) or by endogenous progesterone (Bolt et al., 1971; Cumming et al., 1971).

Hilliard et al. (1971) reported that intrapituitary infusion of LH-RH in rabbits could cause LH release from the pituitary and this release could be blocked by pretreatment with progesterone. However, this blocking effect of progesterone was not observed by Cumming et al. (1972), when the dose of progesterone was in the higher range of normal secretion for the luteal phase in the ewe, and the dose of GnRH was submaximal. It appeared that physiological amount of progesterone could be unable to prevent GnRH induced pituitary LH release. But the suppression of ovulation by progesterone could be overcome by raising the dose of GnRH (Hilliard et al., 1971). Debeljuk et al. (1972) found that 5 mg of progesterone was not able to suppress the effect of 1 ug purified GnRH on the rat pituitary gland, but it was effective in suppressing the response to lower doses of GnRH. Thus, the dose of GnRH is a very important factor in evaluating the suppressive activity of progesterone.

The first report to demonstrate that synthetic GnRH induces LH release in postpartum lactating cows was Britt, Kittock and Harrison (1974). They treated lactating Holstein cows with subcutaneous-implants containing 100 ug of GnRH on day 14 postpartum. Serum LH increased from  $1.9 \pm 0.4$  ng/ml at GnRH treatment to  $15.0 \pm 1.9$  ng/ml at 4 hr and declined to  $4.7 \pm 0.6$  ng/ml at 6 hr. All treated cows ovulated on day 15 without estrous behavior, but progesterone response after GnRH seemed to be similar to that observed during normal estrous cycle. The interval to

first ovulation in GnRH treated cows was shorter than for saline treated control cows based on palpation per rectum, but the interval to first estrus was similar for both groups. This probably means that GnRH treatment can shorten the interval to first ovulation, but not the interval to first estrus. Therefore, GnRH may be a useful agent in stimulating ovarian cycles in the postpartum cows.

#### Radioimmunoassay of Protein Hormones

The principle of radioimmunoassay (RIA) is based on the immunological properties of foreign proteins. It is characteristic of protein hormones from a particular species to stimulate antibody formation when injected into a second species of animal. The antibody specifically binds to its hormone. RIA were first developed for insulin (Berson et al., 1956). This method depends upon highly specific reactions between a protein hormone and its antibody. The radiolabeled hormone molecules compete with the nonlabeled hormone molecules for the binding sites on the antibodies. Since only a small amount of antibody is present, its binding capacity for the hormone is limited and constant. Therefore, when increasing amounts of unlabeled hormone are added to the assay, the antibody binding sites are progressively saturated and the antibody can bind less of the radiolabeled hormone. In general, the more unlabeled hormone present in the serum sample, the less labeled hormone will be bound to the antibody and vice versa. The hormone bound to antibody is then separated from the unbound or free hormone by utilizing either electrophoresis, salt precipitation, gel

filtration, charcoal and silica, ion exchange resins, solid phase antibody methods and double antibody precipitation. After separating the bound hormone from the unbound hormone, the quantity of labeled hormone bound to the antibody can be measured. Quantitation of the unknowns is accomplished by comparing the radioactivity of the unknown samples with standard hormone preparations reacted under identical conditions (Wright and Taylor, 1967). The potential advantages of RIA over bioassay methods are their high sensitivity, specificity, simplicity and applicability to assay large numbers of samples at one time. However, it should be clear that what is being measured is immunological and not biological activity of the hormone, because immunological and biological specificities may reside at completely different sites on the hormone molecule. If the hormone remains intact, immunological and biological measurement may give identical results; if metabolic degradation of the hormone occurs, a given immunoassay may detect substances that are not biologically active (Berson and Yalow, 1964).

Midgley (1955) using human chorionic gonadotrophin (HCG) demonstrated a RIA for measuring human LH. Anti-HCG antiserum was obtained by repeated subcutaneous injection of rabbits with partially purified HCG emulsified in complete Freund's adjuvant. HCG was iodinated with  $^{131}\text{I}$  by the method of Greenwood, Hunter and Glover (1963). This method utilized chloramine-T to oxidize iodide to iodine, which then reacts with tyrosine residues in HCG. Sodium metabisulfite was used to stop

the reaction by reducing the excess iodine to iodide. Anti-rabbit gamma globulin (anti-RGG) was used as a second antibody to bind anti-HCG-bound complex. The precipitate was then separated from unbound hormone by centrifugation and counted in a gamma counter.

#### Radioimmunoassay of Steroid Hormones

The principle and development of RIA was discussed in the above review section. Louis, Hafs and Seguin (1973) described a simple and rapid RIA for progesterone utilizing a  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone label. In this method, 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of serum samples were extracted with benzene-hexane (1:2 V:V), then stored at  $-20\text{C}$  for one hour to freeze the aqueous portion. The organic solvent was poured off into scintillation vials and then assayed by RIA. The organic solvent was evaporated and 200  $\mu\text{l}$  antiprogestrone were added. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature, 24,000 cpm  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone was added to each tube. The tubes were incubated at  $4\text{C}$  for 4-20 hr. Five hundred  $\mu\text{l}$  of dextran-coated charcoal were used to separate free and antibody-bound progesterone. Antibody bound  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone in 0.5 ml of the supernatant were measured in a liquid scintillation spectrometer. The sensitivity of this assay was less than 25 pg progesterone.

Midgley, Niswender and Ram (1969) proposed a hapten-RIA to quantitate low molecular weight estrogenic steroids. In this method, any small molecular weight steroids can act as a hapten and become immunogenic when conjugated to a protein. When steroid hormone was

conjugated to bovine serum albumin (BSA), antibodies against the steroid hormone could be obtained by immunization with steroid-BSA conjugates. The BSA portion of the conjugate was radioiodinated and used in combination with antibodies specific for the hapten. Abraham (1969) developed the first hapten RIA for estradiol-17 $\beta$ . The solid phase method (Catt, 1967) was used to separate free and bound steroid. The sensitivity of this assay was 30 pg/ml plasma and recovery ranged from 30 to 60 percent.

Midgley et al. (1971) demonstrated the preparation of radioiodinated steroids. Steroid derivatives were conjugated to the methyl ester of tyrosine. The phenolic tyrosine ring was available for iodination. Iodine -125 was used as a label rather than  $^3\text{H}$  because of the greater specific activity of  $^{125}\text{I}$  and easier and less expensive sample preparation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hormones. The synthetic GnRH was donated by Abbott Laboratories, Agriculture and Veterinary Products Division (North Chicago, Illinois). This substance was dissolved in physiological saline containing 0.01M acetic acid and stored at 4C between treatment periods. Silastic rubber implants (1.27 cm diameter by 14 cm length) impregnated with progesterone (Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, Missouri) were made by mixing the progesterone, silastic rubber and hardening agent together and pumping this mixture into a plastic hose. On the day of insertion, a 14 cm length was removed from the hose. Each progesterone implant was weighed at insertion and at removal to obtain the rate of release of progesterone from the implant.

Experimental animals. Hereford, Angus and Hereford x Holstein crossbred mature cows were selected from the Montana State University beef herd and paired as shown in table 3 for experimental purposes.

TABLE 3. COMPOSITION OF EXPERIMENTAL TRIALS

Trial No.	1	2	3
Treated	Cow 33	Cow 125	Cow 623
Control	Cow 929	Cow 018	Cow 609
Breed	Angus	Hereford x Holstein	Hereford

All pairs were selected for calving date, breed and weight. One individual in each trial served as a treated cow and the other as a control. All cows were nursing calves throughout the entire experiment.

Treated cows were surgically implanted with a removable subcutaneous silastic progesterone implant at either 15 or 16 days postpartum. Six days after the insertion of progesterone implant, the three cows were treated with synthetic GnRH by 37 consecutive infusions of 50 ug at 2 hr intervals over a period of 72 hr through the indwelling jugular catheters. Each infusion was considered as one treatment. Two hours after treatment 37, progesterone implant was removed. The treated cows then received 500 ug of GnRH 30 hr post-treatment 37 (treatment 38). Three control cows were similarly infused 1 ml of acidified saline at the same time interval and received no progesterone implant.

Prior to infusion of GnRH or acidified saline all cows were cannulated. Cannulation of the jugular vein was carried out by using a 12-gauge thin-walled, hypodermic needle to puncture the vein. Silastic tubing (O.D. 0.085 cm, I.D. 0.040 cm) was then inserted into the jugular vein via the 12-gauge hypodermic needle. Female adapters were inserted into the silastic tubing and taped to the skin. All cannulas were heparinized and capped for later infusion and blood collection. The cannulated cows were confined at the Montana State University Beef Center in small lots.

Collection of blood samples. Blood samples were collected via jugular catheters. Pre-treatment blood samples were collected at -30 and -1 min before the first 50 ug/ml of GnRH treatment. Post-treatment

blood samples were collected at 30, 60, 90 and 120 min after treatments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 13, 25, 37 and 38. Blood samples were kept at 4C overnight, and the serum was separated by centrifugation and stored frozen until assayed for LH and progesterone.

Ovarian examination. Rectal palpation of the ovaries was conducted periodically to assess changes in ovarian size and follicular growth. The ovarian diameter and its related size is listed in table 4. Cows

TABLE 4. OVARIAN DIAMETER AND RELATED SIZE.

Diameter (mm)	7	8-12	13-17	18-22	23-17	28-32	33
Size	Very small	Small	Medium small	Medium	Medium large	Large	Very large

in both treated and control groups underwent a surgical observation of the ovaries conducted between 35 and 40 days postpartum to determine if ovulation had occurred.

LH assay. All serum samples were assayed by a double antibody radioimmunoassay (RIA) as described by Niswender et al. (1969) with slight modifications. The theory behind the RIA is the immunological response of the animal to induce antibody (the primary antibody) formation against the injected antigen (LH). The labeled LH molecules compete with the unlabeled LH molecules (either standards or unknowns) for binding sites on the antibodies. When increasing amounts of unlabeled LH are added to the assay, the limited binding sites of the antibody are progressively saturated and the antibody can bind less

of the labeled LH. A second antibody is used to separate the bound LH from the free LH so that the radioactivity of bound LH can be measured.

The anti-bovien LH serum (primary antibody, Rabbit antiserum, Lot No. 225) was kindly donated by Dr. Gordon D. Niswender, Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado. Ten ml of double distilled water were added to the lypholized anti-bovine LH serum. This solution was distributed into 10 serum bottles to avoid repeated freezing-thawing. The 1 ml aliquots of anti-bovine LH serum were snap frozen in dry ice and pentane, sealed with parafilm and stored at -10C. This antiserum against LH was diluted 1:400 in 0.05M EDTA-phosphate buffered NaCl (PBS, 0.01M) at pH 7.0 containing 1:10,000 merthiolate and frozen at this concentration. Prior to assay the anti-bovine LH serum was diluted with non-immune normal rabbit serum (NRS, Colorado Serum Co., Denver, Colo.) previously diluted 1:400 in 0.05M EDTA-PBS (at pH 7.0 with 1:10,000 merthiolate) to give the final working solution. The anti-bovine LH serum was used at a concentration of 1:12,500. Small aliquots for running one assay were frozen and stored as described above. The anti-bovine LH serum was thawed rapidly one day before assay and stored overnight at 4C. The diluted antiserum was stored in an ice bath during addition to tubes. All reagents for LH assay were kept at 0-4C by placing in containers of ice.

Sheep anti-rabbit gamma globulin (anti-RGG) was used as second antibody and prepared by repeated subcutaneous injection of RGG (fraction II, Pentex, Inc., Kankakee, Illinois) emulsified in complete Freund's adjuvant. Anti-RGG was diluted with PBS to a working concentration of 1:5 and stored at -10C.

Purified bovine LH (NIH-LH-B7) was used for the preparation of assay standards. Standards were prepared in PBS-1% egg white (EW) with concentrations equal to 0, .1, .2, .4, .8, 1.6, 3.2, 6.4, 12.8 and 25.6 ng/ml PBS-1% EW. Standard solutions in 2 ml aliquots corresponding to each point were prepared, snap frozen and stored at -10C.

Highly purified bovine LH (LER-1072-2) was obtained from Dr. L. Reichert (Department of Biochemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia) and radioiodinated at room temperature by a modification of the method of Greenwood et al. (1963). Twenty-five  $\mu$ l of 0.5M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) was added to 1 mCi  $^{125}\text{I}$  ( $\text{Na } ^{125}\text{I}$  in 0.1 N NaOH, New England Nuclear, Boston, Mass.) in the V vial and transferred to the iodination vial containing 2.5  $\mu$ g of the purified LH in distilled water (1  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l). Thirty  $\mu$ g of Chloramine-T (2  $\mu$ g Ch-T/ $\mu$ l 0.05M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5) were added and the mixture was agitated for 2 min by finger tapping the vial. The reaction was stopped by adding 60  $\mu$ g of sodium metabisulfite (2  $\mu$ g  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_5$ / $\mu$ l 0.05M  $\text{NaPO}_4$ , pH 7.5). One hundred  $\mu$ l of transfer solution containing 16% sucrose, 1 mg KI and 10  $\mu$ g bromophenol blue were added and the mixture was layered

beneath the buffer on the surface of the BIO-Gel P-60 column (50-100 mesh, Bio Rad Labs, Richmond, Calif), previously coated with 1.5 ml of PBS-5% EW to reduce non-specific binding of protein. Seventy ul rinse solution containing 8% sucrose and 1 mg KI were used twice to rinse the vial and transfer to the column to increase the recovery of the LH-<sup>125</sup>I. Twenty 1 ml aliquots of the column eluate were collected in tubes containing 1 ml of PBS-5% EW. Each tube was mixed carefully. One tenth ml was taken from each collection tube and counted for 0.1 min on an automatic gamma counter (Automatic Gamma Count System, Model 4233, Manufactured by Nuclear Chicago Co.) to find the peak tube suitable for use in the assay (figure 1). The contents of the peak tube were diluted with PBS-0.1% EW so that 100 ul gave 40-50,000 cpm (IX working solution). The IX working solution was distributed into small plastic bottles with the amount for each assay and stored at -10C.

In the assay, disposable culture tubes (12 x 75 mm) were used. Standard curves were run in triplicate. Samples from any given cow were assayed within a single assay in duplicate to reduce between-assay variation. Prior to assay, the tubes were placed in stainless steel test tube racks and stored at 0-4C. Two-hundred ul of standards or unknowns were added to tubes containing 450 ul of PBS-1% EW. Control tubes containing 1:400 NRS instead of antibody and 100 ul of LH-<sup>125</sup>I were used to determine non-specific binding in standards or unknowns. Fifty ul of diluted anti-bovine LH serum were added to each

tube, and the contents were mixed and incubated at 4C for 24 hr before addition of 100 ul of LH-<sup>125</sup>I. After another 24 hr period at 4C, 200 ul of anti-RGG were added to each tube to precipitate the antibody complex. After 72 hr of incubation at 4C, all tubes received 3 ml of cold PBS, except the total count tubes, and were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 30 min (Model PR-2 Centrifuge, International Equipment Co., Boston, Mass.). The radioactivity remaining in the precipitate after decanting was measured in an automatic gamma counter for 1 minute. The standard curve (figure 2) was plotted on a three-cycle semi-log paper. Percent bound  $\left( \frac{\text{cpm standard point conc.}}{\text{cpm zero point conc.}} \right)$  was plotted in the linear Y-axis and nanograms of LH were plotted in the logarithmic X-axis. The sensitivity of the assay was 0.1 ng of LH or 0.5 ng/ml when 200 ul of serum was assayed. The amounts of the unknowns present in the assay tubes were calculated from the standard curve. These values were corrected by a factor of the dilution of serum (200 ul) in the tubes containing the unknowns. The correction factor was 5.

Progesterone assay. Serum progesterone was measured by RIA. The antisera to progesterone-11 $\alpha$ -bovine serum albumin and progesterone 6 $\beta$ -bovine serum albumin were supplied by Dr. Gordon D. Niswender and used at the final dilution of 1:3,000. Antiserum was diluted to 1:50 with 1:400 stripped NRS and stored at -10C. Further dilution of anti-progesterone serum was made with stripped 1:400 NRS. Stripped 1:400 NRS was prepared by adding 1 ml of NRS to 399 ml of buffer A, a 0.1M

sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 5.38 gm  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$ , 16.35 gm  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , 9 gm NaCl, 1 gm Na Azide and 1 gm Knox gelatin in 1 liter double distilled water to make a 1:400 NRS. Eight gm of ethanol washed Florisil for every 100 ml of 1:400 NRS were added, and the resulting mixture was placed on a magnetic stirrer for about 2 hours. Following the stirring, the supernatant was placed in 50 ml centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 30 min, after which the supernatant was carefully decanted into bottles and stored at 4C until used.

Progesterone -1, 2, 6, 7- $^3\text{H}$  (SA 105 Ci/mM) was obtained from New England Nuclear, Boston, Mass. Fifty ul of this substance were purified on a 3-inch Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Inc., Piscataway, N.J.) column to remove free tritium. The eluting solvent was benzene: methanol (90:10 V:V). Approximately 0.5 ml were collected in each of 80 tubes. Ten ul from each tube were transferred to a scintillation vial. Fifteen ml of counting fluid (Bray's solution) were added to each vial. The vials were counted and the results plotted with cpm versus tube number. The content in the peak tube and the first descending tube were transferred to a 125 ml Nalgene bottle and dried down under a stream of nitrogen. Fifty ml of buffer A were added to the bottle containing the  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone and 100 ul was counted. This was the stock solution. From the stock solution, dilutions were prepared for the assay (12,000 cpm/200 ul) and the recovery 2,400 cpm/100 ul) solutions. All  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone solutions were stored at 4C until used.

Progesterone obtained from Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO, was used as standards without further purification. A stock solution was prepared and concentrations of 2560, 1280, 640, 160, 80, 40, 20, 10 pg per 100 ul were prepared by serial dilution with double distilled ethanol, and stored at 4C until needed.

Buffer A, buffer B and buffer A+ charcoal were used as working buffers in this assay system. Buffer A was prepared as described earlier. Buffer B was the same as buffer A, except it contained 5% Knox gelatin. Buffer A+ charcoal (dextran-coated charcoal) was prepared with 500 ml buffer A, 2.5 gm Dextran T-80 (No. 24751, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) and 1.25 gm charcoal "Novit a" (Matheson Coleman and Bell, Los Angeles, CA) with fines removed. The fines were removed by washing several times with double distilled water and aspirating after the charcoal settled for about 2 hours. The washed charcoal was dried in an oven at 100C.

The scintillation fluid was Bray's solution and prepared with 21 gm of 2,5-diphenyloxazole, 0.9 gm phenyloxazolyphenyl and 300 gm naphthalene, which was dissolved in 3 liters of p-dioxane.

The first step in performing the RIA was the pre-extraction. One-half ml samples of either serum, lab standard or water blank (double distilled water) were added to 16 x 125 mm disposable culture tubes. One-hundred ul of  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone (app. 2,400 cpm) for recovery were added to each tube. The tubes were mixed and placed at 4C overnight.

In order to determine a value for total count (recovery), 100 ul of  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone for recovery were added to each of 4 scintillation vials which were capped until the end of assay when Bray's solution was added. Extraction tubes containing either serum, lab standard or water blank were removed from the refrigerator and 3 ml of benzene:hexane (1:2 V:V) were added to each tube. The tubes were mixed for 30 sec at #5 speed on a vortex mixer, and placed in the freezer for 30-60 minutes. After this time, the tubes were removed from the freezer and the solvent layer was poured into the corresponding tube containing 1 ml of double distilled water. These tubes were then mixed at #4 speed for a few seconds and placed in the freezer until the water layer was frozen. The solvent layer was poured off into a corresponding scintillation vial and all the steps above were repeated for the second extraction.

After the solvent from the second extraction was in the scintillation vials, the vials were completely dried under a stream of nitrogen, and 1 ml of double distilled ethanol (room temperature) was added to each vial. The vials were quickly capped to prevent evaporation and the inner walls were carefully rinsed with the 1 ml of ethanol.

Two 300 ul aliquots were taken from each scintillation vial by means of an Eppendorf pipette (Brickman Instruments, Inc., Westbury, N.Y.) and added to duplicate disposable tubes (12 x 75 mm). The contents in the tubes were dried under a stream of nitrogen, and the scintillation vials were air dried for recovery. These recovery vials were used

to determine the procedural losses. The amount of  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone for recovery extracted from the serum samples was determined as follows:

$$\text{Recovery} = \frac{\text{cpm of recovery vials}}{(\text{cpm of total count vials})} \times 0.4$$

This value was used to later correct the amount of progesterone obtained from the unknowns in each extraction.

Duplicate standard curves were prepared by using a Micromedic Automatic Pipetor (Model 25004, Micromedic Systems, Inc., Horsham, PA) to aliquot 100 ul of each standard concentration to corresponding tubes. Each 100 ul aliquot was flushed from the pipetor with 200 ul of double distilled ethanol. Each standard curve was arranged before and after sample assay tubes. The unknown samples, lab standards, water blank, and standard curves were evaporated under nitrogen in a 45C sand bath.

Two-hundred ul of anti-progesterone anti-serum were added to each tube and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. After incubation, 200 ul of  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone for assay were added to each tube. The tubes were gently mixed, and incubated at 4C for 14 to 16 hours. Following the incubation period 100 ul of cold buffer B, 1 ml of cold buffer A+ charcoal were added to all tubes to remove the unbound  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone. The samples were then quickly mixed by shaking the racks, and incubated at 4C for 10 min, then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min in a refrigerated centrifuge. Three-hundred ul of the supernatant were transferred into counting vials. Fifteen ml of Bray's

solution were added to all counting vials which included the four total count vials for recovery, the recovery vials, the standard curve vials, the serum sample vials and the four empty vials (background). Counts per minute were determined during a 5 min counting interval in a Beckman liquid scintillation LS-100 system (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Salt Lake City, Utah). Total count samples were included to determine the amount of  $^3\text{H}$ -progesterone added to each tube. These samples contained ethanol, anti-progesterone, tritiated progesterone for assay, and buffer A which was used instead of the buffer A+ charcoal suspension.

The raw data (cpm) from the counter printout tape was first corrected for background by subtracting the average background cpm from each value. The average percent bound for each standard point was calculated and plotted against the corresponding picogram level. By comparing the average standard curve to the percent bound values obtained from each assay tube, a value was obtained which was expressed in picograms per 0.3 ml of unknown serum. This value was then corrected by dividing it into the percent recovery for that sample to adjust for procedural losses. This particular value, corrected for recovery, was then corrected again by multiplying a factor of 6.66, because only 0.5 ml of serum was extracted, and only 0.3 ml of supernatant from each tube was counted. The final correction was done to express the answer as picogram per ml of serum.

Analysis of data. Least squares analysis of variance (Harvey, 1968) were conducted at the MSU Computing Center to analyze all data. Comparison of the mean peak serum LH concentrations were made by Student's t-test. Correlation coefficient was found by using Corrx program in Ministat modified by Dr. R. E. Lund, Department of Mathematics, Montana State University.




Figure 1. Elution diagram of iodination reaction mixture from a 1x30 cm column of Bio-Gel P-60.




Figure 2. LH standard curve (NIH-LH-B7).

## RESULTS

### Serum LH Levels

Least squares LH means for treated and control cows are illustrated in figure 3 and table 5. Administration of synthetic GnRH resulted in an increase in serum LH concentration. Serum LH concentrations peaked between 30 and 60 min following treatments 1, 2, 3, 4, 13, 37 and 38 and dropped down at 90 and 120 min post-treatment. However, following treatments 5 and 25, serum LH concentrations peaked at 90 min and dropped down at 120 minutes. The mean peak LH concentration of treated animals ( $7.23 \pm 0.36$  ng/ml) was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than the mean serum LH level of the control animals ( $3.18 \pm 0.10$  ng/ml, table 5). The time for maximum LH response was found to be 150 minutes. The LH responses were greater ( $P < 0.01$ ) following treatments 2 and 3 ( $18.88 \pm 1.43$  and  $12.70 \pm 1.43$  ng/ml, respectively) compared with the mean serum LH level of the control animals ( $3.18 \pm 0.10$  ng/ml). Twenty-eight hr post-progesterone implant removal, 500 ug of GnRH infusion (treatment 38) resulted in another increase in serum LH ( $7.72 \pm 0.60$  ng/ml). The mean peak LH concentration after treatment 38 was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than the mean serum LH level of the control animals ( $3.18 \pm 0.10$  ng/ml). The three control cows exhibited no significant changes in serum LH from the acidified saline infusions.

Serum LH levels in the three treated cows increased ( $P < 0.10$ ) cubically in response to GnRH infusions (table 6). A highly significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) difference of serum LH level between trials of treated cows was observed.

TABLE 5. LEAST SQUARES LH AND PROGESTERONE MEANS FOR PROGESTERONE-IMPLANTED GnRH-INFUSED AND ACIDIFIED SALINE-INFUSED 15-16 DAYS POSTPARTUM COWS

Serum hormone	Treatment	
	GnRH <sup>a</sup>	Saline
LH <sup>b</sup>	7.23±0.36*	3.18±0.10
Progesterone <sup>c</sup>	0.85±0.052*	0.25±0.017

a Pure synthetic GnRH (Lot# 26-307-AL, donated by Abbott Laboratories).

b NIH-LH-B7.

c Chromatographic grade, Sigma Chemical Company.

\* Different from control saline-infused ( $P < 0.05$ ).

TABLE 6. LEAST SQUARES ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF SERUM LH LEVELS FOR PROGESTERONE-IMPLANTED GnRH-INFUSED 15-16 DAYS POSTPARTUM COWS

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	F
Total	96		
Total reduction	34	113.13	9.061
MU-Y	1	1718.06	137.383
Tril	2	330.38	26.419*
Time	31	47.54	3.802*
Line	1	252.68	20.205*
Quad	1	230.47	18.430*
Cubi	1	41.31	3.304**
Quar	1	3.33	.267
Quin	1	12.05	.964
Residual	26	35.92	2.873
Remainder	62	12.51	

\*  $P < 0.001$ .

\*\*  $P < 0.10$ .

LH levels for individual trials are illustrated in figures 4, 5 and 6. The maximum LH response to GnRH in cows 33 and 623 was 22.2 and 30.24 ng/ml, respectively, following treatment 2. The time for this maximum LH response was found to be 180 and 150 min for cows 33 and 623, respectively. However, one of the treated cows (cow 125) did not respond to GnRH infusions during the period of 37 treatments (figure 5). The mean serum LH level of cow 125 ( $3.70 \pm 0.63$  ng/ml) was not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ) from the mean serum LH level of the control cows ( $3.18 \pm 0.10$  ng/ml). Following treatment 38 (500 ug of GnRH), a slight LH increase was observed in all three treated cows. The progesterone implant was removed 28 hr before treatment 38. Periodic fluctuations in serum LH levels of the control cows were also observed during this experiment (figures 4, 5 and 6). Blood samples from one of the control cows (cow 609) could not be collected after treatment 37 due to loss of catheter.

#### Effect of Progesterone Treatment

The least squares LH and progesterone means for treated and control cows are illustrated in figures 7 and 8, respectively, and in table 5. Serum progesterone levels in treated cows with progesterone implant ( $0.85 \pm 0.052$  ng/ml) was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than that of control cows ( $0.25 \pm 0.017$  ng/ml) which received acidified saline infusion and no progesterone implant. Progesterone release from the implant remained at a stable level (table 7). Within treatment correlations between serum LH and progesterone levels in treated cows were calculated. LH and progesterone

were not correlated significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) during the 37 treatments ( $r = 0.064$ ). LH and progesterone were not correlated significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) during treatments 1 to 5 ( $r = 0.18$ ). Following treatment 2, the mean peak serum LH concentration ( $18.88 \pm 1.43$  ng/ml) was higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) than the mean peak serum LH concentration after treatment 38 ( $7.72 \pm 0.60$  ng/ml). Three control cows exhibited no significant change in serum LH or progesterone concentrations during the entire infusion period (figure 8).

TABLE 7. LEAST SQUARES ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF SERUM PROGESTERONE LEVELS FOR PROGESTERONE-IMPLANTED GnRH-INFUSED 15-16 DAYS POSTPARTUM COWS

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	F
Total	96		
Total reduction	34	1.05	3.980
MU-Y	1	11.81	44.780
Tril	2	7.14	27.091*
Time	31	.30	1.173
Remainder	62	.26	

\*  $P < 0.01$ .

Progesterone and LH levels for individual cows are illustrated in figures 9 to 14. The mean serum progesterone level in cow 623 ( $1.40 \pm 0.091$  ng/ml, figure 13) was significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than the mean serum progesterone level for all treated cows ( $0.85 \pm 0.052$  ng/ml).

The release rate of progesterone from the implant is listed in table 8. The release rate of progesterone from the implant on cow 623 was greater than that on cows 33 and 125.

TABLE 8. RELEASE RATE OF PROGESTERONE FROM THE IMPLANT

<u>Cow No.</u>	<u>Release rate (ug/hr)</u>
33	539
125	509
609	1145

Ovulation Response

On the basis of rectal palpation, the ovarian changes for treated and control cows in each trial during this experimental period are summarized in appendix tables 9 to 11. Ovarian follicular development in the three treated cows was observed. No ovulation was detected in any of the treated or control animals. Cow 33 seemed to have ovulated after treatment 38, but no corpus luteum was found when abdominal surgery was conducted between 35 and 40 days postpartum.




Figure 3. Least squares LH means for 104 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused (treated) and acidified saline-infused (control) 15-16 days postpartum cows. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline or 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1).





Figure 4. LH levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused (treated) and acidified saline-infused (control) 15 days postpartum cows 33 and 929. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline or 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0 = Treatment 1).



-47-

Figure 5. LH levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused (treated) and acidified saline-infused (control) 16 days postpartum cows 125 and 018. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline or 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2- hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0 = Treatment 1).




Figure 6. LH levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused (treated) and acidified saline-infused (control) 16 days postpartum cows 623 and 609. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline or 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0 = Treatment 1).




Figure 7. Least squares LH and progesterone means for 104 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused 15-16 days postpartum cows. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0 = Treatment 1).




Figure 8. Least squares LH and progesterone means for 104 hr for acidified saline-infused 15-16 days postpartum cows. One ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr and 10 ml at treatment 38 (Hour 0=Treatment 1).




Figure 9. LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused 15 days postpartum cow 33. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1).




Figure 10. LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for acidified saline-infused 15 days postpartum cow 929. One ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr and 10 ml at treatment 38 (Hour 0 = Treatment 1).




Figure 11. LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused 16 days postpartum cow 125. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0 = Treatment 1).




Figure 12. LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for acidified saline-infused 16 days postpartum cow 018. One ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr. intervals over a period of 72 hr and 10 ml at treatment 38 (Hour 0 = Treatment 1).




Figure 13. LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for progesterone-implanted GnRH-infused 16 days postpartum cow 623. Fifty ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr. (Hour 0=Treatment 1).




Figure 14. LH and progesterone levels for 104.5 hr for acidified saline-infused 16 days postpartum cow 609. One ml of acidified saline per treatment at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr and 10 ml at treatment 38 (Hour 0 = Treatment 1).  
 \* Loss of catheter.

## DISCUSSION

### Serum LH Levels

The present investigations demonstrate the ability of the pituitary gland in the postpartum cow to respond to repeated stimulation with exogenous synthetic GnRH by releasing LH into the circulation. A rapid increase from about 3 to 19 ng-LH/ml was observed in response to the first and the second GnRH infusions. Thereafter, the LH response to subsequent treatment with GnRH was decreased and then remained consistently low. A significant rise in serum LH mean values was found when 500 ug of GnRH was administered 28 hr post-progesterone implant removal. Chronic GnRH infusion was not able to sustain an elevated level of serum LH over a treatment period of 72 hr. These results suggest that the significantly lower release of LH from treatments 3 to 38 may be due to a decrease in stored pituitary LH. Once this store of LH was depleted, the amount of LH released was related to the synthesis of this hormone in a two-hour period between successive infusions. This two-hour period may be too short for the pituitary to synthesize greater amounts of LH in response to GnRH infusion. It is possible that 28 hr after progesterone implant removal the pituitary may have synthesized more LH and responded more positively to GnRH infusion.

The present study indicates that repeated infusions with GnRH were able to maintain an elevated level of serum LH over a period of 10 hr from treatments 1 through 5. Infusion of 1.5 ug/hr of GnRH for 3 hr into the carotid artery of the anestrous ewe was unable to maintain an elevated level of serum LH concentration beyond a period of 5 hr

(Cumming et al., 1972). Piper et al. (1973) reported that ovariectomized ewes infused with GnRH at the rate of 10 ug/hr for a period of 20 hr reached a peak of serum LH at 1.5 hr and decreased to pre-infusion level by 12 hr after the start of infusion. Continuous infusion with synthetic GnRH at the rate of 2.3 ug/hr into the cannulated jugular vein of the anestrous ewes for a period of 24 hr induced a peak serum LH level at 3 hr and decreased to pre-treatment LH concentration by 16 hr (Chakraborty et al., 1974). The findings of the present investigations support these observations. Because of a lack of sustained serum LH response to infusion with GnRH, Cumming et al. (1972) suggested an exhaustion of pituitary LH content as the reason for the failure to maintain serum LH concentration preceding the cessation of GnRH infusion. Piper et al. (1973) have also suggested a direct relationship between pituitary LH concentration and the degree of serum LH response to infusion with GnRH. Chakraborty et al. (1974) found a fourfold reduction in both pituitary LH content ( $116.8 \pm 23.7$  vs  $366.3 \pm 88.5$  ug/pit.) and concentration ( $.39 \pm .1$  vs  $1.5 \pm .3$  ug/mg) in animals infused with the synthetic GnRH compared to acidified saline infused animals. In the present study, a lack of sustained serum LH response to chronic infusion with synthetic GnRH was observed. This finding strongly suggests that chronic infusion with GnRH may induce a change in pituitary responsiveness. The nature of this change in pituitary responsiveness needs elucidating.

A pre-ovulatory peak serum LH concentrations ranging from 7-50 ng/ml (Snook, Saatman and Hansel, 1971) and 19-35 ng/ml (Swanson and Hafs, 1971) in heifers and 18-86 ng/ml (Christensen, Wiltbank and Hopwood, 1971) in beef cows have been reported. In the present study, synthetic GnRH elicited a serum LH response which is comparable to the previously reported pre-ovulatory surges of serum LH in heifers or in beef cows. The maximum LH response to GnRH for cows 33 and 623 was 22.2 and 30.24 ng/ml, respectively. The time for this maximum response was found to be 180 and 150 min for cows 33 and 623, respectively. It appears that peak LH response occurred earlier and was higher than that reported by Britt et al. (1974) for postpartum lactating cows which were implanted with a capsule containing 100 ug of GnRH in the ear, suggesting that the intravenous infusion was more effective in causing release of LH from the pituitary.

The control cows exhibited fluctuations in basal LH levels ( $3.18 \pm 0.10$  ng/ml) which appear to be as high as previously reported basal LH levels (2-4 ng/ml) during the luteal phase in heifers (Snook, Saatman and Hansel, 1971) or higher than reported serum LH levels (unmeasurable) during the postpartum interval (Ingalls, Hafs and Oxender, 1971) or those (1.4 ng/ml) for heifers (Swanson and Hafs, 1971). An unchanged serum LH during 6 hr after treatment of 10 cows with acidified saline has been reported (Britt, Kittok and Harrison, 1974) which is comparable to the present findings.

A highly significant difference ( $P < 0.001$ ) of serum LH level between trials of treated cows was observed. This difference was probably due to the animal (cow 125) which did not respond to GnRH infusion during treatments 1 to 37, but responded to 500 ug of GnRH infusion (treatment 38) with a slight increase in serum LH. Zolman et al. (1973) found a quadratic increase in release of LH in heifers when different doses (5, 20 and 80 ug) of synthetic GnRH were administered and a plateau in the LH response at 80 ug GnRH. It is possible that the threshold level of pituitary responsiveness to GnRH is higher in cow 125 than in cows 33 and 623. In cow 125, a submaximal dose (50 ug) of GnRH per infusion may be under threshold level during this treatment period of 72 hours. Therefore, no LH response to treatment with GnRH was observed. However, a dose of 500 ug GnRH may be above the threshold level and the pituitary responded with an increased release of LH. Another possible explanation may be that the biological activity of GnRH was decreased when the dissolved GnRH was accidentally frozen before the treatment period for cow 125. If the freezing reduced the biological activity of the GnRH, the activity remaining in the original 50 ug dose may have been below the threshold level for cow 125. However, the activity left in the 500 ug dose was sufficient to initiate some LH release in response to treatment 38.

#### Effect of Progesterone Implant

Results of the progesterone implant on the serum LH concentration in response to the synthetic GnRH are difficult to interpret. Arimura

and Schally (1970) found that a dose of 25 mg progesterone suppressed the LH release induced by exogenous LH-RH. In the experiments of Hilliard et al. (1971), intrapituitary infusion of 2 mg progesterone blocked serum LH response to GnRH in the rabbit. This blocking effect of progesterone was not observed in the present study in postpartum cows under the experimental conditions used. Serum progesterone levels in treated cows with progesterone implant ( $0.85 \pm 0.052$  ng/ml) were higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than that of control cows ( $0.25 \pm 0.017$  ng/ml) which received acidified saline infusions and no progesterone implant. It appears that progesterone was released from the implant. It therefore appears that the present release rate of the progesterone implant was not effective in preventing GnRH-induced pituitary LH release. These results are in conflict with those of Arimura and Schally (1970) and Hilliard et al. (1971). The difference may be a question of dosage. In the rat, 25 mg of progesterone suppressed the action of GnRH, but this result indicated little about the physiological role of progesterone. Hilliard et al. (1971) injected progesterone directly into the pituitary in the rabbit and it is difficult to relate the progesterone concentration in the cells of the pituitary with its concentration in the normal luteal phase in cycling rabbit. Because of a lack of blocking the LH release evoked by GnRH, Cumming et al. (1972) suggested a pharmacological rather than a physiological action of progesterone with data regarding pre-treatment with intravenous progesterone (500 ug/hr for 24 hr) followed by GnRH infusion (1.5 ug/hr for 3 hr) in the

anestrous ewe. Debeljuk et al. (1972) reported the result that pre-treatment of intact diestrous rats or anestrous ewes with progesterone alone failed to show any inhibitory effect on LH release induced by GnRH. It has been suggested that short-term exposure to progesterone may not decrease the LH response to GnRH, while long-term exposure to progesterone may be inhibitory as indicated by decreasing LH response to GnRH (1.5 ug/hr for 5 hr) with advancing stages of pregnancy in the ewe (Chamley et al., 1974). The data in the experiments of Arimura and Schally (1970) and Hilliard et al. (1971) suggest that the suppressive effect of progesterone on the GnRH induced LH release response depends on a quantitative relationship between the dose of progesterone and the dose of GnRH administered, and the dose of GnRH is a very important factor in evaluating the suppressive activity of progesterone (Debeljuk et al., 1972). In the present study, samples of serum taken from the cows given progesterone implant indicated a lower level of circulating progesterone (<1 ng/ml) than in normal luteal phase beef cows (1.2-8.2 ng/ml, Henricks et al., 1972). It seems that long-term exposure to a low concentration of serum progesterone was not able to suppress the effect of chronic infusion of 50 ug GnRH on the cow pituitary gland at 2 hr intervals over a period of 72 hours. Progesterone may be capable of blocking the LH release induced by GnRH, but the present study suggests that the suppressive action is probably effective when a lower dose than 50 ug of GnRH is administered, or a higher serum progesterone.

level resulting from progesterone implant could be maintained. There was a progressive decrease in the LH response of the maternal pituitary to GnRH as pregnancy continued, and this responsiveness has not fully recovered by six weeks after delivery in the ewe (Chamley et al., 1974). However, this observation was not obtained in postpartum beef cow in the present study. A highly significant LH release response to the synthetic GnRH was observed at about three weeks postpartum in the beef cow. This result may mean that pituitary refractoriness due to continuing progesterone secretion takes more time to develop in the ewe than in the beef cow. Redding et al. (1972) found a significant incorporation of radioactivity into the LH found in the incubation medium when rat anterior pituitary tissue was incubated with tritiated glucosamine in the presence of synthetic GnRH. They suggested a de novo synthesis of LH in tissue stimulated with GnRH. They also found a significant influence of GnRH on the release of LH in rat anterior pituitary cultures. Therefore, if progesterone has no blocking effect on the release of LH induced by GnRH as found in the present study, another alternative proposal would suggest that progesterone may have a blocking effect on the synthesis of LH in the anterior pituitary gland. During the treatment period of 72 hr with GnRH, the treated animals received progesterone implant and progesterone was released from the implant. If the biosynthetic mechanisms of the cell in the pituitary evoked by GnRH were blocked by progesterone, the amount of LH released following successive infusions would be related to the

amount of LH stored in the pituitary. Once repeated infusions with GnRH continued over a period of 10 hr from treatments 1 through 5, stored pituitary LH could be almost completely released. After Treatment 5, there would be no more stored LH which could respond to GnRH infusion. However, a basal tonic secretion of LH might still be maintained. When the progesterone implant was removed, pituitary LH biosynthetic mechanisms under the influence of GnRH may be enhanced. Therefore, 500 ug of GnRH could stimulate both synthesis and release of LH. However, the blocking effect of progesterone is not clear at the present time.

Serum progesterone levels in saline control cows ( $0.25 \pm 0.017$  ng/ml) were lower than in GnRH treated cows ( $0.85 \pm 0.052$  ng/ml). The difference may be due to the absence of a progesterone implant in the control cows. The level of progesterone found in the controls is comparable to that found in postpartum cows (from nondetectable to 5.6 ng/ml) by Henricks et al. (1972). No progressive rise in progesterone was observed, indicating that no silent estrus occurred.

#### Ovulation Response

Ovarian activity as determined by rectal palpation was increased in two of the three treated animals, however, none of these animals ovulated in response to the 500 ug GnRH treatment (treatment 38). It has been noted that the development of follicles to approximately mature size occurs at 21 days postpartum in the dairy cow (Labhsetwar et al.,

1964). The renewed follicular development after parturition is thought to be due to release of FSH, and the induction of ovulation by an acute release of LH (Casida, 1968). One possibility that may account for the lack of ovulation in response to the GnRH treatment is that the follicle did not develop to mature size. This slow follicular development may be due to low amounts of FSH released from the pituitary or less-responsiveness of the ovary to FSH during this period of the experiment. Another possibility is that the follicle developed to mature size after the LH release prior to treatment 5. Therefore, the lack of an ovulation was due to insufficient LH at the time the follicle was mature. It is evident that synthetic GnRH can induce a release of LH comparable to the pre-ovulatory surge of LH in the heifers (Snook et al., 1971). These alternatives make a clear conclusion difficult. It may be concluded that treatment with GnRH in a slow releasing medium (Golter et al., 1973) may not be any more effective than acute treatment to induce gonadotrophin release which can change ovarian morphology and induce ovulation. The failure to maintain a higher than basal level of serum LH by chronic infusion of GnRH may not be compatible with the normal physiological secretory pattern of the endogenous releasing hormone. However, this does not exclude the possibility the prolonged treatment with synthetic GnRH may stimulate ovarian follicular development and induce ovulation.

Synthetic GnRH has been shown to induce pituitary release of LH and FSH in domestic animals (Reeves et al., 1972; Chakraborty et al., 1973; Golter et al., 1973). Zolman, Convey and Britt (1974) reported that the LH response to GnRH was related to serum estrogen concentration. The high levels of prolactin in early lactation were thought to be related to postpartum anestrus (Han, 1973). Considerable evidence exists for a reciprocal relationship of hypothalamic control of gonadotrophin and prolactin secretion. Further work is needed to investigate FSH, prolactin and estrogen levels in the present serum samples.

## SUMMARY

Angus, Hereford and Hereford x Holstein crossbred 15-16 days post-partum cows were used to test the effect of a progesterone implant and repeated infusion of synthetic gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) on ovarian follicular changes and serum LH and progesterone concentrations. Three cows were treated with 50 ug GnRH in 1 ml of acidified saline at 2-hr intervals over a period of 72 hr for 37 treatments via indwelling jugular catheters. These three cows were implanted with a removable subcutaneous silastic progesterone implant six days before the initiation of GnRH infusion until 2 hr after treatment 37. Thirty hr post-treatment 37, the three treated cows received 500 ug of GnRH (treatment 38). Three control cows were infused 1 ml of acidified saline at the same time interval and received no progesterone implant. Blood samples were collected via jugular catheters, at 30, 60, 90 and 120 min following treatments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 13, 25, 37 and 38. These samples were centrifuged and the serum was frozen until assayed. The blood samples from one cow could not be collected after treatment 37 due to loss of catheter. Radioimmunoassays were used to quantitate both serum LH and progesterone concentrations. Ovarian characteristics were also studied. Data were analyzed by the method of least squares.

Serum LH concentrations peaked between 30 and 60 min following treatments 1, 2, 3, 4, 13, 37 and 38 and dropped down at 120 minutes. Following treatments 5 and 25, serum LH concentrations peaked at 90 min and dropped down at 120 minutes. The mean peak LH concentration of

treated cows was  $7.23 \pm 1.36$  ng/ml compared with the mean basal level of  $3.18 \pm 0.10$  ng/ml for control cows. The time for maximum LH response was found to be 150 min from the start of the experiment. The LH responses were significantly greater ( $P < 0.01$ ) following treatments 2 and 3 ( $18.88$  and  $12.70$  ng/ml) compared with the mean serum LH concentration ( $3.18$  ng/ml) of the control cows. Following treatment 38 (500 ug of GnRH), a slight LH increase was observed in all three treated cows. One of the treated cows did not respond to GnRH infusion during treatments 1 to 37, but responded to 500 ug of GnRH infusion (treatment 38). LH release appeared ( $P < 0.10$ ) to be cubically in response to GnRH infusions.

Least squares progesterone mean ( $P < 0.05$ ) in treated cows with progesterone implant was  $0.85 \pm 0.052$  ng/ml compared with the mean of  $0.25 \pm 0.017$  ng/ml for control cows which received acidified saline infusions and no progesterone implant. LH and progesterone were not correlated significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) during the 37 treatments ( $r = 0.064$ ). LH and progesterone were not correlated significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) during treatments 1 to 5 ( $r = 0.18$ ). Following treatment 2, the mean peak serum LH concentration ( $18.88 \pm 1.43$  ng/ml) was higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) than the mean peak serum LH concentration after treatment 38 ( $7.72 \pm 0.60$  ng/ml). The mean serum progesterone level in one of the treated cows was  $1.43 \pm 0.091$  ng/ml compared with the mean serum progesterone level of  $0.85 \pm 0.052$  ng/ml for all treated cows. Ovarian activity as determined by rectal palpation was increased in two of the three treated cows. None of the three treated

cows ovulated in response to the 500 ug GnRH treatment (treatment 38).

Chronic GnRH infusion was not able to sustain an elevated serum LH concentration over a treatment period of 72 hr in this study. It has been suggested that exhaustion of pituitary LH content would explain these results. Long-term exposure to a low concentration of serum progesterone was not able to suppress the effect of repeated infusion of 50 ug GnRH on pituitary release of LH for the first 10 hr of the experiment. Ovulation failure in treated cows may have been due to an asynchronous condition between mature follicles and LH levels. Treated cows may have failed to ovulate early in the experiment (treatments (1-4) because the follicles were immature when LH levels were high where the reverse of this condition may have been the case after treatment 38.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX TABLE 9. OVARIAN CHANGES DURING EXPERIMENTAL PERIOD IN TRIAL 1.

Date and time of examination 1974	Cow 33 (treated)		Cow 929 (control)	
	Right ovary	Left ovary	Right ovary	Left ovary
March 28, 4:30pm *	Medium (Med) large, in- active	Med large, inactive	Medium, in- active	Small, in- active
April 2, 12:00pm	Medium, active	Medium, active	Med small, slightly ac- tive	Small, in- active
April 2, 2:00pm	Initiating treatment 1			
April 4, 2:00pm	Medium, active	Med large, active	Medium, in- active	Medium, in- active
April 5, 2:00pm	Medium, active	Med large, very active, with app. 10 mm follicle	Med small, inactive	Med small, slightly active
April 6, 12:00pm	Initiating treatment 37			
April 6, 2:00pm **	Med small, active	Medium, very active, with app. 15 mm follicle, good mucus flow (clear estrus type)	Med small, active	Med small, slightly active
April 7, 6:00pm	Initiating treatment 38			
April 7, 8:00pm	Med large, active	Med large, very active, with app. 15 mm follicle, no mucus	Med small, active	Med small, inactive

APPENDIX TABLE 9. (CONTINUED)

Date and time of examination 1974	Cow 33 (treated)		Cow 929 (control)	
	Right ovary	Left ovary	Right ovary	Left ovary
April 8, 10:00am	Med large, active	Large, very active, with app. 15-20 mm follicle	Medium, active	Medium, active
April 8, 6:00pm	Med large, active	Medium, very active, seemed to have ovu- lated	Medium, active	Medium, in- active
April 9, 10:00am	Med large, active	Med small, very active, small fol- licles, seemed to have ovulated	Med small, active	Med small, slightly active
April 10, 10:00am	Med large, active	Large, active	Med small, active	Med small, in- active
April 11, 2:00pm	Med large, active	Medium, active	Med small, slightly active	Medium, in- active
April 17, 11:00am	Med large, active	Med large, active, C.L., also inden- tations, lots of mucus (es- trus type)	Medium, slightly active	Med small, active
April 22, 12:00pm	*** Med large, very active, several small follicles	Med large, very active, several small follicles, no C.L.	Medium, very active, small fol- licles, old C.L. of preg- nancy (white)	Med large, very active, with 10-15 mm follicle

\* Progesterone implant was inserted.

\*\* Progesterone implant was removed after 2:00pm.

\*\*\* Abdominal surgery was performed to view ovaries.

APPENDIX TABLE 10. OVARIAN CHANGES DURING EXPERIMENTAL PERIOD IN TRIAL 2

Date and time of examination 1974	Cow 125 (treated)		Cow 018 (control)	
	Right ovary	Left ovary	Right ovary	Left ovary
April 17, 12:00pm *	Medium (Med) small, in- active	Small, in- active	Small, in- active	Med small, inactive
April 22, 12:00pm	Med small, inactive	Small, in- active	Small, in- active	Med small, inactive
April 23, 9:00am	Med small, inactive	Med small, inactive	Small, slightly active	Small, slightly active
April 23, 10:00am	Initiating treatment 1			
April 24, 12:00pm	Med small, slightly active	Medium, active	Small, slightly active	Small, slightly active
April 25, 12:00pm	Med small, slightly active	Med small, active	Small, in- active	Med small, slightly active
April 26, 10:00am	Initiating treatment 37			
April 26, 12:00pm **	Small, slightly active	Med small, active	Medium, active	Small, slightly active
April 27, 12:00pm	Med small, active	Medium active	Small, slightly active	Small, slightly active
April 27, 4:00pm	Initiating treatment 38			
April 28, 7:00pm	Med small, slightly active	Med large, active	Small, in- active	Med small, slightly active

APPENDIX TABLE 10. (CONTINUED)

Date and time of examination 1974	Cow 125 (treated)		Cow 018 (control)	
	Right ovary	Left ovary	Right ovary	Left ovary
April 29, 9:00am	Med large, active,	Med large, active	Medium, inactive	Small, in- active
April 30, 9:30am	Medium, active	Med large, active	Med small, inactive	Med small, active
May 6, 2:00pm	Med small, very active, with small follicles	Med small, very active, with 3 fol- licles (app. 5 mm), also small fol- licles	Medium very active, with 2 fol- licles (app. 7 mm).	Med small, very active with several follicles

\* Progesterone implant was inserted.

\*\* Progesterone implant was removed.

\*\*\* Abdominal surgery was performed to view ovaries.

APPENDIX TABLE 11. OVARIAN CHANGES DURING EXPERIMENTAL PERIOD IN TRIAL 3

Date and time of examination 1974	Cow 623 (treated)		Cow 609 (control)	
	Right ovary	Left ovary	Right ovary	Left ovary
May 7,* 2:00 pm	Medium (Med) small, active	Med small, inactive	Med small, inactive	Small inactive
May 9, 2:00 pm	Med small, active	Med small, inactive	Med small, inactive	Small, inactive
May 12, 8:00 pm	Med small, inactive	Medium, slightly active	Medium, slightly active	Medium, slightly active
May 13, 10:00 am	Initiating treatment 1			
May 15, 2:00 pm	Medium, inactive	Med large, active	Med small, active	Medium, active
May 16** 9:30 am	Med small, slightly active	Med large, active	Med small, slightly active	Med small, slightly active
May 16, 10:00 am	Initiating treatment 37			
May 17, 4:00 pm	Initiating treatment 38			
May 18, 10:00 am	Med small, inactive	Medium, inactive	Med small, inactive	Medium, inactive
May 20, 1:00 pm	Small, slightly active	Med small, slightly active	Med small, inactive	Med small, inactive
May 23, 5:00 pm	Medium, inactive	Med small, inactive	Med small, inactive	Medium, inactive
May 30,*** 2:00 pm	Med large, very active, with 6 fol- licles	Med, very active, with 1 follicle	Med small, very active, with 2 small follicles	Med small, very active, with 4 small follicles

\* Progesterone implant was inserted.

\*\* Progesterone implant was removed.

\*\*\* Abdominal surgery was performed to view ovaries.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Abraham, G. E. 1969. Solid phase RIA of estradiol-17B. *J. Clin. Endocrinology* 29:866.
- Amoss, M. S., R. Burgus, R. Blackwell, W. Vale, R. Fellows and R. Guillemin. 1971. Purification, amino acid composition and N-terminus of the hypothalamic hormone releasing factor (LRF) of ovine origin. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 44:205.
- Arije, G. F., J. N. Wiltbank and M. L. Hopwood. 1971. Hormone levels in pre- and post-parturient beef cows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 33:247 (Abstr.).
- Arimura, A. and A. V. Schally. 1970. Progesterone suppression of LH-releasing hormone-induced stimulation of LH release in rats. *Endocrinol.* 87:653.
- Arimura, A., L. Debeljuk and A. V. Schally. 1972. Stimulation of FSH release *in vivo* by prolonged infusion of synthetic LH-RH. *Endocrinol.* 91:529.
- Arimura, A., L. Debeljuk, M. Shiino, E. G. Rennels and A. V. Schally. 1973. Follicular stimulation by chronic treatment with synthetic LH-releasing hormone in hypophysectomized female rats bearing pituitary grafts. *Endocrinol.* 92:1507.
- Arimura, A., M. Saito, Y. Yaoi, T. Kumasaka, H. Sato, T. Koyama, N. Nishi, A. J. Kastin and A. V. Schally. 1973. Comparison of the effects of subcutaneous and intravenous injection of synthetic LH-releasing hormone (LH-RH) on serum LH and FSH levels in men. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 36:385.
- Baba, Y., H. Matsuo and A. V. Schally. 1971. Structure of the porcine LH- and FSH-releasing hormone. II. Conformation of the proposed structure by conventional sequential analyses. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 44:459.
- Beck, T. W. and J. J. Reeves. 1973. Serum luteinizing hormone (LH) in ewes treated with various dosages of 17B-estradiol at three stages of the anestrus season. *J. Anim. Sci.* 37:566.
- Berson, S. A., R. S. Yalow, A. Banman, M. A. Rothschild and K. Newerly. 1956. Insulin-<sup>131</sup>I metabolism subjects: demonstration of insulin binding globulin in the circulation of insulin treated subjects. *J. Clin. Inves.* 35:170.

- Berson, S. A. and R. S. Yalow. 1964. The potential advantages of immunoassay over bioassay procedures include high sensitivity and specificity. In. The Hormones. Pincus, Thermann and Astwood, Academic Press, N.Y. 4:557.
- Bolt, D. J., H. E. Kelly and H. W. Hawk. 1971. Release of LH by estradiol in cycling ewes. *Biol. Reprod.* 4:35.
- Bowers, C. Y., B. L. Currie, K. N. G. Johansson and K. Folkers. 1973. Biological evidence that separate hypothalamic hormones release the follicle stimulating and lutenizing hormones. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 50:20.
- Britt, J. H., R. J. Kittock and D. S. Harrison. 1974. Ovulation, estrus and endocrine response after GnRH in early postpartum cows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 39:915.
- Brown, J. G., D. W. Peterson and W. D. Foote. 1972. Reproductive response of beef cows to exogenous progesterone progestogen, estrogen, and gonadotrophins at various stages postpartum. *J. Anim. Sci.* 35:362.
- Buch, L. H., H. L. Woehling and L. E. Casida. 1955. Postpartum estrus and involution of the uterus in an experimental herd of Holstein-Friesian cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 38:73.
- Campbell, H. J., G. Feuer and G.W. Harris. 1964. The effect of intrapituitary infusion of median eminence and other brain extracts on anterior pituitary gonadotrophic secretion. *J. Physiol.* 170:474.
- Casida, L. E. and W. C. Venjke. 1936. Observations on reproductive process in dairy cattle and their relation to breeding efficiency. *Proc. Am. Soc. Anim. Prod.* 29:221.
- Casida, L. E. and W. Wisnicky. 1950. Effects of diethylstilbestrol dipropionate upon postpartum changes in the cow. *J. Anim. Sci.* 9:238.
- Casida, L. E. 1968. The postpartum cow-A resume. In. Studies on the Postpartum Cow. *Wis. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull.* 270:48.
- Catt, K. J. 1967. Solid-phase RIA in ab-coated tubes. *Science* 158:1570.

- Chakraborty, P.K., J. J. Reeves, A. Arimura and A. V. Schally. 1973. Serum LH levels in prepubertal female pigs chronically treated with synthetic luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone/follicle stimulating hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH/FSH-RH). *Endocrinol.* 92:55.
- Chakraborty, P. K., T. E. Admas, G. K. Tarnavsky and J. J. Reeves. 1974. Serum and pituitary LH concentration in ewes infused with LH-RH/FSH-RH. *J. Anim. Sci.* 39:1150.
- Chamley, W. A., J. K. Findley, I. A. Cumming, J. M. Buckmaster and J. R. Goding. 1974. Effect of pregnancy on the LH response to synthetic gonadotrophin-releasing hormone in the ewe. *Endocrinol.* 94:291.
- Christensen, D. S., J. N. Wiltbank and M. L. Hopwood. 1971. Blood hormone levels during the bovine estrous cycle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 33:251. (Abstr.).
- Convey, E. M. 1973. Neuroendocrine relationships in farm animals; A review. *J. Anim. Sci.* 37:745.
- Cumming, I. A., J. M. Brown, M. A. deB. Blockey and J. R. Goding. 1971. Regulation of estrous cycle in the ewe. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 24:148.
- Cumming, I. A., J. M. Buckmaster, J. C. Cerini, M. E. Cerini, W. A. Chamley, J. K. Findlay and J. R. Goding. 1972. Effect of progesterone on the release of luteinizing hormone induced by a synthetic gonadotrophin-releasing factor in the ewe. *Neuroendocrinology* 10:338.
- Debeljuk, L., A. Arimura and A. V. Schally. 1972. Effect of estradiol and progesterone on the LH release induced by LH-releasing hormone (LH-RH) in intact diestrous rats and anestrus ewes. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* 139:774.
- Dhariwal, A. P.S., R. Nallar, M. Batt and S. M. McCann. 1965. Separation of follicle-stimulating hormone-releasing factor from luteinizing hormone-releasing factor. *Endocrinol.* 76:290.
- Domanski, E. and K. Kochman. 1968. Induction of ovulation in sheep by intra-adenohypophysial infusion of hypothalamic extracts. *J. Endocrinol.* 42:383.

- Evans, J. S. and M. B. Nikitovitch-Winter. 1969. Functional reactivation and cytological restoration of pituitary grafts by continuous local intravascular infusion of median eminence extracts. *Neuroendocrinology* 4:83.
- Fawcett, C. P., M. Reed, H. M. Charlton and G. W. Harris. 1967. The purification of luteinizing-hormone-releasing factor with some observations on its properties. *Biochem. J.* 106:229.
- Flerko, B. 1966. Control of gonadotrophin secretion in the female. In. Neuroendocrinology. Martini and Ganong, Academic Press, N.Y.
- Foote, W. D., E. R. Hanser and L. E. Casida. 1960. Influence of progesterone treatment on postpartum reproductive activity in beef cattle, *J. Anim. Sci.* 19:674.
- Foote, W. D. and J. E. Hunter. 1964. Postpartum intervals of beef cows treated with progesterone and estrogen. *J. Anim. Sci.* 23:517.
- Gay, V. L., G. D. Niswender and A. R. Midgley, Jr. 1970. Response of individual rats and sheep to one or more injections of hypothalamic extract as determined by radioimmunoassay of plasma LH. *Endocrinol.* 86:1305.
- Gay, V. L. 1972. The hypothalamus: physiology and clinical use of releasing factors. *Fert. Steriol.* 23:50.
- Gier, H. T. and G. B. Marion. 1968. Uterus of the cow after parturition: involution changes. *Amer. J. Vet. Res.* 29:83.
- Goding, J. R., J. K. Catt, J. M. Brown, C. C. Kalfenbach, I. A. Cumming and B. J. Mole. 1969. Radioimmunoassay for ovine luteinizing hormone. I. Secretion of luteinizing hormone during estrus and following estrogen administration in the sheep. *Endocrinol.* 85:133.
- Golter, T. D., J. J. Reeves, C. C. O'Mary, A. Arimura and A. V. Schally. 1973. Serum LH levels in bulls treated with synthetic luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone/follicle stimulating hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH/FSH-RHO). *J. Anim. Sci.* 37:123.
- Greenwood, F. C. and W. M. Hunter. 1963. The preparation of  $^{131}\text{I}$ -labeled human growth hormone of high specific radioactivity. *Biochem. J.* 89:114.
- Guillemin, R. 1964. Hypothalamic factors releasing pituitary hormones. *Rec. Prog. Hormone Res.* 20:89.

- Halasz, B., L. Pupp and S. Uhlarik. 1962. Hypophysiotropic area in the hypothalamus. *J. Endocrinol.* 25:147.
- Halasz, B. 1968. Endocrine function of hypothalamic islands. In. Proceedings of the 3rd International Congress on Endocrinology, Mexico City.
- Han, D. K. 1973. LH and prolactin levels in postpartum beef cows and prolactin levels in cyclic beef cows subjected to various mating stimuli. Master's Thesis, Montana State University.
- Harris, G. W. and H. J. Campbell. 1966. The regulation of the secretion of luteinizing hormone and ovulation. In. The Pituitary Gland. Harris and Donovan, Univ. of Calif. Press, Berkeley, CA. 2:99.
- Henricks, D. M., J. F. Dickey and G. D. Niswender. 1970. Serum luteinizing hormone and plasma progesterone levels during the estrous cycle and early pregnancy in cows. *Biol. Reprod.* 2:346.
- Henricks, D. M., J. F. Dickey, J. R. Hill and W. E. Johnston. 1972. Plasma estrogen and progesterone levels after mating and during late pregnancy and postpartum in cows. *Endocrinol.* 90:1336.
- Hilliard, J., A. V. Schally and C. H. Sawyer. 1971. Progesterone blockade of the ovulatory response to intrapituitary infusion of LH-RH in rabbits. *Endocrinol.* 88:730.
- Igarashi, M. and S. M. McCann. 1964. A hypothalamic follicle stimulating hormone-releasing factor. *Endocrinol.* 74:446.
- Igarashi, M., R. Nallar and S. M. McCann. 1964. Further studies on the follicle stimulating hormone-releasing fraction of hypothalamic extracts. *Endocrinol.* 75:901.
- Ingalls, W., H. D. Hafs and W. D. Oxender. 1971. Growth hormone, prolactin and luteinizing hormone in heifers before and after parturition. *J. Dairy Sci.* 54:768.
- Johansson, K. N. G., B. L. Currie, K. Folkers and C. Y. Bowers. 1973. Biosynthesis and evidence for the existence of the follicle stimulating hormone releasing hormone. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 50:8.

- Jonas, H. A., L. A. Salamonsen, H. G. Burger, W. A. Chamley, I. A. Cumming, J. K. Findley and J. R. Goding. 1973. Release of FSH after administration of gonadotrophin-releasing hormone or estradiol to the anestrous ewe. *Endocrinol.* 92:862.
- Kaltenbach, C. C., T. G. Dunn, T. E. Kiser, L. R. Corah, A. M. Akbar and G. D. Niswender. 1974. Release of FSH and LH in beef heifers by synthetic gonadotrophin-releasing hormone. *J. Anim. Sci.* 38:357.
- Kato, J. and C. A. Villet. 1967. Preferential uptake of estradiol by the anterior hypothalamus of the rat. *Endocrinol.* 80:567.
- Knobil, E. 1974. On the control of gonadotrophin secretion in the Rhesus monkey. *Rec. Prog. Hormone Res.* 30:1.
- Kuroshima, A., Y. Ishida, C. Y. Bowers and A. V. Schally. 1965. Stimulation of release of follicle-stimulating hormone by phytothalamic extracts in vitro and in vivo. *Endocrinol.* 76:614.
- Kuroshima, A., A. Arimura, T. Saito, Y. Ishida, C. Y. Bowers and A. V. Schally. 1966. Depletion of pituitary follicle-stimulating hormone by beef and pig hypothalamic extracts. *Endocrinol.* 78:1105.
- Labhsetwar, A. P., W. E. Collins, N. J. Tyler and L. E. Casida. 1964. Some pituitary-ovarian relationships in the periparturient cow. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 8:85.
- Louis, T. M., H. D. Hafs and B. E. Seguin. 1973. Progesterone, LH, estrus and ovulation after prostaglandin F<sub>2</sub> in heifers. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Méd.* 143:152.
- Maher, D. K., W. D. Foote and W. C. Foote. 1973. Hormone treatments in postpartum beef cows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 36:1205 (Abstr.).
- Matsuo, H., Y. Baba, R. M. G. Nair, A. Arimura and A. V. Schally. 1971a. Structure of the porcine LH- and FSH-releasing hormone. I. The proposed amino acid sequence. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 43:1334.
- Matsuo, H., A. Arimura, R. M. G. Nair and A. V. Schally. 1971b. Synthesis of the porcine LH- and FSH-releasing hormone by the solid-phase method. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 45:822.
- McCann, S. M. 1962. A hypothalamic luteinizing hormone releasing factor. *Am. J. Physiol.* 202:395.

- McCann, S. M. and J. C. Porter. 1969. Hypothalamic pituitary stimulating and inhibiting hormones. *Physiol. Rev.* 49:240.
- McCracken, J. A., D. T. Baird and J. R. Goding. 1971. Factors affecting the secretion of steroids from the transplanted ovary in the sheep. *Rec. Prog. Hormone Res.* 27:537.
- Menge, A. C., S. E. Mares, W. J. Tyler and L. E. Casida. 1962. Variation and association among postpartum reproduction and production characteristics in Holstein-Friesian cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 45:233.
- Midgley, A. R., Jr. 1966. Radioimmunoassay: A method for human chorionic gonadotrophin and human luteinizing hormone. *Endocrinol.* 79:10.
- Midgley, A. R., Jr., G. D. Niswender and J. Sci Ram. 1969. Hapten radioimmunoassay: A general procedure for estimation of steroid and other haptenic substances. *Steroids* 134:731.
- Midgley, A. R., Jr., G. D. Niswender, V. L. Gay and L. E. Reichert, Jr. 1971. Use of antibodies for characterization of gonadotrophins and steroids. *Rec. Prog. Hormone Res.* 27:235.
- Mittler, J. C. and J. Meites. 1964. In vitro stimulation of pituitary follicle-stimulating hormone release by hypothalamic extract. *Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med.* 117:309.
- Moore, N. W., S. Barrett, J. B. Brown, I. Schendler, M. A. Smith and B. Smyth. 1968. Oestrogen and progesterone content of ovarian vein blood of the ewe during the estrous cycle. *J. Endocrinol.* 44:55.
- Morrow, D. A., S. J. Roberts, McEntee and H. G. Groy. 1966. Postpartum ovarian activity and uterine involution in dairy cattle. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Ass.* 149:1596.
- Nikitovitch-Winer, M. B. 1962. Induction of ovulation in rats by direct intrapituitary infusion of median eminence extracts. *Endocrinol.* 70:350.
- Niswender, G. D., L. E. Teichert, Jr., A. R. Midgley, Jr. and A. V. Nobandov. 1969. Radioimmunoassay for bovine and ovine luteinizing hormone. *Endocrinol.* 84:1166.

- Obst, J. M., R. F. Seemark and J. M. Brown. 1971. Application of a competitive protein-binding assay for estrogens to the study of ovarian function in sheep. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 24:140.
- Olds, D. and D. M. Seath. 1953. Repeatability, heritability, and effect of level of milk production on the occurrence of first estrus after calving in dairy cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 12:10.
- Pfaff, D. W. 1968. Uptake of  $^3\text{H}$ -estradiol by the female rat brain. An autoradiographic study. *Endocrinol.* 82:1149.
- Piper, E. L., J. L. Perkins, D. R. Tugwell and W. G. Vaught. 1973. Effect of LRF infusions on LH secretion patterns. *J. Anim. Sci.* 37:324 (Abstr.).
- Quenedo, M. M., D. W. Peterson, D. W. Marble, W. D. Foote and A. F. Parlow. 1967. Bovine pituitary-ovarian-uterine relationships. *J. Anim. Sci.* 26:949 (Abstr.).
- Redding, T. W., A. V. Schally, A. Arimura and H. Matsuo. 1972. Stimulation of release and synthesis of luteinizing hormone (LH) and follicle stimulating hormone (FSH). *Endocrinol.* 90:764.
- Reeves, J. J., A. Arimura and A. V. Schally. 1970. Studies on dose response relationship of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH) in sheep. *J. Anim. Sci.* 31:933.
- Reeves, J. J., A. Arimura and A. V. Schally. 1971. Changes in pituitary responsiveness to luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH) in anestrus ewes pretreated with estradiol benzoate. *Biol. Reprod.* 4:88.
- Reeves, J. J., A. Arimura, A. V. Schally, C. L. Kragt, T. W. Beck and J. M. Casey. 1972. Effects of synthetic luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone/follicle stimulating hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH/FSH-RH) on serum LH, serum FSH and ovulation in anestrus ewes. *J. Anim. Sci.* 35:84.
- Reeves, J. J., T. W. Beck and T. M. Nett. 1974. Serum FSH in anestrus ewes treated with  $17\beta$ -estradiol. *J. Anim. Sci.* 38:374.
- Reeves, J. J., G. K. Tarnavsky and P. K. Chakraborty. 1974. Serum LH in ewes treated with synthetic luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone/follicle stimulating hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH/FSH-RH) at three periods of anestrus. *J. Anim. Sci.* 38:369.

- Rennels, E. G., E. M. Bogdanove, A. Arimura, M. Saito and A. V. Schally. 1971. Ultrastructural observations of rat pituitary gonadotrophins following injection of purified porcine LH-RH. *Endocrinol.* 88:1318.
- Saiduddin, S., J. W. Riesen, W. E. Granes, J. W. Tyler and L. E. Casida. 1966. Pituitary luteinizing hormone activity in the postpartum dairy cow. *J. Anim. Sci.* 25:930 (Abstr.).
- Saiduddin, S., M. M. Quenedo and W. D. Foote. 1968. Response of beef cows to exogenous progesterone and estradiol at various stages postpartum. *J. Anim. Sci.* 27:1015.
- Saito, T., A. Arimura, E. E. Muller, C. Y. Bowers and A. V. Schally. 1967. In vivo release of follicle stimulating hormone following administration of hypothalamic extracts in normal, castrated, and castrated testosterone-treated rats. *Endocrinol.* 80:313.
- Scaramuzzi, R. J., S. A. Tillson, I. H. Thorneycroft and B. V. Caldwell. 1971. Action of exogenous progesterone and estrogen on behavioural estrus and luteinizing hormone levels in the ovariectomized ewe. *Endocrinol.* 88:1184.
- Scaramuzzi, R. J., B. V. Valdwel and R. M. Moore. 1970. Radioimmunoassay of LH and estrogen during the estrous cycle of the ewe. *Biol. Reprod.* 3:110.
- Schally, A. V. and C. Y. Bowers. 1964. Purification of luteinizing hormone-releasing factor from bovine hypothalamus. *Endocrinol.* 75:608.
- Schally, A. V., A. Arimura, C. Y. Bowers, A. J. Kastin, S. Sawano and T. W. Redding. 1968. Hypothalamic neurohormones regulating anterior pituitary function. *Rec. Prog. Hormone Res.* 24:497.
- Schally, A. V., A. Arimura, Y. Baba, R. M. G. Nair, H. Matsuo, T. W. Redding, L. Debeljuk, W. F. White. 1971. Isolation and properties of the FSH and LH-releasing hormone. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 43:393.
- Schally, A. V., R. M. G. Nair, T. W. Redding and A. Arimura. 1971. Isolation of the luteinizing hormone and follicle stimulating hormone-releasing hormone from porcine hypothalamus. *J. Biol. Chem.* 246:7230.

- Schally, A. V., T. W. Redding, H. Matsuo and A. Arimura. 1972. Stimulation of FSH and LH release in vitro by natural and synthetic LH and FSH releasing hormone. *Endocrinol.* 90:1561.
- Schneider, H. P. G., D. B. Crighton and S. M. McCann. 1969. Supra-chiasmatic LH-releasing factor. *Neuroendocrinol.* 5:271.
- Shannon, F. P., G. W. Salisbury and N. L. Van Demark. 1952. The fertility of cows inseminated at various intervals after calving. *J. Anim. Sci.* 11:355.
- Snook, R. B., R. R. Saatman and W. Hansel. 1971. Serum progesterone and luteinizing hormone levels during the bovine estrous cycle. *Endocrinol.* 88:678.
- Swanson, L. V. and H. D. Hafs. 1971. LH and prolactin in blood serum from estrus to ovulation in Holstein heifers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 33:1038.
- Wagner, W. C. and W. Hansel. 1969. Reproductive physiology of the postpartum cow. I. Clinical findings and histological findings. *J. Reprod. Fert.* 18:493.
- Wagner, W. C., R. Saatman and W. Hansel. 1969. Reproductive physiology of the postpartum cow. II. Pituitary, adrenal and thyroid function. *J. Reprod. Fert.* 18:501.
- Wagner, W. C. and G. L. Oxenreider. 1971. Endocrine physiology following parturition. *J. Anim. Sci.* 32:1 (Suppl. 1).
- Watanabe, S. and S. M. McCann. 1968. Localization of FSH-releasing factors in the hypothalamus and neurohypophysis as determined by in vitro assay. *Endocrinol.* 82:664.
- Wettemann, R. P., H. D. Hafs, L. A. Edgerton and L. V. Swanson. 1972. Estradiol and progesterone in blood serum during the bovine estrous cycle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 34:1020.
- Wiltbank, J. N. 1958. The comparative reproductive performance of nursed and milked cows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 17:64.
- Wright, A. D. and K. W. Taylor. 1967. Immuno-assay of hormones. In. Hormones in Blood. Gray and Bacharach, Academic Press, N.Y. 1:24.

Ying, S. Y., V. S. Fang and R. D. Greep. 1971. Estradiol benzoate (EB)-induced changes in serum luteinizing hormone (LH) and follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) in immature female rats. *Fertil. and Steriol.* 22:799.

Zolman, J., E. M. Convey, J. H. Britt and H. D. Hafs. 1973. Release of bovine luteinizing hormone by purified porcine and synthetic gonadotrophin releasing hormone. *Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med.* 142:189.

Zolman, J., E. M. Convey and J. H. Britt. 1974. Relationships between the luteinizing hormone response to gonadotrophin releasing hormone and endogenous steroids. *J. Anim. Sci.* 39:355.

