Trichloroethylene and cis-1,2-dichloroethylene Concentration-Dependent Toxicity Model Simulates Anaerobic Dechlorination at High Concentrations: I. Batch-Fed Reactors
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ABSTRACT: A model was developed to describe toxicity from high concentrations of chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons (CAHs) on reductively dechlorinating cultures under batch-growth conditions. A reductively dechlorinating anaerobic Evanite subculture (EV-cDCE) was fed trichloroethylene (TCE) and excess electron donor to accumulate cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cDCE) in batch-fed reactors. A second Point Mugu (PM) culture was also studied in the cDCE accumulating batch-fed experiment, as well as in a time- and concentration-dependent cDCE exposure experiment. Both cultures accumulated cDCE to concentrations ranging from 9,000 to 12,000 μM before cDCE production from TCE ceased. Exposure to approximately 3,000 and 6,000 μM cDCE concentrations for 5 days during continuous TCE dechlorination exhibited greater loss in activity proportional to both time and concentration of exposure than simple endogenous decay. Various inhibition models were analyzed for the two cultures, including the previously proposed Haldane inhibition model and a maximum threshold inhibition model, but neither adequately fit all experimental observations. A concentration-dependent toxicity model is proposed, which simulated all the experimental observations well. The toxicity model incorporates CAH toxicity terms that directly increase the cell decay coefficient in proportion with CAH concentrations. We also consider previously proposed models relating toxicity to partitioning in the cell wall (K_M/B), proportional to octanol–water partitioning (K_{OW}) coefficients. A reanalysis of previously reported modeling of batch tests using the Haldane model of Yu and Semprini, could be fit equally well using the toxicity model presented here, combined with toxicity proportioned to cell wall partitioning. A companion paper extends the experimental analysis and our modeling approach to a completely mixed reactor and a fixed film reactor.
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Introduction

Trichloroethylene (TCE) and the lesser chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons (CAHs), such as cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cDCE) and vinyl chloride (VC) are prevalent groundwater contaminants of environmental concern due to their being toxic and/or carcinogenic (Ensley, 1991; Kielhorn et al., 2000; McCarty, 1997; Moran et al., 2007). Anaerobic biological reductive dechlorination is a well-documented and desirable treatment strategy for TCE and other CAHs due to its simplicity, and the abundance of organisms capable of this process (Aulenta et al., 2006). The various CAHs have different chemical properties, and multiple factors affect the separate reductive dechlorination steps of TCE to cDCE, VC and ethene (ETH) such as: different organisms capable of each dechlorination step, faster dechlorination rates of the higher chlorinated compounds (Yu et al., 2005), energetics associated with each dechlorination step (He et al., 2002), usable electron donor sources, advection of contaminants in a groundwater plume, and lower hydrogen thresholds for dechlorination of the higher chlorinated compounds (Lu et al., 2001; Luijten et al., 2004; Yang and McCarty, 1998). It is therefore common to see separate zones of each different CAH at field sites (e.g.,
Chapelle et al., 2005; Ling and Rifai, 2007), often resulting in high-cDCE concentrations (as summarized by Gerritse et al., 1995; van Eekert and Schraa, 2001).

With high solubility limits of TCE (10,000 μM) and cDCE (66,000 μM), and the demonstration of biologically enhanced dissolution of non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPLs) such as TCE or PCE (Adamson et al., 2004; Cope and Hughes, 2001; Sleep et al., 2006; Yang and McCarty, 2000, 2002), it is possible to achieve separate zones with cDCE concentrations theoretically exceeding the molar solubility limit of TCE near a NAPL source zone. cDCE concentrations ranging from 3,000 to 9,000 μM have been produced biogenically from PCE dechlorination and shown to have a toxic effect on dechlorinating cultures (Adamson et al., 2004; Chu, 2004). Concentrations of PCE, TCE, and cDCE on the order of 1,000–4,000 μM have reduced activity of reductively dechlorinating cultures (Adamson et al., 2004; Amos et al., 2007; Cope and Hughes, 2001; Duhamel et al., 2002; Yang and McCarty, 2000), and elevated decay coefficients have been demonstrated for two reductively dechlorinating mixed cultures in the presence of cDCE above 8,000 μM (Chu, 2004). Competitive inhibition of higher chlorinated CAHs on the reductive dechlorination of lesser chlorinated CAHs has also been demonstrated (Cupples et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2005) and Haldane inhibition by high concentrations of CAHs reducing their own dechlorination rates has been modeled to fit reductive dechlorination data (Yu and Semprini, 2004). Others have modeled decreasing dechlorination activity using maximum threshold inhibition model for PCE (Amos et al., 2007). High CAH concentrations therefore produce complicated and negative interactions that are important to better understand.

The objective of this research was to determine the effects of high-CAH concentrations, especially cDCE (~10,000 μM), in different reductively dechlorinating cultures. In this work, a CAH concentration-dependent toxicity model was developed that simulated observed declines in dechlorination activity for two different dechlorinating cultures. Biogenic cDCE accumulation effects on dechlorination activity were studied in batch-fed suspended growth reactors. The time- and concentration-dependent effects of exposure to high-cDCE concentrations were also tested directly on one culture using commercial cDCE. This work has produced a mathematical and conceptual relationship between the long-observed problem of high-concentration CAH inhibition or toxicity, and declines in dechlorination activity (Chu, 2004; Duhamel et al., 2002; Yang and McCarty, 2000).

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Liquid TCE (99.9%, Acros Organics, Pittsburgh, PA) was used for both feed stocks and analytic standards. Liquid cDCE (97%, Acros Organics) was used for toxicity exposure tests and analytic standards. Gaseous VC (99.5%,) and gaseous ETH (99.5%; Aldrich Chemical, Milwaukee, WI) were used to create analytic standards. Hydrogen gas (99%) and 10%CO2/90%N2 gasses were supplied by Airco, Inc. (Albany, OR). Reagent grade salts or better were used for the culture growth medium.

Analytical Methods

Chlorinated ethers and ETH were quantified by gas chromatography with a HP-6890 gas chromatogram (GC), using a flame ionization detector (FID) and 30 m × 0.53 mm GS-Q column (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA). One hundred microliters of gas samples were collected with a Hamilton 100 μL gastight syringe (Leno, NV), and analyzed on the GC with a 15 mL/min flow of helium carrier gas. The GC oven was programmed with an initial temperature of 150°C, held for 2 min, increased to 220°C at 45°C/min, and held for 0.7 min at 220°C. Hydrogen gas (H2) was quantified on a HP 5890 GC with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and 15 ft × 1/8 in Carbonex 1000 column (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA). Analyses were conducted at a 220°C isotherm with an Argon carrier gas at 15 mL/min. The detection limit for H2 was 43 nM (aqueous concentration). Aqueous, gas, and total CAH, ETH, and H2 concentrations were determined from their respective Henry’s coefficients (Gossett, 1987; Perry et al., 1997; Young, 1981) and the relationship HCC = CG/CL, M = CGVg + ClVL.
90% N2), and then augmented with 2 μL of neat TCE to achieve an initial aqueous TCE concentration of 250 μM. The bottles were immediately shaken to quickly dissolve the TCE.

During the course of the experiment TCE was added as needed, approximately once per day, in order to avoid accumulation of TCE, such that amounts and frequency of additions declined later in the 67-day experiment as transformation activity declined. TCE additions were performed inside the anaerobic glove box with injections of 2–6 μL of neat TCE to maintain aqueous TCE concentrations generally between 100 and 800 μM, so that dechlorination rates would not be TCE-limited. Similarly, H2 was added inside an anaerobic glove box with anaerobically prepared H2 gas injected via H2-flushed disposable syringes and 22 gage needles. H2 was reaugmented once in the EV-cDCE bottles, and three times in the PM bottles, as needed to maintain headspace concentrations between 1.5% and 13%. pH was maintained between 6.5 and 7.3 during the batch experiments with a single addition of anaerobically prepared 100.3 mM Na2CO3 stock solution in autoclaved deionized water. pH values at the end of the experiment were between 6.8 and 7.2 for all batch-fed bottles. To ensure adequate carbon was available, 0.2 mL of anaerobically prepared 60% Na-lactate syrup was injected approximately every 20 days into all six batch reactors. All batch reactor bottles were shaken in the dark at 200 rpm and 20°C. CAH and H2 concentrations were monitored approximately daily throughout the experiment.

cDCE Exposure Reactors

The PM culture was exposed to commercial cDCE (97%, Acros Organics) in batch TCE-fed reactors to determine concentration and time effects of high-cDCE concentration exposure. Duplicate reactors were constructed as described above. The three exposure concentrations tested were: 0 μM cDCE, 3,000 μM cDCE (nominal), and 6,000 μM cDCE (nominal), supplied during reactor construction and inoculation, along with H2 of approximately 10% in the headspace. To ensure dechlorination was not electron donor limited, H2 was periodically added to approximately 10% if concentrations were at or below 1%. Reactors were fed TCE to approximately 250 μM concentrations on day 0, and augmented to TCE aqueous concentrations between 100 and 180 μM on days 3 and 5. To ensure TCE dechlorination rates were not TCE-limited, TCE concentrations were maintained between 100 and 250 μM for the entire experiment. Previous work with the PM culture demonstrated cDCE does not inhibit TCE dechlorination in the range tested (cDCE concentrations sevenfold higher than TCE), and the Ks,TCE for the PM culture is 2.6 μM (Yu et al., 2005). cDCE concentrations fluctuated by approximately 10% from the nominal initial concentrations due to cDCE formation from TCE dechlorination and cDCE dechlorination to VC by the active culture. TCE dechlorination rates were measured on days 3 and 5 by recording five TCE and cDCE concentrations within a 4-h timespan.

Model Development

Michaelis–Menten Kinetics for Reductive Dechlorination

All model symbols, definitions, and units are summarized at the end of this article. The anaerobic reductive dechlorination of volatile CAHs, by the EV, PM, and many other cultures, has been described using Michaelis–Menten kinetics as follows (Cupples et al., 2004; Fennell and Gossett, 1998; Haston and McCarty, 1999; Lee et al., 2004; Yu and Semprini, 2004),

\[
\frac{dC_{i,j}}{dt} = -\frac{k_{\text{max},i}X_{C_{i,j}}}{K_{S,j} + C_{L,j}} + \frac{k_{\text{max},i}X_{C_{i,j}}}{K_{S,j} + C_{L,j}}
\]

where \( C_i \) is the CAH aqueous concentration, \( k_{\text{max}} \) the maximum specific utilization rate, \( X \) the biomass concentration, and \( K_s \) the half-velocity coefficient. Coefficient \( i \) relates to production of one CAH by dechlorination of its more chlorinated parent compound, and coefficient \( j \) relates to removal by dechlorination of the CAH whose rate is being calculated. Note that all rate expressions in this work are regarding electron acceptor kinetics. Non-limiting electron donors were supplied, which avoids the need for dual kinetic expressions of both electron donors and acceptors (Cupples et al., 2004).

While microbial kinetics are dependent on aqueous concentrations, \( C_i \) is related to total mass in experiments containing both gas and aqueous phases by the Henry’s coefficient relationship \( C_i = M(V_i + H_{CC} \times V_C) \), where \( M \) is the total mass of the volatile CAH in the reactor, \( V_i \) the liquid phase volume, \( V_C \) the gas phase volume, and \( H_{CC} \) the dimensionless Henry’s coefficient. The Henry’s coefficients for ETH and CAHs have been published previously (Gossett, 1987; Perry et al., 1997). The model implemented creates a separate gas volume from the liquid volume, with instantaneous equilibrium partitioning between gas and liquid phases per the Henry’s coefficients for each CAH or ETH.

Net growth of biomass can be related to dechlorination rates by the yield coefficient, \( Y \) and decay coefficient, \( k_d \) as follows,

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = Y \sum_i \frac{dC_i}{dt} - k_dX
\]

where \( C_i \) represents each CAH that yields energy for growth from its dechlorination. Because previous modeling of the EV and PM cultures was successful with a single \( X \) population (Yu and Semprini, 2004; Yu et al., 2005), a single population \( X \) for this study was assumed.

Michaelis–Menten With Inhibition

Previous work with the EV and PM cultures, as well as other reductively dehalogenating cultures, has shown higher chlorinated compounds inhibit dechlorination of the less
chlorinated compounds in a competitive manner (Cupples et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2004; Yu and Semprini, 2004). Previous experiments with the EV and PM cultures demonstrated that no inhibition (competitive or otherwise) was exerted by less chlorinated compounds on the dechlorination activity of higher chlorinated compounds (Yu et al., 2005). Thus, reductive dechlorination of TCE, cDCE, and VC, in the absence of PCE, can be modeled with competitive inhibition of higher chlorinated compounds on less chlorinated compounds by the following three equations.

\[
\frac{dC_{L,TCE}}{dt} = - \frac{k_{\text{max,TCE}}XC_{L,TCE}}{K_{S,TCE} + C_{L,TCE}}
\]

(3)

\[
\frac{dC_{L,cDCE}}{dt} = - \frac{k_{\text{max,cDCE}}XC_{L,cDCE}}{K_{S,cDCE} \left(1 + \frac{C_{L,cDCE}}{K_{L,cDCE}} + C_{L,cDCE} \right)} \nonumber + \frac{k_{\text{max,TCE}}XC_{L,TCE}}{K_{S,TCE} + C_{L,TCE}}
\]

(4)

\[
\frac{dC_{L,VC}}{dt} = - \frac{k_{\text{max,VC}}XC_{L,VC}}{K_{S,VC} \left(1 + \frac{C_{L,VC}}{K_{L,VC}} + C_{L,VC} \right)} \nonumber + \frac{k_{\text{max,cDCE}}XC_{L,cDCE}}{K_{S,cDCE} \left(1 + \frac{C_{L,cDCE}}{K_{L,cDCE}} + C_{L,cDCE} \right)}
\]

(5)

where \(K_{L,TCE}\), for instance, is the competitive inhibition coefficient of TCE on dechlorination of the lesser chlorinated compounds. Previous work with the EV and PM cultures has shown that \(K_{L,TCE}\) and \(K_{L,cDCE}\) can be adequately modeled as equivalent to the \(K_{S,TCE}\) or \(K_{S,cDCE}\) respectively, and other researchers have shown very similar values between these parameters as well (Cupples et al., 2004; Yu and Semprini, 2004; Yu et al., 2005).

Haldane inhibition of a CAH on its own dechlorination has been used to simulate high-concentration batch reactor data (Yu and Semprini, 2004). Haldane inhibition combined with competitive inhibition can be represented as follows for cDCE dechlorination in the presence of TCE,

\[
\frac{dC_{L,cDCE}}{dr} = - \frac{k_{\text{max,cDCE}}XC_{L,cDCE}}{K_{S,cDCE} \left(1 + \frac{C_{L,cDCE}}{K_{L,cDCE}} + C_{L,cDCE} \right)} \nonumber + \frac{k_{\text{max,TCE}}XC_{L,TCE}}{K_{S,TCE} + C_{L,TCE} + \frac{C_{L,cDCE}}{K_{L,cDCE}}}
\]

(6)

where \(K_{L,cDCE}\) is the Haldane inhibition coefficient for cDCE on its own dechlorination. Similar relationships can be expressed for TCE or VC Haldane inhibition.

We also investigated inhibition of TCE dechlorination by the presence of cDCE with the commonly modeled forms of inhibition, including: competitive, uncompetitive, non-competitive, and mixed inhibition. Model equations and methods for experimentally deriving these inhibition coefficients have been described elsewhere (e.g., Cornish-Bowden, 2004; Kim et al., 2002). None of these traditional inhibition models could fit our data, and are therefore not discussed in detail here.

Because none of the traditional inhibition models could reflect reduced TCE dechlorination rates in batch experiments, we looked toward concentration threshold modeling (Amos et al., 2007). In an empirical model proposed by Amos et al. (2007), declining dechlorination activity was represented as inhibition from the presence of PCE. Modifying their expression to assume cDCE inhibition on TCE dechlorination is as follows,

\[
\frac{dC_{L,TCE}}{dt} = - \frac{k_{\text{max,TCE}}XC_{L,TCE}}{K_{S,TCE} + C_{L,TCE}} \left(1 - \frac{C_{L,cDCE}}{C_{L,max,cDCE}}\right)
\]

(7)

where TCE dechlorination to cDCE is theoretically inhibited by the cDCE aqueous concentrations, proportioned to a maximum threshold concentration (\(C_{L,max,cDCE}\)), above which dechlorination reversibly halts.

**Toxicity**

Additionally, a concentration-based toxicity model has been explored based upon enhancing cellular decay with increasing concentrations of CAHs. Increased decay as a function of TCE and cDCE concentrations can be modeled as follows,

\[
k_{d} = k_{d} \left(1 + \frac{C_{d,cDCE}}{K_{d,cDCE}} + \frac{C_{d,TCE}}{K_{d,TCE}}\right)
\]

(8)

where \(k_{d}\) is the enhanced, concentration-dependent decay coefficient, \(k_{d}\) the endogenous decay coefficient used previously, \(K_{d,cDCE}\) the cDCE-specific toxicity coefficient, and \(K_{d,TCE}\) the TCE-specific toxicity coefficient. Similar terms can be added for high VC or PCE concentrations. Note that when zero cDCE or TCE is present, the enhanced decay term, \(k_{d}\), is equal to the endogenous decay coefficient, \(k_{d}\).

This concentration-dependent toxicity model is similar to the effects of an uncoupler, described by Rittmann and Sáez (1993) as follows,

\[
b_{\text{eff}} = b \left(1 + \frac{S}{K_{5}}\right)
\]

(9)

where, by their nomenclature, \(b\) is the first-order cellular decay coefficient (\(T^{-1}\)), \(S\) the secondary substrate concentration (\(M_{L}L^{-3}\)), \(K_{5}\) the inhibition constant (\(M_{L}L^{-3}\)) affecting decay through the concentration of secondary substrate \(S\), and \(b_{\text{eff}}\) the effective cellular decay coefficient. Uncoupling reactions in the electron transport chain is a common example of cellular uncoupling. The disruption of the cell membrane integrity, or membrane-bound respiratory enzymes vital to electron transport in reductive
dechlorinators (Morris et al., 2006; Nijenhuis and Zinder, 2005), are possible uncoupling processes in our systems. An empirical toxicity model of similar form has also been used to describe enhanced decay and observed toxic effects of sodium on anaerobic sludge (Kugelman and Chin, 1970).

In addition to toxicity being proportional to a solvent’s aqueous concentration, we consider the degree of toxicity being related to partitioning into the cell membrane. Previous work with aromatic and aliphatic organic solvents has shown that solvents partition into cell membranes in proportion to their octanol–water partitioning coefficient by the following relationship:

$$\log K_{MB} = 0.97 \times \log K_{OW} - 0.64 \quad (10)$$

where $K_{OW}$ is the octanol–water partitioning coefficient, and $K_{MB}$ is the “membrane-buffer” partitioning coefficient, or the proportion of organic solvent partitioning into a cell membrane versus the growth medium or buffer solution (Sikkema et al., 1994). Loss of dechlorination activity has been related to equivalent total cell wall concentrations (via Eq. 10) of PCE, TCE, and cDCE mixtures, regardless of which CAHs were present (Chu, 2004; Chu et al., 2006).

A summary of kinetic modeling parameters and values for models utilized in the study are supplied in Table I. While the $K_a$, $K_{f}$, $K_{r}$, and $Y$ coefficients for the PM and EV-cDCE models in this study are the same as previously reported for the PM and original EV culture, the $k_{max,TCE}$ values are approximately 20% of their originally reported values (Yu and Semprini, 2004). The $k_{max,TCE}$ for the EV-cDCE culture was independently measured in batch experiments, and adjusted by model fitting for the PM culture. It should be noted that these are mixed, not pure, cultures, and that the $k_{max}$ values are per mg of protein. All models were constructed and solved using the STELLA® v.9.0 software (isee systems, inc., Lebanon, NH).

A difference in cDCE accumulation in TCE batch-fed reactors for the PM and EV-cDCE cultures is shown in Figure 1. The EV-cDCE and PM cultures exhibited significantly different overall performance. The PM culture produced cDCE faster between days 15 and 30, but was unable to dechlorinate TCE to as high of cDCE concentrations as the EV-cDCE culture, with maximum cDCE concentrations of 9,000 and 12,500 μM, respectively. VC and ETH are not plotted because they were not detected in the EV-cDCE reactors, and were never detected above 230 and 0.9 μM, respectively, in the PM reactors. TCE concentrations in all reactors were generally maintained between 100 and 800 μM.

cDCE accumulation in batch reactors fed repeated doses of TCE are presented in Figure 1. The EV-cDCE and PM cultures exhibited significantly different overall performance. The PM culture produced cDCE faster between days 15 and 30, but was unable to dechlorinate TCE to as high of cDCE concentrations as the EV-cDCE culture, with maximum cDCE concentrations of 9,000 and 12,500 μM, respectively. VC and ETH are not plotted because they were not detected in the EV-cDCE reactors, and were never detected above 230 and 0.9 μM, respectively, in the PM reactors. TCE concentrations in all reactors were generally maintained between 100 and 800 μM.

Table I. Model kinetic parameters for Michaelis–Menten, threshold, and toxicity models of cDCE accumulation experiments. a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>EV-cDCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_{max,TCE}$ (μmol/mg protein/day)</td>
<td>23$^b$</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{max,DCE}$ (μmol/mg protein/day)$^a$</td>
<td>2$^b$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{max,VC}$ (μmol/mg protein/day)$^a$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{S,TCE}$ (μM)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{S,DCE}$ (μM)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{VC}$ (μM)</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{L,max,cDCE}$ (μM)</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L,cDCE}$ (μM)</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_0$ (mg protein/L)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$All competitive inhibition and yield coefficients, which are not listed here, are as reported previously for PM and EV cultures (Yu and Semprini, 2004).

$^b$Modified from originally reported values (Yu and Semprini, 2004).

$^c$“—” indicates parameter excluded from model.

Results and Discussion

TCE-Fed cDCE-Accumulating Reactor Data and Modeling

cDCE accumulation data for each culture, with simulations using three different kinetic models, are plotted in Figures 2a and b, along with TCE concentrations. Model simulations depicted are: (1) standard Michaelis–Menten kinetics including competitive inhibition as presented in Equations (3) and (4); (2) cDCE threshold modeling as presented in Equation (7); and (3) toxicity as presented in Equation (8) combined with the Michaelis–Menten kinetics of Equation (3). All three models were calibrated by adjusting the initial biomass ($X_0$) to fit the TCE dechlorination and cDCE accumulation observed between days 8 and 22 of operation, leaving all other $k_{max}$, $K_a$, and competitive inhibition coefficients fixed to previously reported or independently measured values. The cDCE threshold ($C_{L,max,cDCE}$) or toxicity ($K_{L,cDCE}$) terms were adjusted after calibrating the initial biomass ($X_0$). The same $X_0$, $k_{max}$, and $K_a$ values were used in all three models shown in Figure 2a and b. Parameter values used in the models are summarized in Table I. Only the $k_{max,TCE}$ and $k_{max,DCE}$ parameters differed from those previously determined by Yu.
and Semprini (2004). Because the studies were conducted with mixed cultures containing dehalogenators and fermentors, the protein concentration is not a direct measure of dehalogenator biomass. Fitting the initial $X_0$ is a common approach used in modeling dehalogenation (Amos et al., 2007; Cupples et al., 2004).

For the standard Michaelis–Menten model curve, TCE addition was modeled as a continuous addition at the required rates to illustrate the rate of cDCE production predicted. For the cDCE threshold and toxicity model curves, TCE addition was simulated as the net average experimental TCE addition rates. The standard Michaelis–Menten kinetics (Eqs. 3–5) fit to early dechlorination data indicates dechlorination kinetics, including an endogenous decay rate of 0.024 day$^{-1}$ (Yu and Semprini, 2004), could account for observed dechlorination activity up to cDCE concentrations of 2,000 and 3,600 μM for the EV-cDCE and PM cultures, respectively (Fig. 2a and b). However, measured TCE dechlorination in the reactors did not accelerate to the extent predicted by the simulations. Competitive, non-competitive, uncompetitive, and mixed inhibition of cDCE upon TCE dechlorination were explored, but none of those models agreed with measured cDCE concentrations (not shown).

For the cDCE threshold simulations the $C_{L,max,cDCE}$ term was adjusted to fit the maximum observed cDCE concentrations in each culture (Fig. 2a and b). Good fits were obtained for both cultures, though the observed rate of cDCE production was somewhat overpredicted by the threshold model for the EV culture (Fig. 2a). The $C_{L,max,cDCE}$ values used to fit observed cDCE concentrations were 12,500 and 9,300 μM for the EV-cDCE and PM cultures, respectively (Table I).

The enhanced decay toxicity model matches the data from both cultures very well (Fig. 2a and b). Incorporation of Haldane terms for cDCE and TCE previously derived by Yu and Semprini (2004) had little impact on obtaining a better fitting model, indicating that the enhanced decay term was dominating the response. $K_{t,cDCE}$ values supplied in Table I for the batch experiments were based upon the assumption of no Haldane inhibition per Yu and Semprini (2004). The models matched to observed thresholds in cDCE produced were highly sensitive to the $K_{t,cDCE}$ term. Because TCE levels were maintained below 800 μM throughout the experiment, with only transitory exposure to such concentrations, no TCE toxicity term ($K_{t,TCE}$) was included in these batch reactor simulations.

The $K_{t,cDCE}$ values used to fit observed cDCE accumulation rates were 800 μM for the EV culture and 710 μM for the PM culture (Table I). These resulted in a $k_d$ of 0.43 day$^{-1}$ for the EV culture by day 50 when cDCE concentrations were approximately 12,000 μM, and a $k_d$ of 0.31 day$^{-1}$ by day 50 for the PM culture, when the cDCE concentration was approximately 8,600 μM. The previously used endogenous decay coefficient, $k_d$, for both of these cultures was 0.024 day$^{-1}$ (Yu and Semprini, 2004; Yu et al., 2005), indicating that decay was 13–18 times higher due to the presence of cDCE at 8,000 to 12,000 μM concentrations. Elevated cellular decay coefficients similar to our fitted values have been reported previously. First-order decay coefficients in the presence of approximately 8,000 μM cDCE were estimated to be 0.1–0.14 day$^{-1}$ for two different reductively dechlorinating cultures (Chu, 2004).

Commercial-cDCE Exposure Data and Parameter Estimation

Results from the cDCE exposure experiment for the PM culture are provided in Figure 3a and b. TCE dechlorination rates are normalized to the unexposed (no commercial cDCE) rates by dividing the measured dechlorination rates by the unexposed (no commercial cDCE) dechlorination...
rates, which is analogous to an active dechlorinating biomass fraction. TCE rates were determined with TCE aqueous concentrations between 130 and 250 µM. This represents zero-order kinetics since the $K_{S,TCE}$ for the PM culture is 2.8 µM.

In Figure 3a, the normalized activity (assumed active dechlorinating biomass fraction) is plotted on a log-axis versus the cDCE concentrations on a linear axis since the integrated form of toxicity model would lead to exponential biomass decay. Figure 3a shows that the reduced activity is more pronounced with increasing concentrations given the same exposure time, and that declines in activity at the same exposure concentrations increased with the time of exposure. In Figure 3b, the normalized activity is plotted on a log-axis, and the product of cDCE exposure concentration and time of exposure ($Ct$) are plotted on a linear axis. These data with different exposure times, and different cDCE concentrations follow a single semi-log-line trend. When combining and integrating Equations (2) and (8), such a plot produces a semi-log, first-order decay relationship, with the exponent of the regression equal to $k_d/K_{a,cDCE}$. Thus, from these time- and concentration-dependent exposures, regression analysis yields an exponent of $8.85 \times 10^{-5}$, and a $K_{a,cDCE}$ of 271 µM, assuming an endogenous decay $k_d$ 0.024 day$^{-1}$ (Fennell and Gossett, 1998; Lee et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2005). The standard error of the exponent regressed to the data is $5.47 \times 10^{-6}$, producing a range from $7.33 \times 10^{-5}$ to 1.04 $\times 10^{-4}$. Assuming a fixed $k_d$ (0.024 day$^{-1}$), the 95% confidence interval for the $K_{a,cDCE}$ value is 232–328 µM. This is within a factor of 2–3 of the $K_{a,cDCE}$ determined above for the PM culture in the (biogenic) cDCE accumulation experiment. The smaller $K_{a,cDCE}$ in the commercial-cDCE exposure experiment indicates a greater toxicity, potentially resulting from toxic stabilizing agents in the commercial cDCE.

These data exhibit time- and concentration-dependent losses in activity, consistent with the toxicity model given by Equations (2) and (8). Increasing declines in dechlorination activity have also been observed with time of exposure in batch-grown cultures converting PCE to cDCE (Yang and McCarty, 2000). While more direct experimentation is warranted with exposure experiments conducted with TCE, for example, we further investigate the utility of the toxicity model by comparing it to previously reported high concentration data.

### Reanalysis of Earlier Haldane Inhibition Modeling

Previous batch studies with the PM and EV cultures exposed to high-CAH concentrations (Yu and Semprini, 2004), originally modeled with Haldane inhibition via equation forms such as those shown here in Equation (6), were reanalyzed with our toxicity model (Eqs. 3, 4, 5, and 8). Data from Yu and Semprini (2004) and simulations using the toxicity model are presented in Figure 4a and b. All kinetic parameters ($k_{max}$, $K_S$, $Y$, $C_{m}$, $k_d$) summarized in Table II of their work were used, but with the exclusion of the Haldane inhibition coefficients ($K_{HI}$). The only modification to kinetic parameters was the inclusion of toxicity terms, $K_{a,cDCE}$, $K_{a,TCE}$, and $K_{a,Vc}$. Because the previously reported Haldane inhibition coefficients were unknown parameters fitted to the data, and not experimentally determined, we chose only to test if the toxicity model fit these data. We therefore excluded Haldane inhibition from our model comparison.

Our batch cDCE accumulation experiments produced $K_{a,cDCE}$ values for the EV-cDCE and PM cultures. To compare the toxicity model to previous Yu and Semprini data (2004), we make the following assumptions: (1) the original EV culture exhibits the same solvent toxicity as the EV-cDCE subculture; (2) prior observations are valid that toxicity is proportional to cell wall concentrations (Chu, 2004; Chu et al., 2006); and (3) toxicity is related to the $K_{OW}$ or $K_{UB}$ of a solvent (Chu, 2004; Chu et al., 2006; Isken et al., 1999; Sikkema et al., 1994).

We thus derived $K_{a,TCE}$ and $K_{a,Vc}$ terms for the PM and EV cultures by scaling the terms from the calculated $K_{a,TCE}$ values (per Eq. 10) and the ratios for $K_{M/B,TCE}, K_{M/B,cDCE}$ and $K_{M/B,Vc}$.
$K_{M/B,VC}:K_{M/B,cDCE}$ (3.5 and 0.24, respectively). These ratios were multiplied by the respective $K_{L,cDCE}$ terms for the EV-cDCE and PM cultures to calculate $K_{L,TCE}$ and $K_{L,VC}$ values. The $K_i$ and all other modeled parameters are summarized in Table II.

The initial protein concentrations supplied by Yu and Semprini (2004) were modified from reported values as the sole fitting parameter, which is analogous to an active fraction of the total measured protein. Initial biomass concentrations had to be decreased by about a factor of 5 compared to those used by Yu and Semprini (2004), and were adjusted to fit the TCE dechlorination data. One possibility is that the previously used Haldane model resulted in inhibition effects that required a higher initial biomass to fit the data. Another possibility is that a combination of inhibition and toxicity models is needed, because the toxicity model requires time exposure to show reduced activity, while other types of reversible inhibition possibly occurred at earlier times, such as Haldane or a threshold inhibition. We were able to exclude such reversible inhibition terms from our model possibly because initial concentrations were not high (Fig. 2a and b), or only long-term exposure effects were analyzed (Fig. 3a and b).

As shown in Figure 4a, reasonable fits to the PM culture data were obtained with the toxicity model, though measured cDCE and VC dechlorination rates were faster than predicted. It should be noted, however, that in the original proposed Haldane model (Yu and Semprini, 2004), each CAH Haldane inhibition coefficient was adjusted specifically to fit the data, whereas the cDCE and VC dechlorination in the toxicity model is a prediction of activity based upon fitting initial biomass and assuming toxicity is proportional to $K_{TCE}$ and $K_{VC}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II. Model kinetic parameters for previously reported data (Yu and Semprini, 2004).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{max,TCE}$ (μmol/mg protein/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{max,cDCE}$ (μmol/mg protein/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{max,VC}$ (μmol/mg protein/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{TCE}$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L,cDCE}$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L,VC}$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L,TCE}$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L,cDCE}$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L,VC}$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L,TCE}$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L,cDCE}$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L,VC}$ (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_0$ (mg protein/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{TCE}$ (mg protein/μmol Cl(^{-}) released)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{cDCE}$ (mg protein/μmol Cl(^{-}) released)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{VC}$ (mg protein/μmol Cl(^{-}) released)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_d$ (day(^{-}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not part of original Yu and Semprini (2004) Haldane model. Added to current model, with removal of previous Haldane inhibition coefficients. $K_{TCE}$ and $K_{L,cDCE}$ were calculated from our $K_{L,cDCE}$ model determinations (summarized in Table I of this document). Calculated values were scaled from $K_{L,cDCE}$ values by combining Equations (8) and (10) of this document to obtain the relationship: $K_{TCE}/K_{L,cDCE} = K_{L,cDCE}/K_{L,TCE}$ and $K_{L,VC}/K_{L,cDCE} = K_{L,cDCE}/K_{L,VC}$.

$X_0$ originally reported as 35 and 40 mg/L protein for PM and EV cultures, respectively.
To illustrate potential impacts of high CAH concentrations, concentrations of CAHs at which the effective decay coefficients ($k'_d$) reach 10 times the endogenous decay coefficient have been calculated and summarized in Table III. Based upon $K_{OW}$ and $K_{MB}$ values, toxicity is greater as the degree of chlorination increases. Common to PCE, TCE, and cDCE, which exist as liquids at atmospheric pressure and temperature, is that the concentrations at which $k'_d$ is expected to be 10 times the endogenous $k_d$ are approximately 10% of their respective solubility limits. For VC, however, which exists as a gas at atmospheric pressure and temperature, $k'_d$ is expected to be 10 times $k_d$ at or above its solubility limit. Our companion paper (Sabalowsky and Semprini, 2010) applies the toxicity model to experimental results we obtained in a continuously fed stirred-tank reactor (CFSTR) and a fixed film reactor that were inoculated with the EV-cDCE culture and fed TCE near its solubility limit.

Conclusions

The processes of inhibition and toxicity as a result of exposure to high-CAH concentrations are still not fully understood. CAH concentrations above 1,000 µM have been shown to produce inhibitory or toxic effects in reductively dechlorinating cultures (Adamson et al., 2004; Chu, 2004; Duhamel et al., 2002; Yang and McCarty, 2000; Yu and Semprini, 2004). Inclusion of a concentration based enhanced decay toxicity model simulated three different modes of exposure in batch reactors: (1) long-term exposure to biogenically produced cDCE (Fig. 2); (2) short-term exposure to commercial cDCE (Fig. 3); and (3) previous data with high initial TCE concentrations during exposure to cDCE and VC (Fig. 4; Yu and Semprini, 2004). The concept of toxicity directly decreasing active cell numbers, as modeled here with an enhanced decay coefficient, is in agreement with previously reported declines in activity (Chu et al., 2006), decreased observed cell yield (Isken et al., 1999), and increased decay coefficients (Chu, 2004). Toxicity has been seen in aromatic and aliphatic solvents (Isken et al., 1999), and in chloroalkene solvent studies (Chu 2004; Chu et al., 2006). The proposed model is the same form as a previously reported model for uncoupler compounds (Rittmann and Sáez, 1993), and physical disruption of cells walls or the membrane-bound dehalogenase or other respiratory enzymes critical to electron transport (Morris et al., 2006; Nijenhuis and Zinder, 2005) may explain why our observations fit an uncoupler model. Future work is required to more directly test the conceptual toxicity model proposed here. As with other work proposing high-CAH concentrations result in increasing cell decay or inhibition (Amos et al., 2007; Chu, 2004), our data only include activity measurements, with the assumption that activity is proportional to the number active cells present. Measurements of total, live, and dead cells would help further the proposed toxicity model. Exposure tests and measurements of loss in activity, like that reported in Figure 3, are needed for different CAHs and different cultures. This would also lead to a more rigorous demonstration of the proposed cell wall $K_{OW}$ based toxicity model used in Figure 4 simulations and Table III estimates. This modeling approach is applied in our companion paper for experiments performed with CFSTR and attached growth reactors (Sabalowsky and Semprini, 2010).

Nomenclature

$C_{L,TCE}$ aqueous TCE concentration (µM)
$C_{L,cDCE}$ aqueous cDCE concentration (µM)
$C_{L,max,cDCE}$ aqueous cDCE maximum threshold concentration (µM)
$C_{L,VC}$ aqueous VC concentration (µM)
$k_d$ endogenous cell decay coefficient (day⁻¹)
$k'_d$ effective cell decay coefficient, including toxicity (day⁻¹)
$K_{AH-H-TCE}$ TCE Haldane inhibition coefficient (µM)
$K_{AH-DCE}$ cDCE Haldane inhibition coefficient (µM)
$K_{AH-H-VC}$ VC Haldane inhibition coefficient (µM)
$K_{TCE}$ TCE competitive inhibition coefficient (µM)
$K_{cDCE}$ cDCE competitive inhibition coefficient (µM)
$K_{VC}$ VC competitive inhibition coefficient (µM)
$h_{max,TCE}$ maximum specific TCE dechlorination rate (µmol/mg protein/day)
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\[ k_{\text{max,cDCE}} \] maximum specific cDCE dechlorination rate (μmol/mg protein/day)

\[ k_{\text{max,VC}} \] maximum specific VC dechlorination rate (μmol/mg protein/day)

\[ K_{\text{MB}} \] membrane-buffer partitioning coefficient

\[ K_{\text{OW}} \] octanol–water partitioning coefficient

\[ K_{\text{V,max,TCE}} \] half-velocity coefficient for TCE dechlorination (μM)

\[ K_{\text{V,cDCE}} \] half-velocity coefficient for cDCE dechlorination (μM)

\[ K_{\text{VC}} \] half-velocity coefficient for VC dechlorination (μM)

\[ K_{a,TCE} \] TCE concentration-based toxicity coefficient (μM)

\[ K_{a,cDCE} \] cDCE concentration-based toxicity coefficient (μM)

\[ V_{G} \] reactor gas volume (L)

\[ V_{L} \] reactor liquid volume (L)

\[ X \] time-dependent reactor biomass concentration (mg protein/L)

\[ X_{0} \] initial reactor biomass concentration (mg protein/L)

\[ Y \] cell growth yield (mg protein/μmol Cl− released)
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