Evaluation of germination procedure, mechanical scarification, and environmental variation of germination of cicer milkvetch (Astragalus cicer L.) seed
by Richard David Austin

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE in Agronomy
Montana State University

Abstract:
A study was conducted to determine methods of raising the low levels of germination in cicer milkvetch to acceptable standards.

Two studies were carried out to determine the optimum conditions for germination. Four ages of seed, two germination solutions and five temperature regimes were used. It was found that H2O was the best germination solution, and either 15-25 or 25C the best temperature. The first year of storage of seed gave the greatest increase in germination percentage.

Laboratory, small commercial, and large commercial scarifiers were used to mechanically scarify cicer milkvetch seed. Two principles of scarification, rubbing, and rubbing plus throwing the seed against a cylinder were employed. All scarification experiments demonstrated the practicality of scarification for raising the levels of germination. Overscarification occurred in some cases. Percentage losses of seed through scarification were calculated for one trial.

Two quick tests for detection of levels of scarification were used. They were the Chromic-Nitric 1-hour test which was not successful and a 24-hour swell test which was successful.

The effects of stage of maturity of racemes at harvest and time of harvest during the summer were studied in relation to germination percentage, hard seed, individual seed weight and seed number. Attempts to manipulate these two conditions proved unsuccessful in regulating the above four factors.

EVALUATIONS ON GERMINATION PROCEDURE, MECHANICAL SCARIFICATION, AND ENVIRONMENTAL VARIATION ON GERMINATION OF CICER MILKVETCH (*Astragalus cicer* L.) SEED

by

RICHARD DAVID AUSTIN

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Agronomy

Approved:

E. R. Hehn

Head, Major Department

Albert E. Carleton

Chairman, Examining Committee

K Goering

Graduate Dean

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

June, 1969

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Dr. Albert E. Carleton and Loren Wiesner who, as advisors, contributed many hours of time and counsel toward completion of this study and manuscript.

Special thanks are extended to Dr. C. S. Cooper and Dr. Don Mathre for their suggestions and advice on the manuscript and service as committee members.

Appreciation is expressed to the SCS and to James R. Stroh, Ash Thornburg, and Vern Sunberg of the SCS Bridger Plant Materials Center for their help and use of the facilities.

Special acknowledgment is due to D. J. Davis and his staff from the Montana State Grain Testing Laboratory for technical advice and germination equipment.

The author also wishes to thank Dr. E. R. Hehn, Head, Plant and Soil Science Department, for the advice of his staff and use of the Plant and Soil Science facilities.

Thanks are due to Maurice Holman, Manager of Northrup King Seed Co., Billings, Montana, for furnishing the commercial scarification equipment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to determine methods of raising the low levels of germination in cicer milkvetch to acceptable standards.

Two studies were carried out to determine the optimum conditions for germination. Four ages of seed, two germination solutions and five temperature regimes were used. It was found that H_2O was the best germination solution, and either 15-25 or 25C the best temperature. The first year of storage of seed gave the greatest increase in germination percentage.

Laboratory, small commercial, and large commercial scarifiers were used to mechanically scarify cicer milkvetch seed. Two principles of scarification, rubbing, and rubbing plus throwing the seed against a cylinder were employed. All scarification experiments demonstrated the practicality of scarification for raising the levels of germination. Overscarification occurred in some cases. Percentage losses of seed through scarification were calculated for one trial.

Two quick tests for detection of levels of scarification were used. They were the Chromic-Nitric 1-hour test which was not successful and a 24-hour swell test which was successful.

The effects of stage of maturity of racemes at harvest and time of harvest during the summer were studied in relation to germination percentage, hard seed, individual seed weight and seed number. Attempts to manipulate these two conditions proved unsuccessful in regulating the above four factors.

INTRODUCTION

Cicer milkvetch (*Astragalus cicer* L.) was introduced into the United States from the Stockholm Botanical Gardens, Stockholm, Sweden, in the early 1930's. Cicer milkvetch has many desirable characteristics; however, full evaluation of its potential as a forage crop has been hampered by its low germination, 1-20%. Initial work through chipping studies indicated this was due to a hard seed coat.

Research to overcome low germination was needed so a comprehensive field evaluation could be made of cicer milkvetch. The purpose of this investigation was to establish a standard for germination, raise the germination percentage through mechanical scarification, and evaluate the effects of environment on germination percentage, hard seed coat, individual seed weight and seed number per raceme.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Cicer milkvetch (Astragalus cicer L.) is a little known legume in the United States and has received limited attention (17, 39, 46). As a forage crop it has many favorable characteristics. It has been under observation at plant materials centers in the West since 1934. Cicer milkvetch is a perennial herbaceous legume. Its growth habit varies from prostrate to upright with decumbent being the more common form. Stems are succulent and leaves are pinnately compound with numerous leaflets. Flowers are white to pale yellow borne on racemes. Bladder-like seed pods contain the seed and are green to greenish red in color when immature. They turn black as the seed matures, and essentially, no shattering of mature seed occurs. Seed is produced over a long period of time with some plants being in continuous bloom from June to the first frost. The seeds are of medium size, bright yellow to pale green in color with extremely hard shiny coats. Laboratory germination varies from 1-20% depending on the lot of seed. Reproduction is by seed and rhizomes. Rapid rhizomatous spread and formation of a dense mat often occur after two or more years of growth.

Cicer milkvetch is adapted to a range of moderate to coarse textured soils. In comparison to alfalfa it will tolerate a higher pH than alfalfa. A stand has been established on a soil having a pH of 9.8. It equals alfalfa in low pH tolerance. Cicer will grow exceedingly well on sites too wet for establishment of alfalfa. Cicer milkvetch has been adapted to dryland conditions where the annual precipitation is 14 inches or greater. It is adapted to high elevations because of its frost tolerance.

Production is equal to alfalfa when properly managed. Cicer milkvetch has no toxic alkaloids and no cases of bloat have been reported, although Cooper (11) showed it to an extremely high foam producer. It appears to be relatively free from diseases or insect damage. Seed production is high with average seed yields of 756 lbs./A. being reported by the SCS Plant Materials Center in Bridger, Montana. The percentage of leaves by weight is higher than that of alfalfa in the hay stage and crude protein is slightly lower than that of alfalfa at the hay stage (20). Once established, cicer milkvetch is a good competitor with grasses in a mixed stand. When planted with Russian wildrye it had a tendency to crowd out Russian wildrye (35). It is slow to establish. This is attributed to low seedling vigor and slow seedling emergence. Low germination has been shown by Little (24) to be caused by an impermeable seed coat.

Hard seeds are mechanically dormant seeds which do not germinate. For germination to take place the impermeable seed coat must become permeable. Hard seeds occur universally among the family Leguminosae and have been found in members of other families. Gramineae, Malvacea, Chenopodiacea and Solanacea are examples as reported by Barton (4).

Early work by White (43) proposed that impermeability of hard seeds was caused by a thicker cuticle layer over the palisade cells. Coe and Martin (10) did an extensive study on the structure and chemical nature of the seed coat and its relation to impermeable seeds of sweetclover. They found no difference in the chemical structure between the coats of permeable and impermeable seeds. The principle difference was in the character and amount of thickening of the walls of the malpighian cells. The outer membrane is instrumental in conferring impermeability on the seed. Quinlivan (30), in his work with hard seeds of sand-plain lupine, found that hard seeds with a moisture content above 10% are conditionally hard and those with a moisture content below 8.5% are absolutely hard and will not soften when exposed to moisture unless daily temperature fluctuations of 15 up to 65C are present. He also found moisture penetration occurring primarily at ramdom sites over the testa but not normally at the hilum or strophiole.

Environment and genetics have been studied to determine their effects on impermeable seed. Lute (27), in her study on impermeable seed of alfalfa, stated that there appeared to be no relationship between altitude and production of impermeable seed. In contrast, Dexter (13) tested a large number of samples of alfalfa seed collected from several states to include a wide range of climatic conditions. These samples were tested for germination and hard seed content. He found that seed from lower altitudes tended to be lower in hard seed content than seed from higher altitudes. Also, second or later cuttings seemed somewhat higher in hard seed than first cutting or earlier harvest. Seed from irrigated fields seemed much the same in hard seed content as seed from dryland fields. Varietal differences in hard seed content were small and unreliable.

According to Stewart and Carlson (37), brightly colored seed in alfalfa was indicative of the following quality characteristics: large seed size, high hard seed content, and optimum maturity.

Whitcomb (42) found a high degree of variation occurring in the hard seed content of different kinds of small seeded legumes. He also found differences in lots of the same kind of seed. In checking on the time of harvest he found the hard seed content of immature seeds was lower than that of ripe seeds but germination of immature seeds was lower than that of mature seeds.

Williams and Elliott (44), in their investigation of the ecological significance of seed coat impermeability to moisture in crimson, subterranean and rose clover in a Mediterranean climate, found that crimson and subterranean followed a general pattern of decline of impermeability during summer months after seed maturity. Rose clover, in contrast, maintained a very high percentage of impermeable seed throughout the summer, autumn and winter months. They concluded that the ecological significance of seed coat impermeability, relative to survival of annual leguminous species, involved four major characteristics. These were: production of impermeable seed, rate at which seeds become permeable, degree of influence of environmental factors favorable to germination, and breakdown of impermeability and the survival and production of plants developing from impermeable seed after delayed germination.

Fayemi (15), studying the effects of temperature on the rate of swelling and germination of legume seeds, found that the time from exposure to the initial absorption of water by the seeds became shorter as the temperature increased for alfalfa, red clover, alsike clover, and ladino clover. The exception was crimson clover.

Hoverland and Elkins (21) found that crimson clover varieties originating in northern locations were more tolerant of low temperatures and less tolerant of high temperatures during germination than were varieties from southern locations.

No inheritance of impermeability in seeds of crimson clover was found by James (22). He selfed parents with a difference of about 60% in seed coat permeability and found a difference of less than 1% in the first generation. He concluded from his data that it appears doubtful that impermeability is inherited unless the possible inheritable factors are masked by the environmental factors to the extent that the different effects cannot be separated.

Analysis of F_1 and F_2 data in a diverse sample of wild blue lupine from Portugal by Forbs (16) showed that hard-seededness was controlled by a single pair of dominant genes, SS, whereas soft-seededness in two cultivated varieties was controlled by the allelic recessive pair, ss.

Storage of seed has been shown to have a definite effect on impermeability and viability. Hafenrichter et al. (18) found that cicer milk-vetch improved in germination when stored at cool dry conditions at two locations. Germination increased and hard seed content decreased with time. Lute (26) found that many hard seeds became permeable in storage at the end of 13 years and impermeable seeds stored for the same length of time did not differ in death rate. Whitcomb (41) found that all impermeable seeds of alfalfa in storage became permeable in 11 years. Hanson and Moore (19) stored alfalfa, white and crimson clover, and lespedeza under subfreezing conditions for periods up to 48 months and under standard laboratory conditions. The lot stored under subfreezing conditions maintained higher viability and had higher germinations.

Many different processes have been applied to impermeable seeds to increase their permeability to water and oxygen. Some of the most common processes used have been heat, aging, mechanical abrasion, and chemical degradation. Time, cost, and unreliable results have been limiting factors in commercial application of these.

Acid scarification has successfully been applied to hard-seeded legumes, but results are extremely variable and the treatment is hazardous (7, 10, 41).

Various means of scarification from chipping and sandpapering to large commercial scarification have been used. Results have been variable depending on the method, lot of seed, and kind of seed. Whitcomb (41) found the following degrees of injury in seeds that were mechanically scarified: no visible injury, seed coats cracked, embryos partly exposed but not broken, small portions of the embryo broken away, and one third or more of the seed broken away. Alfalfa seeds mechanically and acid scarified have been shown to deteriorate rapidly under storage (5). Little (24) was able to successfully demonstrate chipping as an effective means of increasing laboratory germination with cicer milkvetch.

Heat has also been used successfully to increase permeability in hard seeds. Aasheim (1), in checking the thermal death point of certain crops, increased germination in alfalfa from 52 to 90%. He used 100C for 15 minutes.

He had a similar response for ruby milkvetch, sweetclover, and yellow trefoil. Swobada (48), using a temperature of 105C for periods of 1, 2, and 3 minutes, found that cicer milkvetch failed to respond to heat treatment and showed only a slight increase in germination. Whitcomb (41) found a temperature of 75C for 3 hours increased germination in alfalfa by 23%. Rincker (33) used a dry heat of 220F for 4 minutes. He was able to show an 81 and 69% reduction of hard seed in alfalfa and red clover, respectively, with a corresponding increase in germination. Hard-seeded sweetclover didn't respond the same as alfalfa to an identical heat treatment. He found that overheating posed a real threat to the viability of specific lots of seed, and samples of seed from the same crop varied from each other in response to the same heat treatment.

Kendall (23) tested the effect of amino acids on germination of red clover seed. He found significant differences in germinations but the differences were not sufficiently consistent to be useful for identification purposes.

Works and Erickson (45) used infrared radiation treatments on hard seeds of alfalfa, red and alsike clover, and sweetclover. Treatments increased germination of all species but sweetclover seed lots responded with only a slight increase in germination.

STANDARDIZATION OF A PROCEDURE FOR GERMINATION OF CICER MILKVETCH

Materials and Methods

Two experiments were designed to determine a standard procedure to be used for germination of cicer milkvetch seed.

The procedure for germination consisted of placing 4 replications of 100 seeds each in 4" x 4" plastic trays on double layers of blotter paper saturated with water. This was done for each treatment. A dark germinator was used and seeds were counted at intervals of 7 and 14 days. All cicer milkvetch seed used in this study was harvested and provided by the SCS Bridger Plant Materials Center, Bridger, Montana.

In the first experiment seed of four ages representing the 1964, 1965, 1966, and 1967 years were germinated. Germination temperatures were 15, 20, 25, 30, and an alternating 15-25C.

In the second experiment the same general procedure and seed were used with the exception that 1964 seed was not included. Germination temperatures were 15-25 and 25C. Two solutions, .2% KNO_3 and water, were used for germination.

Results and Discussion

In the first experiment on germination, temperature significantly influenced germination of cicer milkvetch (Table I). Germination at temperatures of 15-25 and 25C was similar and when averaged across age of seed gave the highest % germination. Mean germinations of 20.9 and 19.8% were obtained for 15-25 and 25C respectively. These low germination percentages are typical of unscarified cicer milkvetch.

Table I. The effect of temperature and age of seed on % germination of cicer milkvetch seed.1/

Temperature in C.	Years seed produced 1964	1965	1966	1967	Avg.
	%	%	%	%	%
15C	1.8	1.8	2.5	1.5	1.9 d
20C	11.3	16.8	13.3	6.8	12.0 c
25C	18.3	25.8	24.5	11.0	19.9 a
15-25C	18.5	23.3	30.8	11.3	20.9 a
30C	16.0	15.5	24.8	10.8	16.8 b
Avg.	16.4 c	20.8 b	23.9 a	10.3 d	

1/ Averages followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the .05 level of probability. (Duncans Multiple Range Test).

The mean germination was lowest in the year of harvest. Seed which had been stored for one year had the highest mean germination. This indicated that cicer milkvetch seed gains its greatest increase in germination during the first year of storage. A study by Hafenrichter (18) on storage of cicer milkvetch seed gave similar results. After storage of one year germination began to decline.

In the second experiment, as in the first, no significant differences were found between temperatures of 15-25 and 25C (Table II). A significant difference was again recorded for the ages of seed for the years 1965, 1966, and 1967. The pattern of germination for ages of seed was quite similar to that in the first experiment. Germination temperatures and age of seed had a significant interaction. The 1965 and 1967 seed showed no significant differences between the two temperatures. The 1966 seed had a significantly higher germination at 15-25C than at a constant 25C. One possible explanation is that those seeds which had begun the breakdown of the hard seed coat under storage were less vigorous and the constant 25C temperature was less favorable for their germination.

Significant differences in germination were found for the two solutions when averaged across temperatures and age of seed. KNO_3 depressed germination of 1965 and 1966 seed at both temperatures. Water and KNO_3 gave equal results for 1967 seed at 15-25C, but at 25C, KNO_3 caused a slight increase in germination over water. Age of seed affected germination in solutions. The 1965 and 1966 seed germinated better in water than in KNO_3. Only a slight difference existed between the two solutions for

Table II. Mean germination for 3 ages of cicer milkvetch seed at 2 temperatures in 2 different solutions.1/

	Solution				
	H_2O Temperature		KNO_3 Temperature		
	15-25C	25C	15-25C	25C	Avg.
	%	%	%	%	%
1965	18.3	13.5	18.5	14.0	16.1 a
1966	23.5	16.5	16.8	14.5	17.8 a
1967	7.5	7.5	7.5	8.0	7.6 b

	H_2O	KNO_3
Average Solutions	15.3 a	12.3 b
	15-25C	**25C**
Average Temperature	14.5 a	13.2 a

1/ Averages followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the .05 level of probability. (Duncans Multiple Range Test).

1967 seed while the 1966 and 1965 seed differed by 17%. KNO_3 did not depress the germination of the 1967 seed. In the 1966 seed which had aged for one year the KNO_3 may have affected those seeds which were marginal in germination strength. This was also true for 1965 seed which also germinated less in KNO_3.

A possible explanation for the depressing effect of KNO_3 might be from increased osmotic concentration of the solution. This could possibly affect the uptake of water by the less vigorous but permeable seed and prevent germination (29).

From these two experiments a standard procedure for use in germination of cicer milkvetch seed was established. Cicer milkvetch seed should be germinated in 4" x 4" trays on blotter paper saturated with water at temperatures of 15-25C for 14 days in the dark. This procedure was used for all subsequent experiments.

EXPERIMENTAL SCARIFICATION OF CICER MILKVETCH SEED
USING A VANE-TYPE SCARIFIER

Materials and Methods

A small vane-type scarifier was used for experimental scarification. It operated on the principle of throwing the seed against an abrasive surface causing a fracturing of the seed coat. The two sources of variation were: (1) control of rpm through a rheostat, and (2) number of times (X) the seed was put through the scarifier. One lot of cicer milkvetch seed was passed through the scarifier 1 through 4 times. Four replications of 100 seeds each were germinated, counted, and averaged for each treatment.

Results and Discussion

As the rheostat setting and number of times through the scarifier increased, germination percentage increased (Table III). The exception to this occurred when the seed was run through 4 times at rheostat settings of 55 and 60. This resulted in lower germination and higher death losses due to overscarification. Average death losses for these two treatments were 33 and 36% respectively, which were higher than those for any other treatments. Scarification increased germination from 13 to 56%.

Table III. Effects of degree of seed scarification on germination of cicer milkvetch seed.

Rheostat setting	Number of times through scarifier				
	1	2	3	4	Avg.
	%	%	%	%	%
40	13	26	35	--	24.7
45	13	37	41	39	32.5
50	22	42	46	59	42.3
55	23	36	50	38	36.8
60	28	42	56	38	41.0
Avg.	19.8	36.6	45.6	43.5	

SCARIFICATION OF CICER MILKVETCH SEED IN A SMALL COMMERCIAL SCARIFIER

Materials and Methods

Two scarification trials were carried out for testing commercial scarification. A small Model No. 2 Foresberg Huller was obtained from the Northrup King Seed Company. This machine combined a throwing and rubbing action as a means of scarification. The scarifier consisted of a solid tapered cylinder with cup-shaped teeth that forced the seed against a metal screen which lined the drum. The cylinder traveled at a fixed speed of approximately 1400 rpms. The two variables controlling amount of scarification were cylinder-to-drum clearance and number of times (X) seed was put through the scarifier. Seed from 1966 and 1967 was scarified.

Treatments of 1X through 4X at a single clearance were used in the first trial. In the second trial seed was put through the scarifier from 4X to 10X with the same clearance setting. Mean germination was based on 4 replications of 100 seeds each for each treatment. In the second trial a clean-out percentage was calculated for each treatment to determine the percentage lost through scarification. A 100-gram sample was cleaned and the % loss determined for each treatment.

Results and Discussion

Germination increased with number of times through the scarifier up to 3X in the first trial (Table IV). Scarification was most effective on 1966 seed. Alfalfa put through the scarifier 4X was reduced to flour whereas most of the cicer milkvetch seed remained in a whole state and germination was equal to that at 3X.

Table IV. Average germination of two lots of cicer milkvetch seed when passed through a scarifier from 1X to 4X in a commercial scarifier.1/

Treatment	Age of seed years 1966	1967	Avg.
	%	%	%
Check	22.0	19.5	20.8 d
1X	74.8	45.0	59.9 c
2X	81.5	56.8	69.1 b
3X	75.5	70.8	73.1 a
4X	80.5	75.0	77.8 a
Avg.	66.9 a	53.4 b	

1/ Averages followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the .05 level of probability. (Duncans Multiple Range Test).

In general for the second trial, germination percentage and percentage loss increased with number of times the seed was put through the scarifier (Table V). Normal unscarified seed lost less than 1%, whereas seed scarified 10X lost 30.8%. Germination based on cleaned seed with no allowance for loss was 25% for the unscarified check and 94.8% for 10X. Germination percentage was similar at 4-6X; at 7-8X; and 9-10X. Average germination for this range of settings was 80.41, 86.64, and 93.75%, respectively.

These data show that scarification of cicer milkvetch seed is feasible on a commercial basis. However, setting used for scarification of alfalfa cannot be used as a guide for scarification of cicer milkvetch seed.

Table V. Average germination percentages and percent losses of cicer milkvetch seed scarified from 4X to 10X in a commercial scarifier.1/

Treatment	% germination of cleaned seed	% loss on seed lot
Check	25.0 d	0.4
4X	78.8 c	8.8
5X	81.0 c	9.2
6X	81.5 c	8.9
7X	85.3 b	14.1
8X	88.0 b	28.4
9X	92.8 a	28.1
10X	94.8 a	30.8

1/ Averages followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the .05 level of probability. (Duncans Multiple Range Test).

SCARIFICATION OF CICER MILKVETCH SEED IN LARGE COMMERCIAL MACHINES AND TECHNIQUES FOR RAPID DETERMINATION OF DEGREE OF SCARIFICATION

Materials and Methods

Two experiments were conducted using large commercial scarifiers and two techniques were tried for determination of levels of scarification. In the first study, Crippen Manufacturing Company scarified a lot of cicer milkvetch seed with a Model S Scarifier & Huller. This machine draws the seed into a revolving, circular, abrasive-lined drum by air suction. The seed coat is rubbed as the seed is circulated. The degree of scarification is regulated by control of rpm of the main shaft. Seed was scarified at 4 different rpm settings (Table VI). Four replications of 100 seeds each were germinated for each rpm setting.

In the second experiment a Wes Gro Process Brand Polisher furnished by the Northrup King Seed Co. was used. The principle of scarification by this machine is a rubbing action on the seed coat produced by brushes carrying the seed around a large vertical perforated steel drum. Seed is fed by gravity down through the top of the machine. Treatments consisted of (1) varying tension on the brushes, (2) regulation of feed rate, and (3) number of times (X) the seed was put through the scarifier. Ten treatments including the unscarified check were used. One lot of seed was used. Mean germinations were calculated from 4 replications of 100 seeds for each treatment.

A staining technique developed by Simmons (36) of the University of Wyoming was used for determination of amount of scarification which had taken place. This technique was used on seed processed through the

Table VI. Scarification results from cicer milkvetch seed scarified in a Crippen Large Scarifier.1/

Setting	Avg.
	%
Check	25.3 d
700 rpm	29.5 c
900 rpm	41.8 b
1300 rpm	49.3 a
1600 rpm	51.5 a

1/ Averages followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the .05 level of probability. (Duncans Multiple Range Test).

Wes Gro Process Brand Polisher. The stain was Chromic-Nitric Acid. Seed was soaked in stain for 1 hour and then checked for the percentage of seeds which were stained.

An additional experiment was conducted to determine the potential of using the number of swelled seeds in a 24-hour period as a means of detecting germination potential of scarified seed. Seed from 1966 and 1967 was used. Four treatments and a check were used for each year. Treatments for 1966 and 1967 consisted of putting the seed through from 1 through 4 times (X). All seed was scarified in a Model No. 2 Foresberg Huller. Four replications of 100 seeds each were averaged to obtain the means for treatments. A correlation was run between percent germination and percent swell. The standard germination procedure was used for germination.

Results and Discussion

In the first experiment involving seed scarified by the Crippen Manufacturing Company, germination increased from 25.3% with no scarification to 49.2% with an rpm setting of 1300. A higher setting of 1600 rpms gave no significant increase. This machine which employs a rubbing action was as effective as the machine which employed a rubbing plus fracturing action.

In the second experiment with seed from Northrup King Seed Company's scarifier, untreated seed germination was 28.0% (Table VII). The first setting used was that commonly used for scarification of alfalfa seed. This only raised germination to 36.2% which was significantly different from the check. The highest germination percentage obtained, 84.0%,

Table VII. Results from scarification trials and staining trials.1/

Treatment	Avg. Germination	Avg. Stain
	%	%
Alfalfa setting	36.2 f	8.5 e
3x's tighter	36.5 f	7.3 e
5x's tighter	45.0 e	9.8 de
5x's tighter (1 run)	43.0 e	8.8 e
6x's tighter (1500 lbs./hr.)	46.0 e	13.0 d
6x's tighter (180 lbs./hr.)	56.0 d	17.5 c
5x's tighter (2 runs)	68.0 c	12.5 d
5x's tighter (3 runs)	78.0 b	22.5 b
5x's tighter (4 runs)	84.0 a	35.8 a
Check	28.0 g	8.2 e

1/ Averages followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the .05 level of probability. (Duncans Multiple Range Test).

occurred when seed was passed through the scarifier 4X at a tension setting 5 times tighter than that used for alfalfa. Germination increased with number of times through the scarifier. Increasing the tension above 5 times did not affect germination. Increasing the tension only 3 times tighter than the alfalfa setting was also not effective.

Staining did not successfully determine the amount of scarification of seed scarified in the Wes Gro Process Brand Polisher. Seeds with low and high germinations had similar numbers of stained seed (Table VII). A technique other than staining is needed to protect the seed processor from overscarification of seed lots.

The following results were obtained from the 24-hour swell test (Table VIII). The following r values were obtained, .807 and .967, for the 1966 and 1967 seed, respectively. The optimum level of scarification based on a 24-hour swell test was 1X scarified for 1966 seed which gave a germination percentage of 74.7%, and a 24-hour swell percentage of 33.0%. For 1967 seed the optimum level was 3X through the scarifier with a germination percentage of 70.8% and 53.7% for the swell test.

From this limited study it was determined that proper scarification levels were reached when 40 to 50% of the seed swelled when placed in a germinator for a 24-hour period. Further work with the swell test could provide a useful tool for the seed processor who is faced with the problem of scarification of large lots of seed and doesn't want to overscarify it.

Table VIII. Average germination and swell percentages of 2 seed lots under 4 scarification treatments.

	Years			
	1966		1967	
	germination	swell	germination	swell
	%	%	%	%
Check	22.1	18.0	19.5	9.0
1X	74.7	33.0	45.0	25.2
2X	81.5	49.2	56.8	32.7
3X	75.5	61.5	70.8	53.7
4X	80.5	74.5	75.0	64.7

EFFECTS OF TIME OF HARVEST AND STAGE OF MATURITY ON CICER MILKVETCH SEED

Materials and Methods

A study was conducted at the SCS Bridger Plant Materials Center, Bridger, Montana, to determine the effects of time of seed production during the summer and stage of maturity of seed at harvest on four factors. The factors were: (1) germination percentage, (2) hard seed percentage, (3) number of seeds per raceme, and (4) individual seed weight.

Time of seed production during the summer was studied by establishing three series. These were labeled A, B, and C, and represented early, mid, and late summer, respectively. Each series was composed of 7 stages of maturity at harvest. Each stage consisted of one tagged raceme.

Series A was initiated on June 12, 1967, by tagging selected plants that had one raceme showing first bloom. First bloom was based on opening of the first floret on a raceme. Three days later another set of racemes which were also at first bloom were tagged. The tagging process was continued for 18 days with 7 taggings taking place. At the 15th day or 6th tagging in series A, series B was started. Series C was also initiated on the 6th tagging date of B. Material used for this study consisted of 50 cicer milkvetch plants which were selected from a population of 200 spaced plants. They were permanently identified by stake and location. Selection was based on uniformity of bloom to allow for the above tagging procedure.

The entire series was harvested when the first tagged raceme turned black on the 50 plants. Harvest dates for series A, B, and C were

7/31/67, 8/11/67, and 9/6/67, respectively. Harvesting the entire series at one time produced racemes of different stages of maturity.

All were threshed by hand and cleaned for each plant. A germination test was used to study the effect of time of production and stage of maturity at harvest on hard seed content and germination percentage. In addition, average effect of the above two variables on seed number and seed weight were studied for the 50 plants.

Seeds from each raceme were weighed to the nearest .001 gm. and counted. Individual seed weights and seed number per raceme were used to determine the effect of time of seed production during the summer and stage of maturity on yield. The 50 plants were considered as random samples and were used as the source of within treatment variation for analyses of variance. All data presented are the average of 50 plants when possible. Some treatments were averaged over less than 50 plants since insufficient seed was produced for determination of some of the late season treatments.

Results and Discussion

The following trends were noted concerning germination and hard seed percentages in relation to stage of maturity at harvest and time of seed production during the summer (Table IX). Germination in general was low in all stages and series. Germination percentages were higher in the more mature stages than in the immature stages. Hard seed percentage was not affected by stage of maturity. Seed harvested early in the summer had the highest germination percentages. A gradual decline in germination percentages occurred as the seed was harvested later in the summer. Hard seed

Table IX. Average germination and hard seed percentages of seed from 50 cicer milkvetch plants at 7 stages of maturity and 3 harvest times during the summer.1/

		Germination %	Hard Seed %
	(Stages)	Avg.	Avg.
A1	mature	7.5 a	76.4 a
A2		5.7 ab	69.0 abc
A3		5.2 ab	69.8 abc
A4		4.7 b	70.1 abc
A5		4.3 b	63.6 c
A6		4.1 b	68.0 bc
A7	immature	1.2 c	72.7 ab
	(Time of Summer)	Avg.	Avg.
A	early	10.0 a	69.8 a
B	mid	8.6 a	60.1 b
C	late	8.3 a	58.4 c

1/ Averages followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the .05 level of probability. (Duncans Multiple Range Test).

percentage was highest early in the summer and declined with late harvest.

Stage of maturity and time of harvest during the summer caused the following results and trends in seed number and seed weight (Table X). The greatest number of seeds were produced in the most mature racemes. Seed weight was highest for mature harvested racemes and showed the same general trend as seed numbers. Racemes produced early in the summer had the highest number of seeds and seed per raceme decreased in late summer. Seed weights were lowest early in the summer and increased with lateness of harvest. This was due apparently to a negative association which is present in many crops between seed number and seed weight (8, 32).

From this study it was concluded that attempts to harvest seed at specific stages or times during the season could not be justified for the purpose of increasing germination, decreasing hard seed content, altering seed numbers or seed weights.

Table X. Average seed numbers and seed weights of seed from 50 cicer milkvetch plants at 7 stages of maturity and 3 harvest times during the summer.1/

		Seed Numbers	Seed Weight
	(Stages)	Avg.	Avg.
A1	mature	77.8 a	3.7 a
A2		69.8 ab	3.6 a
A3		69.7 ab	3.7 a
A4		70.7 a	3.7 a
A5		68.0 ab	3.6 a
A6		65.6 ab	3.3 b
A7	immature	54.5 b	3.1 c
	(Time of Summer)	Avg.	Avg.
A	early	86.9 a	3.5 b
B	mid	66.2 b	3.4 c
C	late	48.3 c	3.8 a

1/ Averages followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the .05 level of probability. (Duncans Multiple Range Test).

GENERAL DISCUSSION

Cicer milkvetch has the potential of being a useful forage crop. Low germination has resulted in poor stand establishment and has prevented effective evaluation of this crop. If it becomes an economically important crop, it could receive wide use.

No technique for germination was found in the review of literature. The first experiments were designed to find the optimum conditions for germination and development of a standard technique. This was accomplished.

Mechanical scarification in the past proved to be unsuccessful in raising the level of germination. This has been due to a lack of realization of the hardness of the seed coat of cicer milkvetch; hence, scarification treatments similar to those used for alfalfa were applied. Chipping studies indicated that the main problem of low germination was hard seed coat.

Use of laboratory and commercial scarification equipment proved that acceptable laboratory levels of germination could be obtained through mechanical scarification. But over- and underscarification could be problems. This is due to variation in hardness of seed coats of different lots of seed.

Therefore, a quick test is needed to protect the seed processor and guarantee the farmer properly scarified seed. The 24-hour swell test in initial studies provided the solution to the problem.

Scarification should be treated as an effective but temporary solution to the problem of low germination. Breeding and selection may provide the final answer. Until that time, commercial scarification will allow researchers and farmers alike to determine if cicer milkvetch has a place in forage production.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The first objective of this study was to determine the best laboratory method for germination of cicer milkvetch. Another objective was to determine the feasibility of commercial scarification of cicer milkvetch seed. A further objective was to determine the relationship of stage of maturity and development time during the summer on % germination, hard seed content, seed per raceme and individual seed weight in cicer milkvetch.

To accomplish these objectives, germination tests were run in the laboratory in different solutions and at different temperatures. Seed lots were scarified with a number of different machines at various settings. Seed was harvested from 50 plants at different stages of maturity and at different times during the summer.

From these studies on cicer milkvetch the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. The highest germination percentages of cicer milkvetch are obtained at 15-25 or 25C in a solution of water.

2. Experimental scarification in a small vane-type scarifier was successful in raising the germination percentage from 13 to 56%.

3. A small commercial scarifier demonstrated the feasibility of commercial scarification as a means of raising the germination percentage.

4. Trials in two large commercial scarifiers produced the same measure of success obtained from the small commercial scarifiers.

5. A 1-hour staining test using Chromic-Nitric Acid as the stain was not a good indicator of levels of scarification.

6. The 24-hour swell test was successful in determining levels of scarification.

7. Attempts to harvest seed at different levels of maturity is not practical for controlling levels of germination or hard seed content in cicer milkvetch.

8. Harvest of seed at different times during the summer also did not greatly influence germination or hard seed content.

9. Seed number per raceme and weight per seed were influenced by both stage of maturity and season of production.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Aasheim, Torlief S. 1937. The thermal death point of certain crop and weed seeds. Unpublished Bachelor Thesis. Montana State University.

2. Allen, L. R., and E. D. Donnelly. 1965. Effect of seed weight on emergence and seedling vigor in F_4 lines from (Vicia sativa) x V. angustifolia). Crop Sci. 5:167-169.

3. Austin, R. D., A. E. Carleton, and L. E. Wiesner. 1968. Improvement of low germination in cicer milkvetch (Astragalus cicer L.) through scarification and selection. Crop Science Abstracts, Western Society of Crop Science, p. 3.

4. Barton, Lela V. 1965. Dormancy in seeds imposed by the seed coat. pp. 728-745. In Editor Ruhland, W., Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology. Springer-Verlag:New York, XV, Prt. 2.

5. Battle, R. W. 1948. Effect of scarification on longevity of alfalfa seed. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 40:758-759.

6. Burgess, J. L. 1919. Relation of varying degrees of heat to the viability of the seeds. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 11:118-120.

7. Burns, Robert S. 1959. Effects of acid scarification on lupine seed impermeability. Plant Physiol. 34:107-108.

8. Carleton, A. E., and W. H. Foote. 1968. Heterosis for grain yield and leaf area and their components in two X six-rowed barley crosses. Crop Sci. 60:554-557.

9. Cobb, Rodney D. and Luther G. Jones. 1960. Germination of alfalfa as related to mechanical damage of seed. Proc. Assoc. Offic. Seed Analysts, Vol. 50, No. 1, p. 104.

10. Coe, H. S. and J. N. Martin. 1920. Structure and chemical nature of the seed coat and its relation to impermeable seeds of sweet-clover. U. S. Dept. Agric. Bull. No. 844, pp. 26-36.

11. Cooper, C. S., R. F. Eslick, and P. W. McDonald. 1966. Foam formation from extracts of 27 legume species in vitro. Crop Sci. 6:215-216.

12. Curtis, Otis F., and Daniel G. Clark. 1950. An introduction to plant physiology. Mcgraw-Hill Book Company. New York, New York. pp. 559-579.

13. Dexter, S. T. 1955. Alfalfa seedling emergence from seed lots varying in origin and hard seed content. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 47: 357-361.

14. Duncan, D. B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics 11:1-42.

15. Fayemi, Adeboyejo A. 1957. Effects of temperature on the rate of seed swelling and germination of legume seeds. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 49:75-76.

16. Forbes, Ian and H. D. Wells. 1968. Hard and softseededness in blue lupine (Lupinus, angustifolius L.): Inheritance and phenotype classification. Crop Sci. 8:195-197.

17. Grahm, Edward H. 1941. Legumes for erosion control and wildlife. U. S. Dept. of Agric. Misc. Pub. No. 412.

18. Hafenrichter, A. L., Ronald B. Foster, and John L. Schwendiman. 1965. Effect of storage at four locations in the west on longevity of forage seeds. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 57:143-147.

19. Hanson, C. H. and R. P. Moore. 1959. Viability of seeds of eight forage crop plants stored under subfreezing conditions. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 51:627.

20. Holden, John L. 1963. Agronomic potential of sainfoin (Onobrychis viciaefolia) for Montana. Unpublished M.S. Thesis. Montana State University.

21. Hoverland, C. S., and D. M. Elkins. 1965. Germination response of arrowleaf, ball and crimson clover varieties to temperature. Crop Sci. 5:244-246.

22. James, Edwin. 1949. The effect of inbreeding on crimson clover seed-coat permeability. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 41:261-266.

23. Kendall, W. A. 1963. Effect of amino acids on germination of red clover seeds. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 55:51-52.

24. Little, Howard J. 1966. Study of variation in germination and hard seed content of 15 selected plants within 7 different cicer milkvetch (Astragalus cicer) accessions. Unpublished Bachelor Thesis. Montana State University.

25. Lunden, A. O., and R. C. Kinch. 1957. The effect of high temperature contact treatment on hard seeds of alfalfa. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 49:151-153.

26. Lute, Anna M. 1942. Laboratory germination of hard alfalfa seed as a result of clipping. Jour. Am Soc. Agron. 34:90-99.

27. Lute, Anna M. 1928. Impermeable seed of alfalfa. Colorado Experiment Sta. Bull. 326.

28. Lute, Anna M. 1927. Alfalfa seed made permeable by heat. Science 65:166.

29. Mayer, A. M. and A. Poljakoff-Mayber. 1963. The germination of seeds. The Macmillan Co., New York, New York. pp. 82-94.

30. Quinlivan, B. J. 1968. The softening of hard seeds of sand-plain lupine (Lupinus varius L.) Aust. Jour. Agric. Res. 19:507-515.

31. Rampton, H. H. 1961. What about hard seeds? Seedsmen's Digest, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 14-16.

32. Rasmussen, Stanley J. 1969. Environmental and genetic variability in seed size of sainfoin. Unpublished special problem. Montana State University.

33. Rincker, Clarence M. 1954. Effect of heat on impermeable seeds of alfalfa, sweetclover, and red clover. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 46:247-250.

34. Robinson, R. R. 1960. Germination of hard seed of ladino white clover. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 52:212-214.

35. Seamands, Wesley J. 1966. The Farson Farm irrigated pasture study. University of Wyoming Bull. No. 437, pp. 13-14.

36. Simmons, G. F. and W. A. Riedl. 1968. Hard seed of alfalfa. Crop Science Abstracts, Western Society of Crop Science, pp. 3-4.

37. Stewart, George, and J. W. Carlson. 1932. The quality of alfalfa seed as influenced by color and plumpness. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 24:146-155.

38. Staker, E. V. 1925. Effect of dry heat on alfalfa seed and its adulterants. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 17:32-40.

39. Stroh, J. R., and A. A. Thornburg. 1966. Description of Astragalus cicer L. conservation technical notes. P.M. No. 11.

40. Weihing, Ralph M. 1940. Field germination of alfalfa seed submitted for registration in Colorado and varying in hard seed content. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 32:944-949.

41. Whitcomb, W. O. 1931. Hard seeds in legumes. Montana Agric. Exp. Sta. Bull. No. 248.

42. Whitcomb, W. O. 1925. Germination of hard seeds in alfalfa and sweetclover as the season advances. Proc. Assoc. Offic. Seed Analysts, Vol. 17, p. 31.

43. White, J. 1908. The occurrence of an impermeable cuticle on the exterior of certain seeds. Proc. Roy. Soc. Victoria, Vol. 21, N.S. 203-210.

44. Williams, A. William, and John R. Elliott. 1960. Ecological significance of seed coat impermeability to moisture in crimson, subterranean, and rose clovers in a mediterranean type climate. Ecology 41:733-742.

45. Works, E. W. and Lambert C. Erickson. 1963. Infrared irradiation, an effective treatment for hard seeds in small seeded legumes. Idaho Ag. Exp. Sta. Research Bull. No. 57.

46. ______________________. 1965. A bloat-free, non-toxic, frost-tolerant legume. USDA, SCS Circ. M-2430-204.

47. ______________________. 1952. Testing agriculture and vegetable seeds. Agric. Handbook, No. 30. USDA, Washington, D. C.

48. Swoboda, Raymond F. 1957. Infrared heat increases germination of legume seeds. Crops & Soils 10, p. 19.