



Physiologic responses to cycling with an Exo Powercam crank compared to a standard crank
by Esther Maureen Fishbaugh

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in
Physical Education

Montana State University

© Copyright by Esther Maureen Fishbaugh (1991)

Abstract:

The Exo Powercam (PC) crank purportedly allows a cyclist to pedal a bicycle at a slower cadence and larger gear than the standard crank (SC) without sacrificing power output. If this were true, physiologic responses in oxygen consumption and heart rate should decrease and/or performance should increase.

A two-part investigation was designed to test the performance of the PC against the SC. The first part, a series of three rides for each crank, tested whether performance had reached a steady state so that an accurate comparison could be made between crank mechanisms. Once a steady state was achieved, absolute oxygen uptake was compared between cranks for identical workloads.

The second part of the study measured performance between cranks in a time trial race. A transition to treadmill running immediately followed each time trial to simulate a triathlon switch from cycling to running.

The evaluation of the ride series showed no significant difference in rate of learning between the PC and the SC in either oxygen consumption ($P = 0.13$) or heart rate ($P = 0.49$). The absolute oxygen consumption of ride three demonstrated no significant difference between the cranks (mean oxygen uptake, SC = 2.27 l/min, PC = 2.26 l/min, $T = 0.3167$, $P = 0.76$).

Elapsed time for the time trial race was nearly identical between cranks (SC = 66.86 minutes, PC = 66.90 minutes, $T = -0.0421$, $P = 0.97$). Oxygen consumption, heart rate and relative perceived exertion also exhibited no significant difference at the $P < 0.05$ level, nor was the oxygen uptake for the treadmill runs significantly different. However, a subgroup of cyclists who were conditioned through running had faster elapsed time on the PC with less oxygen uptake (mean elapsed time, SC = 72.24 minutes, PC = 69.21 minutes; mean oxygen uptake, SC = 2.17 l/min, PC = 2.10 l/min).

While the PC did not exhibit improvement in the measured physiologic or performance parameters when compared to the SC, neither did it exhibit a decrease. However, runners did show improvement with the PC.

In light of its comparable performance to the SC, the PC deserves further study with more precise adjustments to the cam. Also, the PC should be tested with a larger group of runners.

PHYSIOLOGIC RESPONSES TO CYCLING WITH AN EXO POWERCAM
CRANK COMPARED TO A STANDARD CRANK

by

Esther Maureen Fishbaugh

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

in

Physical Education

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

March, 1991

COPYRIGHT

by

Esther Maureen Fishbaugh

1991

All Rights Reserved

N378
F5298

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Esther Maureen Fishbaugh

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

March 7, 1991
Date

R Schwarzlauf
Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

March 20, 1991
Date

[Signature]
Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

April 10, 1991
Date

Henry L. Parsons
Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgment of source is made.

Requests for permission for extended quotation from or reproduction of this thesis in whole or in parts may be granted by the copyright holder.

Signature Esther M. Fishbaugh

Date March 5, 1991

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my appreciation to Larry G. Brown and Houdaille Industries, Incorporated for their contribution of the two Exo Powercams, two Road Machine wind trainers and two Windtamer bicycle frames used in this experiment.

Special thanks also to Carol Bittinger, Dr. Robert Boik and Linda Steele for their many hours of effort to clarify statistics and graphs for me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
ABSTRACT.....	ix
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
Problem Statement.....	2
Hypothesis.....	2
Limitations.....	2
Delimitations.....	3
Definitions.....	3
2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE.....	7
Development of the Exo Powercam.....	7
Theoretical Validity of Claims.....	11
Cadence.....	11
Pedal Resistance.....	14
Blood Flow.....	15
Relative Perceived Exertion (RPE).....	18
Application of Theories.....	22
3. METHODS.....	24
Subjects.....	25
Equipment.....	25
Bicycle.....	25
Gas Analysis.....	27
Heart Rate.....	27
Treadmill.....	27
Procedures for Phase I.....	28
Pilot Test to Determine Workload.....	28
Test Protocol, Phase I.....	29
Statistical Design for Phase I.....	30
Procedures for Phase II.....	30
Pilot Test.....	30
Workload.....	31
Test Protocol, Phase II.....	31
Statistical Design for Phase II.....	32

TABLE OF CONTENTS--Continued

	Page
4. RESULTS.....	33
Introduction.....	33
Phase I, Learning Curve.....	34
Oxygen Consumption.....	34
Heart Rate.....	37
Gear Ratio.....	40
Phase II, Time Trial.....	41
Oxygen Consumption and Heart Rate.....	41
Relative Perceived Exertion.....	44
Elapsed Time.....	44
5. DISCUSSION.....	47
Introduction.....	47
Learning Time.....	47
Benefit for Triathletes.....	50
Improved Efficiency.....	50
Natural Human Motion.....	51
Misdirected Application of Forces.....	52
Cadence.....	52
6. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	56
Summary.....	56
Conclusions.....	57
Recommendations.....	58
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	59
APPENDICES.....	64
Appendix A-Sample Forms.....	65
Subject Questionnaire.....	66
Consent Form.....	67
Appendix B-Test Protocols.....	68
Phase I Protocol.....	69
Phase II Protocol.....	70
Gas Analysis Calculations.....	71

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Characteristics of subjects for Phase I testing.....	26
2	Characteristics of subjects for Phase II testing.....	26
3	Gear ratio (GR) and mean cadence used by each subject for each crank.....	29
4	Mean oxygen consumption (\pm SE) for each ride for each crank.....	35
5	Paired t analysis of mean oxygen consumption for ride three between the cranks.....	37
6	Mean HR (\pm SE) for each ride for each crank.....	38
7	Paired t analysis with correlations comparing mean oxygen consumption and mean heart rate (HR) between the 40K time trial for each crank and mean oxygen consumption for their corresponding treadmill run.....	41

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Exo Powercam crank designed and manufactured by Larry G. Brown.....	5
2	Comparison of improvement in means (\pm SE) for oxygen consumption between rides in a series.....	36
3	Comparison of improvement in heart rate (HR) means (\pm SE) for rides in a series (correction factor not added; see text, page 40).....	39
4	Comparison of means (\pm SD) for gear ratio between cranks for the ride series.....	42
5	Comparison of means (\pm SD) for oxygen consumption during the 40K time trials.....	42
6	Comparison of means (\pm SD) for heart rate (HR) during 40K time trials.....	43
7	Comparison of means (\pm SD) for oxygen consumption during the treadmill runs following the 40K time trials.....	43
8	Comparison of means (\pm SD) for relative perceived exertion (RPE) during 40K time trials.....	45
9	Comparison of means (\pm SD) for elapsed time for the 40K time trials.....	45
10	Comparison of means for elapsed time for the 40K time trials for athletes who trained by running only (n = 2).....	46
11	Comparison of means for oxygen consumption for the 40K time trials for athletes who trained by running only (n = 2).....	46

ABSTRACT

The Exo Powercam (PC) crank purportedly allows a cyclist to pedal a bicycle at a slower cadence and larger gear than the standard crank (SC) without sacrificing power output. If this were true, physiologic responses in oxygen consumption and heart rate should decrease and/or performance should increase.

A two-part investigation was designed to test the performance of the PC against the SC. The first part, a series of three rides for each crank, tested whether performance had reached a steady state so that an accurate comparison could be made between crank mechanisms. Once a steady state was achieved, absolute oxygen uptake was compared between cranks for identical workloads.

The second part of the study measured performance between cranks in a time trial race. A transition to treadmill running immediately followed each time trial to simulate a triathlon switch from cycling to running.

The evaluation of the ride series showed no significant difference in rate of learning between the PC and the SC in either oxygen consumption ($P = 0.13$) or heart rate ($P = 0.49$). The absolute oxygen consumption of ride three demonstrated no significant difference between the cranks (mean oxygen uptake, SC = 2.27 l/min, PC = 2.26 l/min, $T = 0.3167$, $P = 0.76$).

Elapsed time for the time trial race was nearly identical between cranks (SC = 66.86 minutes, PC = 66.90 minutes, $T = -0.0421$, $P = 0.97$). Oxygen consumption, heart rate and relative perceived exertion also exhibited no significant difference at the $P < 0.05$ level, nor was the oxygen uptake for the treadmill runs significantly different. However, a subgroup of cyclists who were conditioned through running had faster elapsed time on the PC with less oxygen uptake (mean elapsed time, SC = 72.24 minutes, PC = 69.21 minutes; mean oxygen uptake, SC = 2.17 l/min, PC = 2.10 l/min).

While the PC did not exhibit improvement in the measured physiologic or performance parameters when compared to the SC, neither did it exhibit a decrease. However, runners did show improvement with the PC.

In light of its comparable performance to the SC, the PC deserves further study with more precise adjustments to the cam. Also, the PC should be tested with a larger group of runners.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The development of the bicycle has been marked by innovation throughout its history. Many of these innovations have centered around the crank mechanism. One inventor modified the traditional continuous circular pedal motion to a discontinuous circular motion that the inventor claims is a more efficient use of leg force (Brown, 1984). The mechanical device, the elliptical cam crank named Exo Powercam (PC), purportedly reduces muscle fatigue through a decrease in pedal frequency relative to workload and therefore fosters increased endurance (Brown, 1984). The inventor has also claimed that the discontinuous rotational pattern of the legs more closely duplicates the motion of running or walking which allows for a more efficient transition to running after bicycling in triathlon races. Little research has been conducted to test these claims. This investigation explored the physiological responses of cycling with the Powercam in comparison to cycling with the standard crank (SC).

Problem Statement

1. Is there a learning effect over a series of rides using the SC or the PC and does learning occur at the same rate for each crank?
2. Do cyclists exhibit differences in oxygen consumption when performing identical workloads using the PC as compared to the SC?
3. Is there an improvement in performance for time trials with the PC as compared to the SC?
4. Is there increased efficiency in shifting from cycling to running when using the PC as compared to the SC?

Hypothesis

No significant differences exist between the crank mechanisms in learning efficient pedalling technique, in exhibited physiological responses, in time trial performance, or in efficiency in shifting to running from cycling.

Limitations

1. Subjects were volunteers who lived in the Bozeman area during 1985-86 and whose leg length fit the restricted range of adjustments on the bicycle used for testing.
2. Eleven subjects, six male, five female, completed the testing for Phase I to determine if a learning effect exists. Eight subjects, four male and four female,

completed the testing for Phase II, a simulated time trial.

3. Subjects represented three classes of bicycling experience: competitive, recreational, and novice.

Delimitations

1. Cyclists selected one hand position on the handlebars which was used throughout testing for that subject.
2. A Windtamer bicycle frame mounted on a Road Machine brand of resistance machine provided the workload.
3. Workload was measured using a Cateye Solar Cyclocomputer.
4. One tester took all physiologic and anthropometric measurements.
5. The elliptical cam of the PC crank was tested with its reference mark at top dead center only.
6. Phase I consisted of a series of three rides at identical workloads completed within a one week period for each crank mechanism.
7. Phase II was preceded by six hours of practice on the Powercam crank per manufacturer's recommendation (Brown, 1986).

Definitions

Cadence - the rate of pedalling, measured in revolutions per minute of one foot, e.g. pedal frequency or pedalling rate (Borysewicz, 1985).

Exo Powercam crank - (manufactured by Houdaille Industries, Incorporated) a crank mechanism that uses a cam with a modified elliptical shape fixed to the frame, a linkage mechanism (the oscillator) which is pinned to the crank engaging the chainwheel at its toothed upper end and riding on the cam with a roller on its lower end (Figure 1).

Experience level of cyclists - (for this study) competitive cyclist - cyclists having competitive experience at the state level; novice cyclist - a cyclist who has learned to ride a bicycle but does not ride regularly; recreational cyclist - a cyclist who regularly rides a bicycle or who has touring experience but does not engage in bicycling competition.

Gear ratio - the combination of gear and wheel diameter which will move the bicycle forward a specific number of inches per crank cycle (Sloane, 1970). Gear ratio is calculated by multiplying the ratio of chainwheel teeth divided by freewheel teeth with the wheel diameter.

Leg force magnitude - the instantaneous magnitude of the force generated by the muscle of the leg in overcoming a resistance (Brown, 1984).

Phase I - the portion of this study designed to determine increased efficiency over a series of rides on each crank mechanism and differences in learning rates and

CHIEFTAIN BOND

50% COTTON FIBER




Figure 1. Exo Powercam crank designed and manufactured by Larry G. Brown.

performance between the cranks as measured by oxygen consumption and heart rate (HR).

Phase II - the portion of this study designed to test physiologic responses and performance elicited by each crank mechanism during a 40K time trial followed by a transition to running.

Spinning - maintaining a uniform rotational pedal speed through 360 degrees of the crank cycle (Brown, 1984).

Standard crank - conventional crank mechanism that consists of a circular chainring with a crank arm rigidly attached.

Time trial - a race against the clock (Borysewicz, 1985).

Torque - something that produces or tends to produce rotation or torsion and whose effectiveness is measured by the product of the force and the perpendicular distance from the line of action of the force to the axis of rotation (Webster, 1969).

CHAPTER 2

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Development of the Exo Powercam

The Exo Powercam (PC) is one version of the original Facet Biocam which was the first marketable device of several prototypes designed by Larry G. Brown. The PC was developed by Brown, an MIT graduate in mechanical engineering, for the purpose of reducing leg stress during cycling (Brown, 1984). The intent of this invention was to reduce the peak leg force necessary to generate a given torque.

The PC, a two-ounce, metal alloy cam, attaches to the right-hand, bottom bracket of a bicycle. A crank is mounted on a spider bearing which allows the crank to move either forward or backward in relation to the chainwheel. The crank's movement in relation to the chainwheel is controlled by an oscillator that is tipped up or down as it rolls over the cam which is a modified ellipse (Figure 1). The overall effect of the mechanism is to retard the crank arm in relation to the chainwheel at the beginning of each work portion of the chainwheel's revolution, then to advance the crank arm in relation to the chainwheel throughout the work portion of the cycle. Brown describes the type of motion

used to pedal this mechanism as a "push-rest-push" motion that mimics walking or running more than the conventional crank (Brown, 1983).

Brown (1984) lists three inefficiencies related to the conventional crank which other researchers have also noted (Banister, Jackson, 1967; Böning, Gönen, Maassen, 1984; Coast, Welch, 1985; Seabury, Adams, Ramey, 1977). First, due to the increased pedal resistance of higher work loads, a cyclist on the conventional crank bicycle uses higher revolutions per minute (rpm) to optimize performance for higher work outputs. However, researchers have shown that more energy is expended in rotating the feet and legs rapidly as required by the higher rpms (Hagberg, Mullin, Giese, Spitznagel, 1981; Seabury et al., 1977). To address this inefficiency, Brown has designed the PC to allow a slower rpm at higher workloads while maintaining reduced pedal resistance.

Secondly, Brown contends the characteristic uniform rotational speed of the standard crank (SC), and, therefore, foot, is not a natural human motion. Walking or running motions have more clearly defined push-rest stages of each gait cycle of the foot. The "rest" portion of running would come at heel strike and continue through the stance phase of the stride; the "push" portion of the cycle would be from the stance phase through toe off. The PC does have clearly defined work-rest portions of the pedal cycle with the rest

portion prolonged as compared to the standard crank (Wohlin, unpublished study, 1987).

Third, the inefficiency of the conventional crank is seen in the analysis of force vectors through the crank cycle (Brown, 1984). Force is most efficient when applied at a 90 degree angle to the rotating crank. With the flexibility of the ankle helping to position the foot and pedal in a more optimal vector, the effective range of the work portion of the pedal cycle is between 25-135 degrees from top dead center (Patterson, Pearson, Fisher, 1983). With higher revolutions per minute, a larger portion of the force is still being applied to the crank while the crank is not in a position to translate that force into torque. At higher pedalling rates, the muscle cannot contract fast enough to finish applying force precisely at 135 degrees. Tension remains on the crank past 135 degrees and often through 180-185 degrees. The result is tension on the crank arm, with little of the force contributing to torque and a portion even opposing the next work portion of the cycle (Patterson et al., 1983).

Brown contends that the PC overcomes these three inefficiencies by reducing the amount of torque needed to overcome the specific pedal resistance at any given workload (Brown, 1984). If Brown's hypothesis is correct, the specific pedal resistance for a workload would be reduced by the PC crank mechanism, and therefore, the cyclist would be

able to reduce rpm. The specific pedal resistance at a slower rpm would then approximate that found at a higher rpm with a SC. Thus the most efficient pedalling rate for a given work output using a PC would be slower than that of a conventional crank, yet pedal resistance would remain the same or decrease. Physiologic improvement should come from the reduced work of the muscles used to move the leg and stabilize the body which causes inefficiency at high rpm. Lower peak leg force magnitude should also delay fatigue of the leg muscles due to decreases in muscle fiber recruitment and should enhance endurance.

Several world class cyclists have trained and raced on the PC. They recommend the PC for distance rides and low rolling hills and commented on the relative lack of fatigue they felt at the end of sustained rides (PC advertisement in Bicycle magazine, Sept-Oct 1984). The PC has also received endorsement from the Association of Professional Triathletes and the National Triathlon Training Camps.

The unique motion of the PC with distinctive push-rest portions of each foot revolution has been claimed to more closely resemble the motion used in running or walking. Triathletes have been named as one group that would benefit most from this distinctive foot motion because more of their training could be done on the bicycle and less from running (Brown, 1984). Conversely, athletes who run for their training could have a greater efficiency on the PC because

of the similarity of motion between the two. Neither of these claims has been tested.

Theoretical Validity of Claims

Cadence

The advantage of the PC is that it may allow a lower pedalling cadence at any given workload without the normally large increase in pedal resistance per revolution. This mechanical advantage could then translate into a physiologic advantage, lower energy cost.

Cycling at 40-60 rpm has been shown to be the most efficient cadence physiologically by many researchers (Garry, Wishart, 1931; Banister, Jackson, 1967; Hagberg et al., 1981; Coast, 1985; Coast, Cox, Welch, 1986).

The study conducted by J.M. Hagberg, J.P. Mullin, M.D. Giese, and E. Spitznagel tested the effect of pedal frequency on the physiologic responses of seven competitive cyclists. The cyclists completed a progressive maximal oxygen uptake test cycling at 20 mph on their racing bicycles on a treadmill whose grade was raised by 0.5% each minute until exhaustion. Five five-minute work bouts followed at a workload set to elicit approximately 80% of their maximal oxygen uptake. Subjects completed the first ride in the gear that had been preselected by each individual as being their optimal performance gear. Subsequent rides varied in gear ratio enough to elicit two pedal frequencies above and two

pedal frequencies below this pedal frequency. The speed of the treadmill was 20 mph and the rpms of 50, 75, 90, 105 and 120 were completed in a random order for each subject. The workload actually elicited an average oxygen uptake of 81.5% of maximum with a range of 74% to 89%. However, the varying pedalling rates, half above the preferred pedalling rate and half below, for the same absolute work output, elicited responses ranging from 74 to 100% of maximal oxygen uptake. A graph of the quadratic regression equation for these data revealed that the physiologic responses increased with either an increase or decrease in pedalling rates from an optimum rate. Two subjects improved oxygen uptake at a pedal frequency other than the one preferred.

The seven elite cyclists possessed optimum pedalling rates for the tested workload in a range from 72 to 102 rpm, with the average being 91 rpm. This average closely matches that used by competitive cyclists in racing situations.

The second portion of the study tested the cyclists for cost of limb movement only. This was accomplished by having the cyclists pedal their racing bicycles with the chain off for a five minute work bout, called an unloaded test. The cyclists pedalled at 60, 75, 90, 105, and 120 rpm. For the unloaded test, oxygen consumption, expiratory volume and heart rate all increased significantly as rpm increased; oxygen consumption and expiratory volume increased exponentially and HR increased linearly. These findings

support the hypothesis that increasing the rpm for a given cycling output will require a greater effort physiologically substantiating the assumption that faster pedal rates are less efficient than slower pedal rates for a given workload. However, trained cyclists consistently choose higher rpms as workload increases to optimize performance as the first part of Hagberg's study revealed.

Other researchers have confirmed that an optimal pedalling rate exists for each workload and the optimum pedalling rate increases as the workload increases (Coast et al., 1985; Seabury et al., 1977). Seabury et al. (1977) tested three men at four work outputs using eight pedalling frequencies ranging from 30-120 rpm. Gross energy expenditure was calculated from measured oxygen uptake and respiratory quotient. Each power output elicited a unique optimal pedalling rate with the optimum rate increasing from 42 rpm at 40.8 W to 62 rpm at 326.8 W. Energy expenditure increases were more pronounced for slower than optimal pedalling rates when the power output was high and were more pronounced for faster than optimal pedalling rates when the power output was low. In these findings, the general principle that optimal pedalling rates increase as work output increases can assist competitive cyclists in choosing a rate that will be most efficient for the cyclist physiologically with energy savings as much as 5-10% of total expenditures (Kaneko, Yamazaki, Toyooka, 1979).

Coast and Welch (1985) tested five competitive cyclists using progressive maximal workloads on an ergometer. Each rode at pedalling rates of 40, 60, 80, 100 and 120 rpm at workloads of 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 W. Oxygen consumption and heart rate were measured for each test then plotted according to pedalling rate for each workload. Significant variance existed among oxygen uptake and HR values for the same work output but differing pedalling rates. The results, when plotted, followed a parabolic curve with the lowest point on the curve representing the optimum pedalling rate for that workload. A linear progression of optimum pedalling rates was seen as workload increased, indicating that efficiency is enhanced when a faster cadence is used at greater workloads.

Pedal Resistance

Slower pedalling rates for a specific power output increase pedal resistance. R.P. Patterson and J.L. Pearson measured the total resultant force exerted by a cyclist on the pedals at varying pedalling rates. Eight male subjects rode for six minutes on two separate occasions at 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 rpm using workloads that represented 30 and 60% of their maximal oxygen uptake. Force plate pedals were used to measure the forces applied to the crank. Total force was greatest at 40 rpm and least at 90 rpm. But the force effectiveness index, calculated as the average of total

force divided by the average force over one crank cycle, was less for 90 rpm than for 40 or 60 rpm.

This decrease in efficiency reflected the decrease in optimally directed forces in relation to the pedals at increased pedalling rates. At 90 rpm, 66% of peak leg force magnitude was still being exerted on the crank when it reached 180 degrees from top dead center (TDC). This force produces no work but is dissipated as tension on the crank arm, or if prolonged beyond 180 degrees from TDC, opposes rotation. The opposite leg must produce enough torque to overcome this negative resistance and still provide enough positive torque to maintain a constant pedalling speed. Therefore, an upper limit to rpm exists at which the misdirected forces cause the positive torque requirements to increase to the same levels as much slower rpms, so the usual benefit of reduced pedal resistance is not realized.

One explanation of this phenomenon was posed by Patterson (1983). He reasoned that at higher rpm the inability of the muscles to contract in the shorter amount of time that the crank is in an optimal position causes the forces to continue longer in the crank cycle than desired.

Blood Flow

Rhythmic contraction of the muscles such as that of cycling or running can increase local blood flow (Tønnesen, 1964; Folkow et al., 1970). B. Folkow, P. Gaskell and B.A.

Waalder studied venous blood flow in intact and isolated cat calf muscle that was induced to rhythmic activity. Optimal venous pressure lowering occurred with a stimulus frequencies of 40-60/min and with stimulus trains lasting for 200-300 msec. With the limb inferior to the heart, blood flow in the rhythmically contracting limb increased 46% above blood flow in the post-exercised, stationary limb. The contracting muscles exert pressure on the veins causing increased venous output during the contraction with an attending decrease in venous pressure. Between contractions, blood flow into the muscle was maximal because of this decreased resistance in the veins and thus an overall increase in perfusion.

Of critical importance to this increase in blood flow in exercising muscle is the relative duration of contraction and inter-contraction periods. Venous pressure must be lowered enough during the contraction portion of the rhythmic exercise to allow the venous pressure to remain decreased for a portion of the inter-contraction period. With contractions lasting from 0.2-0.3 sec and being repeated once per second, the venous compartment had enough capacity to receive a large influx of blood for about 0.5-0.7 sec. without developing a significant increase in local venous pressure.

K.H. Tønnesen's (1964) research has also supported the finding that blood flow will increase in exercising muscles. But his findings can be interpreted to state that an upper

limit in work intensity exists above which blood flow will no longer increase. Nine male subjects lifted weights attached to their foot after having ^{133}Xe injected into the lateral head of the gastrocnemius muscle. A scintillation crystal detector was placed 15 cm below the injection site and connected to a pulse analyzer and recording mechanism. The rate of ^{133}Xe clearance was thus measured and muscle blood flow calculated. Muscle blood flow increased proportionately in exercising muscle up to approximately one-half possible maximal exertion for that muscle. Above this point, muscle blood flow no longer increased, indicating that an upper limit in blood flow through muscle exists. The capacity of the blood vessels was probably the limiting factor. Blood flow after cessation of the exercise never exceeded blood flow rates during exercise and fell smoothly to resting levels as soon as exercise stopped.

Blood flow through exercising muscle will greatly influence lactate accumulation. Studies of lactate production and clearance show that lactate production is affected by glycogen stores, intensity and duration of exercise, and muscle fiber type (Rodbard, Pragay, 1968; Göllnick, Bayly, Hodgson, 1986; Hermansen, Stensvold, 1972; Brooks, 1986; Donovan, et al., 1983). Clearance of lactate depends on several factors, also, such as blood flow through the working muscle, initial lactate level, muscle fiber type,

level of training, and glycogen stores. Of these factors affecting production and removal of lactate, blood flow through muscle can be altered by differences in rpm. Slower cranking speeds require a greater maximum leg force or muscle tension and for a longer period of contraction than faster rpms. This may occlude the arteries for longer than optimum and allow venous refilling to continue longer than optimum, thereby preventing a maximal blood flow through the working muscle. Conversely, a muscle contraction may be too brief to lower venous pressure enough to allow optimal refilling or of insufficient magnitude to act as an auxiliary pump.

Reduced blood flow has been shown to lengthen the time of metabolite removal from working muscle (Rodbard, Pragay, 1968). Accumulation of metabolites rapidly impedes the ability of the muscle to contract. Therefore, an optimum contraction duration such as cycling at 60-70 rpm could improve lactate removal.

Relative Perceived Exertion (RPE)

Perceived exertion dictates what rpm is chosen by cyclists at any given workload. Borg (1973) compared four methods of rating perceived exertion and correlated each to HR. HR and perceived exertion were recorded every second minute during two work tests. Correlation coefficients were calculated between ratings and heart rates by sampling scores from varying time intervals. The correlation coefficients

were similar for all rating methods used. Each method of rating was equally accurate in representing perceived exertion in accordance with HR, therefore, there must exist a fundamental relationship between the physiological indicators of stress such as HR and the psychological perception of exertion.

In general, as HR increases, exertion is perceived as harder. However, this relationship has exceptions due to another physiologic factor affecting perceived exertion. Pandolf and Noble (1973) tested fifteen trained male cyclists on an ergometer at various pedalling speeds and workloads. The pedalling frequencies ranged from 40 to 80 rpm while the power outputs ranged from 550-1075 kgm/min. Oxygen uptake, used to measure the energy cost for the differing pedalling speeds at equivalent power outputs, did not differ significantly. RPE responses at equivalent power outputs were significantly elevated at the lower pedalling frequencies and higher power outputs. Because HR was the lowest at 40 rpm and oxygen uptake unchanged, the elevated RPE ratings for this rpm cannot depend on HR alone. The greater torque needed to overcome the greater resistance in slower cadences results in greater muscle and joint tension. Proprioceptive muscle and tendon sensations monitor the contractile lengths of muscle and the amount of tension on the muscle and tendons. This experiment can be interpreted to mean that the proprioceptive sensations provide another

physiologic indicator of stress that can elevate psychological perception of exertion.

Seabury et al. (1977) suggests that the most efficient pedalling rate is a balance between two forces: (1) total load on each contracting muscle fiber per cycle and (2) the total number of contracting fibers engaged per cycle. The balance between these forces will be unique to an individual depending on muscle fiber type and conditioning. The author suggested that with training, athletes can improve their muscle twitch reflexes, and thus are able to maintain a higher rpm which favors reduced fiber recruitment and a reduced load per fiber.

Hubert Löllgen, T. Graham, and G. Sjolgaard (1980) studied the effects of central and peripheral factors on perceived exertion. Six males exercised at zero, submaximal and maximal loads on a bicycle ergometer at pedal rates from 40-100 rpm. Central factors of HR, oxygen consumption and ventilatory volume were recorded and correlated to RPE. Peripheral factors of muscle tension and muscle metabolites were also measured and correlated to RPE. While many physiologic and proprioceptive measures demonstrated significant variance at differing pedal rates and constant workload, RPE did not vary significantly. None of the central nor peripheral factors alone influenced RPE significantly. Therefore, RPE must be derived from a complex interaction of many variables. Two factors, namely leg force

magnitude and rpm did approximate changes in RPE but the correlation was nonsignificant.

Another study demonstrated that the additional physiologic cost of moving the limbs at a higher rate may not be of sufficient magnitude to negate the benefit of reduced load on muscle fibers. Kaneko et al. (1979) measured the internal work of the muscle and joints involved in pedalling. Four male subjects pedalled a Monark cycle ergometer with and without load (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 kp) at a constant frequency of 50 rpm. Leg movement was recorded on film at 64 frames per second, and kinetic energy was calculated at approximately 30 millisecond intervals by Fenn's procedure (Fenn, 1930). The sum of the kinetic increments for thighs and lower legs was considered as internal work. External work and oxygen uptake were measured in a conventional way and efficiency was then calculated using three standard formulas and one the authors proposed to include adjustments for internal work. All methods resulted in similar findings for efficiency and did not differ significantly.

The lack of difference between the conventional methods of determining efficiency and the authors adjusted method was due to the relatively small proportion of total work that was made up by the internal work of moving the limbs. Internal work did not vary significantly between 0 to 3 kp but increased appreciably at higher loads. However, when comparing internal work to external work, the internal work

for lower power outputs (<1 kp) represents 20-30% of the total work done. At the higher power outputs, the absolute amount of internal work was increased, but it constituted only 5-10% of external work and may explain why competitive cyclists prefer higher rpm at greater work output; the extra effort of moving the legs quickly is not sufficiently stressful to negate the benefit of reduced strain on muscles and tendons.

Application of Theories

Human exercise utilizing machines has a complex interaction of physiology and biomechanics. Physiologic factors such as oxygen consumption, HR, blood flow and lactate levels are affected by the mechanical factors that determine muscle fiber recruitment, load per muscle fiber, and the rate of limb motion (cadence). For cycling, when muscle fiber recruitment increases, allowing more work to be done per revolution of the crank and thus allowing decreases in cadence at a specific workload, the perception of exertion increases. Endurance also decreases as muscle fiber recruitment increases because the muscle has fewer reserve fibers to power contractions once fatigue overcomes the initially recruited fibers. Yet faster cadences require a greater physiologic cost due to the increased motion of the limb; muscles must contract faster and more frequently to pedal more quickly. Balancing the contribution of each factor

in maintaining a given workload is the key to maximizing performance in cycling. The PC attempts to balance these variables to produce a more efficient match-up between human and cycle.

Specifically, Brown claims that the PC fitted bicycle will allow greater performance in road races of more than an hour's duration, such as the Race Across America, or in triathlons where the movement pattern of the PC more closely mimics that of running. The triathlete could benefit from this by faster transition times from cycling to running and by allowing less conditioning through running and more through cycling.

While the theoretical basis exists for these claims, whether the Powercam can deliver significant modification to the biomechanical factors to show an improvement in the physiologic responses had not been addressed. This study was designed to test whether the Powercam did provide a physiological advantage when cycling at a given workload or a performance advantage when time trial racing. Also, the amount of cycling needed to become efficient with the distinctive motion of the PC was measured.

CHAPTER 3

METHODS

The research design used was a test-retest with each subject acting as his/her own control. A two part investigation was conducted comparing physiological performances between the standard crank (SC) and the Exo Powercam (PC). The first, Phase I, investigated the learning/increased efficiency for oxygen consumption and heart rate (HR) over a series of rides for each crank. The rate of learning for each crank was then compared to ascertain whether the improvement in efficiency had reached a plateau to permit an accurate comparison between cranks. After the increase in efficiency had taken place, the two cranks were compared for efficiency using the last ride of the series.

The second part of this investigation, Phase II, was a simulated time trial for a set distance of 40K. Subjects were measured for elapsed time, oxygen uptake, HR and relative perceived exertion (RPE) for each performance. At the end of the time trial for each crank mechanism, a treadmill run to simulate a transition from cycling to running during a triathlon was completed with oxygen consumption measured.

Subjects

Eleven subjects completed Phase I testing; eight subjects completed Phase II testing. The experience level of the subjects ranged from competitive cyclists to novice. The subjects were volunteers who possessed leg length between 32-36 inches to allow for proper seat adjustment on the bicycle frame. None of the subjects smoked and all had physical examinations prior to the start of testing. Subjects were briefed on all test protocols prior to the start of the test and signed a consent form approved by the Human Subjects in Research committee of Montana State University (Appendix A). Subject characteristics were collected using a questionnaire (Appendix A) and height and weight measurements were taken at the beginning of the test; a summary of subject data is found in Tables 1 and 2.

Equipment

Bicycle

The bicycle frame was a 23" Windtamer manufactured by Houdaille, USA, producers of the PC, and was mounted on a Road Machine wind trainer, also manufactured by Houdaille, USA. The wind trainer utilized a 17-pound airbraked flywheel to obtain a pedal resistance and momentum that closely resembles actual bicycling. Gearing for the bicycle used a double front chain ring with a tooth ratio of 44/52 for the

Table 1. Characteristics of subjects for Phase I testing.

Sub/Experience*	Gender	Age (yrs)	Ht (cm)	Wt (kg)
KS Nov	F	37	179.5	68.2
SR Nov	M	24	183.0	83.6
FC Nov	M	46	184.3	76.8
LJ Rec	F	21	174.1	56.4
PF Rec	M	34	176.6	78.6
MT Rec	F	19	175.6	60.5
WG Com	M	40	184.3	75.0
ST Com	F	27	169.0	55.5
SH Com	F	22	176.6	63.6
BG Com	M	35	171.5	68.6
TG Com	M	38	181.8	72.7
MEANS		31.3 ± 9.2	176.5 ± 5.2	68.8 ± 9.2

*Experience level: nov-novice, rec-recreational, com-competitive.

Table 2. Characteristics of subjects for Phase II testing.

Sub/Experience	Gender	Age (yrs)	Ht (cm)	Wt (kg)
KS Nov	F	37	179.5	68.2
DB Rec	M	34	189.4	70.5
LJ Rec	F	21	174.1	56.4
PF Rec	M	34	176.6	78.6
MT Rec	F	19	175.6	60.5
WG Com	M	40	184.3	75.0
SH Com	F	22	176.6	63.6
TG Com	M	38	181.8	72.7
MEANS		30.8 ± 8.6	178.3 ± 5.5	68.0 ± 7.3

SC and 44/60 for the PC crank and a rear sprocket with a seven ring combination of 12/13/14/16/18/28/32 teeth respectively. The crank arms were 172.5 mm in length for both the SC and PC. The work output was measured in miles per hour (mph) for Phase I and kilometers per hour (kmph) for Phase II with a Cateye Solar Cyclocomputer, model CC-2000.

Gas Analysis

Expired air was collected in a 350-liter Tissot tank then analyzed using a Beckman E-2 Oxygen analyzer and Beckman LB-2 carbon dioxide analyzer. Both analyzers were pre-calibrated using known concentrations of gases prior to each test. Expired gases were collected for one minute periods (Appendix B) and concentrations calculated using standard gas analysis procedures (Appendix B).

Heart Rate

Heart rate (HR) was recorded with a Sears Pulsemeter attached to the ear or by carotid palpation. The HR was taken at the same time expired gas was being collected.

Treadmill

A Pacer R-90 treadmill was used for the running measurements in Phase II. The treadmill was set at 0 degrees elevation and the speed set to the normal running pace of the subject.

Procedures for Phase IPilot Test to Determine Workload

Subjects were given a 20-minute submaximal practice ride using the SC. The speed was unique to each subject and reflected a HR close to 80% of the subject's age-adjusted maximal HR. Height, slope and forward placement of the seat was adjusted to allow full extension of the leg. Full leg extension was chosen over lesser seat heights because this more closely matched recommendations for the PC while still being efficient for the SC and was easier to establish. These parameters were recorded and preserved for each ride of both series (SC and PC) to preserve standardization. The workload was measured by a Cateye Solar Cyclocomputer in mph. Once the speed was selected, it became standard for all rides completed on both crank mechanisms.

The PC ride series was completed in a larger gear ratio and slower cadence than the SC series while maintaining the preselected speed. Gear ratio for the ride series on each crank was self selected by the subject and sometimes reflected the subject's inexperience. The one subject who had a slower cadence on the SC than the PC had nearly identical gear ratios for the two cranks (SC = 100.29 inches, PC = 101.25 inches). Cadence could vary two to three rpm to maintain speed in mph; this subject's cadence for the SC was 68.3 and was 69.4 for the PC. To further control variance in

cadence as it relates to speed, all other testing was done in kmph which had only a one to two rpm variance to maintain speed. The gear ratios selected by the subjects for the SC and PC are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Gear ratio (GR) and mean cadence used by each subject for each crank.

Subject	Experience	Cadence in RPM		GR in Inches	
		SC	PC	SC	PC
KS	Nov	78.6	67.5	87.75	101.25
SR	Nov	74.9	64.5	100.29	115.71
FC	Nov	81.9	62.7	87.75	115.71
LJ	Rec	83.6	61.6	66.00	90.00
PF	Rec	68.3	69.4	100.29	101.25
MT	Rec	72.5	62.3	100.29	115.71
WG	Com	89.6	68.0	87.75	115.71
ST	Com	93.9	69.4	66.00	90.00
SH	Com	90.3	80.6	66.00	74.25
BG	Com	78.3	67.7	100.29	115.71
TG	Com	90.6	77.9	87.75	101.25
MEAN		82.0	68.3	85.20	102.41

Test Protocol, Phase I

Two series of three rides each were completed; the first series was completed on the SC, the second on the PC. Once either series began, it was completed within a week to minimize the effects of conditioning. Caffeine and food intake were restricted two hours before each testing session. A five minute warm-up preceded each 30-minute ride. Cadence, gear ratio and speed were held constant throughout the three

rides of each series, but cadence and gear ratio were reset for the PC series as described. Expired gases and HR were measured at minutes 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30. A summary of procedures is contained in Appendix B.

Statistical Design for Phase I

An adaptation of a randomized block factorial ANOVA for repeated measures and Scheffe's multiple comparison test were used to compare differences between rides within a series and to assess ride and crank interaction. General Linear Models 6.03 IBM/PC by Phil Spector, James H. Goodnight, John P. Sall and Warren S. Sarle and the SAS STAT Guide by the SAS Institute, Incorporated were used for these analyses. Paired t analysis was used to evaluate differences in absolute oxygen consumption between cranks. The paired t analysis employed Dr. Richard E. Lund's MSUSTAT.

Procedures for Phase II

Pilot Test

A submaximal 30-40K ride on the PC with a treadmill run following the cycling was completed to select a treadmill pace that the subject felt he or she could maintain for five minutes following a maximum effort on a time trial. Prior to the ride the appropriate treadmill pace was practiced. Also, three additional hours of practice were completed on the PC to allow each subject the minimum practice recommended

by the manufacturer to learn the distinctive pedalling motion for the PC. Seat height, slant and forward positioning over the pedal were adjusted during the practice rides until the subject felt most comfortable; both 40K rides were completed from this position. Each subject was also acquainted with Borg's RPE scale.

Workload

The simulated time trial distance was set at 40K with subjects free to use any gear ratio/cadence combination during the ride. Speed was not held constant. Elapsed time was the measure of performance.

Test Protocol, Phase II

The order in which each crank mechanism was tested was randomized. During each time trial, expired gases and HR were measured at Km 9, 18, 27, and 36 for a one minute sampling. RPE, using Borg's RPE scale, was measured at Km 9, 18, 27, and 35. The two time trials were completed within a two week period for each subject.

Following cycling, the subject ran for five minutes at his/her preselected speed on the treadmill. Expired gases were sampled during the first minute and last minute of the five minute run. These samples were averaged to give a mean oxygen uptake used to compare the two cranks. Average time lapse between the cessation of cycling and the start of running was three minutes to allow changing of footgear. A

summary of procedures for the time trial test is found in Appendix B.

Statistical Design for Phase II

Dr. Richard E. Lund's MSUSTAT paired t with correlations was used to analyze differences in elapsed time, oxygen consumption, HR and RPE for time trial performance and oxygen consumption for the treadmill run.

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS

Introduction

Two independent tests were conducted to test the effectiveness of the Exo Powercam (PC) as compared to the standard crank (SC). The first test, Phase I, compared differences in acquiring efficiency, defined as decreasing heart rate (HR) and oxygen consumption among rides in a series that used the same workload. This efficiency change within the series was then compared between the two cranks to determine whether efficiency on both cranks had reached a stable value. Phase I also compared absolute differences in oxygen consumption between cranks at the last ride in the series.

Phase II tested performance as measured by elapsed time, HR, oxygen consumption and relative perceived exertion (RPE) for a 40K time trial. A treadmill run immediately following each 40K time trial was used to test efficiency in switching from cycling to running as is done in triathlon competition.

Phase I, Learning CurveOxygen Consumption

In Phase I, the subjects completed three rides on the SC and three more rides on the PC at identical workloads. The workload was provided by two wind resistance machines. The machines were not significantly different in efficiency, but an absolute difference was noted with the resistance device used for the PC requiring less oxygen consumption for identical workloads. This difference, 0.13 l/min, was factored into all calculations for oxygen consumption. It was not possible to use the same wind resistance device because of mounting problems with the PC.

Table 4 contains mean values for oxygen consumption for each ride and is presented in Figure 2. The difference in oxygen consumption between rides regardless of crank was analyzed first using an adaptation of a randomized block factorial ANOVA (ANOVA F). This comparison was used to determine whether any difference existed in oxygen uptake between the rides, i.e. ride one from both cranks against ride two from both cranks and so on. The F-ratio was 10.5828 with a probability (P) of significant difference acceptable at the 95% level ($P = 0.0007$).

Because a significant difference did exist between rides, further analysis was necessary to determine where these differences occurred in the ride series for each crank.

Table 4. Mean oxygen consumption (\pm SE) for each ride for each crank.

Ride #	SC		PC	
	Mean l/min	\pm SE l/min	Mean l/min	\pm SE l/min
1	2.371	.1576	2.305	.1325
2	2.287	.1656	2.299	.1340
3	2.270	.1605	2.258	.1351

ANOVA F and Scheffe's multiple comparison were used to analyze each crank separately for differences between rides. The ANOVA F test showed a significant difference between rides in the series for the SC ($F = 7.9586$; $DF = 2, 20$; $P = 0.0029$). The Scheffe's test, which indicates which values are significantly different from the other values, identified oxygen uptake for ride one to be the significantly greater value ($P < 0.05$). Figure 2 illustrates this improvement in oxygen consumption between rides one and two. However, rides two to three were not significantly different for oxygen consumption.

The examination of oxygen consumption for the PC ride series using the same two analyses, showed no significant decrease in oxygen uptake between any rides (ANOVA F, $F = 2.5086$, $DF = 2, 20$; $P = 0.1066$). A fourth and fifth ride were completed by six subjects to determine whether oxygen uptake would decrease at a later point in the series, but oxygen uptake values did not improve over ride three values and in




Figure 2. Comparison of improvement in means (\pm SE) for oxygen consumption between rides in a series.

fact were slightly higher. Rides four and five were therefore not included in the preceding statistics. The comparison of oxygen consumption for the three initial rides is presented in Figure 2.

After determining where specific differences in oxygen consumption existed among the rides for each ride series, ANOVA F was done a third time to determine if learning rates for each crank were substantially different. The trial/crank interaction (learning rate) was statistically non-significant for the two cranks ($F = 2.2214$; $DF = 2, 20$; $P = 0.1345$).

The second comparison made for Phase I examined the absolute differences of oxygen consumption between cranks for ride three. The paired t analysis did not reveal a significant difference. The data are summarized in Table 5 and presented in Figure 2.

Table 5. Paired t analysis of mean oxygen consumption for ride three between the cranks.

SC Mean l/min	PC Mean l/min	Mean of dif l/min	\pm SE of dif l/min	T	P
2.270	2.258	.0120	.0379	.3167	.76

Heart Rate

Heart rate was measured at the same time expired gases were collected during the ride series. Mean values for each

ride on each crank are presented in Table 6 and Figure 3. Again, differences between rides regardless of crank were measured first using ANOVA F. A significant difference was found in HR between rides ($F = 18.0801$; $DF = 2, 20$; $P < 0.0001$). ANOVA F and Scheffe's test was then applied to HR values for each crank independently.

Table 6. Mean HR (\pm SE) for each ride for each crank.

Ride #	SC		PC	
	Mean bpm	\pm SE bpm	Mean bpm	\pm SE bpm
1	142.1	2.8	136.0	3.4
2	135.7	3.1	131.4	2.5
3	135.4	3.6	129.0	2.9

The ANOVA F showed a significant difference between rides for the SC series ($F = 16.1791$; $DF = 2, 20$; $P = 0.0001$). The Scheffe's test indicated the difference was in the HR for the first ride; HR for rides two and three were not significantly different. For the PC, results were similar to the SC with the ANOVA F test indicating a significant difference in HR between the rides ($F = 8.6476$; $DF = 2, 20$; $P = 0.0020$) and the Scheffe's test revealing that the difference in HR was in the first ride with no significant difference in HR between rides two and three.

The comparison of improved efficiency (learning rate) in HR between rides in a series revealed no significant




Figure 3. Comparison of improvement in heart rate (HR) means (\pm SE) for rides in a series (correction factor not added; see text, page 40).

difference between crank mechanisms (ANOVA F for ride x crank interaction: $F = 0.7370$; $DF = 2, 20$; $P = 0.4911$). Reductions in HR were nearly the same over the three rides for the two cranks resulting in similar learning curves. These are illustrated in Figure 3.

A comparison of absolute HR between cranks was not made due to an error in obtaining a correction factor to accommodate testing equipment. This correction factor was necessary to equalize the differences in mechanical efficiency measured between the two wind resistance machines used in Phase I testing. The HR correction factor was not necessary to evaluate HR means for learning rates because the comparisons were on differences calculated by subtracting means within a series; the addition of a correction factor would not affect the magnitude of these differences.

Gear Ratio

Speed was held constant between the two crank mechanisms for all rides in Phase I, however, cadence and gear ratio were slower and larger respectively with the PC as compared to the SC. The average gear ratio for the PC was 102.41 inches and the SC average gear ratio was 85.20 inches and is shown in Figure 4.

Phase II, Time TrialOxygen Consumption and Heart Rate

No significant difference was measured between the time trials for oxygen consumption or HR regardless of which crank mechanism was used. In the paired t test, correlation is an indication of the degree of continuity among the differences. The relationship of the PC to the SC was highly consistent, the magnitude and direction of difference in means being very similar for each pair of values compared (Table 7). Correlation should be high because each subject was paired with him- or herself in the comparisons between cranks.

Table 7. Paired t analysis with correlations comparing mean oxygen consumption and mean heart rate (HR) between the 40K time trial for each crank and mean oxygen consumption for their corresponding treadmill run.

	SC Mean \pm SD		PC Mean \pm SD		T	P	Corr
	<u>bpm</u>						
Cycling, HR	157.9	21.6	157.0	21.2	.4816	.64	.9714
	<u>in l/min</u>						
Cycling, O ₂	2.805	0.843	2.796	0.848	.3140	.76	.9957
Treadmill, O ₂	2.649	0.432	2.652	0.471	.0430	.97	.8543

No significant difference in oxygen consumption was noted between the treadmill runs after the respective time trials for each crank mechanism. The results are summarized in Table 7 and Figures 5, 6, and 7.




Figure 4. Comparison of means (\pm SD) for gear ratio between cranks for the ride series.




Figure 5. Comparison of means (\pm SD) for oxygen consumption during the 40K time trials.




Figure 6. Comparison of means (\pm SD) for heart rate (HR) during 40K time trials.




Figure 7. Comparison of means (\pm SD) for oxygen consumption during the treadmill runs following the 40K time trials.

Relative Perceived Exertion

Relative perceived exertion, obtained at specific kilometers during the time trial rides, did not vary significantly between the two crank mechanisms. For the SC the mean RPE was 14.47 with a SD of ± 1.67 while the RPE mean for the PC was 14.88 with a SD of ± 1.65 ($T = -1.409$, $P = 0.20$). This comparison is shown in Figure 8.

Elapsed Time

Performance in the time trial was measured by elapsed time in minutes. Mean time for the SC was 66.86 ± 9.16 minutes. The PC time was 66.90 ± 8.61 minutes. No significant difference exists between times for the SC time trial and the PC time trial (SE for difference = 0.7484, $T = -0.0421$, $P = 0.9676$). However, when those cyclists who were highly conditioned through running were taken as a group ($n = 2$), their elapsed time approached significance in favor of the PC (SC mean elapsed time = 72.24 minutes, PC mean elapsed time = 69.21 minutes; SE of difference = 1.115; $T = 2.713$, $P = 0.2248$, Figure 9). This same group's oxygen uptake was lower for the PC time trial than for the SC time trial (SC mean oxygen consumption = 2.176 l/min, PC mean oxygen consumption = 2.101 l/min; $T = 4.286$, $P = 0.1459$). These comparisons are illustrated in Figures 10 and 11.




Figure 8. Comparison of means (\pm SD) for relative perceived exertion (RPE) during 40K time trials.




Figure 9. Comparison of means (\pm SD) for elapsed time for the 40K time trials.




Figure 10. Comparison of means for elapsed time for the 40K time trials for athletes who trained by running only ($n = 2$).




Figure 11. Comparison of means for oxygen consumption for the 40K time trials for athletes who trained by running only ($n = 2$).

CHAPTER 5

DISCUSSION

Introduction

Two independent investigations were used to test the relative advantage/disadvantage of the Exo Powercam (PC) in comparison to the standard crank (SC). The first experiment, Phase I, used serial rides at the same intensity to evaluate efficiency change and crank differences for oxygen consumption and HR in cyclists of varying skill. The second test, Phase II, used a simulated 40K time trial with a bike-to-run transition to estimate the relative value of the PC in comparison to the SC for triathletes. Measures of elapsed time, oxygen consumption, heart rate (HR) and relative perceived exertion (RPE) were used for comparison.

Learning Time

Before comparing the performance of the PC with the SC, improved efficiency or learning on the PC was evaluated. Brown recommends that at least 100 miles of riding (approximately 4-6 hours) be completed on the PC to learn its unique pedalling motion. In general, most subjects found the interrupted pedal motion of the PC to be very "different"

from that of the SC. Highly trained cyclists, those who were proficient at "spinning", liked the PC the least. Those athletes who were primarily runners were the most ambivalent about the uniqueness of the PC. However, after one half-hour session, cyclists testified that the pedal motion was no longer strange. Returning to the SC again caused a sensation of strangeness which disappeared after about ten minutes of cycling.

To establish proficiency with both cranks for further comparisons, the two ride series of the same intensity were compared for increases in efficiency (decreases in HR and oxygen consumption). A leveling in HR and oxygen consumption in a ride series was assumed to indicate that this learning (establishment of proficiency) had occurred.

The SC learning rate for oxygen consumption was characterized by a significant decrease in oxygen uptake after the first ride. Rides two and three were not significantly different signifying that a plateau for learning had been reached. The PC, however, had no significant difference between oxygen uptake for any of the three rides. Absolute values did decrease with each ride with the greatest decrease between rides two and three for the PC. Because the SC's greatest decrease in oxygen uptake occurred at a different point in the ride series (between rides one and two) than in the PC ride series, the test for significant difference between rates of learning for the two

cranks did approach significance at the $P = 0.10$ level ($P = 0.13$).

However, increasing efficiency for HR over each ride series was very similar for the two cranks. Both series had significant improvement occurring between rides one and two, but no significant improvement thereafter. So, although differences in increased efficiency for oxygen consumption appears to approach significance, HR more strongly supports the finding of no significant difference in learning between the cranks.

That the PC had no significant difference in oxygen uptake between the first three rides might have been due to a delay in learning. To fully evaluate that hypothesis, the PC series was continued to rides four and five for six of the eleven subjects. Absolute oxygen uptake values did not improve and in fact were slightly elevated from ride three values indicating that efficiency on the PC had probably been reached by ride three. Statistics on rides four and five were therefore not included in the analyses. Because HR was not significantly different between cranks and oxygen consumption did not reach significance at the $P = 0.10$ level, the null hypothesis was not rejected; the cyclists had achieved a comparable level of proficiency after three one-half hour rides, approximately one third of the time Brown claimed was needed.

Benefit for Triathletes

Brown also claimed that triathletes would make a faster transition to running after cycling with a PC than with a SC. To evaluate this claim, a treadmill run immediately following the time trial for each crank mechanism was used. Average oxygen uptake for each treadmill run was compared using a paired t analysis. The treadmill run using the least oxygen to complete would be considered the more efficient run. No significant difference in oxygen consumption was found. With the methods used, no advantage was found in using a PC in an effort to decrease the time to establish efficient running form in a bike-to-run transition (Figure 7).

Improved Efficiency

Larry G. Brown has identified three areas of inefficiency when pedalling with a SC. One inefficiency Brown identifies is that spinning is not a natural human motion and difficult for most cyclists to master. The normal gait pattern of walking or running has a pronounced push-rest-push which is lost in spinning.

Secondly, with the SC, a faster cadence is needed to maintain higher work outputs. Faster revolution of the crank reduces the amount of time that the application of force can be optimally directed; misdirected forces apply

non-productive tension on the crank arm and may oppose rotation by the other crank arm (Patterson et al., 1983).

A third inefficiency which also relates to the need for faster cadences to maintain greater work output is demonstrated when cyclists pedal in unloaded tests (Hagberg et al., 1981). More energy is required to move the limbs at a faster rate and is thus less efficient physiologically than slower cadences. Brown claims his invention, the PC, reduces the torque requirements at any given workload, thereby allowing a cyclist to pedal slower in a higher gear and still maintain work output.

Natural Human Motion

While modifying torque requirements in relation to cadence, the PC altered the continuous rotation of the foot through the crank cycle to an abrupt motion with a pronounced deceleration of the foot at the top and bottom of each rotation. Brown claims this push-rest-push motion more closely mimics the running gait in humans and should enhance performance. Those riders who were well conditioned through running ($n = 2$) improved their time on the PC (SC mean elapsed time = 72.24 minutes, PC elapsed time = 69.21 minutes, $T = 2.713$, $P = 0.2248$; Figure 12). The runners, while improving elapsed time, also decreased their oxygen uptake on the PC (SC mean oxygen consumption = 2.176 l/min, PC mean oxygen consumption = 2.101 l/min, $T = 4.286$,

P = 0.1459; Figure 11). Because runners seemed to gain some advantage in using a PC in the time trial test, Brown's claim that the motion of pedalling the PC more closely resembles that of running may have some merit.

Misdirected Application of Forces

Whether the PC can improve the application of forces to the crank of a bicycle was not the focus of this study and was not directly measured. A biomechanical analysis is needed to assess the duration of how long the pedal of either crank mechanism is in an optimal position for the application of force and whether either crank allows a more optimal directing of forces. Misapplication of forces does contribute to inefficiency and would be a contributing factor to increased oxygen consumption, HR and RPE.

Cadence

In this study, the cyclists did maintain work output at a significantly slower cadence and higher gear than with the SC. The mean gear ratio for the SC was 85.20 inches and for the PC was 102.41 inches for the ride series (Figure 4). Cadence averaged 82.0 rpm for the SC and 68.3 rpm for the PC. RPE for the 40K time trial was not significantly different between the cranks even though a slower cadence and higher gear was used on the PC. The PC seems to have allowed a decrease in cadence without the usual increase in torque which is evidenced by the maintained work output with little

change in RPE. Brown's claim that less torque is needed to pedal the PC at the same workload as the SC appears to be supported.

With an increase in gear ratio and decrease in cadence, several physiologic changes would be expected. Researchers have shown that on the SC a decrease in cadence would cause an increased need for muscle fiber recruitment to overcome the greater pedal resistance at the larger gear ratio (Patterson et al., 1983). Increases in the total load on each fiber and total number of fibers needed to power each revolution of the crank would, if increases were much above optimal levels, cause an increase in energy requirements (Seabury et al., 1977) and RPE (Pandolf, 1973).

However, with a decrease in cadence, performance should be enhanced. Hagberg et al. (1981) and Kaneko et al. (1979) showed that energy expenditure is less at lower cadences because of lower costs in limb motion. The reason competitive cyclists choose higher cadences at greater work outputs on the SC is because of the limiting factor of muscle fiber recruitment; the cost of limb movement at the higher workloads is less inefficient than the cost of greater muscle fiber recruitment per revolution of the crank at slower cadences. Therefore, if the PC can allow cadence to decrease without an equivalent increase in pedal resistance, as seems to be the case, then performance should be enhanced.

Upon determining that efficiency had reached a plateau for both cranks by ride three in the ride series, a paired t comparison was made for oxygen uptake between cranks to evaluate whether the slower cadence used on the PC allowed savings in physiologic responses. For the identical workloads at ride three, no significant difference was found in mean oxygen uptake (SC = 2.270 l/min, PC = 2.258 l/min, $T = 0.3167$, $P = 0.76$). Figure 2 illustrates the closeness of all values between the SC and PC for all rides. Also, the performance of cyclists in the time trials showed no significant difference in elapsed time, oxygen consumption, HR or RPE (Figures 5, 6, 8 and 9).

That the PC exhibited no significant improvement over the SC despite reduced cadence may be due to several factors: (1) the savings in energy costs of limb movement may not have represented a large enough percentage of total work done to elicit a change in oxygen uptake; (2) torque was not decreased enough to allow savings in muscle fiber recruitment and load and therefore the extra energy cost of pedalling at the slower cadence offset the savings in slower limb movement; (3) the advantage in absolute values the PC had over the SC was not significant due to the small sample size of this study.

The elliptical cam on the PC crank was designed to be adjustable and the PC may have failed to show an advantage over the SC due to less than optimal adjustment of its crank

cam. The PC was tested at TDC only, whereas the manufacturer recommended from TDC to six degrees retarded from TDC. Testers for Bicycle magazine recommended an even greater retard, up to 11 degrees, for rolling hill country (Weaver, 1984). These recommendations were not tested in this study because of an inability to securely mount the cam to the bottom bracket of the bicycle in the retarded positions. This design flaw was not surmountable with the equipment at our disposal. The PC deserves further study utilizing these recommended settings especially in light of its comparable performance against the SC without this advantage.

The PC did allow cyclists to maintain work output using a slower cadence and larger gear as Brown claimed his crank was designed to do. However, physiologic performance was not significantly different for identical work output. This was despite the large difference in cadence and gear ratio between the two cranks. In the 40K time trial, the PC did appear to favor those cyclists who had conditioned through running, which may be due to a closer approximation of the running gait by the PC crank. In both parts of the study, the PC exhibited neither an advantage nor a disadvantage in physiological performance when compared to the SC. The design of the PC crank may have offset the inherent inefficiency of larger pedal resistance usually found when using slower cadences.

CHAPTER 6

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary

The inventor of the Exo Powercam (PC), Larry G. Brown, claims that his crank mechanism requires less torque at a slower cadence and larger gear to maintain equivalent power outputs when compared to a standard crank (SC). A physiologic advantage should be realized if these differences are attained. No study has evaluated physiological performance when cycling with the PC as compared to the SC.

The first part of this study examined whether a difference exists in learning to efficiently pedal the PC as compared to the SC and whether identical workloads elicited any difference in oxygen consumption. This was accomplished through a series of three rides at identical work output for each crank. The second part of this study compared performance for simulated 40K time trials based upon elapsed time, oxygen consumption, HR and RPE. A treadmill run following each time trial was used to evaluate efficiency in running after cycling for each crank.

No significant difference was found in learning rate, efficiency in oxygen consumption for identical workloads and

in time trial performance. The PC was not found to enhance a transition from cycling to running with the methods used.

Conclusions

1. The PC did not require extended practice for a comparable level of proficiency to be reached. Performance improvements in HR and oxygen consumption were not significantly different from the SC within three one-half hour practice rides.
2. The PC showed no advantage or disadvantage over the SC in efficiency as measured by oxygen consumption. The cam was tested at top dead center only, which may not have been its optimal setting.
3. For the parameters tested, no significant difference was found in time trial performance or in making a transition to treadmill running following cycling. However, the PC showed a slight advantage in comparison to the SC for runners. Brown's claim that the motion of the PC more closely resembles that of running than the SC may have merit.
4. Throughout the ride series, cyclists completed identical workloads at a slower cadence and higher gear ratio on the PC than with the SC. Brown's contention that the PC reduces torque requirements for any given workload may be true.

Recommendations

Undoubtedly, the PC deserves further study to more fully understand how to best utilize its strengths and by whom.

1. A biomechanical analysis of the pedal motion of the PC compared to the running gait should be made.
2. A second biomechanical analysis should be undertaken to evaluate the amount of torque required to pedal the PC at identical workloads but at slower cadences than the SC. Application of force vectors could be studied at the same time using the methodology of Patterson et al., (1983).
3. In light of its comparable performance to the SC, the PC should be retested with its elliptical cam set at 6 degrees to 11 degrees retarded from TDC.
4. Subgroups of athletes may benefit from the unique motion of the PC and especially those athletes who train primarily by running should be tested for physiological performance on the PC.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bang, O., "The lactate content of the blood during and after muscular exercise in man," Skand Arch Physiol 74 (1936) 51-82.
- Banister, E.W., R.C. Jackson, "The effect of speed and load changes on oxygen uptake for equivalent power outputs during bicycle ergometry," Intern Z Angew Physiol 24 (1967) 284-290.
- Barcroft, H., J.L.E. Millen, "Blood flow through muscle during sustained contraction," Journal of Physiology London 97 (1930) 17-31.
- Böning, D., Y. Gönen, N. Maassen, "Relationship between work load, pedal frequency, and physical fitness," International Journal of Sports Medicine 5 (1984) 92-97.
- Borg, G., "Perceived exertion: a note on history and methods," Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise 5 (1973) 90-93.
- Borysewicz, E., Bicycle Road Racing: Complete Program for Training and Competition (Velo-news Corporation, Box 1257, Brattleboro, VT 05301-1257) 1985 pp270-271.
- Brooks, B.A., "The lactate shuttle during exercise and recovery," Med and Sci Spts Ex 18:3 (1986) 360-368.
- Brooks, G.A., W.C. Stanley, E.W. Gertz, J.A. Wisneski, D.L. Morris, and R.A. Neese, "Systemic lactate kinetics during graded exercise in man," (Abstract) Med Sci Spts Ex 17 (1985) 206.
- Brown, L.G., A.C. Brown, "The effect of cam-governed torque modification on human power generation in bicycle and similar drive systems," paper presented at the International Scientific Symposium on Human Power (Houdaille Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 3132, Fort Worth, Texas 76113) 1984.
- Brown, L.G., "The beginning of a new era; Exo Powercam, the high gear revolution," pamphlet (Houdaille, Industries Inc., P.O. Box 1038, Fort Worth Texas 76101) 1983.
- Coast, J.R., R.H. Cox, H.G. Welch, "Optimal pedalling rate in prolonged bouts of cycle ergometry," Med Sci Spts Ex 18:2 (1986) 225-230.

- Coast, J.R., H.G. Welch, "Linear increase in optimal pedal rate with increased power output in cycle ergometry," European Journal of Applied Physiology 53 (1985) 339-342.
- Donovan, C.M., G.A. Brooks, "Training affects lactate clearance not lactate production," American Journal of Physiology 244 (1983) E83-E92.
- Eberstein, A., J. Goodgold, "Slow and fast twitch fibers in human skeletal muscle," Am J Physiol 215 (1968) 535-541.
- Faria, I., C. Dix, C. Frazer, "Effect of body position during cycling on heart rate, pulmonary ventilation, oxygen uptake and work output," Journal of Sports Medicine 18 (1978) 49-56.
- Fenn, W.O., "Frictional and kinetic factors in the work of sprint running," Am J Physiol 92 (1930) 583-611.
- Folkow, B., P. Gaskel, B.A. Waaler, "Blood flow through limb muscles during heavy rhythmic exercise," Acta Physiologica Scandinavia 80 (1970) 61-72.
- G. and C. Merriam Company (publishers), Webster's Seventh New Collegiate Dictionary (G. & C. Merriam Company, Springfield, Massachusetts,) 1969 p934.
- Garry, R.C., G.M. Wishart, "On the existence of a most efficient speed in bicycle pedalling and the problem of determining human muscular efficiency," J Physiol Lond 72 (1931) 425-437.
- Göllnick, P.D., W.M. Bayly, D.E. Hodgson, "Exercise intensity, training, diet, and lactate concentration in muscle and blood," Med Sci Spts Ex 18:3 (1986) 334-340.
- Hagberg, J.M., J.P. Mullin, M.D. Giese, E. Spitznagel, "Effect of pedalling rate on submaximal exercise responses of competitive cyclists," J Appl Physiol 51:2 (1981) 447-451.
- Hamley, E.J., V. Thomas, "Physiological and postural factors in the calibration of the bicycle ergometer," Physiological Society (April 14-15) 55P-57P.
- Hermansen, L., I. Stensvold, "Production and removal of lactate during exercise in man," Acta Physiol Scand (1972) 191-201.

- Kaneko, M., T. Yamazaki, J. Toyooka, "Direct determination of the internal mechanical work and the efficiency in bicycle pedalling," Journal of the Physiological Society of Japan 41 (1979) 68-69.
- Karlsson, J., L.O. Nordesjo, B. Saltin, "Muscle glycogen utilization during exercise after training," Acta Physiol Scand 90 (1974) 210-217.
- Löllgen, H., T. Graham, G. Sjogaard, "Muscle metabolites, force, and perceived exertion bicycling at varying pedal rates," Med Sci Spts Ex 12:5 (1980) 345-351.
- Pandolf, K.B., B.J. Noble, "The effect of pedalling speed and resistance changes on perceived exertion for equivalent power outputs on the bicycle ergometer," Med Sci Spts Ex 5:2 (1973) 132-136.
- Patterson, R.P., J.L. Pearson, S.V. Fisher, "The influence of flywheel weight and pedalling frequency on the biomechanics and physiological responses to bicycle exercise," Ergonomics 26:7 (1983) 659-668.
- Rodbard, S., E.B. Pragy, "Contraction frequency, blood supply, and muscle pain," J Appl Physiol 24:2 (1968) 142-145.
- Seabury, J.J., W.C. Adams, M.R. Ramey, "Influence of pedalling rate and power output on energy expenditure during bicycle ergometry," Ergonomics 20 (1977) 491-498.
- Sloane, E.A., The Complete Book of Bicycling (Trident Press, a division of Simon and Schuster, Inc., 630 Fifth Ave., New York, NY 10020) 1970 pp118-120.
- Stainsby, W.N., "Biochemical and physiological bases for lactate production," Med Sci Spts Ex 18:3 (1986) 341-343.
- Tønnesen, K.H., "Blood-flow through muscle during rhythmic contraction measured by 133 Xenon," Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation 16 (1964) 646-654.
- Wasserman, K., W.L. Beaver, B.J. Whipp, "Mechanisms and patterns of blood lactate increase during exercise in man," Med Sci Spts Ex 18:3 (1986) 344-352.
- Weaver, S., "Powercam! some folks claim it's out of this world..." Bicycling (Sept-Oct 1984) 39-44, 138-141.

Wohlin, S., "Time/toe displacement of Powercam: biomechanical analysis of Powercam pedalling versus standard crank pedalling," (paper at Montana State University, unpublished) 1987.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A
SAMPLE FORMS

SUBJECT QUESTIONNAIRE

Name _____ Phone _____

Address _____

Age _____ Gender M F Leg Inseam inches _____

Height ins _____ cm _____ Weight lbs _____ kg _____

1. Are you currently exercising regularly? yes no
If yes, what kind of exercise are you doing, how often, how much and do you plan to continue? (use back of this sheet if necessary)
2. How much experience do you have riding bicycles?
No experience/barely know how
Around town/short trips only
Around town/major source of transportation
Bicycle tourer/long trips
Bicycle competitor locally/state
Bicycle competitor nationally
Triathlete
3. Do you regularly ingest caffeine bearing foods (i.e. chocolate, coffee, non-herbal tea, pop, etc.)? yes no
4. Do you smoke? yes no
5. Are you using any type of prescriptive drug? yes no
If yes, please list.
6. Have you ever had symptoms of heart disease? yes no
7. Does anyone in your immediate family (father, mother, grandparents, etc.) have or exhibit symptoms of heart disease? yes no
9. Do you have any condition that may prevent you from doing exhaustive type exercise? yes no
10. Have you had a physical examination in the last two years? yes no

Montana State University
Committee on Human Subjects in Research

CONSENT FORM

Title of Project: Physiologic Responses to Cycling with an
Exo Powercam Crank Compared to a Standard Crank
Name of Researcher: Esther Fishbaugh
Name of Person Briefing Subject: Esther Fishbaugh

I, _____, am a willing participant in
this project and have been informed on the following items:

- I. I have read or had the opportunity to read the proposal which includes the general description of this research project, its purpose and benefits;
- II. I have read the research document and been given an explanation of all procedures to be followed and why I have been asked to participate;
- III. I have been given an explanation of my specific involvement and any foreseeable risks or discomfort;
- IV. I have been assured of confidentiality of records identifying me as a subject;
- V. I have been given assurance that minimal risk is involved in my participation in this study if I am in good health;
- VI. I understand as a voluntary participant that I may withdraw from the experiment or any part of the experiment at any time I desire;
- VII. I have been given the opportunity to ask questions at any time about the experiment, my rights and whom to contact in the event of research-related injury to me and all questions have been answered to my satisfaction.

Signature

APPENDIX B
TEST PROTOCOLS

PHASE I PROTOCOL

- I.
 - A. Fill out questionnaire
 - B. Explain Phase I testing
 - C. Sign consent form

- II. Test Day
 - A. Weight, date, time
 - B. Time of last meal or snack
 - C. Time of last caffeine intake
 - D. General health today - colds, flu, sprained ankle, etc.
 - E. General attitude toward test today

- III.
 - A. Record temperature, pressure
 - B. Five-minute warmup on bicycle - 5-10 mph; talk about speed for test
 - C. Clear tissot tank
 - D. Begin biking - rpm and speed self-selected, record speed
 - Novice; 12-18 mph
 - Intermediate; 15-20 mph
 - Competitive; 20-25 mph
 - E. Set timer
 - F. At minute 4.5 attach HR monitor
 - G. At minute 5.0, collect O₂
 - H. At minute 5.2, read HR, record
 - I. At minute 6.0, stop collecting O₂, record volume and % O₂
 - J. Biker keeps pedalling at steady pace
 - K. At minute 11.0, repeat steps F, G, H
 - L. At minute 17.0, repeat steps F, G, H
 - M. Note whether ankling is used or not
 - N. Record speed and crank rpm
 - O. At minute 23.0, repeat steps F, G, H
 - P. At minute 29.0, repeat steps F, G, H
 - Q. Thank victim

PHASE II PROTOCOL

- I.
 - A. Fill out questionnaire
 - B. Explain phase II
 - C. Sign consent form
 - D. Brief about pre test day activities and diet

- II. Practice Day
 - A. Select seat height, slant, and position on seat tube
 - B. Select treadmill speed and practice running for five minutes
 - C. Ride forty minutes at approximately 80% VO_2 uptake
 - D. Practice last O_2 sampling and transition to treadmill, record elapsed time

- III. Test Day
 - A. Weight, date, time
 - B. Last meal or snack
 - C. Last caffeine intake
 - D. General health
 - E. General attitude

- IV.
 - A. Record room temperature, barometric pressure
 - B. Five minute warm up on bike at approximately one half race pace
 - C. Clear tissot tank
 - D. Begin cycling, self selected speed for forty kilometers
 - E. Take HR, one-minute O_2 sampling, rpm, speed in kph and have subject complete Borg's exertion scale at km 9
 - F. Repeat E. at km 18
 - G. Repeat E. at km 27
 - H. Have subject complete Borg's exertion scale at km 35
 - I. Repeat E. minus Borg's exertion scale at km 36
 - J. Stop cycling at forty km, preserve elapsed time on stopwatch
 - K. Have subject change to running shoes
 - L. Start treadmill
 - M. Have subject put on mouth apparatus
 - N. As soon as possible, have subject begin running on treadmill
 - O. As soon as the subject is running freely take a one minute O_2 sample and HR
 - P. At minute 4-5 take O_2 sample
 - Q. Stop running as soon as O_2 sampling is done, remove mouth apparatus and have subject walk to cool down

GAS ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS

Name _____ Age _____ Date _____

Weight _____ Height _____

TEST PROTOCOL

Speed (mph/kmph) Cadence Exercise minute Gear ratio in # of teeth	5-6	11-12	17-18	23-24	29-30
Heart rate					
<u>VOLUME</u>					
1. $T_2 = \text{Tissot, final}$					
2. $T_1 = \text{Tissot, initial}$					
3. $\Delta T = \text{Tissot, diff}$					
4. $\Delta T \times 3.244 = \dot{V}_E$ uncorrected					
5. Barometric Pres. (BP)					
6. Tissot temp. (T_c)					
7. STPD					
8. $(7) \times (4) = \dot{V}_E$ corrected					
<u>OXYGEN CONSUMPTION</u>					
9. E_2					
10. $E_2 \times 21/1000 = O_2\%$					
11. $20.88 - (10) = O_2 \text{ Extr.}$					
12. $(11)/100 =$					
13. $(8) \times (12) = O_2 \text{ l/min}$					

$$\text{STDP} = [273/(273 + T)] \times (BP/760) \times [(BP - VP)/BP]$$

VP: 15° = 12.8	18° = 15.5	21° = 18.7	23° = 21.1
16° = 13.6	19° = 16.5	22° = 19.8	24° = 22.4
17° = 14.5	20° = 17.5		

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES



3 1762 10093325 6

