



Effects of chemical seed treatment upon seed germination and stand establishment of forage crops and also upon nodulation of leguminous plants
by Daniel A Niffenegger

A THESIS Submitted to the Graduate Faculty in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Agronomy
Montana State University
© Copyright by Daniel A Niffenegger (1957)

Abstract:

Three seed treating compounds—Arasan, Spergon, and Pittsburgh B-856—were compared in field and laboratory tests for the treatment of forage crops grown in Montana. Seedling emergence of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass was increased more by treatment with Arasan and Pittsburgh B-856 than by Spergon treatment; when rate of treatment was the same for all three chemicals. Response to treatment was greatest in cold, wet soil. An indication was found that excess Spergon may reduce blotter test germination results of certain crops. A corresponding reduction of germination of excess Spergon-treated seed in soil tests was not detected. Spergon adhered to seeds better than Pittsburgh B-856, and Pittsburgh B-856 adhered better than Arasan when an excess of chemical was applied. The ratio was approximately 5 : 3 : 1. Seed treatment with the three chemicals applied 1 year ahead of planting did not lower seed viability or reduce fungicidal effectiveness. Nodulation was the same on roots of alfalfa and birdsfoot trefoil when seed was both chemically treated and Rhizobium inoculated as when it was inoculated but not treated. In agar colony count tests, Pittsburgh B-856 was the most toxic to birdsfoot trefoil Rhizobium, and Spergon was the least toxic. The crop previously grown in soil appeared to affect the emergence of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass. Temperature and moisture level influenced the apparent effect of previous cropping. Chemical seed treatment increased emergence of alfalfa in all soils but did not overcome the apparent effect of previous cropping. Twenty crop species all responded favorably to seed treatment with Pittsburgh B-856 when grown in cold, wet soil.

Effects of Chemical Seed Treatment Upon Seed Germination
and Stand Establishment of Forage Crops and Also Upon
Nodulation of Leguminous Plants

by

DANIEL A. NIFFENEGGER

A THESIS

Submitted to the Graduate Faculty

in

partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Science in Agronomy

at

Montana State College

Approved:

C. H. Post
Head, Major Department

Robert J. Eslick
Chairman, Examining Committee

Leon Johnson
Dean, Graduate Division

Bozeman, Montana
June, 1957

MONTANA STATE COLLEGE
LIBRARY
BOZEMAN

N378
N559e
cop. 2

1098

- 2 -

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The writer wishes to acknowledge the advice, constructive criticism and encouragement given him by Mr. Robert F. Eslick during the course of this study and the writing of the thesis.

The author also wishes to acknowledge the advice and assistance given him by Mr. D. J. Davis, and the help with the bacteriological study given by Dr. K. L. Temple.

Further acknowledgment is due to Dr. M. M. Afanasiev, Dr. R. H. McBee, Dr. J. C. Hide, and others who contributed time and advice to the project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LISTING OF TABLES.....	4
LISTING OF FIGURES.....	5
ABSTRACT.....	6
INTRODUCTION.....	7
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	10
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	15
A. Field Tests.....	15
B. Laboratory Soil Tests.....	16
C. Laboratory Blotter Tests.....	19
D. Laboratory Agar Plate Tests.....	19
RESULTS.....	21
Comparison of Chemicals.....	21
The Effect of Excess Chemical Treatment.....	24
Time of Treatment.....	35
Compatibility of Chemical Fungicide with Rhizobium....	36
Effect of Previous Cropping History of Soil.....	43
The Effect of Treatment on Different Crop Species.....	49
DISCUSSION.....	54
SUMMARY.....	57
LITERATURE CITED.....	59

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table I. Effect of chemical seed treatment on germination, emergence, and stand establishment.....	23
TABLE II. Analysis of variance of percent chemical adhering to seed when applied in excess, based on untreated seed weight (test 15).....	25
TABLE III. Mean percent of chemical adhering to seed when applied in excess, based on untreated seed weight (test 15).....	26
TABLE IV. Mean germination percentage of 3 replications of 4 lots of 6 crops tested on blotters at 5 moisture levels (test 14).....	28
TABLE V. Analysis of variance of germination percentage of 3 replications of 4 lots of 6 crops tested on blotters at 5 moisture levels (test 14).....	29
TABLE VI. The mean percent germination of 4 and 5 crops respectively in tests 10 and 11 when treated at 2 rates of chemical and germinated on blotters at 2 moisture levels.....	31
TABLE VII. Analysis of variance of tests of chemically treated seed, conducted in the critical moisture range for germination (tests 10 and 11).....	32
TABLE VIII. Average percent emergence of chemically treated and untreated alfalfa and crested wheatgrass, planted in wet and dry soil in the laboratory (tests 9 and 13).....	33
TABLE IX. Analysis of variance of laboratory soil test of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass seedling emergence (test 9).....	34
TABLE X. Effect of length of time elapsing between chemical seed treatment and planting on germination and emergence.....	37
TABLE XI. The effect of inoculation with Rhizobium of chemically treated seed on the emergence of alfalfa in 1955 field tests (test 1).....	38
TABLE XII. Mean values for birdsfoot trefoil establishment, maturity, and nodulation in field tests of inoculated and chemically treated seed (test 4)...	39
TABLE XIII. Analysis of variance of the effect of Rhizobium inoculation and chemical seed treatment on field stand, nodulation score, and percent plants in flower of birdsfoot trefoil (test 4).....	40

	Page
TABLE XIV. Colony counts of Rhizobium on agar plates when exposed for varying periods of time to 3 chemicals and a control treatment.....	42
TABLE XV. The effect of Arasan seed treatment on emergence of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass grown in soils of 5 previous cropping histories in 1955 and 1956 field tests.....	44
TABLE XVI. Mean percent emergence in laboratory soil tests of chemically treated and untreated alfalfa and crested wheatgrass in soils of 5 previous cropping histories (tests 8, 9, and 13).....	45
TABLE XVII. Analysis of variance of Pittsburgh B-856 treated and untreated alfalfa emergence in laboratory soil tests which compared 5 previous cropping histories of soil, 2 moisture levels, and 2 temperatures (test 13).....	46
TABLE XVIII. The effect of temperature on emergence of chemically treated and untreated alfalfa seed planted in the laboratory in soils of 5 previous cropping histories (tests 8 and 13).....	48
TABLE XIX. Percent emergence of 10 grasses and 10 legumes treated with different seed treating compounds and tested in the field and laboratory.....	50
TABLE XX. Percent normal sprouts in standard laboratory germination tests of 20 crop species chemically treated with different seed treating compounds.....	51
TABLE XXI. The mean percent germination of 4 and 5 crops respectively in tests 10 and 11 when treated with 4 chemicals and germinated on blotters at 2 moisture levels.....	52

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Method of planting laboratory soil tests at field capacity.....	17
---	----

ABSTRACT

Three seed treating compounds--Arasan, Spergon, and Pittsburgh B-856--were compared in field and laboratory tests for the treatment of forage crops grown in Montana. Seedling emergence of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass was increased more by treatment with Arasan and Pittsburgh B-856 than by Spergon treatment when rate of treatment was the same for all three chemicals. Response to treatment was greatest in cold, wet soil. An indication was found that excess Spergon may reduce blotter test germination results of certain crops. A corresponding reduction of germination of excess Spergon-treated seed in soil tests was not detected. Spergon adhered to seeds better than Pittsburgh B-856, and Pittsburgh B-856 adhered better than Arasan when an excess of chemical was applied. The ratio was approximately 5 : 3 : 1. Seed treatment with the three chemicals applied 1 year ahead of planting did not lower seed viability or reduce fungicidal effectiveness. Nodulation was the same on roots of alfalfa and birdsfoot trefoil when seed was both chemically treated and Rhizobium inoculated as when it was inoculated but not treated. In agar colony count tests, Pittsburgh B-856 was the most toxic to birdsfoot trefoil Rhizobium, and Spergon was the least toxic. The crop previously grown in soil appeared to affect the emergence of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass. Temperature and moisture level influenced the apparent effect of previous cropping. Chemical seed treatment increased emergence of alfalfa in all soils but did not overcome the apparent effect of previous cropping. Twenty crop species all responded favorably to seed treatment with Pittsburgh B-856 when grown in cold, wet soil.

INTRODUCTION

The effects of chemical treatment of seed of forage crops on germination and stand establishment have created growing interest in recent years. Effects of treatment have ranged from decreases in stand and yield to large increases in various treatment investigations. Most of these studies have been conducted in regions having longer growing seasons, more rainfall, less severe winters, and other climatic conditions not common to Montana.

Chemically treated forage crop seed is being sold in Montana. A main selling point of one of the leading alfalfa seed brands is that it is chemically treated. General inquiries concerning forage crop seed treatment have been received at the Montana Agricultural Experiment Station. Answers to some of these inquiries are not readily available. These facts indicate a need for knowing what effects may be expected when chemically treated forage crop seed is planted in Montana.

The objectives of this study were to determine the effects of chemical seed treatment upon germination and emergence of seeds in the laboratory, upon emergence and stand establishment of forage crops in the field, and upon the nodulation of legumes.

Since limited moisture conditions are often encountered in Montana crop producing areas, it is important to know what effect chemical seed treatment may have on germination when moisture is limited. Tests on blotters and in soil were conducted in the laboratory to investigate

this problem.

One of the functions of a seed laboratory is to test seed for germination. Seeding rates are based partly on the reported seed germination. Any influence that treatment may have on germination should be recognized so that seeding rates can be properly adjusted.

Emergence of chemically treated seed was studied in field and laboratory soil tests. The final indication of the value of treating seed to be planted in the field will be in the form of benefits observed under field conditions. But, since temperature and moisture conditions cannot be controlled in the field, it might take many years of field testing to draw any definite conclusions. Therefore, laboratory soil tests were made under controlled moisture and temperature conditions to supplement field tests.

Instances have been observed where alfalfa seeded in alfalfa stubble failed to produce a stand. Other crops following specific crops may show the same detrimental response. In this study, field and laboratory soil tests were conducted to find more evidence of the nature of this effect and to determine if chemical seed treatment will correct the situation.

Some legume seed must be inoculated with Rhizobium bacteria if nodules are to form on roots. Chemical seed treatment kills disease organisms living close to the seed. The question arises as to whether the chemical will also kill bacteria inoculated on the seed, leading to insufficient nodulation. This problem was investigated in field experiments with alfalfa and birdsfoot trefoil. Field tests were supplemented

by laboratory agar plate tests, placing Rhizobium and chemical in contact in approximately the same ratio they would be if both were applied to seed at rates recommended by the manufacturers.

Many different crop species are grown in Montana. Many different seed treating chemicals are available. To determine the effect of different chemicals on different crop species, the effect of chemical treatment with 3 fungicides on 20 different crop species was investigated in the field and laboratory.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Chemical seed treatment of small grains has become almost standard procedure in Montana. The extent of its acceptance is evidenced by the fact that over 97 percent of all small grain samples collected in a 1956 drill box survey (16)^{1/} were chemically treated.

In recent years there has been growing interest in chemical seed treatment of grasses and small-seeded legumes. Results of tests conducted have been contradictory. Kreitlow et al. (10) found response from chemically treated seed of alfalfa and red clover to vary from decreases to highly significant increases in stand. Raeder (13) tested several species of forage grasses and small-seeded legumes treated with Spergon, Arasan, and New Improved Ceresan. He found no benefit from treatment. His data also showed that treating seed of low germinating capacity was no more beneficial than treating seed of high germinating capacity. Other workers (1, 6, 7) found only occasional benefits from treatment. In dry soil in the greenhouse, Gerdemann (6) obtained decreased emergence from chemically treated seed.

In other studies, treatment has appeared to be a profitable practice. On the basis of experimental results of tests in Minnesota, Kernkamp (9) recommended that alfalfa seed to be planted in Minnesota should be treated with Arasan or Spergon.

^{1/} Numbers in parentheses refer to literature cited.

He showed treatment to increase stand when seed was planted in soil infested with Rhizoctonia solani, or when mechanically injured seed was used. He also showed that response from treatment increased as planting depth increased. Vlitos and Preston (18), in Oklahoma, obtained increased stands from chemical seed treatment with certain chemicals.

The fungicidal value of chemicals has in some cases been offset by a harmful effect to the seed. Allison and Torrie (1) found that, following treatment with approximately a 1 percent dosage of Spergon, ladino clover and strawberry clover seed germination on nutrient agar was retarded but not reduced in total. Germination of seed of other legumes including alfalfa, sweetclover, red clover, and alsike clover, was not retarded. Arasan and New Improved Ceresan produced no effect on any of the legumes tested. In greenhouse tests, Spergon-treated ladino clover and strawberry clover seed germination was retarded and total emergence was decreased. The retarding action was also observed in the field. Forsyth and Schuster (5) reported formation of abnormal leaves on flax seedlings grown from Spergon-treated seed in nursery plantings. As the rate of treatment increased, frequency and degree of abnormality in leaves increased. Abnormality appeared to be outgrown and there was no effect on final growth and yield of the plants. Chilton and Garber (4) tested 17 species of forage legumes in flats of unsterilized soil. They found that severity of soil infestation had an effect on the chemical dosage necessary for maximum stands, and, in some cases, they found that the highest stands occurred where there was some stunting due to

chemical toxicity.

Of interest to seedsmen is the question of how long a time may elapse between treatment of seed and planting. Kreitlow et al. (10) showed that treated alfalfa, red clover, and ladino clover seed stored 30 months under favorable conditions showed no injury from Arasan or Spergon. They did not show, however, whether the fungicide was still effective after this period of time. This aspect of the problem has been investigated in this study.

The degree of compatibility between Rhizobium legume inoculum and seed treating chemicals has been investigated by a number of workers. In 1936, Buchholtz (3) reported that nodules were found on the roots of plants of several legumes grown from both treated and inoculated seed. In 1944, Allison and Torrie (1) found no inhibition of nodulation due to chemical treatment. Kernkamp (8) showed in 1948 that soybean seed treatment with Spergon, New Improved Ceresan, or Semesan Jr. did not affect nodulation or yield. He concluded, in agreement with other workers, that nodulation is not affected by seed treatment or inoculation when seed is planted in soil where the nodule bacteria are already present. Later experiments by Kernkamp (9) showed that the same principle applied to other legumes. Vlitos and Preston (18) found no indication that chemical treatment inhibited nodule formation. In fact, they found that nodulation of alfalfa and Chinese cowpea was enhanced by Phygon or Arasan seed treatments followed by inoculation with Rhizobium. However, they observed, that nodulation on plants from treated seed occurred only

on lateral roots. In contrast, nodulation on plants from untreated seed occurred on tap roots as well as lateral roots. Sherf and Reddy (17) concluded that in soils where bacteria are needed, chemical treatment should not be used. However, they believed that soybean-inoculating bacteria were plentiful in most Iowa soils.

Ruhloff and Burton (15) tested the compatibility of *Rhizobium* with several chemicals. They coated absorbent paper disks with seed protectant dusts, placed the disks on agar plates seeded with *Rhizobium*, and after 7 days measured the zones of inhibited growth surrounding the disks. They found that the inhibition zone for Arasan and Arasan SF was greater than for Spergon and Phygol. In the same study, they tested the survival of *Rhizobium* under simulated soil conditions when mixed with chemical in the ratio of 2 : 7. Their results indicated that if inoculated, chemically coated seeds are planted in moist soil, the number of *Rhizobium* which survive may be sufficient to bring about effective inoculation, but that under dryer conditions this may not be true.

In the present study the effects of chemical seed treatment upon stand establishment of forage crops have been measured. Yield determinations over a period of years would be a more direct measure of the benefit of stand differences brought about by seed treatment. However, stand counts are not without value.

In respect to cereal seed treatment, Leukel (11) pointed out that increased stands due to treatment do not always produce higher yields because of increased tillering of the remaining plants. However, he

believed that if weeds accompany poor stands, treatment usually gives increased yields. Machacek et al. (12), in experiments in Canada, demonstrated that under weedy conditions small grains failed to compensate for low germination by increased tillering. The yield of grain under such conditions was related to the number of plants per plot.

Ronningen and Hess (14) concluded that stand counts of older established stands were of considerable value for determining relative performance of varieties of alfalfa in Maryland. It is possible that initial stands are directly correlated with stand as the planting ages.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

High quality, untreated seed, chosen from samples on file in the Montana Grain Inspection Laboratory, was used throughout this study.

Three fungicides were tested:

1. Arasan (50% tetramethyl thiuram disulfide).
2. Spergon (96% tetrachloro-para-benzoquinone).
3. Pittsburgh B-856 (50% 1,3-dichloro-5,5-diphenyl hydantoin).

Seed was treated by placing chemical and seed in a glass jar and shaking the jar by hand until the chemical was evenly distributed over the seed. Excess dosage rates were applied by adding an excess of chemical to the seed in a jar, shaking, and then screening off the excess. Until the fall of 1956, an excess dosage was applied to all seed being treated since other workers (1,9) were using this method. However, for laboratory soil tests made in the fall and early winter of 1956, $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent by weight of chemical was applied.

Huffine silt loam soil from various previous croppings, including corn, small grain, alfalfa, grass, and fallow, was used in field and laboratory soil tests.

A. Field Tests

In all field tests, 100 seeds were planted $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch deep in rows 3 feet long, spaced 1 foot apart. Randomized block designs were used throughout except for a split plot design used in the test which compared

the effect of the 3 chemicals upon emergence of 20 different crop species. Except where otherwise indicated, tests were replicated 4 times and stand counts were taken 2 to 3 weeks after planting.

Commercial Rhizobium inoculum for alfalfa and for birdsfoot trefoil was used in appropriate tests.


Seed was inoculated immediately before planting, as recommended by the inoculum manufacturer. Inoculation never preceded chemical treatment. When applied to the seed, a small amount of inoculum was placed in an envelope with the seed; the envelope was then shaken to distribute inoculum over the seed. Soil inoculation was accomplished by mixing a small amount of inoculum into the planting row.

B. Laboratory Soil Tests


All laboratory soil tests were made in $1\frac{1}{2}$ x $4\frac{1}{2}$ x 5 inch plastic boxes. The equivalent of 500 grams of oven-dry soil was used in each box. Soil was sieved through a $1/12$ inch round-holed sieve.

Field capacity of the soil was determined by allowing water to penetrate down into a container of air-dry soil until penetration ceased. The moisture percentage of the upper layer of soil was defined as field capacity.


Field capacity of Huffine silt loam was about 30 percent, based on oven-dry soil weight. Thirty percent was used as the wet soil moisture level. Bringing the soil to this moisture and planting the seed were done in one operation, (figure 1).



2. About half of the remaining soil is added.
3. Water is added to bring to field capacity.



1. One hundred seeds are pressed into surface of $\frac{1}{5}$ of soil.



4. Remainder of soil is placed in box, leveled, and pressed.
5. Box is covered to reduce water loss by evaporation.

Figure 1. Method of planting laboratory soil tests at field capacity.

Dry soil tests were made in soil at 15 percent moisture. Soil moisture was raised to 15 percent by adding a calculated amount of water to the soil. After water was added, the soil was allowed to stand, except for occasional sieving, for at least 24 hours before seeds were planted. When necessary, soil was dried in a crop hot air dryer. Soil moisture determinations made after planting showed "dry" soil moisture content to range from 14-16 percent, close to the desired 15 percent.

One hundred seeds were planted in each box. Seeds were planted on top of one-fifth of the soil and covered by the other four-fifths. This gave a uniform planting depth of 1 inch. Tests were made in standard seed germinators. Temperature was controlled as follows:

2 days at 20° C.

10 days at 10° C.

10 days at 20° C.

Sprouts were counted after the 10-day period at 10° C.

The steps taken to plant seed in wet soil are shown in figure 1. Planting in dry soil was done in the same manner except that steps 2 and 3 were omitted.

C. Laboratory Blotter Tests

Standard laboratory germination tests were made in accordance with the Rules for Testing Seeds of the Association of Official Seed Analysts (2). Four hundred seeds of each lot were tested in 4 replicates of 100 seeds. Legumes were planted between water-moistened blotters and germinated at a constant 20° C. Grasses were planted on top of blotters moistened with a 0.2 percent solution of KNO₃, prechilled at 5° C. for 5 days, and germinated at an alternating temperature of 20-30° C. Normal and abnormal sprouts and hard seeds were classified as directed in the Rules for Testing Seeds (2).

Controlled moisture blotter tests were made in 1½ x 4½ x 5 inch plastic boxes. A single blotter, 4 x 4½ inches, was placed in the box, and measured amounts of water were then added with a burette. A 2-day interval between adding the water and planting the seed was allowed so that the water moistened the entire blotter. All boxes were covered to reduce evaporation.

D. Laboratory Agar Plate Tests

A laboratory test of Rhizobium-chemical compatibility was made to supplement field tests of compatibility. A young, active culture of birdsfoot trefoil Rhizobium bacteria was tested for compatibility with each of the three chemicals. To insure contact of Rhizobium and chemical, measured quantities of both were placed in 500 milliliters of water and mixed in a Waring Blender for 20 seconds. A 2 : 7

Rhizobium : Chemical ratio was used since Ruhloff and Burton (15) had chosen this ratio. The mixture was immediately moved from the Waring Blender to an automatic shaker to keep the bacteria and chemical in contact. At intervals of $1\frac{1}{2}$, 5, 10, and 15 minutes, transfers were made with a pipette from the mixture into distilled water. Dilutions of 1 : 100, 1 : 1000, 1 : 10,000, and 1 : 100,000 were made. A check, containing no chemical, was used through the entire procedure.

One milliliter of solution from each dilution of each treatment was transferred into a sterile petri dish. Melted mannitol agar was then added. The dish was swirled and allowed to stand for 3 days, at which time colony counts were made.

RESULTS

Comparison of Chemicals

The three chemical seed treating compounds were compared in field and laboratory trials. Table I brings together the results of chemical comparisons ignoring any interactions that may have occurred in the individual tests.

Field comparisons revealed no significant differences between the three chemicals, with one exception. In test 2, with alfalfa, Arasan treatment significantly lowered emergence. In none of the other field tests were differences between chemically treated and untreated seed significant.

In laboratory soil tests Pittsburgh B-856 appeared to be the best fungicide for alfalfa and Arasan the best for crested wheatgrass. The higher emergence of alfalfa seed treated with Pittsburgh B-856 in tests 8 and 9 was significant when compared with emergence of seed treated with Arasan. There was no significant difference between Arasan and Spergon treatments in these tests or between any of the chemical treatments in the other laboratory soil tests. The untreated check was significantly lower than the 3 chemical treatments in all laboratory soil tests except 2 (crested wheatgrass, tests 7 and 9). In test 7, the untreated check was significantly lower than Arasan and Pittsburgh B-856, but was not significantly different from Spergon treatment (Table I).

In every test on blotters excess Spergon treatment lowered germination. This was true in standard germination tests (test 12) as well as in controlled moisture blotters tests (tests 10 and 11).

Table I. Effect of chemical seed treatment on germination, emergence, and stand establishment.

Test No.	Crop	Number of samples ^{1/}	Seed treating compound			
			Arasan	Spergon	Pitts- burgh B-856	Un- treated
			<u>Field tests--percent emergence</u>			
1	Alfalfa	16-16-16- 8 ^{3/}	32	33	35	33
2	Alfalfa	12-12-12- 4	32*	37	43	41
3	Crested wheatgrass	8- 8- 8- 8	18	15	21	16
4	Birdsfoot trefoil ^{2/}	12-12-12-12	9	8	8	9
5	10 legumes	40-40-40-40	11	9	10	11
5	10 grasses	40-40-40-40	20	16	15	18
			<u>Laboratory soil tests--percent emergence</u>			
6	Alfalfa	6- 6- 6- 2	25*	20*	25*	5
7	Crested wheatgrass	4- 4- 4- 2	79*	65	75*	55
8	Alfalfa	20-20-20-20	50*	45*	62*	27
9	Alfalfa	20-20-20-20	30*	26*	34*	19
9	Crested wheatgrass	20-20-20-20	68	65	64	62
			<u>Germination on blotters</u>			
10	4 legumes	48-48-48-24	86	72*	85	86
11	4 legumes and 1 grass	40-40-40-20	79	63*	68	72
12	10 legumes	40-40-40-40	66	52*	65	65
12	10 grasses	40-40-40-40	80	77*	79	80

*Significantly different from the untreated check at 5% level.

^{1/} Number of samples or replications used to establish the means for each seed treatment, Arasan, Spergon, Pittsburgh B-856 and untreated respectively.

^{2/} Percent stand establishment.

^{3/} A 1955 field test. All other field tests were made in 1956.

The Effect of Excess Chemical Treatment

The lowered germination of Spergon-treated seed in laboratory blotter tests (Table I) led to an investigation to determine if differences in germination were due to differences in chemical toxicity or to differences in the amount of chemical sticking to the seed. There was evidence that more than the recommended $\frac{1}{2}$ percent rate might be sticking when chemicals were applied in excess and that the chemicals differed in sticking capacity.

To determine how much chemical was adhering to seed of different crops, 4 lots of each of 6th crops were treated with an excess of each of the 3 chemicals. Each treatment was replicated three times. The percent of chemical adhering to the seed was then determined. Treated seed weight minus untreated seed weight divided by the untreated seed weight equals the percent of chemical adhering.

The analysis of variance table of this experiment is shown in Table II and the pertinent means are shown in Table III.

More chemical adhered to seed of the grasses than to seed of legumes. The least amount of chemical adhered to regularly shaped, smooth seed of birdsfoot trefoil. Nearly five times as much Spergon as Arasan adhered to seed (Table III).

Different lots of seed of timothy, crested wheatgrass, ladino clover, and birdsfoot trefoil retained different quantities of chemical. The different lots of alsike clover and crested wheatgrass seed varied in the amounts of different chemicals they retained (Table II). In

Table II. Analysis of variance of percent chemical adhering to seed when applied in excess, based on untreated seed weight, (test 15).

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square
Crops	5	36.3453**
Chemicals	2	378.9252**
Crops x Chemicals	10	6.3242**
Lots within crops	18	5.5846**
Alfalfa lots	3	.6544
Alsike clover lots	3	2.3877
Timothy lots	3	3.6803*
Crested wheatgrass lots	3	11.6180**
Ladino clover lots	3	11.3752**
Birdsfoot trefoil lots	3	3.7921*
Lots within crops x chemicals	36	1.9604*
Alfalfa lots x chemicals	6	.7532
Alsike clover lots x chemicals	6	3.2716*
Timothy lots x chemicals	6	.5047
Crested wheatgrass lots x chemicals	6	3.4425**
Ladino clover lots x chemicals	6	2.0194
Birdsfoot trefoil lots x chemicals	6	1.7710
Error	144	1.1341

* Significant at 5% level.

** Significant at 1% level.

Table III. Mean percent chemical adhering to seed when applied in excess, based on untreated seed weight (test 15).

Crop	Seed lot	Chemical			Crop Average
		Arasan	Spergon	Pitts- burgh B-856	
Alfalfa	1	0.7	4.2	1.9	
	2	0.1	5.9	2.3	
	3	0.9	5.4	2.0	
	4	0.5	4.8	2.6	
	Average of 4 lots	0.6	5.1	2.2	2.63
Alsike clover	1	1.3	6.0	2.8	
	2	1.2	8.8	2.7	
	3	0.6	5.6	2.8	
	4	1.0	5.6	4.2	
	Average of 4 lots	1.0	6.5	3.1	3.55
Timothy	1	2.1	6.6	5.2	
	2	2.1	5.5	4.7	
	3	1.5	4.2	3.7	
	4	2.4	5.6	5.3	
	Average of 4 lots	2.0	5.5	4.8	4.08
Crested wheatgrass	1	2.0	10.2	5.1	
	2	1.6	5.2	2.6	
	3	2.4	8.7	4.1	
	4	1.8	8.5	5.4	
	Average of 4 lots	1.8	8.2	4.3	4.80
Ladino clover	1	0.9	4.6	1.7	
	2	0.8	4.1	1.5	
	3	1.0	6.4	2.1	
	4	1.4	8.0	4.5	
	Average of 4 lots	1.0	5.8	2.4	3.07
Birdsfoot trefoil	1	0.8	3.8	1.7	
	2	0.2	1.9	0.9	
	3	1.2	3.8	2.4	
	4	0.4	5.3	1.6	
	Average of 4 lots	0.7	3.7	1.7	2.02
Chemical average		1.21	5.78	3.08	

general these differences were small as compared with the differences in chemicals and in species.

Treatment percentage ranged from 1 percent to 10.2 percent. It appears that the amount of chemical adhering when an excess of chemical is applied to seed will depend upon the kind of chemical being applied, the crop, the particular lot of seed of that crop being treated, and perhaps other factors.

The amount of water available to treated seed being tested in laboratory blotter tests may affect the degree of chemical concentration immediately around the seed. A higher concentration may increase chemical toxicity. To test the effect of moisture level on germination of treated seed, the critical moisture level for germination of crops to be tested was found. Four untreated seed lots of each of 6 crops were planted in controlled moisture blotter tests. Significant differences were found to exist between germination percentages at different moisture levels (Table IV). Lots within alfalfa and crested wheatgrass showed different moisture responses, but there were no significant differences between moisture responses of lots within the other crops (Table V).

Based on these results, 6 milliliters of water was used as the moisture level for testing the effect of moisture on germination of treated seed (tests 10 and 11, Table VI)^{1/}.

In test 11, alfalfa, alsike clover, ladino clover, birdsfoot trefoil, and timothy were tested. Timothy was not included in test 10.

Table IV. Mean germination percentage of 3 replications of 4 lots of 6 crops tested on blotters at 5 moisture levels (test 14).

Crop	Milliliters of water added to blotters				
	7 ml.	6 ml.	5 ml.	4 ml.	3 ml.
Ladino clover	83	85	78	60	4
Alfalfa	88	84	77	58	2
Alsike clover	87	86	81	54	7
Birdsfoot trefoil	60	66	46	27	4
Crested wheatgrass	87	84	33	12	0
Timothy	86	79	74	0	0
Average	82	81	54	35	3

Table V. Analysis of variance of germination percentage of 3 replications of 4 lots of 6 crops tested on blotters at 5 moisture levels (test 14).

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square
Crop	5	9,731.071**
Moisture level	4	79,374.452**
Crop x moisture level	20	2,783.686**
Lots within crops	18	929.585**
Alfalfa lots	3	1,908.333**
Alsike lots	3	544.923**
Ladino lots	3	288.411*
Timothy lots	3	289.305*
Crested wheatgrass lots	3	1,598.978**
Birdsfoot trefoil lots	3	947.528**
Lots within crops x moisture level	72	138.393
Alfalfa lots x moisture level	12	202.520**
Alsike lots x moisture level	12	130.366
Ladino lots x moisture level	12	55.383
Timothy lots x moisture level	12	101.861
Crested wheatgrass lots x moisture level	12	215.102**
Birdsfoot trefoil lots x moisture level	12	125.125
Error	240	83.647

* Significant at 5% level.

** Significant at 1% level.

Two rates of treatment and 2 moisture levels were used in both tests.

Tests 10 and 11 were conducted in the critical moisture range for germination. This is evidenced by significant differences in germination due to moisture level (Table VII). The depressing effect of excess Spergon was not affected by moisture level. However, the depressing effect of the recommended dosage rate of Spergon appeared to be overcome at a high moisture level. Further testing of this point might be of value.

Laboratory soil tests comparing the effect of moisture level on emergence of seed treated with recommended dosages of chemical were also conducted. Mean results are presented in Table VIII and the analyses of variance in Tables IX and XVII. It will be noted that the mean squares for chemical seed treatment and for chemical seed treatment by moisture level interaction are significant for both tests. Chemical seed treatment differences in dry soil were small, although the decreased emergence of Pittsburgh B-856 in test 9 was significant. In wet soil all chemical seed treatment means were significantly higher than the untreated check. Pittsburgh B-856 and Arasan treatments gave significantly higher emergence than Spergon.

¹/ Seven milliliters of water absorbed by a 4 x 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ inch blotter approximates optimum moisture level for the standard germination procedure.

Table VI. The mean percent germination of 4 and 5 crops respectively in tests 10 and 11 when treated at 2 rates of chemical and germinated on blotters at 2 moisture levels.

Seed Treatment	Rate of Treatment	Milliliters of water applied to blotters			
		Test 10		Test 11	
Chemical		13 ml.	6 ml.	13 ml.	6 ml.
Germination percentage					
Arasan	.5%	86	86	88	64
Arasan	Excess	87	85	87	75
Spergon	.5%	82	69	80	52
Spergon	Excess	72	64	65	54
Pitt. B-856	.5%	86	83	84	53
Pitt. B-856	Excess	88	85	83	52
Untreated	None	86	86	82	62

Table VII. Analysis of variance of tests of chemically treated seed, conducted in the critical moisture range for germination (tests 10 and 11)^{1/}.

Source of Variation	Test 10		Test 11	
	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square
Crop	3	6,368.40**	4	11,540.66**
Chemical seed treatment	6	1,256.35**	6	993.15**
Moisture level	1	676.01**	1	17,094.35**
Crop x moisture level	3	153.67	4	1,167.80**
Crop x Chemical seed treatment	18	488.32**	24	589.21**
Moisture level x chemical seed treatment	6	119.88	6	361.12
Crop x moisture level x chemical seed treatment	18	75.10	24	253.60
Error	112	93.38	70	277.36

** Significant at 1% level.

^{1/} For the purpose of analysis, each of the 2 rates of each chemical and the untreated check were considered as individual treatments.

Table VIII. Average percent emergence of chemically treated and untreated alfalfa and crested wheatgrass, planted in wet and dry soil in the laboratory (tests 9 and 13).

Chemical seed Treatment	Soil moisture level	
	29-31% Moisture	14-16% Moisture
Test 9 ^{1/}		
Arasan	63**	35
Sperguson	55**	36
Pitt. B-856	68**	29*
Untreated	47	33
Test 13 ^{2/}		
Pitt. B-856	62**	13
Untreated	27**	9

- * Significantly different from the untreated check at the 5% level.
- ** Significantly different from the untreated check at the 1% level.
- ^{1/} Each value is an average for 2 samples and 5 soils with different cropping histories, for both alfalfa and crested wheatgrass, or a total of 20 individual samples.
- ^{2/} Each value is an average for 4 samples and 5 soils with different cropping histories for alfalfa only, or a total of 20 individual samples.

Table IX. Analysis of variance of laboratory soil test of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass seedling emergence (test 9).

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square
Previous crop on soil	4	804.38**
Chemical seed treatment	3	702.84**
Moisture level	1	24,527.26**
Crops	1	56,137.56**
Previous crop on soil x crop	4	326.67**
Previous crop on soil x moisture level	4	249.05**
Chemical seed treatment x moisture level	3	1,134.01**
Chemical seed treatment x crop	3	301.21**
Moisture level x crop	1	3,141.76**
Previous crop on soil x chemical seed treatment	12	72.54
Previous crop on soil x chemical seed treatment x moisture level	12	129.89
Previous crop on soil x chemical seed treatment x crop	12	107.94
Previous crop on soil x moisture level x crop	4	67.15
Chemical seed treatment x moisture level x crop	3	73.71
Previous crop on soil x chemical seed treatment x moisture level x crop	12	155.26
Error	80	81.74

** Significant at 1% level.

The depressing effect of Spergon on germination in blotter tests was not evident in soil tests. This leaves results of standard germination tests of Spergon-treated seed open to question, since the germination of seed on blotters is used as the basis for determining seeding rate.

In Montana, grass and legume seeds are often exposed to cold, wet conditions, especially when they are planted early. These data indicate that treatment under cold, wet conditions is of more value than treatment under cold, dry conditions.

Time of Treatment

The length of time elapsing between seed treatment and planting was investigated from two points of view:

1. The effect of time on seed viability.
2. The effect of time on the fungicidal value of the chemicals.

Seed viability, as measured by standard germination tests of treated alfalfa seed, was not significantly different from viability of untreated seed after the seed was stored in the laboratory for 1 year (Table X).

The analysis of variance given in Table X showed no significant differences due to length of time of treatment before planting. The chemicals were similar in this regard. It may be concluded that the

fungicidal value of the three chemicals was not affected by time of treatment. This indicates that there is no problem associated with storing seed treated with Arasan, Spergon, or Pittsburgh B-856.

Compatibility of Chemical Fungicides with Rhizobium

Field tests of alfalfa and birdsfoot trefoil indicated apparent compatibility between Rhizobium nodule-forming bacteria and the chemical fungicides. Mean differences in emergence of Rhizobium inoculated and uninoculated alfalfa grown in 1955 were not significant (Table XI). Examination of plant roots showed abundant nodulation regardless of inoculation or treatment. It is possible that alfalfa Rhizobium were plentiful in the soil. For this reason birdsfoot trefoil was used to test compatibility in 1956. Birdsfoot trefoil is relatively new to this region and the species of Rhizobium which will form root nodules on it must be provided in the form of commercial inoculum if nodulation is to take place. Mean results of data collected in this experiment are shown in Table XII and the analysis of variance is presented in Table XIII.

There were no significant differences in field stands $2\frac{1}{2}$ months after planting due to treatment or to inoculation (Table XIII).

Inoculation on seed or in soil significantly increased the percent of plants in flower $2\frac{1}{2}$ months after planting. Chemical seed treatment means were not significantly different. Pittsburgh B-856, the chemical seed treatment giving the highest percent of plants in flower when present on uninoculated seed or seed planted in inoculated soil, resulted in the lowest percent of plants in flower when seed was inoculated with

Table X. Effect of length of time elapsing between chemical seed treatment and planting on germination and emergence.

Test No.	Crop and Year	Time of Treatment ^{1/}	No. of Replications	Chemical seed treatment			
				Ara-san	Sper-gon	Pitt. B-856	Un-treated
<u>Percent emergence in field tests</u>							
1	Alfalfa 1955	2	4	30	33	36	
		0		34	34	34	33
2	Alfalfa 1956	12	4	37	35	43	
		1		24	41	43	
		0		36	36	44	41
3	Crested wheatgrass 1956	2	4	18	14	21	
		0		17	15	21	16
<u>Percent emergence in laboratory soil tests</u>							
6	Alfalfa 1956	18	2	31	33	22	
		6		26	13	38	
		0		19	14	17	5
7	Crested wheatgrass 1956	2	2	18	14	21	
		0		17	15	21	16
<u>Percent germination in blotter tests</u>							
13	Alfalfa 1956	12	4	74	73	75	75
14	Crested wheatgrass 1957	5	4	85	93	91	92
15	Alfalfa 1957	5	4	86	81	79	85

^{1/} Number of months elapsing between seed treatment and planting.

Table XI. The effect of inoculation with Rhizobium of chemically treated seed on the emergence of alfalfa in 1955 field tests (test 1)^{1/}.

Rhizobium Inoculation	Chemical seed treatment			
	Arasan	Spergon	Pitt. B-856	Un- treated
Inoculated on seed	32	34	34	34
Not inoculated	32	33	37	32

^{1/} Values represent average percent emergence of 4 replications.

Table XII. Mean values for birdsfoot trefoil establishment, maturity, and nodulation in field tests of inoculated and chemically treated seed (test 4).

Method of inoculating with Rhizobium	Seed treatment				Average
	Arasan	Spergon	Pitt. B-856	Untreated	
<u>Percent of seed planted establishing plants</u>					
On seed	6	8	11	9	9
In soil	12	8	7	12	10
None	11	6	8	5	7
Average	9	8	8	9	
<u>Percent of plants in flower</u>					
On seed	46	53	27	32	40*
In soil	48	15	54	35	38*
None	21	5	50	22	22
Average	39	21	44	26	
<u>Nodulation score</u>					
On seed	4.12	3.11	2.89	3.41	3.38**
In soil	3.68	3.31	3.58	3.22	3.45**
None	1.37	1.53	2.35	1.93	1.79
Average	3.06	2.65	2.94	2.85	

* Significantly higher than the uninoculated check at the 5% level.

** Significantly higher than the uninoculated check at the 1% level.

Table XIII. Analysis of variance of the effect of Rhizobium inoculation and chemical seed treatment on field stand, nodulation score, and percent plants in flower of birdsfoot trefoil (test 4).

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean squares		
		Field Stand	Nodulation Score	Percent plants in flower
Replication	3	79.3*	1.01	137.9
Chemical seed treatment	3	8.0	0.35	1,113.8
Rhizobium inoculation	2	19.0	14.02**	1,604.7*
Inoculation x chemical seed treatment	6	27.7	0.87	1,132.2*
Error	33	25.6	0.48	413.8

* Significant at 5% level.

** Significant at 1% level.

Rhizobium. This indicates an effective fungicidal action of the chemical, but a lack of compatibility of this chemical and Rhizobium. Results with Spergon were the opposite, indicating poor fungicidal action but good compatibility with Rhizobium (Table XII).

Roots of the birdsfoot trefoil plants were examined for nodules. A system of scoring plants for nodulation was established. Scoring was based on the relative number of nodules per individual plant. A zero score was given when no nodules were present and a score of 5 was given to plants possessing large numbers of nodules. Inoculation appeared to be essential for a high nodulation score, but method of inoculating was of no consequence. Differences due to chemical seed treatment and to chemical seed treatment by Rhizobium inoculation interaction were not significant.

The toxicity of seed treatment compounds to Rhizobium was measured further by agar plate tests. Colony counts at 1 : 100 dilution were found to be best for making comparisons. Pittsburgh B-856 was the most toxic to birdsfoot trefoil Rhizobium. Spergon was the least toxic but still killed large numbers of bacteria when compared with the untreated check. Differences due to time of contact were not significant but chemical by time interaction was significant at the 5 percent level. This is due to killing of bacteria by time of contact with Arasan and Pittsburgh B-856, but not with Spergon (Table XIV).

Table XIV. Colony counts of Rhizobium on agar plates when exposed for varying periods of time to 3 chemicals and a control treatment.

Time of contact with chemical	Chemical seed treatment			
	Arasan	Spergon	Pitt.B-856	Untreated
1½ minutes	103	170	29	400 plus
5 minutes	121	142	29	400 plus
10 minutes	74	139	18	550
15 minutes	21	184	12	400 plus
Chemical mean values	80**	159**	20	450 plus

** Significantly higher than Pitt.B-856 at 1% level.
All chemical mean values were significantly lower than the untreated check.

Effect of Previous Cropping History of Soil

It has been shown that treatment of seed planted in cold, wet soil is of more value than treatment of seed planted in a cold, dry soil. Another variable that might influence treatment is the previous crop grown on soil in which treated seed is planted. Tests were conducted to investigate this possibility.

Field tests of Arasan treated and untreated alfalfa seed were conducted on soils of five previous cropping histories in 1955. Field tests of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass were planted under the same conditions in 1956. The only significant difference found between treated and untreated seed was obtained in 1955 with alfalfa in soil planted to corn the previous year (Table XV). Highly significant differences in emergence counts in soils of the different previous cropping histories were found in both years but were not consistent for the 2 years. In 1956 these differences were the same for both crested wheatgrass and alfalfa. It is possible that location of the fields influenced the results.

Laboratory soil tests in five soils were made with alfalfa and crested wheatgrass. Results are shown in Table XVI, the analyses of variance in Tables IX and XVII. Differences in emergence due to chemical treatment and to previous cropping of soil were highly significant. Previous cropping effect on soil x chemical seed treatment interaction was not significant in any of the tests. Previous cropping had a differential influence on the emergence of untreated alfalfa and crested

Table XV. The effect of Arasan seed treatment on emergence of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass grown in soils of 5 previous cropping histories in 1955 and 1956 field tests^{1/}.

Previous Cropping History of Soil	Alfalfa				Crested wheatgrass	
	1955 Arasan treated	Un- treated	1956 Arasan treated	Un- treated	1956 Arasan treated	Un- treated
Corn	42*	31	32	34	17	24
Fallow	30	28	32	29	19	12
Grass	25	18	<u>2/</u>		<u>2/</u>	
Small grain	41	38	<u>2/</u>		<u>2/</u>	
Alfalfa	40	42	12	14	10	8

* / Significantly different from the untreated check at the 5% level.

^{1/} Values represent the average emergence of 6 replications.

^{2/} Plots were plowed out before counts could be made.

Table XVI. Mean percent emergence in laboratory soil tests of chemically treated and untreated alfalfa and crested wheatgrass in soils of 5 previous cropping histories (tests 8, 9 and 13).

Test No.	Crop	No. of Samples ^{1/}	Previous crop on soil				
			Small grain	Alfalfa	Grass	Corn	Fallow
9	Crested wheatgrass						
	Chemically treated ^{2/}	60	63	63	70	67	67
	Untreated	20	56	53	60	70	69
	Average	80	60	58	65	69	68
9	Alfalfa						
	Chemically treated ^{2/}	60	32	18	41	33	27
	Untreated	20	18	16	30	16	14
	Average	80	25	17	36	25	21
13	Alfalfa						
	Chemically treated ^{3/}	40	37	28	40	48	34
	Untreated	40	16	12	25	25	17
	Average	80	27	20	33	37	26
8	Alfalfa						
	Chemically treated ^{2/}	60	53	43	54	57	58
	Untreated	20	20	20	36	30	31
	Average	80	37	32	45	44	45

^{1/} Number of replications to establish the means shown.

^{2/} Average of 3 chemical treatments, Arasan, Spergon, and Pittsburgh B-856.

^{3/} Pittsburgh B-856 only.

Table XVII. Analysis of variance of Pittsburgh B-856 treated and untreated alfalfa emergence in laboratory soil tests which compared 5 previous cropping histories of soil, 2 moisture levels, and 2 temperatures (test 13).

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square
Temperature	1	156.80
Chemical seed treatment	1	7,182.05**
Moisture level	1	22,111.25**
Previous crop on soil	4	687.24**
Temperature x chemical seed treatment	1	273.80*
Temperature x moisture level	1	3.20
Temperature x previous crop on soil	4	364.30**
Chemical seed treatment x moisture level	1	4,712.45**
Chemical seed treatment x previous crop on soil	4	66.36
Moisture level x previous crop on soil	4	283.50**
Temperature x chemical seed treatment x moisture level	1	204.80
Temperature x moisture level x previous crop on soil	4	198.91*
Temperature x chemical seed treatment x previous crop on soil	4	110.80
Chemical seed treatment x moisture level x previous crop on soil	4	135.95
Temperature x chemical seed treatment x moisture level x previous crop on soil	4	13.85
Error	40	58.00

* Significant at 5% level.

** Significant at 1% level.

wheatgrass. Alfalfa emergence was lowest on alfalfa soil while crested wheatgrass emergence was lowest on small grain soil.

The influence of temperature on emergence of chemically treated and untreated seed planted in soils of 5 previous cropping histories was investigated in 2 tests of alfalfa. Temperature was varied so that one half of the samples were held at 12° C. for 10 days and the other one half were held at 8° for 10 days. This 10-day period was preceded by a 2-day period at 20° and was followed by a 10-day period at 20°. In soils in which small grain, alfalfa, and grass were previously grown, emergence was higher when the samples were held for 10 days at 12°. In soils in which corn and fallow were previously grown, emergence was higher at the 8° holding temperature. In test 13, treatment benefits were most striking at the lower temperature. Temperature did not affect treatment results in test 8 (Table XVIII).

It appears from these results that the crop previously grown in a soil may be a factor in determining how many seedlings will emerge when alfalfa or crested wheatgrass are planted. Emergence in soil previously cropped to alfalfa was consistently lower than emergence in the other soils tested (Table XVI). Temperature and moisture may influence the effects of soils of different previous croppings. Chemical seed treatment did not overcome the apparent effects of previous cropping history of soil.

Table XVIII. The effect of temperature on emergence of chemically treated and untreated alfalfa seed planted in the laboratory in soils of 5 previous cropping histories (tests 8 and 13).

Previous crop on Soil	Chemical Seed Treatment	Test no. 8 ^{1/}		Test no. 13 ^{2/}	
		80° ^{3/}	120°	80° ^{3/}	120°
Small grain	Treated	44	55	32	41
	Untreated	13	26	10	18
Alfalfa	Treated	52	35	34	22
	Untreated	10	30	8	16
Grass	Treated	46	61	44	35
	Untreated	31	41	20	30
Corn	Treated	67	45	58	40
	Untreated	37	24	33	16
Fallow	Treated	66	49	35	32
	Untreated	37	26	16	14

- ^{1/} Each value represents an average for 2 samples and 2 moisture levels.
^{2/} Chemically treated values represent an average for 2 samples and 3 chemical seed treatments, Arasan, Spergon, and Pittsburgh B-856. Untreated values represent an average of 2 samples.
^{3/} Temperature at which samples were held for 10 days following a 2-day period at 20° C. and preceding a 10-day period at 20°.

The Effect of Treatment on Different Crop Species

The effect of chemical treatment on seed of 10 grasses and 10 legumes was studied in field tests, in laboratory soil tests, and in standard laboratory germination tests.

Field tests of the 20 species revealed no significant differences in response to treatment. All three chemicals were included in this test (Table XIX).

Pittsburgh B-856 was the only chemical used in laboratory soil comparisons of the 20 species. Grasses were tested in soil previously cropped to fallow and legumes were tested in soil previously cropped to alfalfa. Treated seed emergence was significantly higher than untreated seed emergence. All crops responded similarly to treatment (Table XIX).

Germination of smooth brome grass, timothy, alsike clover, white clover, and ladino clover was greatly reduced by Spergon treatment in standard germination tests. Germination of certain other crops was reduced to a lesser extent following treatment with Spergon (Table XX).

Germination of ladino clover and birdsfoot trefoil in controlled moisture blotter tests was lowered significantly by Spergon treatment. Alsike clover, alfalfa, and timothy germination was unaffected by Spergon treatment in these tests (Table XXI). Differences in germination of Spergon-treated alsike clover and timothy seed in standard germination tests and in controlled moisture blotter tests will be noted. This may have been because different seed lots of these crops were used

Table XIX. Percent emergence of 10 grasses and 10 legumes treated with different seed treating compounds and tested in the field and laboratory.

Chemical Treatment	Field tests				Laboratory Soil tests	
	Ara-san	Sper-gon	Pitt. B-856	Un-treated	Pitt. B-856	Un-treated
Intermediate wheatgrass	48	41	35	37	79	55
Alta fescue	28	24	21	30	71	67
Slender wheatgrass	31	21	16	23	76	59
Russian wildrye	17	16	20	12	52	31
Smooth bromegrass	21	15	19	27	66	52
Crested wheatgrass	20	14	13	17	59	53
Green needle grass	9	7	4	6	19	4
Reed canary grass	7	11	9	9	34	24
Orchard grass	13	8	9	13	36	18
Timothy	4	5	7	10	35	25
Average for 10 grasses	20	16	15	18	53**	39
Alfalfa	14	29	16	15	59	32
Red clover	15	16	21	16	53	41
Sweetclover	38	28	28	45	64	46
Alsike clover	8	1	4	6	46	33
White clover	15	4	4	4	69	61
Ladino clover	2	4	4	2	68	54
Birdsfoot trefoil	2	5	4	7	27	14
Strawberry clover	7	2	9	6	50	42
Sickle milkvetch	2	1	2	1	5	2
Cicer milkvetch	4	5	6	6	15	11
Average for 10 legumes	11	9	10	11	46**	34

** Significantly different from the untreated check at the 1% level.

Table XX. Percent normal sprouts in standard laboratory germination tests of 20 crop species chemically treated with different seed treating compounds.

Crops	Chemical treatment			
	Arasan	Spergon	Pitt.B-856	Untreated
Intermediate				
wheatgrass	93	93	93	91
Alta fescue	94	95	97	95
Slender				
wheatgrass	90	88	86	91
Russian				
wildrye	77	68	70	67
Smooth				
bromegrass	82	73	77	90
Crested				
wheatgrass	83	81	87	90
Green needle				
grass	43	48	43	37
Reed canary				
grass	64	63	62	63
Orchard grass	85	88	90	89
Timothy	87	74	83	93
Average for				
10 grasses	80	77*	79	80
Alfalfa	80	78	76	76
Red clover	82	76	83	83
Sweetclover	96	91	95	93
Alsike clover	76	63	77	79
White clover	97	51	98	98
Ladino clover	88	44	89	91
Birdsfoot				
trefoil	71	65	67	70
Strawberry				
clover	56	50	60	56
Sickle				
milkvetch	3	2	4	5
Cicer				
milkvetch	12	1	4	5
Average for				
10 legumes	66	52*	65	65

* Significantly different from the untreated check at the 5% level.

Table XXI. The mean percent germination of 4 and 5 crops respectively in tests 10 and 11 when treated with 4 chemicals and germinated on blotters at 2 moisture levels.

Crops	Chemical seed treatment			
	Arasan	Spergon	Pitt.B-856	Untreated
<u>Test 10</u>				
Alsike clover	92	90	88	90
Alfalfa	91	92	91	91
Ladino clover	91	58	92	92
Birdsfoot trefoil	70	49	71	71
<u>Test 11</u>				
Alsike clover	91	77	75	79
Alfalfa	85	87	81	90
Ladino clover	86	45	57	78
Birdsfoot trefoil	55	20	43	21
Timothy	76	85	83	90

in the different tests or because different rates (excess in both cases) of treatment existed in the two tests.

Alfalfa and crested wheatgrass were tested throughout this study. Differences between emergence of treated and untreated crested wheatgrass were not significant in any of the field tests. Alfalfa emergence was increased in one field test (Table XV) and was decreased in one field test (Table I). In laboratory soil tests, treatment increased alfalfa emergence more than it increased crested wheatgrass emergence (Tables I and XVI). Crested wheatgrass appeared to be better capable of withstanding the cold, wet conditions of the tests. This may explain its lack of response to treatment.

DISCUSSION

Three seed treating chemicals, Pittsburgh B-856, Arasan, and Spergon, were compared in this investigation. Arasan and Spergon have been on the market for a number of years. Pittsburgh B-856 is in the experimental stage. Pittsburgh B-856 and Arasan appeared to be more effective fungicides than Spergon as seed treating compounds for alfalfa and crested wheatgrass when compared in the laboratory. All three chemicals produced seedling emergence significantly higher than that of the untreated check in these tests.

In bacteria colony count tests made on agar plates, Pittsburgh B-856 was the most toxic to Rhizobium and Spergon the least. This indicates that Pittsburgh B-856 and Arasan are stronger fungicides than Spergon and supports the results of chemical comparisons in the laboratory soil tests. It might be expected that a chemical would kill beneficial bacteria just as it will kill seed or soil borne pathogens. It cannot be said that seed treatment compounds and legume bacteria are compatible. Fungicides will kill bacteria. However, results in this study, as well as in other studies (1, 3, 8, 9, 15, 18), indicate that seed treatments and bacterial inoculants can be used concurrently without reducing nodulation. It is not known whether this is because of lack of direct contact between chemical and bacteria, slow-killing action of the fungicides, or other reasons.

Standard laboratory germination tests indicated occasional lowering of germination by excess Spergon treatment. This effect was not evident

in soil tests of the same seed lot. Additional testing is necessary to determine if this presents a marketing problem of Spergon-treated seed.

Spergon adhered to seeds better than Pittsburgh B-856 and Pittsburgh B-856 adhered better than Arasan, the ratio being about 5 : 3 : 1. The amount of chemical sticking to seed also varied with the crop species and lots within crop species. An excess of chemical has been applied to seed in some seed treatment studies. The evidence here indicates that attention should be given to dosage rate. When an excess is used, there will always be a question of whether differences found are due to kind of chemical or to amount of chemical on the seed.

Field tests were made in both 1955 and 1956. In 1955, growing conditions were nearly ideal and seedlings emerged promptly after the seed was planted. In 1956, rain fell shortly after the seed was planted, providing ample moisture for germination. Following the rain, a hard crust formed over the ground and the soil became very dry. Emergence counts in 1956 were, therefore, very low. Field results in most instances showed no benefit to arise from treatment. If only field tests had been made in this investigation, little would have been learned about the effects of chemical seed treatment on forage crops. This points out the value of a method of testing in soil in the laboratory where planting and growing conditions can be controlled. Such a method was developed during the course of this study.

Seedsmen are concerned with the time of the year that seed should be treated. It is much more convenient to treat seed during the slack winter season than during the spring rush. Data collected in this study indicate that applying Arasan, Spergon, or Pittsburgh B-856 to seed up to a year ahead of planting time will not reduce the viability of the seed or the effectiveness of the fungicides.

Whether or not to recommend chemical seed treatment of forage crops in Montana must still be decided. There is evidence both for and against. These points must be considered in making the final decision:

1. When seedlings grow rapidly after germination, treatment is not likely to be of any great benefit.
2. When moisture is a limiting factor, stands may be decreased by chemical seed treatment.
3. When seeds are planted in unfavorable environments for germination and growth, chemical treatment may mean the difference between a good stand and a complete stand failure.
4. The seed treating chemical used, the crop being planted, the crop previously grown in the soil, the soil moisture level, and the temperature to which the seed is subjected after planting all influence the benefits that may arise from chemical seed treatment of forage crops.

SUMMARY

Field and laboratory tests were made in Bozeman, Montana, in 1955 and 1956 to determine the effect of chemical seed treatment upon grasses and small-seeded legumes grown in Montana.

Comparisons were made of Pittsburgh B-856, Arasan, and Spergon fungicidal compounds. Seedling emergence of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass in laboratory soil tests was increased more by treatment with Pittsburgh B-856 and Arasan than by Spergon treatment when rates of treatment were the same for all chemicals. Arasan appeared to be the best seed treatment compound for crested wheatgrass, and Pittsburgh B-856 appeared to be the best for alfalfa. Treatment was of more value in cold, wet soil than in cold, dry soil.

There was an indication that excess Spergon treatment may reduce standard germination blotter test counts of certain crops. This effect was not detected in soil tests.

Spergon adhered to seeds better than Pittsburgh B-856, and Pittsburgh B-856 adhered better than Arasan when an excess of chemical was applied. The ratio was approximately 5 : 3 : 1.

Viability of seed treated with Arasan, Spergon, or Pittsburgh B-856 1 year before planting was not lowered. The fungicides were still effective after 1 year on seed.

Field tests showed apparent compatibility of alfalfa and birdsfoot trefoil Rhizobium to the fungicides used. Birdsfoot trefoil maturity date was hastened and degree of nodulation was increased when the seed

was inoculated with Rhizobium. Chemical treatment did not affect these results. In agar colony count tests, Pittsburgh B-856 was the most toxic to birdsfoot trefoil Rhizobium and Spergon was the least toxic. The effect of Arasan was intermediate.

The crop previously grown in the soil appeared to affect the number of alfalfa and crested wheatgrass seedlings that emerged in field and laboratory soil tests. Temperature and moisture level were found to influence the apparent effect of previous cropping. Although chemical seed treatment increased emergence of alfalfa significantly in all soils in laboratory soil tests, it did not overcome the apparent effect of previous cropping.

The 20 crop species tested, representing grasses and legumes grown in Montana, all responded favorably to seed treatment with Pittsburgh B-856, when grown in cold, wet soil.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Allison, J. Lewis and J. H. Torrie. Effect of several seed protectants on germination and stands of various forage legumes. *Phytopath.* 34: 799-804. 1944.
2. Association of Official Seed Analysts. Rules for testing seeds. *Proc. Assoc. Off. Seed Anal.* 44: 31-78. 1954.
3. Buchholtz, Walter F. Seed treatment as a control for damping off of alfalfa and other legumes. (Abstr.) *Phytopath.* 26: 88. 1936.
4. Chilton, S. J. P. and R. J. Garber. Effect of seed treatment on stands of some forage legumes. *Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron.* 33: 75-83. 1941.
5. Forsyth, Dwight D. and Max L. Schuster. Abnormal leaf formation on flax seedlings caused by *Spergon*. *Agron. Jour.* 35: 733-735. 1943.
6. Gerdemann, J. W. Effect of seed treatment on forage legumes in wet and dry soil. *Phytopath.* 41: 610-614. 1951.
7. Hanson, E. W. Effect of seed treatment on seedling stand of small-seeded legumes in Wisconsin. (Abstr.) *Phytopath.* 42: 467. 1952.
8. Kernkamp, M. F. Chemical treatment of soybean seed in relation to nodulation by nodule bacteria. *Phytopath.* 38: 955-959. 1948.

9. Kernkamp, M. F. Seed treatment of small seeded legumes. University of Minn. Tech. Bul. 209. 1953.
10. Kreitlow, K. W. et al. Investigations on seed treatment of alfalfa, red clover, and sudan grass for control of damping off. *Phytopath.* 40: 883-898. 1950.
11. Leukel, R. W. Recent developments in seed treatment. *Bot. Rev.* 14: 235-269. 1948.
12. Machacek, J. E. et al. A study of some seed-borne diseases of cereals in Canada. III. Effect of rate of seeding, percentage of infested kernels, and weeds, on the yield of plots sown with treated and untreated seed. *Can. Jour. Ag. Sci.* 34: 240-251. 1954.
13. Raeder, J. M. Seed treatment of forage grasses and small-seeded legumes in Idaho. *Idaho Ag. Exp. Sta. Bul.* 240. 1955.
14. Ronningen, T. S. and A. G. Hess. Relationship between stand and yield in alfalfa variety comparisons. *Agron. Jour.* 47: 92-93. 1955.
15. Ruhloff, Marcia and Joe C. Burton. Compatibility of Rhizobia with seed protectants. *Soil Sci.* 72: 283-290. 1951.
16. Shaw, Arthur. Purity analysis survey of spring seeded grain in Montana--Summary of 5 counties cooperating. Info. sheet. Extension Service, Montana State College. 1956.

17. Sherf, Arden F. and C. S. Reddy. Seed treatment and inoculation studies on soybeans in Iowa fields, 1950-1951. (Abstr.)
Phytopath. 42: 19. 1952.
18. Vlitos, A. J. and D. A. Preston. Seed treatment of field legumes.
Phytopath. 39: 706-714. 1949.

PLANT PATHOLOGY
LIBRARY
MAY 19 1952

123692



3 1762 10015087 7

N378
N559e
cop.2

123692

Niffenegger, D. A.

Effects of chemical seed treat-
ment upon seed germination &
stand establishment of forage
crops.

NAME AND ADDRESS	
Jan. 17, 61	Alfred Nelson - 4112212 ^{GU}
Feb 25 61	Frank Nelson
2 28 69	ATI PLANTING
	R3-3-6
R	3-6
5-14-69	Revised
MAY 4 7 1969	ATI

N378

N559e

cop.2

123692