



The effects of a proton source on the electrochemical reduction of the chlorinated nitrobenzenes in dimethylsulfoxide, dimethylformamide and acetonitrile
by Kathleen Elizabeth Brunke

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY in Chemistry
Montana State University
© Copyright by Kathleen Elizabeth Brunke (1982)

Abstract:

Cyclic voltammograms of the chlorinated nitrobenzenes and nitrobenzene itself were obtained in DMSO, DMF and AN with and without the addition of a proton source. Reduction potentials for all primary processes were obtained from the voltammograms. The first reduction wave corresponds to the one-electron reversible transfer to form the radical anion. The second reduction wave represents the addition of more electrons to form the dianion followed by loss of chlorine with subsequent reduction or protonation. The protonated species can either deprotonate or lose hydroxide to yield nitroso compounds. With proton addition, the competition between processes is altered and protonation increases. The potentials of the "irreversible" waves shift anodically and some waves are no longer seen as the corresponding process is no longer as competitive with increased protonation. Changes in reduction pathways are noted, sigma rho calculations for the radical anion are obtained and these factors are compared in different solvents.

Adjacent chlorine interactions are determined to cause pathway changes and these interactions were also found to be in need of correction in the sigma rho calculations. An interpretation of the effects of proton addition on the dianion is made using sigma rho radical anion data and cyclic voltammograms.

i

To my parents

But I will bring you forth out of the midst of it

Ezekiel 11:7

THE EFFECTS OF A PROTON SOURCE ON THE ELECTROCHEMICAL REDUCTION
OF THE CHLORINATED NITROBENZENES IN DIMETHYLSULFOXIDE,
DIMETHYLFORMAMIDE AND ACETONITRILE

by

KATHLEEN ELIZABETH BRUNKE

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree


of


DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY


in

Chemistry

Approved:


Chairperson, Graduate Committee


Head, Major Department


Graduate Dean

Montana State University
Bozeman, Montana

May, 1982

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to extend my sincere gratitude to a number of individuals who have helped me attain this goal.

My advisor, Dr. Richard Geer, put up with me throughout my graduate career as I went through many trying periods. His patience, guidance and willingness to "come to the rescue" were appreciated.

I would like to thank Dr. Bradford Mundy who gave me unending encouragement, helped me build my self-confidence and showed me, by example, the finer arts of teaching.

My sister, Susan, gave me spiritual support as well as her friendship and kept me enthusiastic about life.

I could never have reached this goal without the love and support of my husband, Bruce. He inspired me and helped me through many difficult times. This has been a growing experience for both of us.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents who forever reassured me and gave me their love, support and understanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Vita	iv
Acknowledgment	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	x
Abstract	xvii
Introduction	1
Nitrobenzene in amphiprotic solvent	1
Nitrosobenzene in amphiprotic solvent	3
Nitrobenzene in aprotic solvent	5
Nitrosobenzene in aprotic solvent	6
Effects of proton addition	7
Substituents on nitrobenzene	11
Sigma Rho	21
Solvents and their effects	25
Statement of Problem	30
Experimental	32
Instrumentation, electrochemical cell and electrodes	32
Solvents	39
Electrolyte	41

	<u>Page</u>
Polychloronitrobenzenes	41
Results and Discussion	43
Peak resolution and reproducibility	43
Interpreting voltammograms	44
Cyclic voltammograms without proton addition	58
Series 1: No ortho chlorines	58
Series 2: One ortho chlorines	74
Series 3: Two ortho chlorines	95
Cyclic voltammograms with proton addition	110
Series 1: No ortho chlorines	110
Series 2: One ortho chlorines	137
Series 3: Two ortho chlorines	175
Sweep Rate Studies	203
Sigma Rho Calculations	206
Conclusions	220
Cyclic voltammograms without proton addition	220
Cyclic voltammograms with proton addition	228
Bibliography	238

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Peak potentials of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMSO . .	45
2. Peak potentials of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMF . .	46
3. Peak potentials of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in AN . . .	47
4. Peak potentials of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMSO with a proton source added	48
5. Peak potentials of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMF with a proton source added	49
6. Peak potentials of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in AN with a proton source added	50
7. Hammett σ_p correlations in DMF	210
8. Determination of adjacent chlorine correction factor in DMF	210
9. Re-evaluation of Hammett correlation in DMF	211
10. Hammett σ_p correlations in AN	211
11. Determination of adjacent chlorine correction factor in AN	212
12. Re-evaluation of Hammett correlation in AN	212
13. Hammett σ_p correlation in DMF with .37 M water	213
14. Determination of adjacent chlorine correction factor in DMF with .37 M water	213
15. Re-evaluation of Hammett correlation in DMF with .37 M water	214
16. Hammett σ_p correlations in AN with .37 M water	215

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
17. Determination of adjacent chlorine correction factor in AN with .37 M water	215
18. Re-evaluation of Hammett correlation in AN with .37 M water	216
19. Hammett σ_p correlations in DMF with .74 M water	216
20. Determination of adjacent chlorine correction factor in DMF with .74 M water	217
21. Re-evaluation of Hammett correlation in DMF with .74 M water	217
22. Hammett σ_p correlations in AN with .74 M in water	218
23. Determination of adjacent chlorine correction factor in AN with .74 M water	218
24. Re-evaluation of Hammett correlation in AN with .74 M water	219
25. Effects of adjacent chlorines and solvent on position of chloride loss	224
26. Effect of adjacent chlorines and solvent on position of chloride loss with a proton source added	230

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Cyclic voltammeter: attenuator module	33
2. Cyclic voltammeter: logic module	34
3. Cyclic voltammeter: voltage module	35
4. Cyclic voltammeter: potentiostat module	36
5. Cell diagram	37
6. Background current of solvents	40
7. General Mechanism of reduction	51
8. Cyclic voltammograms of 3-CNB	52
9. Cyclic voltammograms of NB in DMSO, DMF, AN	59
10. Cyclic voltammograms of 3-chloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	62
11. Cyclic voltammograms of 4-chloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	65
12. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,4-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	67
13. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,5-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	70
14. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,4,5-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	72
15. Cyclic voltammograms of 2-chloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	75
16. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	78

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
17. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	80
18. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,5-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	82
19. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	85
20. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,5-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	87
21. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4,5-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	90
22. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,5-tetrachloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	93
23. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,6-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	96
24. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,6-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	98
25. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4,6-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	101
26. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,6-tetrachloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	103
27. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,5,6-tetrachloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	105
28. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,5,6-pentachloronitrobenzene in DMSO, DMF, AN	109
29. Cyclic voltammograms of nitrobenzene in DMSO with water added.	111
30. Cyclic voltammograms of nitrobenzene in DMF with water added.	113

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
31. Cyclic voltammograms of nitrobenzene in AN with water added	115
32. Cyclic voltammograms of 3-chloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	117
33. Cyclic voltammograms of 3-chloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	118
34. Cyclic voltammograms of 3-chloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	120
35. Cyclic voltammograms of 3-chloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	121
36. Cyclic voltammograms of 4-chloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	123
37. Cyclic voltammograms of 4-chloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	124
38. Cyclic voltammograms of 4-chloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	126
39. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,4-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	127
40. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,4-dichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	129
41. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,4-dichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	130
42. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,5-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	131
43. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,5-dichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	133
44. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,5-dichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	135

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
45. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,4,5-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	135
46. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,4,5-trichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	138
47. Cyclic voltammograms of 3,4,5-trichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	139
48. Cyclic voltammograms of 2-chloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	140
49. Cyclic voltammograms of 2-chloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	142
50. Cyclic voltammograms of 2-chloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	144
51. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	145
52. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3-dichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	147
53. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3-dichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	148
54. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	150
55. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4-dichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	151
56. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4-dichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	153
57. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,5-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	154
58. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,5-dichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	156

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
59. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,5-dichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	156
60. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	157
61. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4-trichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	160
62. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4-trichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	162
63. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,5-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	163
64. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,5-trichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	165
65. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,5-trichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	166
66. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4,5-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	167
67. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4,5-trichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	169
68. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4,5-trichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	171
69. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,5-tetrachloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	172
70. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,5-tetrachloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	174
71. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,5-tetrachloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	176
72. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,6-dichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	177

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
73. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,6-dichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	179
74. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,6-dichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	180
75. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,6-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	182
76. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,6-trichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	183
77. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,6-trichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	185
78. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4,6-trichloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	187
79. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4,6-trichloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	188
80. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,4,6-trichloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	190
81. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,6-tetrachloronitrobenzene in DMSO with water added	192
82. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,6-tetrachloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	193
83. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,6-tetrachloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	194
84. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,5,6-tetrachloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	196
85. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,5,6-tetrachloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	198
86. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,5,6-tetrachloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	199

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
87. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,5,6-pentachloronitrobenzene in DMSO with ethanol added	200
88. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,5,6-pentachloronitrobenzene in DMF with water added	202
89. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,3,4,5,6-pentachloronitrobenzene in AN with water added	204
90. Sweep rate variations	205
91. Sweep rate variations	207
92. Sweep rate plot using 2-chloronitrobenzene data in DMF .	208
93. Sigma rho plot of compounds in DMF without a proton source	225
94. Sigma rho plot of compounds in AN without a proton source	226
95. Sigma rho plot of compounds in DMF at low water concentrations	231
96. Sigma rho plot of compounds in DMF at higher water concentrations	232
97. Sigma rho plot of compounds in AN at lower water concentrations	234
98. Sigma rho plot of compounds in AN at higher water concentrations	235

ABSTRACT

Cyclic voltammograms of the chlorinated nitrobenzenes and nitrobenzene itself were obtained in DMSO, DMF and AN with and without the addition of a proton source. Reduction potentials for all primary processes were obtained from the voltammograms. The first reduction wave corresponds to the one-electron reversible transfer to form the radical anion. The second reduction wave represents the addition of more electrons to form the dianion followed by loss of chlorine with subsequent reduction or protonation. The protonated species can either deprotonate or lose hydroxide to yield nitroso compounds. With proton addition, the competition between processes is altered and protonation increases. The potentials of the "irreversible" waves shift anodically and some waves are no longer seen as the corresponding process is no longer as competitive with increased protonation. Changes in reduction pathways are noted, sigma rho calculations for the radical anion are obtained and these factors are compared in different solvents. Adjacent chlorine interactions are determined to cause pathway changes and these interactions were also found to be in need of correction in the sigma rho calculations. An interpretation of the effects of proton addition on the dianion is made using sigma rho radical anion data and cyclic voltammograms.


INTRODUCTION

Electrochemistry in Amphiprotic Solutions


I. Nitrobenzene

Nitrobenzene was one of the first organic compounds to be studied by polarography. It is known to undergo electrochemical reduction in both protic and aprotic solvents. The aprotic solvents are important in the formation of a stable anion radical while protic solvents have proven to be valuable for analytical methods.

In aqueous solutions, the pH has a great effect on the cyclic voltammograms of nitrobenzene, nitrophenols, dinitrophenols and dinitrobenzenes.¹⁻⁴ At pH values below 5, there are two reductive waves while at higher pH values, only one reduction is observed.⁵ The first wave corresponds to a four electron process with the formation of phenylhydroxylamine as the product in solutions with pH's higher than 5.⁶




At pH < 5, the occurrence of two waves indicate a four electron process (Equation 2) followed by a two electron process (Equation 3).




Though both waves are dependent on pH, the second wave is effected to a greater extent than the first wave.⁷ The anion radical which is formed when one electron is transferred, has been found to be stable in nonaqueous solutions but unstable in aqueous solutions.⁸




In acidic solutions, preprotonation is assumed to occur at the electrode surface yielding the $\text{PhNO}_2\text{H}_2^{2+}$ ion that is reduced according to the following mechanism:⁹





Equations 4 and 5 represent the four electron process of wave 1 while Equation 6 is the second wave and a two electron process. The rate determining step occurs during the formation of PhNOH^+ . This cation has been found in the reduction of nitrobenzene appearing as a prewave at a less negative potential than the nitrobenzene radical anion. The pre-wave corresponding to preprotonation has been determined by Sadek and Aba-El-Nabey¹⁰ to be the result of an interaction between adsorbed nitrobenzene molecules and hydrogen ion at the electrode surface. The four electron process in an acidic solution with preprotonation can then be written as:



In neutral and alkaline aqueous media, there is not a preceding surface reaction between adsorbed nitrobenzene molecules and protons.⁹ The rate determining step (Equation 10) is irreversible. The half-wave potentials are not pH dependent indicating hydrogen ions are not involved in the reduction process.



In solutions of low proton donor concentration¹¹ and in alcohol-water solutions (concentration alcohol > 10 wt%)⁹ there are two consecutive single electron transfer processes (Equations 12-13)




In liquid ammonia, the first wave is a one-electron irreversible process. The second wave is a one-electron transfer yielding a dianion that is stable on a long coulometric time scale.¹² The formation of a dianion precipitate, characterized by a bright red color, causes a sharpening of the reduction wave.

II. Nitrosobenzene

Less detailed studies of nitrosobenzene have been undertaken in the same manner as nitrobenzene.¹³ It has been found that

nitrosobenzene reduces at a less negative potential than nitrobenzene, accounting for the direct reduction of nitrobenzene to phenylhydroxylamine.¹⁴

In 10% ethanol water solutions, buffers were added to vary the pH. As the pH increased, the first reductive wave moved more negative. The second wave was identical to the wave representing the formation of phenylhydroxylamine and shifted more negative as the solution became more basic. The general scheme of reduction is shown in Equations (15-17).¹⁵




In a 50% ethanol buffered solution, nitrosobenzene yielded one reduction wave below pH 12.5. Above pH 12.5, two reduction waves appeared. The second wave corresponded to the reduction of azoxybenzene. above pH 12.5.¹³ It was found that the half-wave potential of the first wave varied linearly with pH. Ethanol, dioxane and acetone with acid solutions yielded a positive movement of the first wave on increasing the concentration of the acid.¹⁶

Using liquid ammonia as the solvent, nitrosobenzene was reduced in two successive reversible steps, each being a one-electron transfer with the dianion being more stable than the nitrobenzene dianion on

the coulometric time scale.¹²




Nitrobenzene in Aprotic Solvent


In aprotic solvents, the lack of proton availability causes a change in the reduction mechanism of nitrobenzene. Nitrobenzene is reduced by a one-electron reduction to a stable radical anion. This is followed by a three electron reduction at a more negative potential with proton donation from the solvent to yield phenylhydroxylamine.



Maki and Geske used acetonitrile (AN) and a mercury pool electrode to study the nitrobenzene anion radical.¹⁷ They repeated the early polarography done by Runner and Balog¹⁸ and found two reduction waves. The first wave corresponded to a one-electron reduction to yield the radical anion followed by a wave representing a one electron reduction at a more negative potential to yield the dianion.



In an acidic dimethylformamide (DMF) solution,¹⁹ the reduction of nitrobenzene has been described by a series of steps with protonation following each step.





The transfers that occur in Equations 21 and 23 are rapid at the reduction potential of Equation 20. The phenylhydroxylamine formed in Equation 23 is relatively stable in acid solution but in alkaline solution, it reacts with nitrosobenzene to yield azoxybenzene.¹⁶

Substitution of a trifluoromethyl group in the para position of nitrobenzene results in a one electron reduction for the first wave and a two electron reduction for the second wave.²⁰ A new couple appears anodic of wave 1 that is thought to be a radical product of the ECE process. The reductive mechanism appears to be:





Electrolysis of this solution produces a cathodic shoulder on the radical anion reduction and oxidation wave that is thought to correspond to azo or azoxy formation.

Nitrosobenzene in Aprotic Solvent

In DMF, nitrosobenzene can be reduced by a two step mechanism.²¹ The first wave represents the formation of the unstable radical anion


and reacts with another molecule of nitrosobenzene to form azoxybenzene. Cyclic voltammetry and electrolysis studies in DMF revealed a three step mechanism in which dimerization of the nitrosobenzene radical anion is more plausible and corresponds to the following mechanism:^{1,27,23,15}






Dimerization resulted in formation of a dianion intermediate that abstracts a proton from the solvent and then releases hydroxide ion to yield azoxybenzene. If the mole ratio of hydroxide ion to nitrosobenzene was .3, the nitrosobenzene would be completely consumed in acetonitrile (AN) but the consumption would not be complete in dimethylformamide.¹⁵ This mechanism also fitted the data for a 80:20 dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)/t-butyl alcohol solution.²⁴

Effects of Proton Addition

Nitrobenzene. At low alcohol concentrations (3.2 wt% (.55M) ethanol in water) the reduction of nitrobenzene proceeds through one rate-determining step that may consume two electrons as the system does in pure aqueous solution.⁹




At higher alcohol concentrations (>10 wt% (1.72M) ethanol in water), the process involves two consecutive rate-determining electrochemical reactions followed by two chemical steps.





The half-wave potential of the second wave shifted negatively at low alcohol concentrations but at concentrations greater than 3.5 wt%, it shifted positively. This is due to enhanced ion association between the nitro anion and the cation of the electrolyte. Isopropyl alcohol has a greater effect than ethanol for producing this two way shift.

In solutions of .1M hydrochloric acid and ethanol, changes in the potential of the first wave were noted with increasing alcohol concentration.²³ The half-wave potential shifted negatively as the alcohol concentration increased.

The addition of alcohol to a solution of liquid ammonia, nitrobenzene and an electrolyte resulted in the appearance of an anodic shoulder on the wave that represents the formation of the dianion.¹² Smith and Bard determined the shoulder was caused by the following reaction:



However, an electrochemical process for the protonation of a dianion would cause the entire wave to shift and protonation of the radical anion would appear as a shoulder. Two oxidative waves appeared that corresponded to nitrosobenzene oxidation waves in the presence of alcohol. This indicated both reactions give the same final product, PhNOH^- , by the following mechanism:



The addition of *o*-phthalic acid to aprotic solvents caused the formation of a new wave positive of the first wave.²⁴ The height of the first wave decreased with increasing acid concentration while the new wave continued to increase. The new wave represents the preprotonation of nitrobenzene at the electrode surface prior to the rate-determining step. The reduction potential of the preprotonation wave can be correlated with *pK_a* values. When phenol was added to acetonitrile, the second reduction wave shifted positive so that the sequential reduction no longer occurred.

According to Greig and Rogers, addition of hydroquinone to a DMF solution containing an electrolyte and *o*-trifluoromethylnitrobenzene does not affect the first wave but the second wave shifts

anodically.²⁰ The relationship of current between waves 1 and 2 does not change, indicating no effect on the number of electrons transferred. The shift of wave 2 is caused by protonation of the radical anion. The protonated radical anion is reduced at a more positive potential than the unprotonated radical anion. The nitroso couple which appeared cathodic of wave 1, also protonates and is reduced more anodically. The proton donor should increase the rate of formation of the nitroso intermediate and therefore, increase the intensity of the oxidation-wave.

Holleck and Becher observed a positive shift in the half-wave potential of the second wave of p-chloronitrobenzene with increasing water concentration.²⁵ The addition of phenol was shown to cause rapid protonation of nitrobenzene¹¹ that would cause a positive shift in the reduction potential. This was observed by noting a decrease in the rate of radical anion formation at a high phenol concentration indicating a lower radical lifetime.

Carre and Belin²⁶ studied the effects of a proton source added to a DMF/nitrobenzene solution. Using phenol and benzoic acid, they found that at pH >12.5 two waves appeared corresponding to a one-electron reduction and a three-electron reduction, respectively. The first wave corresponded to the formation of the radical anion while the second wave appeared to represent the formation of

phenylhydroxylamine and azoxybenzene. At pH < 12.5, a four electron reduction wave appeared corresponding to the reduction of nitrobenzene to phenylhydroxylamine (Equation 42).



Nitrosobenzene. When a proton donor was added to nitrosobenzene in liquid ammonia, the second reduction wave decreased and a new wave appeared 120mV more positive than the second wave while the first wave was unaffected.¹² When the alcohol concentration was increased, the new wave shifted positively and increased in height. The new wave can be represented by:



The nitroso radical anion can protonate rapidly producing the neutral nitroso radical that is oxidized at potential more anodic than the unprotonated anion radical oxidation.²⁰

Substituents on Nitrobenzene

The stability of the substituted nitrobenzene radical anion depends on the nature of the substituent and its position on the ring.^{27,28} Stability increases when an electron withdrawing group is present and by increased delocalization of the negative charge over the ring. The degree of localization depends on the amount of twist of the nitro group out of the plane which depends on the


substituent.²⁹ It is well known that the nature of the substituent affects the half-wave potential. It has been observed that p-nitrophenol is not as easily reduced as nitrobenzene.⁶ The electron density on the nitro group increases because of the electron-donating effect of the hydroxyl group in the para position. At low pH values, the o- and m-nitrophenol are reduced slightly more readily than nitrobenzene. In the case of the ortho substituent, the hydrogen bonding cancels the increased electron density due to an substituent ortho to the nitro group. The meta substituent of any nature is more stable than the corresponding o- and p- derivatives.²⁷

The reduction potential of o-methylnitrobenzene is more negative than the reduction potential of nitrobenzene itself.³⁰ When the substituent is a t-butyl group, the compound will be reduced at a more cathodic potential due to an inductive effect. When the substituents are electron donators, the half-wave potentials are found more negative than when the substituents are electron acceptors.²⁵ This is thought to be due to ion-pair formation occurring prior to the first reductive wave. It is apparent that electron donor substituents hinder electron addition, making the compound harder to reduce.³¹ It will reduce at a more negative potential than compounds that have electron acceptor substituents.

EPR studies show the nitrogen coupling constant decreases as the


ease of reduction increases.³² When the substituent is a chlorine, the electron attracting (withdrawing, accepting) effect of the substituent causes the reduction to occur more easily than in nitrobenzene and the nitrogen coupling constant to decrease. The nitrogen coupling constant of o-chloronitrobenzene is greater than for the corresponding meta and para isomers indicating a steric effect.¹¹

Halonitrobenzenes. The halonitrobenzenes are reduced by two different mechanisms. One mechanism involved the solvent and can be shown as follows:



This scheme has been seen when the ArX reduction wave corresponds to less than a two electron transfer and less than 100% yield of ArH.³³ The proton donor in aprotic solvents is thought to be residual water which is a much stronger acid than the solvent itself. However, a deuterium study examining whether residual water or the solvent is responsible for the proton used has shown that if the Ph⁻ anion is fairly basic and the PhH/Ph⁻ pKa's are much more negative than the pKa's of the organic solvents, the protonation rates by water and the solvent may reach the diffusion limit and the solvent may yield the

proton.^{33,34} It was determined that less than 100% yield was achieved because of further reaction of the solvent radical to yield substituted products as is shown in Equations 47-50.²⁷



Compounds which have been found to undergo this type of reduction include halogenated acetophenones, iodonitrobenzenes, 2-bromonitrobenzene, 3- and 4-bromobenzophenone, 2-iodophenazine, 6-chloroquinoline, 6-bromoquinoxaline and 6-iodoquinoxaline.


The other mechanism of halonitrobenzene reduction may be associated with systems which are less delocalized so that reduction of Ar^{\bullet} at the electrode will occur more readily than proton transfer. This will yield 100% ArH and a two electron transfer.



Included in the compounds undergoing this reaction are bromobenzene, chlorobenzene, halobenzonitriles, chlorobiphenyl, and the halonaphthalenes.

Maki and Geske observed electron spin resonance (ESR) for the p-

chloro, p-bromo and p-fluoronitrobenzene radical anions but not for the p-iodonitrobenzene radical anion.³¹ Other studies revealed that the three iodonitrobenzenes lose their iodine, yielding the nitrobenzene radical anion.^{11,35} An intermediate wave is observed that corresponds to the formation of the radical anion with preceding iodine loss. The proposed mechanism of reduction is:³⁵






There are some inconsistencies in the data, namely, the potentials for the reductions that occur at wave 2 and 3 should correspond with the reduction potentials for nitrobenzene under the same conditions. The second wave is (-) 70mV more negative than the potential of the radical anion of nitrobenzene given in the paper while wave 3 is (-) 200mV more negative than the dianion of nitrobenzene. The intermediate has also been observed for p-bromonitrobenzene.²⁵ However, the p-bromonitrobenzene ESR spectra yielded a mixture of the nitrobenzene radical anion and the p-bromonitrobenzene radical anion.³⁵ Only the o-bromo isomer loses bromine readily. Further studies revealed that the ortho isomer yields an ESR spectrum identical to the nitrobenzene anion radical indicating loss of bromine prior to radical anion formation. The spectra of the other two

isomers were identical to the chloro isomers where no halogen loss is observed.¹¹ These results are not surprising since the order of carbon-halogen bond strengths is $F > Cl > Br > I$.³⁶ Therefore, iodide should be lost readily while the bromide isomers may yield a mixture. Chlorine isomers are much more stable and won't lose the halogen as readily.




Electrolysis of the chloro and bromonitrobenzenes yielded no halogen loss in acetonitrile after the first wave for all isomers except the o-bromonitrobenzene.¹¹ In DMF, o-chloronitrobenzene yielded the nitrobenzene radical anion when the potential was held between the first and second waves during electrolysis. Addition of phenol inhibited halogen loss. The para isomer of bromonitrobenzene behaved the same as the ortho-chloro isomer, yielding the radical anion of nitrobenzene when the potential was held between the first and second waves.

Iodonitrobenzene isomers all showed nitrobenzene radical anion formation in DMF and AN. The proposed mechanism of reduction for the o-chloro, p-bromo and iodo isomers is:



Lawless and Hawley interpreted the iodo reduction mechanism more

precisely.³⁷






The slow step was determined by noting that the addition of iodide slowed the rate of formation of nitrobenzene indicating that the chemically slow step follows the loss of the halogen. Rates of decomposition of the radical anion of nitrobenzene as determined by current reversal chronopotentiometry can be ordered as o-iodo > o-bromo >> p-iodo > m-iodo. These were determined by current reversal chronopotentiometry. The ease of dehalogenation of the possible halogen and isomers are ordered p, m-Cl, m-Br < o-Cl << p-Br < o-Br, p-, m-, o-I.¹¹ It is obvious that the ease of dehalogenation is dependent on the steric conditions. In terms of general rate constants, the sequence above yields $k_{\text{F}} < k_{\text{Cl}} < k_{\text{Br}} < k_{\text{I}}$.³⁴

The ortho isomers have a slightly greater rate due to steric effects which are noted by looking at the rate constant for the isomers: $k_{\text{m}} < k_{\text{p}} < k_{\text{o}}$. These orders were further demonstrated by Hawley et al. by studying the stability of the nitrobenzene radical anion.³⁸ When a methyl group was placed in the 6-position in 3-iodonitrobenzene, loss of the iodide increased 30-fold in the anion radical. When methyl groups were placed in both ortho positions in 4-

iodonitrobenzene, a 280-fold increase in the rate of iodide loss resulted. It was determined that steric interaction increases with size of the ortho-halogen, thus causing an increase in the rate of halide loss. An anodic shift in the reduction potential results because of increasing loss of halide ion. This shift compensates for the cathodic shift that occurs as steric interaction increases.³⁹

Lawless and Hawley looked more closely at the effects of steric strain by observing the reduction of 2,6-dichloronitrobenzene³⁸ in DMF using tetraethylammonium perchlorate (TEAP) as the electrolyte. They noted that the steric effect may be observed by predicting the half-wave potential for the first wave. This is accomplished by using a rho (ρ) value for the reduction of a series of nitrobenzenes in DMF obtained previously, a half-wave potential for nitrobenzene and a Hammett substituent constant (σ) for an ortho- or para-substituted chlorines. The value they predicted was -180mV from the observed value, indicating that steric interaction occurs between the nitro group and the two ortho chlorines. The predicted value for o-chloronitrobenzene is -60mV from the observed value. The addition of a chlorine to o-chloronitrobenzene causes the nitro group to twist from 30° to 65° out of plane. It is obvious that the elimination of a chlorine relieves strain, thus o-chloronitrobenzene can be reduced to nitrobenzene. Observations of the reduction of 2,4-

dichloronitrobenzene showed the p-chloronitrobenzene radical anion is formed, also relieving steric strain. Cyclic voltammetry of p-bromonitrobenzene demonstrates first a one-electron reduction to the radical anion then a one-electron reduction to the p-bromo dianion. This is followed by loss of bromide and subsequently, a one-electron reduction of the nitrobenzene radical anion. When the potential is reversed before the third wave, a new couple appears as a cathodic shoulder on wave 1. This wave corresponds to the nitrobenzene radical anion and proves that wave 3 results from the formation of the nitrobenzene dianion. The anion radical of p-chloronitrobenzene does not lose its chloride. This is due to competitive pathways.



The above reaction by the k_2 process depends upon proton availability. The reduction of 2,3-dichloronitrobenzene yielded the m-chloronitrobenzene radical anion by ESR spectroscopy but it was not apparent in cyclic voltammetry. The steric interaction was found to be greater in the 2,3-dichloro compound than in the o-chloro compound by 50mV. Lawless and Hawley believed that neighboring chlorines effect the steric interaction.

It has been observed that more resonance energy is lost between

the nitro group and the ring due to the nitro group being decoupled as it twists out of the plane of the ring.²⁸


The decoupling of the nitro group results in a charge transfer from the ring to the nitro group that indicates a decrease in the strength of the π -system interaction between the ring and the nitro group.⁴⁰

ESR studies of nitrobenzene radical anions in aqueous solution proved that the transfer of the electron occurs via the nitro group, but that the efficiency of transfer is determined by the ability of the radical anion to delocalize the additional electron over the rest of the molecule.⁴¹ The one electron reduction potential is more negative when $a_{\text{NO}_2}^{\text{N}}$, the nitrogen hyperfine splitting constant, increases due to the twist of the nitro group when other substituents are present. The activation energy for the anion formation increases due to the loss of delocalization for the twisted radical anion.

The meta proton splitting constant $a_{\text{meta}}^{\text{H}}$, is not affected the same as the ortho and para constants which decrease when $a_{\text{NO}_2}^{\text{N}}$ becomes large. This indicates the shift of spin density is towards the nitro group. The effect of the twist of the nitro group is greater on $a_{\text{ortho}}^{\text{H}}$ and $a_{\text{para}}^{\text{H}}$ than $a_{\text{meta}}^{\text{H}}$. In DMF, the para splitting constant, $a_{\text{para}}^{\text{H}}$, decreases from 3.95 to 3.55 Gauss when water is added while the ortho and meta constants are stable.⁴² The change occurs rapidly at

first and then begins to level off. There is a 1:1 correlation between $a_{\text{NO}_2}^{\text{N}}$ and $a_{\text{para}}^{\text{H}}$ with and without water added. When the nitrogen is twisted out of the plane of the ring, it is more open to solvation and hydrogen bonding.⁴¹

Sigma Rho. Sigma rho calculations are used to correlate the nature of



the substituent X, with the reactivity of the side chain R.

The Hammett equation expresses this relationship as

$$\log (k/k^\circ) = \sigma \rho \quad (66)$$

The terms k and k° are equilibrium or rate constants for substituted benzene derivatives, sigma (σ) is the substituent constant that only depends on the nature of the substituent and its position and rho (ρ) is the reaction constant that is independent of the substituents but dependent on the reaction, the nature of the side chain and the reaction conditions.⁴³

When a reaction site on a substituent is insulated from the benzene ring (more than a carbon away), the energy of activation is affected by the change of electron density at the site of the reaction but there will not be a change in resonance energy from the ground

state to the transition state.⁴⁴ When the reaction site is directly attached to the ring, the resonance energy will be affected.

For electrochemical systems, the effect of substituents is also determined by use of the Hammett equation. The half-wave potentials for reversible processes are given by the difference between the standard free energies of the oxidized and reduced forms:

$$E_{1/2} = E^\circ - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln\left(\frac{D_{\text{ox}}}{D_{\text{red}}}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{f_{\text{red}}}{f_{\text{ox}}} \quad (67)$$

where R is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature, n is the number of electrons transferred, F is the Faraday charge, D_{ox} and D_{red} are the diffusion coefficient of the oxidized and reduced forms, respectively and f_{ox} and f_{red} are the activity coefficients.⁴⁵ At a given unit strength at which the activity coefficients are constant and $D_{\text{ox}} \approx D_{\text{red}}$,

$$E_{1/2} = E^\circ \quad (68)$$

$$E_{1/2} = \Delta G^\circ/nF \quad (69)$$

The shift in the half-wave potential $(\Delta E_{1/2})_X$ caused by adding a substituent X onto a reference compound can be represented by

$$(\Delta E_{1/2})_X = \frac{2.3RT}{nF} (\Delta \log k)_X \quad (70)$$

where K is the equilibrium constant of an oxidation reduction reaction and $\Delta \log K = \log K_X - \log K_0$ where K_0 is the value for the reference

system.⁴⁶ Because the Hammett equation can be expressed as $(\Delta \log K)_X = \sigma\rho$, it is possible to use the Hammett expression in terms of half-wave potential

$$\Delta E_{1/2} = \sigma\rho \quad (71)$$

for meta and para substituent. This relates polar and inductive effects. When mesomeric (resonance) interactions between the group R and a para substituent on a benzene ring occur, the equation is written as⁴⁷

$$\Delta E_{1/2} = \rho\sigma_X^- \quad (72)$$

Occasionally, the reaction constant is negative due to an electrophilic mechanism and the equation is written as

$$\Delta E_{1/2} = \rho\sigma_X^+ \quad (73)$$

For ortho substituents, X, the equation takes on the form

$$\Delta E_{1/2} = \sigma\rho + \delta E_S \quad (74)$$

where δE_S is the term for steric interactions. Other attempts have been made to find an equation for the ortho sigma constant but have not proved successful.⁴⁸ The constant, σ° , was found to yield better precision than $\sigma_{m,p}$.⁴⁹ The values are based on the ionization of phenyl acetic acid derivatives instead of benzoic acid. Many systems have been used to find σ_{ortho} values with poor agreement between values.⁵⁰⁻⁵⁴ When several substituents are on the ring, the substituent constants are additive.

$$\Delta E_{1/2} = \rho \sum \sigma_X \quad (75)$$

Hammett's value for the 3,4-dimethyl substituent agrees with the sum of the para and meta methyl substituents constants.⁵⁵ Additive effects have been observed for systems such as β -naphthyl and phenyl-3,4-methylenedioxy derivatives in which two benzene rings are fused. When rings are symmetrically located with respect to the reaction site, additivity is still found.⁵⁶ Shorter and Stubbs proved additive effects for a number of polysubstituted benzoic acids but substituents in the 2,3- and 2,6- positions did not conform to the $\rho \sum \sigma$ theory.⁵⁷

Many attempts have been made to explain the effects of ortho substituents on the benzene ring. Some treatments have assumed the reactant constants were equal for each position⁵⁸ ($\rho_o = \rho_m = \rho_p$) and have used the same values for the para and meta positions ($\sigma_p = \sigma_m$)⁵⁹ and for para and ortho constants.⁶⁰ Ortho substituent constants have been thought to depend on electrical effects but not steric effects.⁶¹ Further investigations have determined that resonance effects are extremely important.^{62,63} It has been suggested that each substituent and reaction series yields a unique constant.⁶⁴ More recently, it has been determined that ortho effects are a result of both polar and steric effects.^{46,65} Classification of reactivity into resonance or inductive divisions depends on the reference site.⁶⁶

Electrochemical studies have been used to determine steric

effects of substituents.^{13,19,67-69} The first wave of nitrobenzene (radical anion formation) has been used to study reactivity.^{26,46} The results are very limited. Recent results show that corrections can be made for polar interactions of adjacent chlorines for chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMSO that make the sigma constants additive.⁷⁰ It was determined that σ° constants were more appropriate than constants for this type of compound.

Rodante studied the effect of solvent on the linear free energy relationship.⁷¹ In a water-DMSO solution, o-nitrophenol was found to have internal hydrogen bonding due to strong electrostatic attractions between the positively charged hydroxyl hydrogen and the negative charge on a oxygen of the nitro group. The reaction constant was larger than that found in pure water. With increasing DMSO concentration, ρ increased to a maximum near .7% mole fraction then decreased. The increase is due to a reduced number of intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the anions and the water molecules. The solvent is causing a slight perturbation with the interactions between the substituent and the reaction site.

Solvents and Their Effects

The effect of adsorbed ions and proton addition are dependent on the properties of individual solvents. It has been shown that the stability of the nitrobenzene radical anion for the decomposition of

iodonitrobenzene to the nitrophenyl radical anion and iodide decreases in the order DMSO>AN>DMF.³⁶ The rate of decomposition of the anion radical is ten times faster in DMF than in AN and fifteen times faster in DMF than in DMSO for the para and meta iodo compounds.

Anion radicals of benzophenone have been shown to be more stable in liquid ammonia than in AN or DMF.⁷² It appears that the anion solvating ability is most influential on the stability of the anion radical in various solvents. The negative charge will become more delocalized by solvation of the radical anion, decreasing the amount of charge at the carbon attached to a halogen. This will increase the stability of that bond.

Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) contains two acceptor sites: the oxygen and the sulfur.⁷³ The oxygen has the greater amount of electron density associated with it. Anions are much less strongly solvated due to the lack of hydrogen bonding. There is a strong dipole-dipole interaction between DMSO and water which is stronger than the molecular association of water. Results of various studies have not agreed on the mole ratio of water:DMSO bonds. Two studies revealed that one molecule of water is bonded to two molecules of DMSO^{74,75} or DMF⁷⁵ while another study showed one molecule of DMSO associated with two molecules of water.⁷⁶ Alcohols have been shown to be weaker proton donors toward DMSO than water and form only hydrogen bonded

complexes.⁷³ It has been found that anions can be specifically adsorbed by DMSO solutions in the order $I^- > Br^- > Cl^- > NO_3^- > ClO_4^-$ but that cations are not readily adsorbed.⁷⁷ Mixed DMSO-water solutions enhance specific adsorption of anions.

DMSO is strongly adsorbed at a mercury or platinum electrode. The adsorbed DMSO is reduced and oxidized because the sulfur atom is an electron pair donor.⁷⁸ The DMSO capacity hump due to adsorption onto the electrode appears at $E = 0V$ (volts) on a platinum electrode while in pure aqueous solutions this hump occurs at $-0.35 V$. DMSO is more active than water towards a platinum electrode, so that the DMSO layer is between the electrode and the water layer.⁷⁹ DMSO can adsorb onto the surface of a platinum electrode with one molecule of DMSO occupying four adsorption sites on platinum.⁸⁰ DMSO can be reduced to dimethylsulfide while it is adsorbed.

It is possible that reduction products can also adsorb to the electrode. In solutions of sulfuric acid and also in phosphate buffers, nitrobenzene derivatives are found to adsorb strongly to a platinized platinum electrode with hydroxylamines adsorbing to a much lesser degree.⁸¹ Amines are found to adsorb less than hydroxylamines.

DMSO yields two waves for oxygen in .1M tetraethylammonium perchlorate which were found at $-0.85V$ and $-2.20V$ vs SEC while carbon dioxide reduces at $-2.24V$ vs a mercury pool electrode.⁸² The position

of these waves depends on the supporting electrolyte. The first step in the reduction of oxygen in DMSO is the formation of the superoxide ion which is a reversible reaction.⁸³ The superoxide ion can undergo its own complete series of reactions.

DMSO has a viscosity of 1.98 centipoises (CP) at 25°C while DMF has a viscosity of .796 CP and in AN, .345 CP. This makes the diffusion coefficient larger in AN than in DMSO and consequently, the current in AN solutions will be higher due to increased rate of diffusion. DMSO has a dielectric constant of 47 compared to 37 for DMF and AN.⁸⁴ It is somewhat polar and its association with water helps to make radical anions more stable in it. It is odorless except for traces of dimethylsulfide. It rapidly penetrates the skin and may carry with it toxic substances.

In DMF, tetra-alkylammonium salts are strongly adsorbed at the mercury solution interface. There is depletion of water from this interface relative to the bulk solution which changes the composition of the double layer at the electrode surface.³⁶ Quarternary ammonium salts as a group are essentially free ions in DMF and are much less surface active in DMF than in water.²⁹

DMF is susceptible to hydrolysis yielding dimethylamine which is easily oxidized and formic acid, a reducing agent. The limiting cathodic range of DMF is either reduction of the solvent or the cation

of the electrolyte while the anodic range is limited by the oxidation of DMF at a platinum electrode where an electron is removed from the amide nitrogen.⁸³

Acetonitrile is used as an electrochemical solvent because it is a weaker base and a much weaker acid than water.⁸⁴ The high dielectric constant³⁷ decreases the amount of solvent clustering.⁸⁵ The limiting range is the reaction of the electrolyte at the electrode in both the anodic and cathodic directions.⁸⁶ Holleck and Becker noted the influence of water is greater in AN than in DMF because of the lack of hydrogen bonding in acetonitrile (hence, less solvation).²⁵ Jezorek and Mark agreed that the influence of water is greater in AN but they found that this was due to rapid protonation in AN.⁸⁷ Radical anions usually have a shorter half-life in AN than in DMSO or DMF because they react with traces of water rapidly.⁸⁴

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

We started this work with the goal of determining the mechanistic effect water had on the electrochemical reduction of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMSO. The work grew out of a study initiated by H. Byker.⁸⁸ He observed the electrochemical reduction of the chlorinated nitro and nitrosobenzenes in DMSO and catalogued the reduction potentials. He paid particular attention to the first reduction wave which is important for sigma rho calculations. The pathways of reduction were observed. Prior to his work, S. O. Farwell⁸⁹ and F. A. Beland⁹⁰ investigated the electrochemical reductions of polychlorobiphenyls and polychlorobenzenes which are homologous to the chlorinated nitrobenzenes. They observed irreversible two-electron transfers for each reduction wave, corresponding to loss of chloride and proton abstraction.

As the data for this thesis were collected, it became apparent that other solvents might yield different mechanistic pathways of reduction. Ethanol was used along with water to determine whether ethanol gave a clearer picture of the mechanism than water. Then the subject of adjacent chlorine interaction was included to deal with the competing processes. Adjacent chlorine interactions are handled by using sigma rho calculations. The problem to be addressed in this paper is to determine the mechanisms of reduction of the chlorinated

nitrobenzene upon addition of a proton source in DMSO, AN and DMF and to determine and compare the effect of a proton source on sigma rho calculations in DMSO, DMF and AN.

EXPERIMENTAL

Instrumentation, Electrochemical Cell and Electrodes

Voltammograms were obtained using a standard cyclic voltammeter which consisted of an attenuator module (Figure 1), a logic module (Figure 2), a voltage module (Figure 3) and a potentiostat module (Figure 4). The system, built by R. Geer, allowed the cathodic, anodic and initial potentials to be set independent of one another. The sweep rates used were 37mV/sec, 64mV/sec, 124mV/sec, 253mV/sec, 504mV/sec and 1004mV/sec. All of the voltammograms presented in the discussion section were recorded at a sweep rate of 127 mV/sec except for the acetonitrile work which occurred at a sweep rate of 64 mV/sec. The instrument allowed for anodic and cathodic limit holds with very little drift. Intermediate potentials could also be held at any desired limit. Uncompensated resistance was used to correct for the IR drop. The voltammograms were recorded on a Houston Instruments Omnigraphic 2000-X-Y recorder. Peak potentials were determined graphically.

The cell was constructed from a four-dram glass bottle with a teflon lined lid (Figure 5). The total volume used was 15 milliliters (ml) of solvent plus the addition of 100 to 200 microliters (μ l) of proton source. The cell contained three electrodes. The reference electrode consisted of a silver wire inside a fritted glass tube




Figure 1. Cyclic voltammeter: circuit diagram for attenuator module.




Figure 2. Cyclic voltammeter: circuit diagram for logic module.




Figure 3. Cyclic voltammeter: circuit diagram for voltage module.




Figure 4. Cyclic voltammeter: circuit diagram for potentiostat module.




Figure 5. Cell diagram for cyclic voltammetry.

Containing .1M tetraethylammonium chloride (TEACl). The glass tube fit into a syringe body that was filled with the electrolyte (.1M tetraethylammonium perchlorate (TEAP)), in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). The reference electrode was connected to the sample by a 1.7 millimeter (mm) O.D. teflon tube with capillary flow tip. The working electrode was a mercury coated platinum flag electrode with a total area of approximately .25 cm². The platinum was coated with mercury by the procedure of Enke and coworkers.⁹¹ The platinum flag was attached to a platinum wire which was sealed into the bottom of a 3.4 mm glass tube. Mercury was placed in the tube and surrounded the platinum wire while a copper wire attached to a 20 gauge insulated wire was placed into the mercury from the top of the tube. The counter electrode was a coiled platinum wire protruding from a 3.4 mm glass tube and connected to the instrument via a insulated wire attached to the platinum.

The electrode and teflon lined lid were rinsed with the appropriate solvent between samples. A clean four-dram bottle was used for each sample. The bottles were cleaned with Microlab cleaner and a Branson ultrasonic cleaner, rinsed with distilled water and were allowed to air dry.

The cell was filled with 15 ml of .1M TEAP in DMSO, AN or DMF. The electrodes were rinsed with the solvent and placed into the system

along with a stirring bar. The system was sealed and deaerated with Argon while stirring for fifteen minutes. While stirring and deaerating continued, the voltammeter was allowed to cycle between limits for five minutes at 120 mv/sec. The background was run at 120 mv/sec. Backgrounds for all three solvents are shown in Figure 6. The sample was added so that the concentration of the sample in solution was $5 \times 10^{-4}M$. Argon continued to deaerate the system by blowing across the top of the solution without disturbing it. The solution was stirred thirty seconds between voltammograms.

Solvents

Gold label dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. This was found to be suitable for electrochemical use if stored in a dessicator. DMSO purified by the method of Byker⁸⁸ was found to have more electroactive impurities than the gold label DMSO. However, it probably contained less water. NMR studies done by E. Abbott revealed the gold label DMSO contained .04% water.⁹² Use of neutral alumina to keep solvents free of proton donors⁹³ was tried but no noticeable difference was observed in the voltammograms.

Acetonitrile (distilled in glass) was obtained from MCB (Omnisolv). Dimethylformamide (DMF) was obtained from Burdick and Jackson and was also distilled in glass. Both of these solvents picked up water in two to three days so experiments with them were done quickly. NMR




Figure 6. Background current for solvents (DMSO,DMF,AN).

studies by E. Abbott showed the DMF contained .04% water but AN data could not be obtained because the peak due to water protons was obscured by the methyl group protons and could not be separated.⁹²

Electrolyte

The supporting electrolyte used in this study was tetraethylammonium perchlorate (TEAP). It was synthesized by a modification of the method of Sawyer⁸⁴. Tetraethylammonium bromide (Et_4NBr) (31.8 gr) from Sigma Chemical Co. was placed in a 125 ml erlenmeyer flask containing 48 ml of distilled water. After heating slightly, warmed perchloric acid (Allied Chemical, 70% solution, 12.8 ml) was added to the warm Et_4NBr solution with stirring. A white precipitate formed immediately. The solution was cooled for fifteen minutes in an ice bath. The precipitate was suction filtered using a very small amount of ice water for rinsing. The undried precipitate was recrystallized with a small amount (5 ml) of hot water. The solution was filtered and cooled in a ice bath. After suction filtration and air drying, the precipitate was placed in a Aberhalter drying apparatus and vacuum dried.

Polychloronitrobenzenes

Nitrobenzene was obtained from Fisher Scientific Co. Samples of 2,4-dichloro, 3,4-dichloro, 2,4,5-trichloro, and pentachloronitro-

benzene were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co, Inc. and used without further purification. Samples of 2-chloro, 4-chloro, 2,3,4-trichloro, 2,3,4,5-tetrachloro and 2,5-dichloronitrobenzene were purchased from J. T. Baker Chemical Co. The 2,6-dichloro, 3,5-dichloro, 2,3,5-trichloro, 2,3,6-trichloro, 2,4,6-trichloro, 3,4,5-trichloro and 2,3,4,6-tetrachloro compounds were synthesized by L. Davis, R. Geer and/or the author by procedures given in reference No. 91.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The cyclic voltammograms for each compound, with and without water added, will be discussed in this section. Each solvent is discussed individually for each compound since the mechanisms do change in most cases when the solvent is changed.

Peak Resolution and Reproducibility

Cyclic voltammograms were repeated consecutively for a variety of compounds and a $\pm 3\text{mV}$ error was found in the potentials for the sharp peaks. For those waves that are rounded, such as most waves from nitroso compounds or waves that appear on the shoulder of another peak, the error in measurement is $\pm 1\text{mm}$, equivalent to $\pm 12\text{ mV}$. Combining this with the instrumental error, the total error becomes $\pm 15\text{mV}$. In most cases, measurements are obtained with greater resolution than the X-Y recorder is capable of producing. When cyclic voltammograms of compounds were repeated with a new solution, and at time intervals ranging from a few days to a few months, there were differences between the voltammograms due to changes in experimental conditions. The chief factor in these changes was the variation in water content of the solvents. This seemed to be dependent on humidity, storage time, exposure to air and lot number of the solvent. Other factors affecting the voltammograms are instrumental noise,

changes in uncompensated resistance, the high impedance of the reference electrode, electrolyte purity, electrode surface changes and contamination from the reference electrode (chloride leakage) or from previous compounds remaining on the electrodes. Most of the effects were immediately noticeable and could be corrected. In all cases, the best data are used to deduce mechanisms. Peak potentials (half-wave potentials) for the reduction and oxidative waves, with and without an added proton source, are listed in Tables 1-6. The general mechanism is reported in Figure 7.

Interpreting Voltammograms

Each voltammogram will be discussed with some detail in order to propose possible mechanisms. It may be helpful for the reader to interpret a voltammogram in much greater detail so the less detailed explanations will be easier to understand. Using Figure 8, which is the cyclic voltammogram of 3-chloronitrobenzene, the vertical axis represents current while the horizontal axis corresponds to potential, linearly varied linearly with time. When there is an electron flow from the working electrode to the electroactive species in solution, the current is considered positive (a reduction current) and when the electrons move to the working electrode, the current is considered negative (a oxidation current). Starting from point a, the initial potential, a positive current flows. This is composed of a

Table 1. Peak potentials (-V) of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMSO.

Compound	Rev	ORev	ONitroso	Irr 1	Irr 2	Irr 3	Irr 4
NB	1.126	1.029	.810	2.032	-	-	-
2	1.102	1.019	.830/.653	1.891	2.037	-	-
3	.972	.888	.650	1.879	-	-	-
4	1.046	.954	.758	1.934	-	-	-
23	.987	.905	.822/.661	1.635	1.878	2.029	-
24	1.004	.931	.724	1.733	1.949	2.023	-
25	.877	.798	.572	1.652	1.841	-	-
26	1.109	.979	.769	1.696	1.888	2.020	-
34	.938	.869	.691	1.763	1.913	-	-
35*	.742	.668	.449	1.640	1.794	-	-
234	.862	.781	.599	1.473	1.685	1.849	-
235	.812	.716	.594	1.435	1.697	1.806	-
236	1.005	.911	.828/.619	1.428	1.668	1.853	1.976
245	.813	.756	.593	1.532	1.672	1.822	-
246	1.088	.930	.777	1.545	1.743	1.946	-
345	.813	.763	.535	1.493	1.740	1.819	-
2345	.759	.589	-	1.342	1.480	1.704	1.847
2346	.948	-	.674	1.209	1.517	1.655	1.797
2356	.924	.751	.589	1.178	1.442	1.738	1.885
23456	.899	-	-	1.300	1.457	1.677	1.808
Nitroso	.905	.822	-	1.305	1.283	1.743	1.957

*3,5 DCNB was recrystallized to get rid of impurity peaks, potentials prior to recrystallization were .856, .783, .540, 1.742, 1.850(-V).

Table 2. Peak Potentials (-V) of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMF.

Compound	Rev	ORev	ONitroso	Irr 1	Irr 2	Irr 3	Irr 4
NB	1.004	.922	.720	1.949	-	-	-
2	.959	.889	.720	1.741	1.920	-	-
3	.846	.779	.544	1.769	-	-	-
4	.901	.830	.618	1.824	1.875	-	-
23	.872	.796	.550	1.469	1.788	1.928	-
24	.868	.798	.630	1.551	1.832	1.895	-
25	.838	.746	.544	1.614	1.820	1.820	-
26	1.023	.925	.728	1.497	1.747	1.915	-
34	.781	.721	.542	1.625	1.779	-	-
35	.719	.647	.558	1.655	1.789	-	-
234	.801	.731	.545	1.298	1.619	1.785	-
235	.743	.661	.538	1.312	1.676	1.814	-
236	.937	.874	.771	1.258	1.596	1.778	-
245	.748	.695	.522	1.451	1.634	1.800	-
246	.936	.842	.548	1.301	1.557	1.826	-
345	.676	.587	.544	1.342	1.495	1.666	1.791
2345	.692	.619	.539	1.150	1.364	1.553	1.780
2346	.885	.815	.536	1.056	1.435	1.614	1.769
2356	.874	.775	.534	1.012	1.305	1.670	1.798
23456	.808	-	.533	1.142	1.362	1.571	-
Nitroso	.887	.826	-	1.342	1.258	1.709	1.907

Table 3. Peak potentials (-V) of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in AN.

Compound	Rev	ORev	ONitroso	Irr 1	Irr 2	Irr 3
NB	1.053	.980	.791	1.865	-	-
2	1.010	.936	.587	1.741	-	-
3	.919	.838	.633	1.669	-	-
4	.966	.899	.683	1.742	-	-
23	.929	.875	-	1.463	1.665	-
24	.928	.868	.521	1.600	1.737	-
25	.885	.822	.444	1.546	-	-
26	1.054	.951	.586	1.524	1.657	-
34	.861	.791	.560	1.654	1.675	-
35	.809	.742	.518	1.571	-	-
234	.876	.817	-	1.349	1.650	1.675
235	.819	.758	.515	1.361	1.569	-
236	.996	.920	.466	1.387	1.618	-
245	.829	.776	.435	1.488	1.582	1.758
246	.988	.910	.557	1.449	1.628	1.750
345	.764	.709	.539	1.420	1.557	-
2345	.776	.713	-	1.213	1.435	1.573
2346	.944	.875	.476	1.178	1.516	1.638
2356	.935	.865	.756	1.079	1.371	1.572
23456	.895	.727	-	1.261	1.451	1.580

Table 4. Peak potentials (-V) of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMSO with a proton source added (either water or ethanol). Value in brackets is generally at twice the concentration of the first value. See figures for specific values.

Cmpd	Rev Waves	ORev	Irr 1	Irr 2	Irr 3
NB	1.110(1.089)	1.024(1.003)	1.909(1.854)	-----	-----
2	1.095(1.077)	1.008(.987)	1.797(1.749)	-----	-----
3	.945(.937)	.864(.864)	1.748(1.697)	-----	-----
4	1.041(1.035)	.939(.967)	1.815(1.765)	-----	-----
23	.989(.966)	.917(.913)	1.635(1.606)	1.790(1.715)	-----
24	.994(.990)	-. (.915)	1.682(1.643)	1.791(1.737)	-----
25	.869(.864)	.780(.771)	1.551(1.528)	-----	-----
26	1.131(1.128)	1.040(1.036)	1.815(1.772)	-----	-----
34	.925(.926)	.876(.865)	1.655(1.624)	-----	-----
*35	.776(.775)	.681(.659)	1.536(1.480)	-----	-----
234	.858(.850)	.776(.796)	1.435(1.388)	1.615(1.545)	-----
235	.809(.805)	.720(.696)	1.399(1.388)	1.563(1.507)	-----
236	1.001(.995)	.904(.880)	1.440(1.416)	1.621(1.566)	-----
245	.829(.825)	.755(.737)	1.525(1.502)	1.598 -----	-----
246	1.016(1.017)	.878(.857)	1.506(1.508)	1.651(1.638)	1.757(1.706)
345	.796(.794)	.755(.748)	1.450 -----	1.548(1.516)	-----
2345	.764(.753)	.689 -----	1.306(1.280)	1.539(1.491)	-----
2346	.958(.945)	-----	1.241(1.231)	1.553(1.517)	1.672(1.598)
2356	.924(.924)	-----	1.229(1.229)	1.473(1.473)	1.592(1.565)
23456	.899(.886)	-----	1.284(1.284)	1.531(1.470)	-----

*Potentials (-V) prior to recrystallization were .844(.842), ---(.802), 1.526(1.550).

Table 5. Peak potentials (-V) of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in DMF with water added. Values in brackets are at twice the concentration of the first value.

Cmpd	Rev Waves	ORev	Irr 1	Irr 2	Irr 3
NB	.991(.974)	.912(.907)	1.674(1.591)	-----	-----
2	.953(.938)	.875(.845)	1.546(1.481)	-----	-----
3	.839(.830)	.771(.765)	1.516(1.440)	-----	-----
4	.888(.876)	.819(.812)	1.557(1.489)	-----	-----
23	.864(.857)	.788(.776)	1.443(1.373)	-----	-----
24	.861(.852)	.784(.774)	1.471(1.413)	1.558(1.508)	-----
25	.829(.826)	.732(.731)	1.493(1.423)	-----	-----
26	1.014(1.003)	.924(.918)	1.561(1.492)	-----	-----
34	.773(.765)	.704(.695)	1.500(1.420)	-----	-----
35	.710(.705)	.640(.636)	1.430(1.356)	-----	-----
234	.793(.792)	.720(.700)	1.278(1.271)	-----	-----
235	.740(.732)	.651(.657)	1.312(1.273)	1.477(1.364)	-----
236	.930(.919)	.863(.841)	1.264 -	1.435(1.361)	-----
245	.745(.738)	.681(.668)	1.432(1.347)	- (1.427)	-----
246	.932(.918)	.854(.840)	1.303 -	1.457(1.392)	-----
345	.665(.657)	.605(.584)	1.446(1.375)	-----	-----
2345	.687(.682)	.617(.606)	1.142(1.131)	1.353(1.353)	1.427(1.360)
2346	.876(.877)	-----	1.060(1.058)	1.365(1.304)	1.563(1.511)
2356	.869(.862)	-----	1.023(1.002)	1.304(1.274)	1.453(1.363)
penta	.816(.810)	-----	1.149(1.142)	1.389(1.348)	1.455(1.415)

Table 6. Peak potentials (-V) of chlorinated nitrobenzenes in AN with water added. Values in brackets are at twice the concentration of the first value.

Cmpd	Rev Waves	ORev	Irr 1	Irr 2	Irr 3
NB	1.006(.982)	.911(.779)	1.443(1.302)	-----	-----
2	.978(.961)	.904(.876)	1.370(1.261)	-----	-----
3	.882(.859)	.831(.788)	1.356(1.188)	-----	-----
4	.925(.899)	.856(.787)	1.376(1.258)	-----	-----
23	.897(.886)	.828(.718)	1.206(1.074)	-----	-----
24	.894(.878)	.816(.797)	1.301(1.193)	-----	-----
25	.860(.844)	.798(.744)	1.226(1.083)	-----	-----
26	1.002(.971)	.938(.916)	1.355(1.260)	-----	-----
34	.829(.808)	.761(.747)	1.296(1.174)	-----	-----
35	.779(.762)	.706(.704)	1.249(1.144)	-----	-----
234	.846(.827)	.787(.732)	1.193(1.087)	1.141(1.318)	-----
235	.798(.786)	.736(.719)	1.163(1.048)	-----	-----
236	.955(.926)	.884(.867)	1.295(1.212)	-----	-----
245	.804(.786)	.742(.724)	1.237(1.128)	-----	-----
246	.951(.925)	.881(.857)	1.354(1.282)	-----	-----
345	.738(.719)	.671(.656)	1.229(1.121)	-----	-----
2345	.761(.739)	.691(.691)	1.152(1.038)	1.239 -	-----
2346	.910(.885)	.839(.749)	1.231(1.134)	-----	-----
2356	.898(.872)	.828(.755)	1.149(1.062)	-----	-----
23456	.884(.865)	.704(.704)	1.160(1.049)	1.219 -	1.334 -




Figure 7. General mechanism of reduction. All electrochemical reductions and oxidations moved to the right and left, respectively (E processes). All chemical steps are vertical arrows (C processes).





Figure 8. Cyclic voltammograms of 3-CNB.

nonfaradaic charging current and possibly faradaic reduction of impurities until a potential is reached where electrons are transferred to the 3-chloronitrobenzene compound. The current increases with potential until it is limited by the rate of diffusion of 3-CNB to the electrode and the peak of wave 1 is reached. Before the next electron transfer, the current decreases toward a non-faradaic current. At 1.8 V, the second set of electrons are transferred. The processes to this point are represented by Equations 76 and 77.



The reversibility of equation (76) is shown by the short sweep in Figure 7. However, wave 2 is much larger than wave 1, so it is apparent that wave 2 represents more than a single electron transfer. The electrochemical process is followed by a chemical process (or actually, two chemical processes), then further reduction. Equations 77-81 represents the chemistry associated with wave 2. The cathodic limit is reached and the direction of the potential sweep is reversed.

