



Effects of culture conditions on the susceptibility of *Legionella pneumophila* to iodine disinfection
by Kari Lisa Cargill

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in
Microbiology

Montana State University

© Copyright by Kari Lisa Cargill (1991)

Abstract:

Control of *Legionella pneumophila* in drinking water systems is dependent on an accurate assessment of the efficacy of the chosen disinfectant at concentrations acceptable for use in potable water. Susceptibility of *L. pneumophila* to disinfecting agents is strongly affected by culture conditions under which it is grown. Thus agar-grown cultures may exhibit a different sensitivity than those grown in an environment more closely resembling that found in natural and manmade water systems. The goal of this study was to examine the effects of various growth conditions on the susceptibility of *L. pneumophila* to iodination.

L. pneumophila cultures were grown in well water, on rich agar media, in coculture with amoebae, and attached to stainless steel surfaces. Legionellae grown in water cultures in association with other microorganisms were less sensitive to disinfection by chlorine and iodine than were agar-passaged cultures. Differences in sensitivity to disinfection between water-cultured and agar-grown legionellae were determined by comparing CxT values and molar CxT values (mCxT). There was a greater difference in CxT values when the disinfecting agent was iodine (1500x) as compared with chlorine (68x). Iodine was 50x more effective than chlorine when used in agar-grown cultures but was only twice as effective when tested against water-grown *Legionella* cultures. CxTxS values, which take into consideration the percent surviving bacteria, were used to compare sensitivities in very resistant populations such as those found in a biofilm. These comparisons showed legionellae associated with stainless steel surfaces were 135x more resistant than were unattached legionellae and were 210,000x less sensitive than were agar-grown cultures. These results indicate that the conditions under which legionellae are grown can dramatically affect their susceptibility to some disinfectants and must be considered when evaluating the efficacy of a disinfecting agent.

EFFECTS OF CULTURE CONDITIONS ON THE SUSCEPTIBILITY OF
LEGIONELLA PNEUMOPHILA TO IODINE DISINFECTION

by

Kari Lisa Cargill

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

in

Microbiology

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

June 1991

1378
C1915

ii

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Kari Lisa Cargill

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

June 21, 1991
Date

[Signature]
Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

June 21, 1991
Date

[Signature]
Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

June 21, 1991
Date

[Signature]
Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgment of source is made.

Permission for extensive quotation from or reproduction of this thesis may be granted by my major professor, or in his absence, by the Dean of Libraries when, in the opinion of either, the proposed use of the material is for scholarly purposes. Any copying or use of the material in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature

Kari Arjell

Date

5-24-91

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere thanks goes to Gordon McFeters for the opportunity to work on this project and for his patient guidance; to the members of my committee: to Barry Pyle for help in experimental design and interpretation, and to Dave Ward and Jim Cutler for helpful advice. My gratitude goes to all the members of the MSU Microbiology Department for their generous help in furthering my education.

This project was supported by a NASA Graduate Student Researchers Fellowship. I thank Dick Sauer for his helpful comments. I am indebted to researchers in Pittsburgh: Dr. Robert Yee, Dr. Stanley States and Dr. John Kuchta for providing cultures and encouragement.

Thanks also to Shelley Watters for her suggestions and friendship; to my fellow graduate students for shared comradeship; and to Philip Yu for computer help.

Finally, I thank my non-scientist family for their emotional support and Nathan for understanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
INTRODUCTION	1
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	12
Media.....	12
Differential Glycine-vancomycin-polymyxin B.....	12
Buffered Charcoal Yeast Extract.....	12
Unsupplemented Buffered Charcoal Yeast Extract...	12
Yeast Extract Broth.....	13
R2A.....	14
Freshwater Amoeba Agar.....	14
Pseudomonas Agar F.....	14
Culture Water.....	14
Cultures.....	15
Water Cultures.....	15
Plate-grown Cultures.....	16
Broth Cultures.....	16
Cocultures.....	16
Pseudomonads.....	17
Disinfecting Agents.....	17
Chlorine Solution.....	17
Iodine Solution.....	18
Sodium Thiosulfate Solution.....	18
Disinfection Protocol.....	18
Biofilm Formation and Disinfection.....	19
Water Cultures.....	19
Broth Cultures.....	20
Pseudomonas Biofilm.....	21
Heat Treatment.....	21
Fluorescent Antibody Test.....	22
CxT Value Determination.....	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

	Page
RESULTS.....	24
Disinfection Experiments.....	24
Chlorine.....	24
Iodine.....	24
Heterotrophic Plate Count Bacteria.....	28
Heat Treatment.....	29
Cocultures.....	30
Biofilm Experiments.....	31
Water Culture Biofilms.....	31
Biofilm Formation.....	32
Heat Treated Water Biofilm.....	33
Broth-grown Biofilm.....	34
Pseudomonas Biofilm.....	35
CxT Values.....	35
DISCUSSION.....	39
LITERATURE CITED.....	47

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Differential glycine-vancomycin-polymyxin B agar.....	13
2. CxT values and mCxT values using chlorine and iodine against agar-grown and water-grown <u>L. pneumophila</u>	36
3. CxT values comparing iodination of heat-treated, recovered, and unheated water-grown legionellae.....	36
4. CxT values comparing iodine sensitivity of legionellae in coculture with water-grown and plate-grown cultures.....	37
5. CxT values and CxTxS values of iodinated legionellae grown under various conditions.....	38
6. CxT and mCxT values for disinfection of broth-grown and water-grown <u>L. pneumophila</u> biofilms.....	38

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Chlorination of water-grown versus agar-grown <u>L. pneumophila</u>	25
2. Iodination of water-grown <u>L. pneumophila</u> at various concentrations.....	26
3. Iodination of water-grown and agar-grown <u>L. pneumophila</u>	27
4. Long-term iodination of water-grown <u>L. pneumophila</u>	27
5. Disinfection of legionellae and heterotrophic plate count bacteria.....	28
6. Iodination of heat-treated legionellae and heat-treated legionellae after recovery....	29
7. Iodination of legionellae in coculture with amoebae.....	30
8. Iodination of water-grown legionellae in suspension and attached to stainless steel.....	31
9. Development of water-grown <u>L. pneumophila</u> biofilm with no heat-treatment.....	32
10. Development of water-grown <u>L. pneumophila</u> biofilm following heat-treatment.....	33
11. Disinfection of broth-grown biofilm as compared with water-grown legionellae biofilm.....	34

ABSTRACT

Control of Legionella pneumophila in drinking water systems is dependent on an accurate assessment of the efficacy of the chosen disinfectant at concentrations acceptable for use in potable water. Susceptibility of L. pneumophila to disinfecting agents is strongly affected by culture conditions under which it is grown. Thus agar-grown cultures may exhibit a different sensitivity than those grown in an environment more closely resembling that found in natural and manmade water systems. The goal of this study was to examine the effects of various growth conditions on the susceptibility of L. pneumophila to iodination.

L. pneumophila cultures were grown in well water, on rich agar media, in coculture with amoebae, and attached to stainless steel surfaces. Legionellae grown in water cultures in association with other microorganisms were less sensitive to disinfection by chlorine and iodine than were agar-passaged cultures. Differences in sensitivity to disinfection between water-cultured and agar-grown legionellae were determined by comparing CxT values and molar CxT values (mCxT). There was a greater difference in CxT values when the disinfecting agent was iodine (1500x) as compared with chlorine (68x). Iodine was 50x more effective than chlorine when used in agar-grown cultures but was only twice as effective when tested against water-grown Legionella cultures. CxTxS values, which take into consideration the percent surviving bacteria, were used to compare sensitivities in very resistant populations such as those found in a biofilm. These comparisons showed legionellae associated with stainless steel surfaces were 135x more resistant than were unattached legionellae and were 210,000x less sensitive than were agar-grown cultures. These results indicate that the conditions under which legionellae are grown can dramatically affect their susceptibility to some disinfectants and must be considered when evaluating the efficacy of a disinfecting agent.

INTRODUCTION

There are several important factors to be considered when determining the efficacy of a disinfecting agent for use in a water system. These include the type of disinfectant, the microorganisms being tested, culture conditions under which the microorganisms are grown, the presence or absence of other microorganisms in the water system and biofilm formation.

This study concerns the use of iodine as a disinfectant in water systems. Iodine has been used in drinking water but has been primarily limited to a small scale or emergency treatment (Black et al., 1968; Gottardi, 1983). The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) has used anion exchange resins containing iodine on the Space Shuttle (Marchin et al., 1985; Taylor et al., 1970). The water system is "fill and draw" with a short duration time of about seven days on the shuttle. In addition, NASA is planning to use these resins on Space Station Freedom in conjunction with other methods to disinfect potable water and recycled wastewater (Colombo and Greenley, 1980). During the extended lifetime of this vehicle in space, water will be recycled and stored. This will require effective disinfection within the water system.

Bacteria belonging to the family Legionellaceae deserve special attention since they are ubiquitous in water systems and grow under oligotrophic conditions (Wadowsky et al., 1982). Legionella spp. are also the causative agent of Legionnaires disease and the less serious Pontiac fever. Since legionellosis appears to be spread by the airborne transmission of legionellae it could pose a special threat in the microgravity environment of operational spacecraft where aerosols from liquids may be a problem.

There are now 34 recognized species within the genus Legionella as well as several serotypes (Winn, 1988). This organism was first isolated in 1976 following an outbreak of pneumonia in Philadelphia at an American Legion convention (Fraser et al., 1977).

Legionnaires disease, the pneumonic form, is characterized by a relatively long incubation period of 2-10 days, with a low attack rate (less than 1-4%), and a 15-20% fatality rate (Fraser and McDade, 1979). In contrast, Pontiac fever has a short incubation period averaging 36 hours, recovery within 48 hours, a high attack rate (up to 95% of persons exposed) and is a nonfatal flu-like illness (Winn, 1988). Pontiac fever may attack healthy adults but legionellosis usually only affects persons with predisposing factors. These may

include being over 50 years of age, heavy smoking or drinking, or being immunocompromised (Barbaree et al., 1987; Meyer, 1983). The disease is not spread person to person, rather its mode of transmission is through aerosols containing the bacterium. It is treatable with antibiotics such as erythromycin. Reasons for the existence of two different disease states caused by legionellae are unclear. Theories include differences in host susceptibility, a possible hypersensitivity reaction to protozoa associated with legionellae, or different species and strains of Legionella (Fields et al., Abstr. Annu. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., 1990).

Legionellae are freshwater bacteria which are gram-negative rods of 2-6 um in length and are fastidious with unusual nutrient requirements. This accounts for the difficulty in isolating these organisms. Legionellae can obtain all carbon and energy requirements from just nine amino acids (Ristroph et al., 1980; Tesh and Miller, 1982; Warren and Miller, 1979). The media used to isolate Legionella spp. are supplemented with ferric pyrophosphate and L-cysteine. Growth is aerobic and occurs over a wide pH and temperature range. Legionella spp. have been isolated from waters ranging from 6-65 C with 35 C being optimal for growth and survival. The presence of unusual fatty acids seen in other thermophilic bacteria indicates

a possible adaptation to higher temperatures (Moss et al., 1977). Legionellae are resistant to pH as low as 2 although the optimal pH when grown on artificial media is 6.9 (Fliermans et al., 1981; Wadowsky et al., 1985).

Legionella has been detected in a wide range of water including both natural and manmade systems. Lakes, rivers, puddles, and even soil are environments that support growth of legionellae (Barbaree et al., 1987). Cooling towers have been implicated in a number of outbreaks of legionellosis but other manmade systems are also good reservoirs for the bacterium (England et al., 1982). These may include faucets, showerheads, hot water tanks, humidifiers, whirlpools and swimming pools (States et al., 1987a; Stout et al., 1985; Wadowsky et al., 1982, Witherell et al., 1988).

The species of Legionella most commonly associated with disease is L. pneumophila although others have been implicated in human disease. Several species of Legionella have been isolated from environmental sites that have never been implicated in a disease situation and so may not be virulent for man. Conversely, there are some clinical Legionella spp. that have not been isolated from any water system. This may be due to the difficulty in isolating legionellae from water where they may be present in very low numbers. A recent study indicates

that viable but nonculturable legionellae might occur in some aquatic systems and may cause these bacteria to be underreported (Husson et al., 1987).

Isolation procedures for retrieving Legionella spp. from water systems have mainly focused on perfecting selective media. The base of most of these media is yeast extract with charcoal added (Feeley et al., 1979). In addition to solid media, a broth has been developed which does not require the addition of charcoal (Ristroph et al., 1980). All of these media contain L-cysteine and ferric pyrophosphate. Improvements have included the addition of a buffering agent (Pasculle et al., 1980), antibiotics such as vancomycin and polymyxin B (Edelstein and Finegold, 1979; Edelstein, 1981), and the dyes bromthymol blue and bromocresol purple (Vickers et al., 1981). These media are selective for Legionella spp. and exclude many other bacteria which may be present in water samples.

In order to enhance recovery of Legionella spp. and ensure the elimination of other bacteria other methods have been employed. These include treating the sample with heat or acid prior to plating. Both methods destroy other waterborne bacteria but legionellae are more resistant (Bopp et al., 1981; Dennis et al., 1984).

Membrane filtration has been used to concentrate

samples in which Legionella spp. are present in low numbers (Gorman et al., 1983; Orrison et al., 1981). A direct fluorescent antibody test is also available to aid in identification of Legionella cultures (Cherry et al., 1978) although there can be cross-reactions with several common water bacteria such as Flavobacterium spp., Pseudomonas spp. and Alcaligenes spp. which appear to share similar surface antigenic determinants (Tison and Seidler, 1983). Despite these advances there are still considerable problems in isolating legionellae from some water sources.

The control of Legionella spp. in water systems is difficult for many reasons. Since it is ubiquitous in fresh water, eradication is unrealistic and most experts agree that it is not necessary. Legionellae can survive in hot water systems as they tolerate higher temperatures than do most other water bacteria. Studies show that Legionella spp. also have a high tolerance for some disinfecting agents, including chlorine. This characteristic aids in their persistence after traditional treatments have eliminated other organisms (Kuchta et al., 1983; Skaliy et al., 1980).

Evidence that Legionella spp. interact with other microorganisms indicates another possible protective mechanism. Some of the microorganisms which have been

associated with enhanced growth and survival of legionellae include other bacteria, cyanobacteria, algae and protozoa (Hume and Hann, 1984; Tison et al., 1980). Studies show that Legionella spp. can grow in satellite formation around nonlegionellae bacterial colonies, including Flavobacterium spp., Pseudomonas spp., Alcaligenes spp. and Acinetobacter spp., when grown on L-cysteine-deficient media (Stout et al., 1985; Wadowsky and Yee, 1983). It has been suggested that the other bacteria provide L-cysteine which the legionellae require for growth. This indicates that it may be possible for legionellae to obtain nutrients from other organisms in the environment but this has not been demonstrated in natural water systems. Other studies demonstrated stimulation of growth of legionellae when algal extracts were added to the culture (Bohach and Snyder, 1983; Pope et al., 1982). Again, this cross-feeding has not been shown to occur in the natural environment. Some bacteria, such as pseudomonads, rather than enhancing growth appear to be inhibitory to Legionella spp. The association between free-living protozoa and Legionella spp. may most closely approximate what actually occurs in water systems. Legionellae have been shown to multiply intracellularly within amoebae and ciliated protozoa (Barbaree et al., 1986; Fields et al., 1984; Fields et al., 1986; Rowbotham,

1984; Wadowsky et al., 1988). In addition, protozoa have been isolated from water implicated in outbreaks of legionellosis although it has not been demonstrated that any naturally-occurring protozoa harbored intracellular legionellae. Nonetheless, interactions such as these are likely to occur in natural water systems where legionellae are found and may confer additional resistance to disinfection, heat and low pH. These interactions may also help explain the pathogenesis of Legionella spp. which cause an intracellular infection; growing within macrophages in the lungs and monocytes in the blood (Eisenstein, 1987).

Disinfection schemes which are most successful against Legionella spp. include hyperchlorination and heat treatment. Chlorine levels must be higher than those normally used to disinfect potable water (up to 2 ppm) (Hsu et al., 1984; Winn, 1988) and the water temperature must be at least 70 C for several days (Wadowsky et al., 1982; Wadowsky et al., 1985) to achieve appreciable disinfection. Either treatment alone is not as effective as when used together and there is usually regrowth of Legionella spp. shortly following treatment. Other treatments which have proven to be somewhat successful include the use of ozone (Domingue et al., 1988; Edelstein et al., 1982; Muraca et al., 1987) or ultraviolet light

(Dutka, 1984; Knudson, 1985; Muraca et al., 1987). Since legionellae are resistant to low pH but are fairly sensitive to higher pH levels, it has been recommended that large facilities such as cooling towers be maintained at an elevated pH (States et al., 1987b).

Legionellae are more resistant to several disinfecting agents than some other waterborne bacteria (Gerba et al., 1987; Kuchta et al., 1983). These bacteria include some coliforms which are used as indicator organisms to determine bacteriological water quality. Thus, elimination of the indicator bacteria may not guarantee the absence of legionellae from a water system.

Conditions under which waterborne bacteria are grown can be an important factor in determining disinfection susceptibility. Previous studies have shown that organisms grown under nutrient-limited conditions may be less sensitive to certain disinfectants than are the same bacteria cultivated in richer media (Brown and Williams, 1985; Carson et al., 1978). The species and strain of the organism being studied may determine whether this holds true. For instance, Pyle and McFeters (1989) found most strains of Pseudomonas exhibited an increased sensitivity to iodine disinfection when grown under nutrient limitation while one strain became less sensitive.

L. pneumophila has been shown to be much more

resistant to chlorination when grown in water culture than when cultivated in a rich medium (Kuchta et al., 1985). This may be due to a difference in nutrient conditions, growth rate, physiological changes or a reflection of interactions occurring between the legionellae and other microorganisms present in the water culture.

Another important consideration in water disinfection is the occurrence of biofilms. Bacteria, including legionellae, associated with surfaces may be significantly less susceptible to antimicrobial agents than planktonic cells (Costerton et al., 1987; LeChevallier et al., 1984; LeChevallier et al., 1988; Pyle and McFeters, 1990). Therefore, it is important to simulate the conditions under which a disinfectant will be used in order to accurately predict effectiveness in the field.

The goal of this study was to determine the effects of various culture conditions on the susceptibility of Legionella spp. to iodination. These conditions included the level of nutrients in the growth medium, presence or absence of other microorganisms, and attachment to stainless steel surfaces. This study focused on Legionella spp. since it is a potential pathogen which is commonly found in water systems and whose susceptibility to iodine disinfection was not known. Legionellae were grown in water cultures in an attempt to determine

sensitivity to iodine in conditions similar to those found in actual water systems rather than in pure cultures under highly artificial laboratory conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

MediaDifferential Glycine-vancomycin-polymyxin B
Agar (DGVP)

The selective and differential medium DGVP (Wadowsky et al., 1981) was used to plate Legionella cultures. This medium allows growth of legionellae while inhibiting growth of most other water bacteria. L. pneumophila colonies grown on DGVP have a characteristic cut glass appearance and a bluish tint. These colonies were unable to grow on unsupplemented buffered yeast extract agar which confirms their identification as legionellae.

The formulation for this medium is in Table 1.

Buffered Charcoal Yeast Extract Agar (BCYE)

BCYE (Pasculle et al., 1980) was used to grow pure cultures of Legionella since it is not selective for legionellae in mixed culture. The formulation is equivalent to DGVP but without the dyes, antibiotics and glycine.

Unsupplemented Buffered Charcoal Yeast
Extract Agar (UNBCYE)

UNBCYE (Feeley et al., 1979) was used to distinguish

between legionellae and nonlegionellae bacteria. It is similar to BCYE but lacks the L-cysteine and ferric pyrophosphate which are essential for the growth of Legionella spp. Thus, colonies which grown on UNBCYE are not legionellae. Growth on BCYE and inability to grow on UNBCYE are indicative of Legionella spp.

Table 1. Differential glycine-vancomycin-polymyxin B agar

Yeast extract	10.0 g
ACES buffer	10.0 g
Glycine	6.0 g
Activated charcoal	2.0 g
Distilled water to	1.0 l
Adjust pH to 6.9 with 1 N KOH.	
Agar	17.0 g
Bromthymol blue	0.001 %
Bromcresol purple	0.001 %
Autoclave 121 C, 15 min.	
Filter sterilize the following and add:	
L-cysteine	0.4 g
Ferric pyrophosphate	0.25 g
Polymyxin B sulfate	100 U/ml
Vancomycin	5 ug/ml

Yeast Extract Broth (YEB)

YEB (Ristroph et al., 1980) was utilized for growth of legionellae in a liquid medium other than water. It consists of yeast extract, ACES buffer, L-cysteine and ferric pyrophosphate in the amounts used in the DGVP medium. These components are added to distilled water, pH adjusted and filter sterilized through a 0.22 um filter.

R2A

R2A (Reasoner and Geldreich, 1985) medium was prepared as directed by the manufacturer (Difco). This medium was used for growth of heterotrophic plate count (HPC) bacteria from water cultures.

Freshwater Amoeba Agar (FWA)

FWA is recommended by ATCC for growth of Hartmannella vermiformis. It contains: yeast extract (0.1 g), malt extract (0.1 g), agar (10.0 g) and distilled water (1 liter) and is adjusted to pH 7.

Pseudomonas Agar F

This medium was used to grow P. aeruginosa cultures isolated from water cultures. It consists of: tryptone (10.0 g), proteose peptone (10.0 g), dipotassium phosphate (1.5 g), magnesium sulfate (1.5 g), agar (15 g) and distilled water (1 liter) at pH 7.

Culture water

Tap water was suggested to maintain Legionella water cultures (Yee and Wadowsky, 1982). Water from the Bozeman water system was consistently unable to support viable Legionella cultures. Since copper sulfate in the water can pose a problem (States, 1985), water analysis was done by the Chemistry Station Laboratory, Montana State University. No copper sulfate was detected in our

samples. Tap water aged in sunlight for several weeks retained a slight chlorine residue and also failed to maintain the legionellae water cultures. Other attempts to condition the water included boiling for five minutes, pasteurizing at 60 C for 30 min, and autoclaving at 121 C for 15 min; none of these methods was successful. When well water from a home situated in the Gallatin Canyon was substituted, consistent growth of L. pneumophila was achieved. Gallatin Canyon well water was thus used for water cultures and as a diluent for disinfection experiments throughout the study. Creek water was also used and allowed growth of legionellae for a limited number of culture transfers.

Cultures

Water Cultures

Water cultures containing L. pneumophila serogroup 1 and other unidentified water microorganisms were obtained from the Department of Water, Pittsburgh, PA. The water cultures were maintained in well water which had been filtered through a 0.22 um membrane filter (Number GSWPO47SO, Millipore, Bedford, MA). Cultures were transferred every 21 days (when growth was in late-exponential to early-stationary phase) by inoculating 5 ml aliquots of the culture into 95 ml of the filtered well

water and incubating at 35 C in the dark.

Plate-grown Cultures

Agar-passaged cultures were obtained as colonies grown from water cultures on the selective DGVP medium. Legionellae were identified by their ability to grow on DGVP and BCYE, inability to grow on UNBCYE, by a direct fluorescent antibody test (SciMedX, Denville, NJ; Cherry et al., 1978), and by their characteristic colony morphology when grown on DGVP. Stock cultures of these organisms were suspended in a solution of 50% glycerol/50% yeast extract broth (vol/vol) and stored at -70 C.

Broth Cultures

L. pneumophila cultures were also maintained in YEB. Attempts to grow legionellae in dilute broth solutions (10% and 1%) were only partially successful.

Cocultures

Cocultures of legionellae were grown in association with hartmanellid amoebae as recommended by others (Navratil et al., Abstr. Annu. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., 1990; Kellogg, Abstr. Annu. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., 1990). L. pneumophila (ATCC number 33152) was grown on DGVP plates. The protozoan Hartmanella vermiformis (ATCC number 30966) was grown on FWA. Each culture was harvested from the plates using filtered well water,

centrifuged (5000 X g for 10 min), and the pellet was resuspended in well water. These suspensions were used to inoculate 100 ml filtered well water to obtain a final concentration of approximately 1500 amoebae/ml and 1500 legionellae/ml. The cocultures were kept at room temperature.

Pseudomonads

A heterotrophic bacterial strain was isolated from the water cultures obtained from Pittsburgh after a number of transfers with well water. This strain was able to grow on the selective DGVP medium and was inhibitory to the growth of L. pneumophila. The isolate was identified by an API/NFT test strip (API Analytab Products, Plainview, NY) as Pseudomonas aeruginosa. It was maintained on Pseudomonas F agar and was used in biofilm experiments.

Disinfecting Agents

Chlorine Solution

Chlorine solutions were prepared as described by LeChevallier et al. (1988). A 5% sodium hypochlorite solution was used to prepare stock solutions and concentrations were measured by amperometric titration (APHA, 1989).

Iodine Solution

Iodine solutions were prepared as described by others (Pyle and McFeters, 1989). Iodine concentrations were determined by amperometric titration (APHA, 1989). No chlorine or iodine demand was detected in the disinfection test systems.

Sodium Thiosulfate Solution

The sodium thiosulfate used to neutralize chlorine and iodine was prepared using distilled water to make a 10% solution and filter sterilized through a 0.22 μ m filter (Millipore).

Disinfection Protocol

Water cultures were disinfected two to three weeks following a culture transfer when legionellae were in exponential phase and numbers were between 10^3 and 10^5 cfu/ml. Chlorine or iodine was added directly to these water cultures.

Agar-grown legionellae were scraped from DGVP plates, suspended in filtered well water and centrifuged (5000 X g for 10 min). Cells were washed three times in this manner and resuspended in well water at a density of 10^5 to 10^6 cells/ml. Direct microscopic observation revealed monodispersed bacterial suspensions. Disinfectant was then added.

Cocultures were established using legionellae and hartmanellid amoebae in filtered well water which were allowed to grow for three days before disinfectant was added.

Disinfection experiments were done using 50 ml samples of cultures in a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask held at room temperature (20-25 C), pH 6.8 to 7.2. Samples were taken at timed intervals over a one hour period and the disinfectant neutralized with sodium thiosulfate (0.01% final concentration) (APHA, 1989). Appropriate dilutions were made with sterile distilled water blanks and 0.1 ml samples were spread onto DGVP plates in three replicates. Plates were incubated at 35 C and counts were made when Legionella colonies were apparent (5-7 days).

Heterotrophic plate count (HPC) bacteria were monitored during disinfection experiments by plating samples on R2A medium and incubating at 35 C overnight.

Biofilm Formation and Disinfection

Water Cultures

Disinfection experiments were performed with biofilms of water-grown L. pneumophila on stainless steel (316) coupons. Coupons (12 X 76 mm) were placed in 400 ml water cultures in a biofilm apparatus (Pyle and McFeters, 1990) with a magnetic stirrer. All coupons were parallel to the

water flow. Biofilms were allowed to form for three days before iodination. Both coupons and water suspensions were sampled at various timed intervals following disinfection. Coupons were removed, placed in sterile sodium thiosulfate (0.01%) for five minutes and rinsed with sterile water to remove unattached cells. The biofilm was scraped from the coupon into 10 ml sterile water using a rubber scraper and shaken vigorously for about 10 seconds. Appropriate dilutions of both the scraped biofilm cells and the water culture suspension were prepared with sterile distilled water and spread onto DGVP plates in triplicate samples. Viable counts were made after incubating at 35 C for 5-7 days. Coupons that had been scraped were stained with acridine orange (Hobbie et al., 1977) and observed through an epifluorescence microscope to ensure that the majority of cells were removed by the scraping procedure.

Broth Cultures

Broth cultures were also used for biofilm disinfection experiments as a rich-grown sample. Legionellae were inoculated into tubes of YEB and allowed to grow at 35 C until the broth was turbid (5 days). Fifty ml of this suspension was added to 350 ml sterile distilled water to achieve approximately 5×10^4 cfu/ml. This was stirred for four hours in the biofilm apparatus

distilled water to achieve approximately 5×10^4 cfu/ml. This was stirred for four hours in the biofilm apparatus and cells were allowed to attach to the stainless steel coupons. In order to avoid chlorine demand by the organic material in the medium, the broth suspension was removed and sterile distilled water was added to the biofilm jar. Disinfectant was added and coupons were scraped at timed intervals as described previously. Dilutions of the scraped cells were made and plated onto DGVP medium for enumeration.

Pseudomonas biofilm

The P. aeruginosa culture isolated from water cultures was grown on Pseudomonas Agar F. Cells were then washed from the plates, suspended in well water, centrifuged and sonicated. Some of this suspension was added to 400 ml well water in a biofilm apparatus to a final concentration of approximately 10^6 cfu/ml. Samples of the suspension and scrapings from the coupons were made over 72 hours to follow the development of the biofilm and the survival of the pseudomonads in water culture.

Heat Treatment

Heat treatment was used to eliminate other heterotrophic bacteria from water cultures and cocultures prior to disinfection since growth of several of these

organisms was inhibitory to legionellae on DGVP plates. Prior to disinfection, water cultures were placed in a 50 C water bath for 30 minutes. This removed all of the contaminating bacteria while L. pneumophila survived, although at slightly lower numbers. Cultures were allowed to cool to room temperature before disinfectant was added. To determine the effect of this treatment on disinfection susceptibility of L. pneumophila, iodination was performed on unheated controls and on heat-treated water cultures. In order to discover whether heat had any lasting effects on sensitivity of legionellae to disinfection, some heat-treated cultures were allowed to recover for six days at 35 C (the temperature normally used to maintain these cultures).

Fluorescent Antibody Test

A commercially prepared direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) (SciMedX, Denville, NJ) was used to microscopically examine bacteria grown on plates, in water cultures and in biofilms. Better results were obtained with pure cultures of L. pneumophila since the DFA can cross-react with several organisms found in natural water samples. These may include pseudomonads, flavobacteria and Alcaligenes spp. (Tison and Seidler, 1983).

CxT Value Determination

Concentration (mg/L) multiplied by time (min) to achieve 99% reduction in cfu of disinfected samples (CxT_{99} value) was used as a method to compare various results. Since CxT_{99} values do not take into account the difference in molarity between similar concentrations (in mg/L) of chlorine and iodine, molar CxT_{99} values ($mCxT_{99}$) were used to compensate for these differences. Each CxT_{99} value was divided by the molecular weight of the disinfecting agent used to obtain the $mCxT_{99}$ value to be used in comparisons between these two disinfectants. At neutral pH the predominant forms of the halogens was hypochlorous acid ($HOCl$) and iodine (I_2) (Dychdala, 1983) so molecular weights used in calculations was 35 and 253 respectively.

In some instances 99% kill was not achieved so it was not possible to calculate the CxT_{99} values. In order to compare these results with findings in other experiments $CxTxS$ values were calculated. This value is the product of the CxT value multiplied by the percent surviving bacteria.

RESULTS

Disinfection Experiments

Chlorine and iodine were used to disinfect cultures of L. pneumophila grown under various conditions to determine their susceptibility to these disinfectants. Legionellae grown on DGVP agar plates, in well water cultures, in broth, in cocultures and attached to stainless steel surfaces were exposed to the disinfectants.

Chlorine

Chlorination of L. pneumophila was used as a standard by which to compare subsequent experiments using iodine disinfection. These results (Fig. 1) show that when this organism was grown under oligotrophic conditions in well water it was markedly less sensitive to chlorination than following cultivation on a rich agar medium.

Iodine

Disinfection studies were performed using various levels of iodine. A concentration of 16 ppm (or mg/L) iodine was required before more than a 2 log decrease in

viability was observed in a one hour contact period with the water-grown bacteria (Fig. 2). Low concentrations (less than 4 ppm) of iodine caused an increase in L. pneumophila colony forming units as compared with an untreated control. At 8 ppm a biphasic curve was seen with relatively rapid initial disinfection followed by a plateau.




Figure 1. Chlorination of water-grown versus agar-grown L. pneumophila.




Figure 2. Iodination of water-grown *L. pneumophila* at various concentrations.

Differences in sensitivity to iodine were determined in both water-grown and agar-grown cultures. The results (Fig. 3) indicate that there was a greater distinction than was seen with chlorination (Fig. 1). Water-grown cultures were much more resistant to a concentration of 16 ppm iodine than were agar-grown legionellae exposed to 0.5 ppm iodine. Water-grown cultures were not affected by a concentration of only 0.5 ppm (data not shown). Disinfection of water cultures over longer time periods (up to 48 hours) at 8 ppm iodine also failed to eliminate all legionellae (Fig. 4).




Figure 3. Iodination of water-grown and agar-grown *L. pneumophila*.




Figure 4. Long-term iodination of water-grown *L. pneumophila*.

Heterotrophic Plate Count Bacteria

Since the water cultures were an uncharacterized mixed population, heterotrophic plate count (HPC) bacteria were monitored to determine their sensitivity to chlorine and iodine relative to Legionella. Results (Fig. 5) show legionellae to be more resistant to both halogens than other heterotrophic bacteria were. There was a greater difference with iodine since HPCs were challenged with only 0.5 ppm iodine whereas 16 ppm was required to achieve a similar destruction curve for Legionella.




Figure 5. Disinfection of legionellae and heterotrophic plate count bacteria.

Heat Treatment

Heat treatment of water cultures was used to eliminate interfering bacteria which grew as contaminants on the selective DGVP medium. This treatment destroyed HPC bacteria while most legionellae survived and were then subjected to iodine. The results (Fig. 6) show that heat treatment may have caused an increased sensitivity of Legionella to iodine. The level of resistance seen in unheated controls did not appear to recover with time, indicating a change with heating which appears to be a lasting effect.




Figure 6. Iodination (16 ppm) of heat-treated legionellae and heat-treated legionellae after recovery (at 35 C for six days).

Cocultures

Cocultures of legionellae and hartmanellid amoebae in water were used as a model system in an attempt to mimic possible interactions between Legionella and protozoa in natural water systems. Iodination was performed on this coculture to determine the iodine sensitivity of these legionellae. The results indicate that L. pneumophila cells in this coculture were more sensitive to 2 ppm iodine than were those grown in undefined water cultures exposed to 16 ppm iodine (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, legionellae in cocultures were more resistant than those that were agar-grown and exposed to only 0.5 ppm (Fig. 7). Biphasic kinetics were observed in coculture disinfections.




Figure 7. Iodination of legionellae in coculture with amoebae as compared with water-grown and agar-grown legionellae.

Biofilm ExperimentsWater Culture Biofilms

Results of disinfection experiments using the biofilm system (Fig. 8) showed attached organisms were less susceptible to 16 mg/L iodine than were unattached legionellae. In addition, *L. pneumophila* in suspension within the biofilm system were less sensitive to 16 mg/L iodine than those in water cultures where no biofilm system was present, as shown in Fig. 3.




Figure 8. Iodination (16 ppm) of water-grown legionellae in suspension and attached to stainless steel.

Biofilm Formation

Development of biofilms containing legionellae on stainless steel surfaces were monitored over three days. Fig. 9 shows that cells attached within a few hours and numbers of attached L. pneumophila continued to increase over the 72 hour period. Numbers of legionellae in suspension remained stable for most of the sampling time but dropped somewhat toward the end.




Figure 9. Development of water-grown L. pneumophila biofilm with no heat-treatment.

Heat-treated Water Biofilm

To determine the effect of heat treatment on Legionella biofilm formation, water cultures were subjected to heat, then biofilms were allowed to form and were monitored over a 72 hour time span. Results from this experiment show a more rapid attachment of legionellae initially (Fig. 10) than was seen in the unheated control (Fig. 9). This was followed by a decline in numbers of both attached and suspended legionellae.




Figure 10. Development of water-grown L. pneumophila biofilm following heat-treatment.

Broth-grown Biofilm

Broth-grown legionellae were used to form biofilms on stainless steel to represent attachment in a nutrient enriched culture and to determine what effect this environment might have on the resistance of attached L. pneumophila to disinfecting agents. As shown in Fig. 11, broth-grown legionellae attached to stainless steel were more sensitive to 16 ppm iodine than attached water-grown Legionella.




Figure 11. Disinfection of broth-grown biofilm as compared with water-grown legionellae biofilm.

Pseudomonas Biofilm

Pure cultures of P. aeruginosa were suspended in well water and used to form biofilms on stainless steel. This was done to see if the biofilm would prevent legionellae from subsequent attachment since pseudomonads were inhibitory to legionellae on DGVP media. Results showed that the pseudomonads did attach (approximately 1.7×10^8 cfu/coupon) and remained as a biofilm for the 72 hour sampling period. Legionellae were then added to the biofilm apparatus as a challenge to the pseudomonads. It was unclear from the results whether legionellae were able to attach since the pseudomonads were still present in the samples and prevented the growth of legionellae on DGVP plates.

CxT Values

As shown in Table 2, the CxT_{99} value for water-grown legionellae was 68 times that of agar-grown legionellae when chlorine was the disinfectant; whereas there was a 1500 fold difference when iodine was used.

Molar CxT_{99} values ($mCxT_{99}$) were used to obtain comparable data on the effects of chlorine and iodine. When examining agar-grown legionellae, iodine appeared to be 50 times more effective than chlorine (Table 2). The difference with water-grown cultures was less dramatic

with iodine being about twice as effective as chlorine in eliminating L. pneumophila.

Table 2. CxT values and mCxT values using chlorine and iodine against agar-grown and water-grown L. pneumophila.

DISINFECTANT	CULTURE	CxT	mCxT
Chlorine	Agar-grown	0.88	0.025
	Water-grown	60	1.7
Iodine	Agar-grown	0.13	0.0005
	Water-grown	200	0.79

Comparison of CxT values showed heat-treated cultures to be 3.3 times more susceptible to iodination than control water cultures (Table 3). There was little difference between heat-treated samples and those which were subjected to heat and allowed to stand 6 days. (recovery).

Table 3. CxT values comparing iodination of heat-treated, recovered, and unheated water-grown legionellae

CULTURE	CxT
Control	200
Heat-treated	60
Recovery	76

Cocultures were 62 times more resistant to iodine than agar-grown cultures but were 25 times more sensitive than water-grown legionellae in mixed cultures (Table 4).

Table 4. CxT values comparing iodine sensitivity of legionellae in coculture with water-grown and plate-grown cultures.

CULTURE	CxT
Coculture	8
Water-grown	200
Agar-grown	0.13

Iodination of biofilms did not achieve 99% kill (Fig. 8), therefore, CxT_{99} values could not be calculated for attached or suspended cells so $CxTxS$ values were used (Table 5). Attached legionellae were found to have a $CxTxS$ value 2.8 times greater than the bacterial suspension within the biofilm apparatus. Suspended cells were 48 times more resistant to iodine than those in water cultures where no stainless steel-associated biofilm was present. $CxTxS$ calculations also revealed that attached cells were 135 times more resistant than water-grown legionellae and approximately 210,000 times less susceptible to iodine than agar-grown L. pneumophila.

Table 5. CxT values and CxTxS values of iodinated legionellae grown under various conditions.

CULTURE	CxT	CxTxS
Agar-grown	0.13	0.13
Water-grown	200	200
Water biofilm -attached cells	> 960	27,000
Water biofilm -suspended cells	> 960	9600

Broth biofilms also showed less than 99% kill so CxTxS values were calculated (Table 6) as well as mCxTxS values to compare results for chlorine and iodine disinfection of biofilms. Chlorine was 5 times more effective than iodine when mCxTxS values are considered. Again, water-grown cultures were more resistant than rich-grown cultures even in a biofilm with a 465 fold difference.

Table 6. CxT and mCxTxS values for disinfection of broth-grown and water-grown L. pneumophila biofilms.

CULTURE	DISINFECTANT	CxTxS	mCxTxS
Broth biofilm	Iodine	58	0.23
	Chlorine	45	1.2
Water biofilm	Iodine	27,000	107

DISCUSSION

These results show that the conditions under which L. pneumophila is grown affect its susceptibility to iodine disinfection. The susceptibility of the cultures to chlorine was used as a comparison for iodination studies since chlorine is a more common water disinfectant. We found that legionellae grown in water cultures containing other microorganisms but no added nutrients were more resistant to chlorine than those grown on a rich nutrient medium (Fig. 1 and Table 2). These findings are similar to those reported by Kuchta et al. using chlorine (1985). In addition, our results demonstrated a parallel effect of culture conditions on legionellae using iodine as a disinfecting agent. Specifically, cultures grown on enriched nutrient media were significantly more sensitive to iodine than water cultures as evidenced by the greater concentration of iodine required for disinfecting water-grown cultures (Fig. 3 and Table 2).

Low concentrations of iodine initially caused an increase in L. pneumophila colony forming units as compared with a control water culture (Fig. 2). Possible explanations for this phenomenon may be the dispersal of legionellae from aggregates, release from intracellular

growth within protozoa, or the activation of some protective physiological mechanism (Matin et al., 1989). However, microscopic examination using direct fluorescent antibody failed to show any evidence of bacterial aggregation.

There have been many studies showing intracellular growth of legionellae within various protozoa (Barbaree et al., 1986; Fields et al., 1984; Tyndall and Domingue, 1982). Protozoa have also been isolated from waters in which legionellae have been detected (Barbaree, 1986). It has been suggested that these protozoa may be natural hosts for Legionella spp. in water systems and may contribute to their reduced susceptibility to many disinfecting agents due to thicker cell envelopes and cyst stages found with protozoa. Although protozoa were not seen in the water cultures they had been observed in the cultures sent from Pittsburgh (personal communication, J. Kuchta and R. Wadowsky). The increase in L. pneumophila numbers at low concentrations of iodine may have been due to the release of intracellular legionellae from damaged protozoa. Higher concentrations of disinfectant may have killed extracellular legionellae before they could be detected. Although studies reporting the susceptibility of common water protozoa generally have shown them to be resistant to levels of disinfectants used in water

treatment (King et al., 1988), they may be more sensitive to iodination.

The resistant fraction of legionellae detected over the course of disinfection may cause a biphasic survivor curve for L. pneumophila and E. coli treated with chlorine dioxide (Berg et al. 1988). Therefore, the plateau portion of the disinfection curves may be due to a resistant subpopulation of these bacteria. This resistant fraction persisted with continued iodination up to 48 hours (Fig. 4).

Legionellae appeared to be more resistant to chlorine and iodine than other heterotrophic bacteria present in the same water cultures. This agrees with findings by others that Legionella spp. are less sensitive to several disinfecting agents than other common water microorganisms. Thus, determination of bacteriological water quality using indicator organisms may not be an adequate measure of the effectiveness of a particular disinfection scheme relative to the presence of legionellae.

Heat treatment has been used to eliminate interfering microorganisms from water samples in order to enhance detection of legionellae which appear to be resistant to the elevated temperatures used. Colbourne and Dennis (1989) have reported an increase in recovery of Legionella

following heat treatment and have postulated that this may indicate the existence of heat-shock genes similar to those found in E. coli. Another explanation for this phenomenon may be protection of legionellae existing intracellularly within amoebic cysts at cold temperatures which may confer resistance to heat and release legionellae when excysting at higher temperatures (States, 1990).

A slight decrease in numbers of legionellae following heat treatment was demonstrated. A decrease in resistance to iodine was also seen. This may be a permanent change since increased sensitivity remained up to six days following heat treatment. Perhaps interactions with nonlegionellae bacteria, which are destroyed by the heat, are important in the resistance of L. pneumophila to disinfecting agents. More likely, the interactions between legionellae and protozoa may be responsible for this resistance. Protozoa may be destroyed by the heat but extracellular, released or encysted Legionella may survive. Another explanation might be that enzymes or other physiologic factors within the legionellae may be altered by heat.

The coculture model was used to simulate interactions between legionellae and amoebae. An increase in resistance to disinfectants by L. pneumophila in coculture

was demonstrated in comparison with agar-grown legionellae. This is evidence that these kinds of interactions may be important in the resistance of legionellae in water systems. Although this coculture may more closely model a water culture there are other microorganisms which may play an important role as well. The water cultures containing a variety of organisms were more resistant to iodine than were cocultures. This may have been due to the absence of these other microorganisms but may also have been due to a different strain of L. pneumophila serogroup 1 which was used in the coculture experiments. Others have shown that similar cocultures are no more sensitive to chlorine than are water cultures of legionellae (Kuchta et al., Abstr. Annu. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., 1990).

Another aspect of this study was to determine if L. pneumophila formed biofilms on stainless steel and whether this would affect their susceptibility to iodine disinfection because of the importance of attached bacteria in the disinfection of potable water systems (LeChevallier et al., 1988). Most of the viable cells in chlorinated water systems have been found to be attached to surfaces (Ridgway and Olson, 1982). Since water cultures containing other water microorganisms in addition to the legionellae were used in these experiments, the

resulting biofilm was also a mixed culture. It is unclear from our results whether L. pneumophila would attach to the stainless steel surfaces in the absence of these other organisms in water culture although attachment was observed using pure cultures of legionellae when grown in broth.

The attached legionellae were significantly more resistant to iodination as compared with water-grown legionellae in systems where no stainless steel coupons were present (Fig. 8 and Table 2). This agrees with other studies which have shown organisms associated with biofilms to be more resistant to disinfection (Costerton et al., 1987; LeChevallier et al., 1984; LeChevallier et al., 1988; Pyle and McFeters, 1990). Possible explanations for this enhanced resistance may include protection due to attachment and aggregation on surfaces, protective extracellular material or differences in the physiology of attached organisms. Also, legionellae in suspension within the biofilm apparatus were more resistant to iodination than were those where no biofilm was apparent. This effect has been reported previously (Pyle and McFeters, 1989) and may be attributed to cells sloughed from the surface that retain some of the protective mechanisms suggested for attached bacteria. This may explain the observation that Legionella spp.

often recolonize water systems following treatment by hyperchlorination or superheating (Muraca et al., 1987).

Direct comparisons of disinfecting agents were difficult when only CxT values were used. Substituting molar concentrations for weight/volume in CxT calculations allowed an alternative assessment of the relative efficacy of chlorine versus iodine in treatment of Legionella cultures. Iodine was found to be 50 times more effective than chlorine in treating agar-grown legionellae and twice as effective against water cultures. When CxT₉₉ data cannot be obtained for resistant cultures such as biofilms, CxTxS values which take into account the percent surviving bacteria may be useful. These calculations show that attached water-grown legionellae were 135 times more resistant to iodination than those where no biofilm was present on stainless steel coupons, and about 210,000 times more resistant than agar-grown cultures.

It has been demonstrated that culture conditions affect the susceptibility of L. pneumophila to iodination. Agar-grown cultures were much more sensitive than water-grown cultures which were, in turn, markedly more susceptible than legionellae associated with biofilms. These findings suggest that L. pneumophila is more susceptible to iodination as compared with chlorination, especially in agar-grown cultures. The results indicate

that disinfection experiments using Legionella spp. grown on rich media may not accurately predict the effectiveness of iodine and other disinfectants against legionellae grown in water systems or attached to surfaces. Therefore, data for these culture conditions should be included in the evaluation of disinfectant efficacy since they are more representative of the ambient microbiological environment within potable water systems.

LITERATURE CITED

- American Public Health Association. 1985. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 16th ed. American Public Health Association, Inc. New York.
- Barbaree, J.M., B.S. Fields, J.C. Feeley, G.W. Gorman, and W.T. Martin. 1986. Isolation of protozoa from water associated with a legionellosis outbreak and demonstration of intracellular multiplication of Legionella pneumophila. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 51:422-424.
- Barbaree, J.M., G.W. Gorman, W.T. Martin, B.S. Fields, and W.E. Morrill. 1987. Protocol for sampling environmental sites for legionellae. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 53:1454-1458.
- Berg, J.D., J.C. Hoff, P.V. Roberts, and A. Matin. 1988. Resistance of bacterial subpopulations to disinfection by chlorine dioxide. J. Am. Water Works Assoc. 80:115-118.
- Black, A.P., W.C. Thomas Jr., R.N. Kinman, W.P. Bonner, M.A. Keirn, J.J. Smith Jr., and A.A. Jabero. 1968. Iodine for the disinfection of water. J. Am. Water Works Assoc. 60:69-83.
- Bohach, G.A., and T.S. Snyder. 1983. Characteristics of surfaces involved in adherence of Legionella pneumophila to Fischerella species. Infect. and Immunity 42:318-324.
- Bopp, C.A., J.W. Summer, G.K. Morris, and J.G. Wells, 1981. Isolation of Legionella spp. from environmental water samples by low pH treatment and use of a selective medium. J. Clin. Microbiol. 13:714-719.
- Brown, M.R.W., and P. Williams. 1985. Influence of substrate limitation and growth phase on sensitivity to antimicrobial agents. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 15:Suppl. A, 7-14.

- Carson, L.A., N.J. Peterson, M.S. Favero, and S.M. Aguerro. 1978. Growth characteristics of atypical mycobacteria in water and their comparative resistance to disinfectants. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 36:839-846.
- Cherry, W.B., B. Pittman, P.P. Harris, G.A. Hebert, B.M. Thomason, L. Thacker, and R.E. Weaver. 1978. Detection of Legionnaires Disease Bacteria by direct immunofluorescent staining. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 8:329-338.
- Colbourne, J.S., and P.J. Dennis. 1989. The ecology and survival of Legionella pneumophila. *J. Inst. Water and Environ. Mgmt.* 14:345-350.
- Colombo, G.V., and D.R. Greenley. 1980. Advanced microbial check valve development - final report. NASA Contract. Rep. CR-163577.
- Costerton, J.W., K.J. Cheng, G.G. Geesey, T.I. Ladd, J.C. Nickel, M. Dasgupta, and T.J. Marrie. 1987. Bacterial biofilms in nature and disease. *Ann. Rev. Microbiol.* 41:435-464.
- Dennis, P.J., D. Green, and B.P. Jones. 1984. A note on the temperature tolerance of Legionella. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 56:349-350.
- Domingue, E.L., R.L. Tyndall, W.R. Mayberry, and O.C. Pancorbo. 1988. Effects of three oxidizing biocides on Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 54:741-747.
- Dutka, B.J. 1984. Sensitivity of Legionella pneumophila to sunlight in fresh and marine waters. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 48:970-974.
- Dychdala, G.R. 1983. Chlorine and chlorine compounds. pp. 157-182 in Block, S.S. (ed.), *Disinfection, sterilization and preservation*, 3rd ed., Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, PA.
- Edelstein, P.H., and S.M. Finegold. 1979. Use of a semiselective medium to culture Legionella pneumophila from contaminated lung specimens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 10:141-143.

- Edelstein, P.H. 1981. Improved semiselective medium for isolation of Legionella pneumophila from contaminated clinical and environmental specimens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 14:298-303.
- Edelstein, P.H., R.E. Whittaker, R.L. Kreiling, and C.L. Howell. 1982. Efficacy of ozone in eradication of Legionella pneumophila from hospital plumbing fixtures. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 44:1330-1334.
- Eisensten, B.J., 1987. Pathogenic mechanisms of Legionella pneumophila and Escherichia coli. *ASM News* 53:621-624.
- England, A.C. III, D.W. Fraser, G.F. Mallison, D.C. Mackel, P. Skaliy, and G.W. Gorman. 1982. Failure of Legionella pneumophila sensitivities to predict culture results from disinfectant-treated air-conditioning cooling towers. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 43:240-244.
- Feeley, J.C., R.J. Gibson, G.W. Gorman, N.C. Langford, J.K. Rasheed, D.C. Mackel, and W.B. Baine. 1979. Charcoal-yeast extract agar: primary isolation medium for Legionella pneumophila. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 10:437-441.
- Fields, B.S., E.B. Shotts Jr., J.C. Feeley, G.W. Gorman, and W.T. Martin. 1984. Proliferation of Legionella pneumophila as an intracellular parasite of the ciliated protozoan Tetrahymena pyriformis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 47:467-471.
- Fields, B.S., J.M. Barbaree, E.B. Shotts Jr., J.C. Feeley, W.E. Morrill, G.N. Sanden, and M.J. Dykstra. 1986. Comparison of guinea pig and protozoan models for determining virulence of Legionella species. *Infect. and Immunity* 53:553-559.
- Fliermans, C.B., W.B. Cherry, L.H. Orrison, S.J. Smith, D.L. Tison, and D.H. Pope. 1981. Ecological distribution of Legionella pneumophila. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 41:9-16.
- Fraser, D.W., T.F. Tsai, W. Orenstein, W.E. Parkin, H.J. Beecham, R.G. Sharrar, J. Harris, G.F. Mallison, S.M. Martin, J.E. McDade, C.C. Shepard, P.S. Brachman, and The Field Investigation Team. 1977. Legionnaire's disease: a description of an epidemic of pneumonia. *New Eng. J. Med.* 297:1189-1197.

- Fraser, D.W., and J.E. McDade. 1979. Legionellosis. *Sci. Am.* 241:82-94.
- Gerba, C., S. Kutz, L. Landeen, and M. Yahya. 1987. Microbiological evaluation of Tarn-Pure copper-silver disinfection units. A progress report submitted to Tarn-Pure USA, Las Vegas, NV.
- Gorman, G.W., J.M. Barbaree, and J.C. Feeley. 1983. Procedures for the recovery of Legionella from water. Developmental Manual, U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, GA.
- Gottardi, W. 1983. Iodine and iodine compounds. pp. 183-196 in Block, S.S. (ed.), *Disinfection, sterilization and preservation*, 3rd ed., Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, PA.
- Hobbie, J.E., R.J. Daley, and S. Jasper. 1977. Use of Nucleopore filters for counting bacteria by fluorescence microscopy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 3:1225-1228.
- Hsu, S.C., R. Martin, and B.B. Wentworth. 1984. Isolation of Legionella species from drinking water. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 48:830-832.
- Hume, R.D., and W.D. Hann. 1984. Growth relationships of Legionella pneumophila with green algae (Chlorophyta). pp. 323-324 in Thornsberry, C., A. Balows, J.C. Feeley, and W. Jakubowski (eds.), *Legionella: proceedings of the second annual symposium*, American Society for Microbiology, Washington D.C.
- Hussong, D., R.R. Colwell, M. O'Brien, E. Weiss, A.D. Peerson, R.M. Weiner, and W.D. Burge. 1987. Viable Legionella pneumophila not detectable by culture on agar media. *Biotechnology* 5:947-950.
- King, C.H., E.B. Shotts Jr., R.E. Wooley, and K.G. Porter. 1988. Survival of coliforms and bacterial pathogens within protozoa during chlorination. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 54:3023-3033.
- Knudson, G.B. 1985. Photoreactivation of uv-irradiated Legionella pneumophila and other Legionella species. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 49:975-980.

- Kuchta, J.M., S.J. States, A.M. McNamara, R.M. Wadowsky, and R.B. Yee. 1983. Susceptibility of Legionella pneumophila to chlorine in tap water. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 46:1134-1139.
- Kuchta, J.M., S.J. States, J.E. McGlaughlin, J.H. Overmeyer, R.M. Wadowsky, A.M. McNamara, R.S. Wolford, and R.B. Yee. 1985. Enhanced chlorine resistance of tap water-adapted Legionella pneumophila as compared with agar medium-passaged strains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 50:21-26.
- LeChevallier, M.W., T.S. Hassenauer, A.K. Camper, and G.A. McFeters. 1984. Disinfection of bacteria attached to granular activated carbon. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 48:918-923.
- LeChevallier, M.W., C.D. Cawthon, and R.G. Lee. 1988. Inactivation of biofilm bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 54:2492-2499.
- Marchin, G.L., L.R. Fina, and J.L. Lambert. 1985. The biocidal properties of the Pentacide/Demand-Type Resin-I₅. Contribution No. 86-231-A, Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station, Manhattan, Kansas 66506.
- Matin, A., E.A. Auger, P.H. Blum, and J.E. Schultz. 1989. Genetic basis of starvation survival in nondifferentiating bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 43:293-316.
- Meyer, R.D. 1983 Legionella infections: a review of five years of research. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* 5:258-278.
- Moss, C.W., R.E. Weaver, S.B. Dees, and W. Cherry. 1977. Cellular fatty acid composition of isolates from Legionnaires disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 6:140-143.
- Muraca, P., J.E. Stout, and V.L. Yu. 1987. Comparative assessment of chlorine, heat, ozone, and uv light for killing Legionella pneumophila within a model plumbing system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 53:447-453.
- Orrison, L.H., W.B. Cherry, and D. Milan. 1981. Isolation of Legionella pneumophila from cooling tower water by filtration. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 41:1202-1205.

- Pasculle, A.W., J.C. Feeley, R.J. Givson, L.G. Cordes, R.L. Myerowitz, C.M. Patton, G.W. Gorman, C.L. Carmack, J.W. Ezzell, and J.N. Dowling. 1980. Pittsburgh Pneumonia Agent: direct isolation from human lung tissue. *J. Infect. Dis.* 6:727-732.
- Pope, D.J., R.J. Soracco, H.K. Gill, and C.B. Fliermans, 1982. Growth of Legionella pneumophila in two-membered cultures with green algae and cyanobacteria. *Curr. Microbiol.* 7:319-322.
- Pyle, B.H., and G.A. McFeters. 1989. Iodine sensitivity of bacteria isolated from iodinated water systems. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 35:520-523.
- Pyle, B.H. and G.A. McFeters. 1990. Iodine susceptibility of pseudomonads grown attached to stainless steel surfaces. *Biofouling* 2:113-120.
- Reasoner, D.J., and E.E. Geldreich. 1985. A new medium for the enumeration and subculture of bacteria from potable water. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 49:1-7.
- Ridgway H.F., and B.H. Olson. 1982. Chlorine resistance patterns of bacteria from two drinking water distribution systems. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 44:972-987.
- Ristroph, J.D., K.W. Hedlund, and R.G. Allen. 1980. Liquid medium for growth of Legionella pneumophila. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 11:19-21.
- Rowbotham, T.J. 1984. Legionellae and amoebae. pp. 325-2 in Thornsberry, C., A. Balows, J.C. Feeley, and W. Jakubowski (eds.), *Legionella: proceedings of the second annual symposium, American Society for Microbiology, Washington D.C.*
- Skaliy, P., T.A. Thompson, G.W. Gorman, G.K. Morris, H.V. McEachern, and D.C. Mackel. 1980. Laboratory studies of disinfectants against Legionella pneumophila. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 40:697-700.
- States, S.J., L.F. Conley, M. Ceraso, T.E. Stephenson, R.S. Wolford, R.M. Wadowsky, A.M. McNamara, and R.B. Yee. 1985. Effects of metals on Legionella pneumophila grown in drinking water plumbing systems. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 50:1149-1154.

- * States, S.J., L.F. Conley, J.M. Kuchta, B.M. Oleck, M.J. Lipovich, R.S. Wolford, R.M. Wadowsky, A.M. McNamara, J.L. Sykora, G. Keleti, and R.B. Yee. 1987a. Survival and multiplication of Legionella pneumophila in municipal drinking water systems. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 53:979-986.
- States, S.J., L.F. Conley, S.G. Towner, R.S. Wolford, T.E. Stephenson, A.M. McNamara, R.M. Wadowsky, and R.B. Yee. 1987b. An alkaline approach to treating cooling towers for control of Legionella pneumophila. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 53:1775-1779.
- * States, S.J. 1990. Legionella in drinking water. pp. 340-367 in McFeters, G.A. (ed.), *Drinking water microbiology*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- * Stout, J.E., V.L. Yu, and M.G. Best. 1985. Ecology of Legionella pneumophila within water distribution systems. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 49:221-228.
- Taylor, S.L., L.R. Fina, and J.L. Lambert. 1970. New water disinfectant: an insoluble quaternary ammonium resin-triiodide combination that releases bactericide on demand. *Appl. Microbiol.* 20:720-722.
- Tesh, M.J., and R.D. Miller. 1982. Growth of Legionella pneumophila in defined media: requirement for magnesium and potassium. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 28:1055-1058.
- Tison, D.L. and R.J. Seidler. 1983. Legionella incidence and density in potable drinking water supplies. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 45:337-339.
- Tison, D.L., D.H. Pope, W.B. Cherry, and C.B. Fliermans. 1980. Growth of Legionella pneumophila in association with blue-green algae (cyanobacteria). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 39:456-459.
- Tyndall, R.L., and E.L. Domingue. 1982. Cocultivation of Legionella pneumophila and free-living amoebae. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 44:954-959.
- Vickers, R.M., A. Brown, and C.L.R. Garrity. 1981. Dye-containing buffered charcoal-yeast extract medium for differentiation of the family Legionellaceae. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 13:380-382.

- Wadowsky, R.M., and R.B. Yee. 1981. Glycine-containing selective medium for isolation of Legionellaceae from environmental specimens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 42:768-772.
- Wadowsky, R.M., R.B. Yee, L. Mezmar, E.J. Wing, and J.N. Dowling. 1982. Hot water systems as source of Legionella pneumophila in hospital and nonhospital plumbing fixtures. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 43:1104-1110.
- Wadowsky, R.M., and R.B. Yee. 1983. Satellite growth of Legionella pneumophila with an environmental isolate of Flavobacterium breve. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 46:1447-1449.
- Wadowsky, R.M., R.S. Wolford, A.M. McNamara, and R.B. Yee. 1985. Effect of temperature, pH, and oxygen level on the multiplication of naturally occurring Legionella pneumophila in potable water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 49:1197-1205.
- Wadowsky, R.M., L.J. Butler, M.K. Cook, S.M. Verma, M.A. Paul, B.S. Fields, G. Keleti, J.L. Sykora, and R.B. Yee. 1988. Growth-supporting activity for Legionella pneumophila in tap water cultures and implication of Hartmannellid amoebae as growth factors. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54:2677-2682.
- Warren, W.J., and R.D. Miller. 1979. Growth of Legionnaires disease bacterium (Legionella pneumophila) in a chemically defined medium. J. Clin. Microbiol. 10:50-55.
- Winn, W.C. 1988. Legionnaires disease: a historical perspective. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 1:60-81.
- Witherell, L.E., R.W. Duncan, K.M. Stone, L.J. Stratton, L. Orciari, S. Kappel, and D.A. Jillson. 1988. Investigation of Legionella pneumophila in drinking water. JAWWA 80:87-93.
- Yee, R.B., and R.M. Wadowsky. 1982. Multiplication of Legionella pneumophila in unsterilized tap water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 43:1330-1334.

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES



3 1762 10116257 4

**HOUCHEN
BINDERY LTD
UTICA/OMAHA
NE.**