

Movement, activity, and habitat use of white-tailed deer along the lower Yellowstone River by James Daniel Herriges, Jr

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Fish and Wildlife Management
Montana State University
© Copyright by James Daniel Herriges, Jr (1986)

Abstract:

A study was conducted using radio telemetry to evaluate distribution, movements, activity, and habitat use by white—tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) on two areas along the lower Yellowstone River in eastern Montana during summer 1982 and 1983 and winter 1984. Deer distribution centered on river bottomlands, though some deer made daily or seasonal movements to adjacent uplands on the Intake area. Deer movements were short and restricted to riparian cover during the day, but increased and extended to agricultural fields up to 2.5 km from cover at night. Movement to and use of fields increased through summer and from summer to winter and appeared greater on the Intake than Elk Island study area. Well defined peaks in deer activity and movement occurred at sunrise and sunset; lesser peaks occurred near midnight and noon. Peak use of agricultural fields occurred from 1-2 hours after sunset until midnight. Seasonal minimum convex polygon home range sizes for individual deer varied from 0.29 km² to 10.0 km². Adult females had the smallest home ranges, averaging 0.87 km² in summer and 1.8 km² in winter. Total (24-hour) home range size varied by sex and age of the deer, season, and study area. Home ranges based only on daytime relocations averaged half as large as total home ranges in summer and 28% of the total in winter and did not differ between seasons and study areas. Among riparian cover types, deer selected for mid-to-late serai communities (mature cottonwood, shrub, green ash, and mature willow) with tall dense cover; grasslands were avoided. Among croplands, deer preferred alfalfa in summer and ungrazed alfalfa, sugar beets, and winter wheat in winter. Differences in selection and use of crops between study areas and seasons were related to availability influenced by cropping, harvest, and postharvest grazing and field treatment practices. Overall, natural riparian cover and agricultural croplands were the two major components of deer habitat. These combined with topography and physiography of the river valley, and other land use and operational practices to influence deer distribution movements and home range size, activity, and use of specific cover types.

MOVEMENT, ACTIVITY, AND HABITAT USE OF WHITE-TAILED DEER ALONG THE LOWER YELLOWSTONE RIVER

bу

James Daniel Herriges, Jr.

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

οf

Master of Science

in

Fish and Wildlife Management

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

June, 1986

MAIN LIB.

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

James Daniel Herriges, Jr.

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

Approved for the Major Department

June 11, 1986 Jeta 7. Brund Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State. University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgement of source is made.

Permission for extensive quotation from or reproduction of this thesis may be granted by my major professor, or in his absence, by the Director of Libraries when, in the opinion of either, the proposed use of the material is for scholarly purposes. Any copying or use of the material in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature	Jam	ea I	D 946	Mago.	Ju.
Date	Gune		1986	0	7//
		77.			-

I am deeply grateful to the following for their contributions to this study: Dr. R. J. Mackie, who directed and provided assistance in all phases of the project; G. L. Dusek, who gave much of his time and energy to the study and allowed use of his unpublished data in analysis of results; T. Lonner, R. Mule', and D. Burkhalter for assistance with data analyses using GEOSCAN and TELDAY computer programs; B. Hoskins for assistance in use of his GEOCALC program; C. Bittinger for assistance in use of various computer packages; Dr. H. Lee for statistical advice; N. Wood and M. Friedman for their help in various field studies; and the many employees of the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks and students at Montana State University who assisted in telementry tracking sessions. Special thanks go also to landowners Mike Frelich, Roy Gentry, Walt Adams, and Don and Leland Hagler who permitted access to their lands, the people of Savage, especially the Hagler family, for their friendship and hospitality, and my family and friends for their support and encouragement. The study was supported by the Mt. Dept. of Fish, Wildl. & Parks Fed. Aid Proj. W-120-R.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

															٠,											Page
APPR	OVAI	_	•	•	•				•	•	•	•		•		•	•	· •	•		•	•				i i
STAT	'EME	ΙT	01	F	PE	RM	IS	S	0	N	T	0	US	S E							•			•	•	1 1 1
VITA			•		•	•		•	,	•		•	•	,				•			•			•		iv
ACKN	OWLE	EDO	GMI	E N :	r.s.	•	. .			•	•		•	•		•			•						•	v
TABL	E OF	, (COL	T !	EN'	rs	•	•			•	•			•				•		•			•		νi
LIST	OF	Т	ΑВ	L. H	S						•						•	•		•	•		•			íх
LIST	OF	F]	[GT	JRI	ES	•		•				•	•			•	•	•		•					•	хi
ABST	RACI	•			•	· •	•	•				•			•		•	•				•	•		•	xiv
INTR	oduc	T	ON	7	•			•			•				•			•						•	•	1
DESC	RIPT	ΊC	N	01	7 5	3 T	UD	Y	A	RE	AS	3			•			•						•	•	3
	Loc	at	: i c	n						•											_					3
	Cli																					•	•	•	•	. 3
	Phy									•												•	•	•	•	6
	Lan																	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
	Cov																	•			•	:	•	•		12
	San	db	ar	.]	יען	o e				•				Ì					•		•	•	•	•	•	12
	Wil	10	w -	·Co) t 1	. o:	n w	00	d						Т						•	•	•	•	•	12
	Υou													Ξ,		, F							•	·	·	15
	Mat																				•		•	:	•	15
	Shr																		•		•	•	• •	•	•	16
	Flo																			•		:	•	:	•	16
	Gre																								•	17
	Mat	u r	· e	Wi	11	l ai	r - W	Τv	n e	- -	_	Ĭ				•	•								•	17
	Slo																				•	•	•	•	•	18
	Irr																					•	•	•	•	18
	Upl	a n	d d	Gr			la:	n d	,	r _v	ne	,	- ,	PC	-						•	•	•	•	•	18
	Har																	•		:			•	•	•	19
	Shr										•			•		•		•		•	-			•		19
	Cre																			•		•		•	•	19
	Far																			•	•			•	•	19
	Agr	íc	u1	tu	ra	1	F	ie	16	is	•			•		•		:	•	•						20
	_													-				-				-	-	-	-	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

,			•				Page
					•		1450
METHODS		,					0.1
METHODS	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	21
m . 1 .							
Triangulation						•	21
Other Deer Locations		•		•		·•	24
Activity Monitoring				•		•	24
Spotlight Survey				•			25
Helicopter Survey				_		_	25
Environmental Conditions						•	26
Cover Density				•	• •	•	26
					• •	•	
Cover Type Mapping					• •	•	27
Analytical Methods					,• •	•	27
Movements and Home Range				•		•	27
Habitat Use		•					2 9
•							
RESULTS		_				_	32
	•	•	•	•	• •	•	32
Distribution							2.0
					• •	•	32
Elk Island Study Area .					• •	•	32
Intake Study Area					• •	•	33
Sex and Age Differences		•		•		•	34
Effect of Disturbance .				•			34
Movements							3 5
Elk Island Study Area .				_			. 35
Summer			•	•	•	•	35
			•	•	• •	•	
Winter			• •	•	• •	•	42
Intake Study Area			• •	•		•	46
Summer						•	46
Winter				•		•	47
Sex and Age Differences				•			49
Home Range							49
Total Home Range Size .				_			49
Daytime Home Range Size					• •	•	54
Home Range Stability .					• •	•	
					• •	•	55
Activity				•	• •	•	59
Habitat Use	• •	•	•	•	• •	•	69
Elk Island Study Area .	• •		•	•		•	6 9
Summer			•	•		•	. 6 9
Winter						•	73
Intake							77
Summer				_		_	77
Winter	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	
Ground Observations		• •	•	•	• •	•	82
	• •.	• •	. •	•	• •	•	. 85
Heterogeneity	• •		•	• .	• •	•	8.5
Effects of Weather	• •		• .	•	• •	•	86
Cover Density			•	•		•	8.7

V111

TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

1																								1	age
DISCUSSI	ON A	ANI) 1	СО	NC	L	JS	10	NS	3	•	•	•		•	•		•	•		•		•		89
Dis	tril	o u t	i (on-	9	Мс	v	em	er	ı t s	Β,	aı	a d	Н	эше	•	Ŕe	n	g e	2					89
Act	ivi	ĽУ	•	•	•	•	,	•	•	•	•	•		٠							٠				96
Ħаъ	ita	t	Us	3 e		•	,•	•	٠	•	•	•			•			,							100
	Se	ele	e C 1	ti	on	C	f	R	1 p	aı	:ia	n	Тy	γpe	es			,				•	•		100
	01	e r	a	11	H	a b	1	t a	t	Us	е	Rε	2 1 a	tt	lon	s	h i	l p	S	•	•	•	•		108
MANAGMEN	T IN	SPL	10	CA'	ΤI	ON	S	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	٠,	•			•	•		110
REFERENCI	ES C	ΙT	ΈI)	•	÷		•				•	•		•	•	•		•		•	•	•	!	115
APPENDIC	ES	•		•	•	•		•	•	•		•			•	•	•		•					;	124
Арре																							•		125
Арре	end i	X	R	_	F	1 g	u I	re	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		130

LIST OF TABLES

[able		Page
· 1	Occurrence and approximate area (hectare) of	
	cover types on the Intake and Elk Island study	
	areas during summer 1983 ^a	13
2	Summer and winter total (24 hour) and daytime	•
	home range sizes of radioed deer at both study	
	areas by season, age, and sex	51
3	Differences in home range size between seasons	,
	study areas, and age classes for female deer	
	as indicated by mean seasonal polygon	
	areas and average activity radii	53
· 4	Multiple comparisons of mean deer movement	
	rates (m/h) between 6-hour periods during	
	summer and winter	64
5	Seasonal comparisons of mean movement rates	
	(m/h) of deer within 6-hour time periods	64
6	Cover-type selection by white-tailed deer	
	on the Yellowstone River study areas as	
	determined by GEOCALC, aerial radio	
	relocations, spotlight surveys and other	
	relocations	70
7	Percentages of total deer observed using	,
	agricultural fields and grasslands during	
	spotlighting counts in relation to the	
	availability of each type on the Elk	
	Island study area during summer	72
8 .	Percentages of total deer observed using	T.
	agricultural fields and grasslands during	
	spotlight counts in relation to the	
	availability of each type on the Elk	
	Island study area during winter	75

LIST OF TABLES - Continued

Table		Page
9	Percentages of total deer observed using agricultural fields and grasslands during spotlighting counts in relation to availability of each type on the Gentry bottom at Intake during summer	80
10	Percentages of deer observed using various cover types during spotlight counts as compared with availability on the Intake	
	area during winter	84
11	Wind speed measured in representative stands of various cover types in winter expressed as a percentage of wind speed measured at the base weather station (grassland)	88
12	Habitat selection and use based on aerial relocations of radio-collared deer on Elk Island and Intake study areas, 1980-1984 (Dusek, unpubl. data)	126
13	Home range sizes of adult female white-tailed deer reported from studies in various areas and habitats	127
14	Food habits of white-tailed deer on the lower Yellowstone River during the period March 1980 through Sept. 1985 as determined by rumen content analysis (Dusek, unpubl. data) Only those taxa comprising more than 1% of total volume are listed	129

LIST OF FIGURES

igu	re	Page
1	Map of the lower Yellowstone River showing location of the Intake and Elk Island study areas	4 .
2	Average monthly temperatures during the study period compared to 75-year averages	. 5
3	Monthly precipitation during the study period compared to 75-year averages	5
4	Aerial photograph of the Elk Island study area showing locations of antennas and other features	7
5	Aerial photograph of the Intake study area showing locations of antennas and other features	8
6	General cover-type map of the Intake study area	10
7 .	General cover-type map of the Elk Island study area	11
8	Flow chart summarizing successional changes on the lower Yellowstone River floodplain (after Boggs 1984)	14
9	Evening movement patterns of deer on the Elk Island study area during summer	36
10	Evening movement patterns of deer on the Elk Island study area during winter	37
11	Evening movement patterns of deer on the Intake study area during summer	38
12	Evening movement patterns of deer on the Intake study area during winter	. 39

LIST OF FIGURES - Continued

		•
Figure		Page
13	Mean distances moved between succuessive hourly locations of deer in summer on the Elk Island and Intake study areas	40
14	Percentage of nighttime radio locations of female deer with and without fawns in agricultural areas during triangulation sessions through summer	41
15.	Percentage of triangulated radio locations of deer at Elk Island in agricultural areas by hourly periods during summer and winter	45
16	Mean seasonal polygon 24-hour home range sizes grouped by age, sex, study area, and season	50
	Mean daytime polygon home range sizes grouped by age, sex, study area, and season	56
,	Percentage of deer on both study areas that were active, as measured by radio signal variation, during hour-long periods of the day in winter 1984	60
	Mean distances moved between hourly locations of deer on both study areas in winter	61
	Mean distances moved between hourly locations of deer at both study areas in summer	62
	Percentage of deer at both study areas that were active, as measured by radio signal variation, during 6-hour periods of the day in winter and summer seasons	63
,	Mean distances moved between hourly locations of deer on the Elk Island and Intake study areas in winter	66
	•	

xiii

LIST OF FIGURES - Continued

rigur	e ,	Page
23	Percentage of deer that were active, as measured by signal strength variation, during hour-long periods of the day in winter 1984 at Elk Island and Intake study areas	67
24	Mean distances moved between hourly locations by deer on the Elk Island study area in relation to ambient temperature during summer	68
25	Numbers of deer observed in spotlight counts on the Elk Island and Intake study areas during summer 1983	7 4
26	Percentage of total nighttime locations of radioed deer that were in agricultural areas on the Elk Island study area during winter	77
27	Trends in numbers of deer observed in spotlight counts of deer through winter 1984 on the Elk Island and Intake study.	78
28	Minimum convex polygon home range boundaries of radio-collared deer at Elk Island during summer 1983	131
29	Minimum convex polygon home range boundaries of radio-collared deer at Elk Island during winter 1984	132
30	Minimum convex polygon home range boundaries of radio-collared deer at Intake during summer 1983	133
31	Minimum convex polygon home range boundaries of radio-collared deer at Intake during winter 1984	134

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted using radio telemetry to evaluate distribution, movements, activity, and habitat use by white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) on two areas along the lower Yellowstone River in eastern Montana during summer 1982 and 1983 and winter 1984. distribution centered on river bottomlands, though some deer made daily or seasonal movements to adjacent uplands on the Intake area. Deer movements were short and restricted to riparian cover during the day, but increased and extended to agricultural fields up to 2.5 km from cover at night. Movement to and use of fields increased through summer and from summer to winter and appeared greater on the Intake than Elk Island study area. defined peaks in deer activity and movement occurred at sunrise and sunset; lesser peaks occurred near midnight and noon. Peak use of agricultural fields occurred from 1-2 hours after sunset until midnight. Seasonal minimum convex polygon home range sizes for individual deer varied from 0.29 km² to 10.0 km². Adult females had the smallest home ranges, averaging 0.87 km^2 in summer and 1.8 km^2 in winter. Total (24-hour) home range size varied by sex and age of the deer, season, and study area. Home ranges based only on daytime relocations averaged half as large as total home ranges in summer and 28% of the total in winter and did not differ between seasons and study areas. Among riparian cover types, deer selected for mid-to-late seral communities (mature cottonwood, shrub, green ash, and mature willow) with tall dense cover; grasslands were avoided. Among croplands, deer preferred alfalfa in summer and ungrazed alfalfa, sugar beets, and winter wheat Differences in selection and use of crops between study areas and seasons were related to availability influenced by cropping, harvest, and postharvest grazing and field treatment practices. Overall. natural riparian cover and agricultural croplands were the two major components of deer habitat. These combined with topography and physiography of the river valley, and other land use and operational practices to influence deer distribution movements and home range size, activity, and use of specific cover types.

INTRODUCTION

In 1980, the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks initiated intensive studies of population ecology and habitat relationships of white-tailed deer on bottomlands of the lower Yellowstone River in eastern Montana. These bottomlands support dense populations of deer (Swenson et al. 1983, Dusek 1985) which provide important recreational hunting in the area, but may also depredate crops on and adjacent to the river bottom.

Detailed information on deer usage of bottomland habitats is required to assess agricultural relationships and develop effective methods to minimize depredations while sustaining deer populations for hunting and other benefits. There is also need for such information to assess the role of riparian vegetation, maintained by Yellowstone River flows (Boggs 1984), in the ecology of white-tails in bottomland habitat. Previous studies in the area (Swenson 1978) provided only general information. Allen's (1968) study of range use and food habits of white-tailed deer on bottomlands of the Missouri River in central Montana involved less agricultural cropland, and analysis of habitat use was limited primarily to open cover types.

My study was initiated in 1982 to obtain detailed information on habitat use over 24-hour periods during summer and winter. Specific objectives were: 1) to determine relative use of natural riparian communities and of agricultural lands and crops by white-tailed deer, 2) to determine distribution, movements, and activity patterns of deer, and 3) to determine how these parameters are affected by the distribution of riparian communities and agricultural lands and crops, environmental variables, and land use practices.

Field studies were conducted primarily during summer 1982 (July-September) and 1983 (June-September) and winter 1984 (January-March).

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREAS

Location

Field studies were conducted on two areas along the lower Yellowstone River (Fig. 1). The Intake study area was located in Dawson County about 24 kilometers (km) downstream from Glendive. The Elk Island study area was located in Richland County, near Savage, and about 32 km downriver from Intake. It included the Elk Island Wildlife Management and Recreation Area (EIWMA).

Climate

Winters are cold with average temperatures in January or February dropping below -18 C about once every 9 or 10 years (Pescador and Brockman 1980). Summers are warm and sunny with temperatures reaching 32 C or more on about half the afternoons in July and August. The average length of the growing season is 120-130 days. Annual precipitation is 30-36 centimeters (cm), 80% falling during April-September. Winter snowfall is moderate, averaging 71-81 cm.

Monthly temperatures and precipitation during the study are compared with long-term averages at Savage in Figures 2 and 3 (NOAA 1983-1984). The winter of 1983-1984