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Resuscitation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from dormancy requires hibernation promoting factor (PA4463) for ribosome preservation

Tatsuya Akiyama, Kerry S. Williamson, Robert Schaefer, Shawna Pratt Connie B. Chang, and Michael J. Franklin

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm infections are difficult to treat with antibiotic therapy in part because the biofilms contain subpopulations of dormant antibiotic-tolerant cells. The dormant cells can repopulate the biofilms following alleviation of antibiotic treatments. While dormant, the bacteria must maintain cellular integrity, including ribosome abundance, to reinitiate the de novo protein synthesis required for resuscitation. Here, we demonstrate that the *P. aeruginosa* gene PA4463 [hibernation promoting factor (HPF)], but not the ribosome modulation factor (PA3049), is required for ribosomal RNA preservation during prolonged nutrient starvation conditions. Single-cell–level studies using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and growth in microfluidic drops demonstrated that, in the absence of hpf, the rRNA abundances of starved cells decrease to levels that cause them to lose their ability to resuscitate from starvation, leaving intact nondividing cells. *P. aeruginosa* defective in the stringent response also had reduced ability to resuscitate from dormancy. However, FISH analysis of the starved stringent response mutant showed a bimodal re-sponse where the individual cells contained either abundant or low ribosome content, compared with the wild-type strain. The results indicate that ribosome maintenance is key for maintaining the ability of *P. aeruginosa* to resuscitate from starvation-induced dormancy and that HPF is the major factor associated with *P. aeruginosa* ribosome preservation.

**Significance**

The dormant subpopulations of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms are linked to chronic infections because dormant cells tolerate antibiotic treatment and then repopulate the infections when conditions become favorable. Dormant cells must maintain cellular integrity, including preformed ribosomes, to resuscitate. The small-ribosome–binding proteins, ribosome modulation factor, and hibernation promoting factor (HPF) have evolved to maintain ribosomes in an inactive state. Using both population and single-cell–level studies, we show that HPF provides the primary mechanism used by *P. aeruginosa* to maintain ribosome integrity during dormancy, and that HPF is required for optimal *P. aeruginosa* resuscitation from dormancy. Preventing regrowth of the dormant subpopulation by targeting HPF may provide an effective means for eliminating the dormant subpopulations of *P. aeruginosa* infections.
and HPF binds at the channel of the 30S ribosomal subunit where tRNA and mRNA bind, thereby inhibiting translation (12, 16, 17). RMF and HPF also cause conformational changes to the ribosome, which results in dimerization of two ribosomes to form an inactive 100S form (18). Ueta et al. (11) developed a model for ribosome inactivation during stationary phase of E. coli. They concluded that RMF binds the ribosome, forming an inactive 90S dimer, and that HPF stabilizes the ribosome in an inactive 100S form. E. coli also encodes an HPF paralog, YfIA, that inactivates the 70S ribosome, but inhibits the formation of the 100S dimer (11).

Homologs to RMF and HPF are found in many bacterial taxa, but vary depending on the organism. E. coli and most other gamma Proteobacteria have genes for rmf, hpf, and yfIA, whereas bacteria other than the gamma Proteobacteria lack the gene for rmf. Staphylococcus aureus does not encode rmf, but has an hpf with an extended C-terminal tail, termed long HPF (19). Long HPF results in 100S ribosome formation in stationary-phase S. aureus cells, even in the absence of an RMF homolog (19). P. aeruginosa PAO1 contains genes for rmf (PA3049) and hpf (PA4463), but does not encode the hpf paralog, yfIA (20). The involvement of HPF and RMF on maintenance of cell viability also varies among bacterial species. In E. coli, RMF, but not the HPF homologs, is required to maintain cell viability during stationary phase (11, 14). Vibrio cholerae, which has an rmf and two hpf homologs, requires at least one copy of hpf to maintain cell viability during stationary phase (21). Mycobacterium spp. and Listeria monocytogenes, which encode long HPF, require HPF for cell viability maintenance during prolonged incubation and during hypoxic conditions (22, 23).

In our prior study (9), biofilms of P. aeruginosa PAO1 with deletions of rmf or hpf had increased uptake of the membrane-impermeable stain, propidium iodide, compared with wild-type cells, suggesting that the nutrient- or oxygen-starved P. aeruginosa cells may lose viability in the absence of these ribosome-interacting factors. Here, we investigated the roles of hpf and rmf in the maintenance of viability of P. aeruginosa undergoing nutrient-deprived conditions. Under starvation conditions, wild-type P. aeruginosa PAO1 maintained the ability to resuscitate for weeks with little loss of viability. Surprisingly, the Δrmf mutant also had little loss of viability during extended starvation. However, the Δhpf mutant was impaired in its ability to resuscitate from starvation. To investigate the molecular mechanism for impaired resuscitation of the mutant strain, we analyzed the rRNAs of the starved cells both at the population level and at the single-cell level. Unlike the wild-type cells and the Δrmf mutant cells, the Δhpf mutant strain lost most of its rRNA by day 4 of starvation. In addition, by using drop-based microfluidic approach, we show that most of the Δhpf mutant cells were unable to divide and remained as single nonreplicating cells following extended starvation. Overall, the results demonstrate that, in P. aeruginosa, HPF is required to protect cells from ribosome loss during extended nutrient-deprived conditions and that ribosome protection by HPF is necessary for P. aeruginosa to resuscitate from dormancy.

Results

HPF, but Not RMF, Is Required for Maintenance of P. aeruginosa Viability Under Starvation Conditions. To characterize the physiological roles of HPF and RMF in P. aeruginosa, we tested the ability of P. aeruginosa PAO1 with Δhpf, Δrmf, and Δhpf/Δrmf mutations to resuscitate following prolonged nutrient deprivation. Wild-type and mutant cells were cultured to early stationary phase, washed in PBS, and then incubated in PBS with shaking at 37 °C. Aliquots of the cultures were sampled daily for their ability to form colonies on Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) plates. The wild-type P. aeruginosa PAO1 was able to survive the starvation conditions with no apparent loss of viability (P = 0.96) (Fig. 1A).

Based on results from E. coli (11, 14), RMF is predicted to play a role in ribosome inactivation. However, no observable phenotype with respect to recovery from starvation conditions (P = 0.37) was observed for P. aeruginosa Δrmf (Fig. 1A). In addition, and in contrast to published results for RMF in E. coli (24–27), the P. aeruginosa Δrmf mutant did not show an observable survival phenotype compared with the wild-type strain when exposed to osmotic shock, heat shock, acid stress, or sensitivity to gentamicin. In contrast, a deletion of PA4463 (Δhpf) resulted in a decrease in cell recovery following starvation (P < 0.0001). The Δhpf mutant strain initially produced 1.9 × 10^8 cfu × mL^-1 after 30 min of starvation and reduced to 2.5 × 10^7 cfu × mL^-1 by 5 d of starvation (Fig. 1A). When Δhpf was complemented in trans (Δhpf + hpf), survival under nutrient-limited conditions was restored to wild-type levels (P = 0.25), but not for the vector control strain (Δhpf + VC) (P < 0.0001). The Δhpf/Δrmf double mutant also showed loss of recovery following starvation compared with the wild-type strain (P = 0.0002) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The Δhpf/Δrmf double mutant could be restored to wild-type levels of resuscitation with a plasmid containing hpf alone, but not with a plasmid containing rmf alone (SI Appendix, Fig. S1).

The stringent response plays a role in the ability of P. aeruginosa to survive in stationary phase (28). In the stringent response, guanosine pentaphosphate and tetraphosphate [(p)pGpp], produced by the activities of RelA and SpoT when RelA interacts with stalled ribosomes (29), acts as a signaling molecule that induces expression of genes required for survival during stationary phase (30). We tested a ΔrelA/ΔspoT mutant under the same starvation conditions as the Δrmf and Δhpf mutant strains. The ΔrelA/ΔspoT mutant showed a similar response to starvation as the Δhpf mutant, where cell recovery was impaired compared with the wild-type strain (P = 0.03) (Fig. 1A). The results indicate that HPF and the stringent response, but not RMF, is necessary for prolonged P. aeruginosa survival under nutrient-limited conditions.

HPF Is Required for Optimal Recovery of P. aeruginosa from Nutrient Starvation. During the starvation experiments, we noted that, in addition to the reduced number of cfu’s for the Δhpf mutant strain, the colonies that arose were heterogeneous in morphology (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2). The starved wild-type strain produced large, uniform colonies. Although some colonies of the Δhpf strain were similar to those of the wild type, many were small and required extended incubation time to become visible. The Δhpf + hpf complemented strain had smaller colonies than the wild type, but the colonies were uniform (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2). The ΔrelA/ΔspoT strain had colony morphology with heterogeneity in size, but did not require extended incubation time to become visible. When the small Δhpf colonies were restreaked onto new TSA plates, the wild-type colony morphology was restored, indicating that heterogeneity in recovered colony size was not heritable. To determine if the reduced cfu counts following starvation of the ΔrelA/ΔspoT mutant strain were due to the role of the stringent response in regulation of hpf expression, we introduced a plasmid copy of hpf under control of the isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside-inducible P_{lac} promoter into the ΔrelA/ΔspoT strain. The ΔrelA/ΔspoT + hpf strain had a similar survival phenotype as the ΔrelA/ΔspoT strain (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), indicating that loss of cell viability in the ΔrelA/ΔspoT mutant is not associated with hpf expression.
we extracted total RNA from nutrient-deprived cultures and assayed the relative abundance of the 23S and 16S rRNAs using the Agilent Bioanalyzer. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 cells cultured to stationary phase showed a 23S/16S rRNA ratio of ∼1.6 (Fig. 2A and B). Remarkably, this ratio was maintained in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 throughout the starvation period (Fig. 2B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4), indicating little loss of ribosome quality during prolonged nutrient deprivation. Similarly, the ∆rmf mutant maintained a 23S/16S rRNA ratio of 1.4 before starvation, which rapidly decreased to 0.1 by day 4 of starvation (Fig. 2A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4). The differences between the ∆hpf mutant and the wild-type strain were significant throughout starvation, except on day 0 (*P* < 0.000001). When the ∆hpf mutant strain was complemented with hpf, the ratio of 23S and 16S rRNA was restored to levels that were not significantly different from the wild type (*P* = 0.85), whereas the vector control (∆hpf + VC) did not restore the rRNA ratios (*P* < 0.0001) (Fig. 2A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4). We also determined the 23S/16S rRNA ratios of the ∆hpf/∆rmf double mutant. As with the ∆hpf mutant, the ∆hpf/∆rmf double mutant had loss of the 23S rRNA during nutrient starvation (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). The 23S/16S rRNA ratio for the double mutant was complemented with a plasmid copy of hpf without rmf, but not with rmf alone (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). The results indicate that Hpf, but not Rnf, is required for maintenance of the 23S rRNA under starvation conditions. Interestingly, although the ∆relA/∆spoT mutant had reduced viability during starvation compared with wild type, the strain did not show selective loss of the 23S rRNA compared with wild type (*P* = 0.30) (Fig. 2B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4). The results indicate that the molecular mechanism for reduced viability in the starved ∆relA/∆spoT mutant likely differs from that of the ∆hpf mutant.

During nutrient starvation of *E. coli*, ribosome degradation is initiated by site-specific endoribonuclease cleavages of the 16S and 23S rRNAs, leading to reduced 70S ribosome abundances and proteolysis of ribosomal proteins (31–33). To determine the fate of the rRNA during nutrient starvation of the *P. aeruginosa* ∆hpf strain, we performed time-course Bioanalyzer studies over the first day of starvation. The ∆hpf mutant showed reduction of the 23S peak and a concomitant increase in peak-associated small-molecular-weight RNAs (∼100 nt in length), characteristic of degraded rRNA fragments (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A). In contrast to the wild-type cells and the ∆hpf + hpf cells, the small-molecular-weight RNA comprised most of the cellular RNA of the ∆hpf mutant strain by day 4 of starvation (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B). The total RNA content of the ∆hpf culture also decreased, compared with the wild-type control, after 4 d of starvation (*P* = 0.01) (SI Appendix, Fig. S6D). We next analyzed the relative amounts of 16S and 23S rRNA of the ∆hpf mutant strain compared with wild-type strain following 0 d and 4 d of starvation by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). The results showed a reduction of both rRNA subunits in the ∆hpf mutant cells on day 4 (*P* = 0.03), but with greater reduction of the 23S rRNA subunit than the 16S subunit (SI Appendix, Fig. S6E).

**Heterogeneity of HPF-Based rRNA Protection Determined at the Single-Cell Level.** Although cell viability was reduced in the ∆hpf mutant, viability was not completely eliminated during nutrient deprivation (Fig. 1). In addition, loss of the rRNA was characterized for the entire population of cells, which may have masked the amount of rRNA present in individual cells within the population. Therefore, we used FISH analysis to determine the 16S rRNA levels of individual nutrient-deprived cells. To analyze the FISH results quantitatively, we determined the average fluorescence intensity per pixel within the area of single cells using ImageJ (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html). The fluorescence intensity for each cell was background-subtracted and then normalized by the spike-in control cells, consisting of exponentially growing *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (pMF230). The spike-in cells were differentiated from the starved test cells because they were larger, had higher abundances of rRNAs, and had GFP fluorescence.

![Fig. 1. Recovery of *P. aeruginosa* following extended incubation under nutrient-deprived conditions.](image)

(A) cfu's on TSA agar following incubation in aerated PBS. (B) Colony morphology of *P. aeruginosa* on TSA, following 4 d of incubation in PBS for wild-type *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, PAO1 ∆hpf, PAO1 ∆relA/∆spoT, and PAO1 ∆hpf + hpf. Colony morphologies of each strain and at each time point are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S2.

![Fig. 2. *P. aeruginosa* 23S-to-16S rRNA ratios following extended incubation under nutrient-deprived conditions with shaking at 37 °C.](image)

(A) Bioanalyzer traces of 23S and 16S rRNA following 4 d of starvation for wild-type strain PAO1, the ∆hpf mutant, and the ∆hpf mutant complemented with hpf (∆hpf + hpf). (B) *P. aeruginosa* 23S-to-16S rRNA ratios following extended starvation conditions. Representative bioanalyzer traces showing 23S and 16S rRNAs for all strains at each time point are shown in SI Appendix, Figs. S4 and S5.
However, the FISH fluorescence intensities for the Δhpf mutant strain were at similar levels to the wild-type cells before nutrient starvation (P = 0.17) (Fig. 3). However, the average FISH-fluorescence intensity of Δhpf decreased following 1 d of starvation (P < 0.0001) and continued to decrease compared with wild-type cells with continued starvation incubation (Fig. 3B and SI Appendix, Fig. S7 and Table S1). The complemented Δhpf + hpf strain had restored FISH fluorescence, comparable to the wild type (Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Fig. S7 and Table S2).

The FISH results for the ΔrelA/ΔspoT mutant showed a strikingly different response to starvation than either the wild-type strain or the Δhpf mutant strain. During the first 2 d of starvation, the ΔrelA/ΔspoT cells had higher abundances of 16S rRNA than the wild-type cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). Continued incubation in nutrient-free medium resulted in ΔrelA/ΔspoT mutant cells segregating into two populations with bimodal distribution. One subpopulation of ΔrelA/ΔspoT cells continued to have higher 16S rRNA content than the wild-type cells, whereas the other subpopulation of cells had little detectable rRNA (Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Fig. S7). By day 4 of starvation, most (~63%) ΔrelA/ΔspoT cells had little signal for the 16S rRNA, whereas 26% had signals greater than the average of the wild-type cells.

The presence of outliers with high and low FISH fluorescence intensities were observed for all strains. The box and whisker plots (SI Appendix, Fig. S8) show a greater average decrease of 16S rRNA for the Δhpf cells than for the wild-type cells. However, all strains had outliers where either high or low abundances of rRNAs were observed, including a small fraction of Δhpf mutant cells that had fluorescence intensity as high as the average intensity of 4-d-starved wild-type cells. The observation of outliers at the single-cell level suggests that HPF may not be the sole mechanism for maintenance of ribosome integrity of P. aeruginosa.

Role of HPF in the Resuscitation of Individual Dormant Cells. The colony size and variable tRNA levels of the starved Δhpf mutant cells suggested that there was heterogeneity in the resuscitating population. Therefore, we used a drop-based microfluidic approach (34) to quantify the heterogeneity in this population. These drop-based experiments allowed us to differentiate and quantify individual cells undergoing resuscitation from the non-dividing cells within many drop-based bioreactors. We compared resuscitation of the wild-type cells and the Δhpf mutant cells, both expressing GFP, so that they could be visualized by confocal scanning laser microscopy (CSLM) (Fig. 4A and B). Cultures were starved using the conditions described above, and then individual cells were encapsulated in 15-μm-diameter, water-in-oil droplets containing TSB medium as the dispersed phase in oil. The ratio of droplets containing one cell to empty droplets was set at 1:5–1:10. The cells were then incubated in the TSB contained in each drop for 24–48 h to allow resuscitation of individual cells from the starvation-induced dormancy. Drops were then analyzed by CSLM and quantified by image analysis for cell growth (drops that were completely or partially filled with bacteria versus drops that contained only one cell) (Fig. 4). Similar to the CFU counts, there was an increase in total number of cells from day 0 to day 1 of starvation for both the wild-type (P = 0.037) and Δhpf mutant cells (P = 0.003) (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Fig. S9), suggesting that the cells had divided in the PBS-starvation medium. To determine if cells were capable of division during the first day of starvation, we encapsulated and incubated cells in drops containing PBS. Under these conditions, most droplets contained multiple cells after 24 h (SI Appendix, Fig. S10), indicating that the cells had undergone at least one round of cell division during the first day of incubation in PBS.

Fig. 3. (A) FISH analysis of 16S rRNAs for P. aeruginosa PA01 and mutant derivatives, starved for 4 d in PBS at 37°C. The 16S probe labeled with Cy3 is false-colored orange. Each field contains a spike-in control cell of exponential phase PA01 (pMFF230) (the large cell with high rRNA abundance). The edges of cells were determined by using bright-field microscopy and are outlined in green. (B) The mean fluorescence intensity for 16S-Cy3 probe was quantified, normalized to the spike-in controls, and binned based on the fluorescence intensity of individual cells. The dashed vertical lines represent the average FISH fluorescence intensity for the wild-type strain before starvation and after 4 d of starvation. Data for the wild-type strain are shown on each plot in blue. Data shown are from three independent biological replicates per strain at each time point with the total number of cells quantified indicated. Histograms showing the FISH fluorescence intensities for each day of starvation are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S7. Box-whisker plots showing the average and range of these data are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S8.

Fig. 4. Examples of cell resuscitation of individual P. aeruginosa (pMFF230) cells within 15-μm-diameter oil drops with visualization by CSLM. Cells were incubated in PBS for 4 d, and encapsulated in drops containing TSB medium. (A) P. aeruginosa PA01 following 4 d of starvation and then regrowth for 24 h in TSB. (B) P. aeruginosa PA01 Δhpf after 4 d of starvation and then regrowth for 24 h in TSB. (Insets) Cell regrowth and single nondividing cells in drops. (C) Percentage of cells that replicated inside of TSB-containing drops versus cells that remained as single intact nondividing cells following starvation in PBS. Cell counts of replicating and nonreplicating cells are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S9. Regrowth of PA01 and the Δhpf mutant cells in drops over 24 h is shown in Movies S1 and S2.
Following the initial cell division in PBS from day 0 to day 1 of starvation, the total number of cells captured in drops remained essentially constant throughout the starvation period for both the Δhpf mutant (P = 0.41) and wild-type cells (P = 0.88) (SI Appendix, Fig. S9), indicating little cell lysis during starvation. However, the percentage of cells that remained as single non-replicating cells versus cells that grew within the drops differed over time for PAO1 (P = 0.03) and the Δhpf mutant strains (P = 0.01) (Fig. 4C and SI Appendix, Fig. S9). Initially, ~100% of the wild-type cells were capable of resuscitation inside of drops. The number of PAO1 cells capable of resuscitation decreased to 96% by 4 d of starvation (Fig. 4C and SI Appendix, Fig. S9). In contrast, the number of Δhpf mutant cells capable of resuscitation inside of the drops decreased over time of starvation, with most cells (81%) remaining as single nondividing cells by day 4 of starvation (Fig. 4C and SI Appendix, Fig. S9). Additional incubation to 48 h inside drops did not result in a significant increase in the number of cells that could resuscitate (P = 0.81). The results indicate that the number of nondividing P. aeruginosa cells increases during starvation in the absence of a functional HPF.

The resuscitating Δhpf mutant cells had heterogeneous colony morphology (Fig. 1), suggesting that some of the recovering Δhpf cells either had an increased lag time or a reduced growth rate. To distinguish these two possibilities, we performed time-course imaging of single cells in microfluidic drops following 4 d of starvation. Most wild-type cells (98.7%) recovered from starvation and had a relatively uniform growth rate averaging 0.56 h⁻¹ (Movie S1 and SI Appendix, Fig. S11), whereas most of the Δhpf mutant cells (84.4%) did not recover following 4 d of starvation. The Δhpf cells that recovered had a slightly lower average growth rate (0.44 h⁻¹) than the wild-type cells. However, the Δhpf cells had variable lag times (Movie S2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S11). The results indicate that the small-colony variants of the Δhpf cells as seen in Fig. 1 are likely caused by an increased lag time required for these cells to recover from starvation.

Discussion

Heterotrophic bacteria living in aqueous environments often experience conditions where nutrients are scarce, including the nutrient-depleted zones of biofilms (10). Rapidly growing bacteria contain sufficient ribosome concentrations for maximum protein biosynthesis rates during exponential phase (35, 36). When the cells switch to slow-growth conditions, the number of ribosomes per cell diminishes through degradation or by partitioning to daughter cells. However, slowly growing and dormant cells must have mechanisms to maintain sufficient quantities of premade macromolecules, including ribosomes, to allow de novo protein synthesis and cell regrowth when conditions become favorable (37). Mechanisms have evolved to avoid complete loss of these essential macromolecules when cells are dormant. Here, we demonstrate that the small-ribosome–interacting protein, HFP, is required for ribosome preservation during dormancy of P. aeruginosa. Surprisingly, although important for E. coli ribosome inactivation (13) and abundant in most P. aeruginosa biofilm transcriptomic experiments (38), RMF does not appear to play a significant role in ribosome preservation in P. aeruginosa under the conditions tested here. Mechanisms for starvation responses may be specific for a certain nutrient. In P. aeruginosa, hfp is located downstream of the nitrogen-stress–associated sigma factor, rpoN, and is expressed, in part, from the rpoN promoter. However, hfp also has its own promoter(s), allowing high expression under certain conditions.

In a previous study, we measured the ribosome abundance of cells at different vertical strata within P. aeruginosa biofilms by microdissecting the biofilms and then assaying 16S rRNA amounts from the different microzones using RT-qPCR (39). Because rRNA is rapidly degraded when not associated with ribosomes (40), quantification of rRNA provides an estimate of the ribosome copy number per cell. From that study, we showed that exponentially growing P. aeruginosa had ~70,000 ribosomes per cell, whereas cells in the slowly growing subpopulation of biofilms had ~20,000 ribosomes per cell (39). This relatively high concentration of ribosomes in the slow-growing cells was maintained even for cells with little transcriptional activity, suggesting that ribosomes are preserved in the cells in the interior of the biofilms. Identification of transcripts for the ribosomal accessory protein, hfp, as abundant in the interior of the biofilms indicated that the product of this gene may be important for ribosome preservation in the dormant subpopulation of cells (9). Here, we show that, in the absence of hfp, starved cells have reduced capacity to resuscitate following nutrient deprivation and have reduced rRNA levels. The population-level experiments of the Δhpf strain showed greater loss of the 23S rRNA than of the 16S rRNA (Fig. 2), but with a significant loss of both rRNA species (SI Appendix, Fig. S6), compared with only a modest decrease of 16S and 23S rRNA levels for starved wild-type cells. The FISH single-cell results also showed loss of the 16S rRNA in the Δhpf mutant, whereas the wild-type strain maintained relatively high levels of 16S rRNA during starvation (Fig. 3).

Ribosome degradation during nutrient starvation in E. coli is initiated by site-specific endoribonuclease cleavages of 16S and 23S rRNAs, leading to the loss of detectable free subunits as well as reduced 70S ribosome abundance (31, 33). Ribosomal proteins dissociated from rRNAs are susceptible to proteolysis and likely recycled as nutrients (32). We observed an additional peak in the Δhpf mutant in the Bioanalyzer studies, which may indicate the product of 23S rRNA cleavage (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Cleavage of 23S rRNA may initiate rRNA degradation to small fragments as observed here in the Bioanalyzer studies. The crystal structures of ribosomes with HPF and its paralog revealed that the HPF-binding site overlaps with the binding sites of translation initiation factors on the 30S subunit (12, 41). In vitro studies also indicate that the HPF paralog YfIA competes for 30S binding with IF-3 in the presence of polyamines (41). Binding of IF-3 to the 30S subunit prevents the association of the 70S–HPF complex formation, whereas IF-3 binding to the 30S subunit in the absence of HPF would leave free 50S subunits available for degradation during these cleavage events (42).

The heterogeneity observed in microfluidic studies of resuscitating cells indicates that HPF may not provide the sole mechanism for ribosome preservation. A percentage of the Δhpf cells was capable of regrowth in microfluidic drops (Fig. 4). In addition, some Δhpf cells maintained their ribosomal content even after extended starvation (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Therefore, an alternative strategy to ensure ribosome preservation may exist in P. aeruginosa. The microfluidic studies of wild-type cells also showed that a percentage of cells (4% by day 4 of starvation) were unable to regrow following starvation. Whether these cells still had metabolic activity and were unable to grow (e.g., viable but nonculturable cells), or whether the cells were not viable, is not known yet. In either case, the results demonstrate that, even in a clonal population of cells, heterogeneity in ribosome content and cellular capacity for regrowth exists.

The stringent response mutant had a similar loss of recovery from starvation as the Δhpf mutant. However, the mechanism for impaired recovery of the stringent response mutant differs from the Δhpf mutant strain. Our preliminary data using a transcriptional reporter of hfp indicate that the stringent response has a small modulatory effect on hfp expression, but that hfp expression is not abolished in the ΔrelA/ΔspoT mutant. A plasmid copy of hfp did not have any effect on the survival phenotype of the ΔrelA/ΔspoT strain (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Therefore, we conclude that expression of hfp is not solely regulated through the product of the stringent response alarmone, (p)ppGpp. Overall, the
results demonstrate that HPF provides the primary mechanism for ribosome preservation during nutrient starvation of *P. aeruginosa* and that ribosome preservation is needed for *P. aeruginosa* cells to resuscitate from dormancy.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions.** Studies were performed on *P. aeruginosa* strain PAO1 and its *Δrmf, Δhpf*, and *ΔhpfΔrmf* mutant derivatives and on complemented strains as described in *SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods*, and previously (9). The stringent response mutant, containing deletions of reA and spoT (28), was provided by Pradeep Singh, University of Washington, Seattle. Nutrient-starvation studies and the drop-plate method (43) used to quantify cfu’s were performed as described in *SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods*.

**RNA Extraction and Determination of Relative RNA Abundances.** RNA was extracted as described previously (44) with modifications described in *SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods*. Total RNA and 16S and 23S rRNAs were quantified using the NanoDrop1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and by RT-qPCR as described in *SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods*.

**Drop Encapsulation and Monitoring Growth of Single Bacterial Cells.** Single bacterial cells were encapsulated into microfluidic drops as described in detail in *SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods* and in ref. 47. Drop-encapsulated cells were injected into a modified “Droplets” immobilization device (48). Microscopic and statistical analyses of bacterial growth in drops are provided in *SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods*.

**FISH for Quantification of rRNA.** FISH, as described by Brileya et al. (45), and using a Cy3-labeled 16S RNA probe described by Hogardt et al. (46), was used to quantify the relative amounts of 16S RNA from individual cells. A detailed description of the FISH method and analysis is provided in *SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods*.
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