Environmental and genetic factors influencing biofilm structure
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INTRODUCTION

It is increasingly evident that biofilms growing in a diverse range of medical, industrial and natural environments form a similarly diverse range of complex structures (Stoodley et al., 1999a). These structures often contain water channels which can increase the supply of nutrients to cells in the biofilm (deBeer & Stoodley, 1995) and prompted Costerton et al. (1995) to propose that the water channels may serve as a rudimentary circulatory system of benefit to the biofilm as a whole. This concept suggests that biofilm structure may be controlled, to some extent, by the organisms themselves and may be optimized for a certain set of environmental conditions. To date, most of the research on biofilm structure has been focused on the influence of external environmental factors such as surface chemistry and roughness, physical forces (that is, hydrodynamic shear) or nutrient conditions and the chemistry of the aqueous environment. However, there has been a recent increase in the number of researchers using molecular techniques to study the genetic regulation of biofilm formation and development. Davies et al. (1998) demonstrated that the structure of a Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm could be controlled through production of the cell signal (or pheromone) N-(3-oxododecanoyl)-3-homoserine lactone (OdDHL). In this paper, we will examine some of the research that has been conducted in our laboratories and those of others on the relative contribution of hydrodynamics, nutrients and cell signalling to the structure and behaviour of bacterial biofilms.

HYDRODYNAMICS

The hydrodynamic conditions of an aquatic environment will determine the transport rate of nutrients and planktonic cells to a surface, the shear stress acting on the biofilm...
and the rate of erosion of cells from the biofilm. The morphology and physical properties of biofilms appear to be strongly influenced by the magnitude of the shear stresses under which the biofilm developed. At low laminar flows, individual biofilm microcolonies, although irregular in shape, commonly form isotropic patterns with no obvious directional component to the pattern (Moller et al., 1998; Stoodley et al., 1999b, c; Wolfaardt et al., 1994) (Fig. 1a). However, biofilms grown at higher shear are commonly filamentous with the microcolonies being elongated in the downstream direction (Bryers & Characklis, 1981; McCoy et al., 1981; Stoodley et al., 1999c) (Fig. 1b). The length of the filaments or 'streamers' appears to be greatest in turbulent flows with Reynolds numbers (Re) between transition and 17,000. At higher Re, the biofilm filaments are reduced in length, presumably because of continual shearing off of biofilm material at the tip (Bryers & Characklis, 1981). Other structures such as ripples and dunes have also been reported in pure and defined mixed-culture laboratory biofilms that were grown in turbulent flow (Gjaltema et al., 1994; Stoodley et al., 1999d).

**Fluid-like flow of biofilm microcolonies over the substratum**

In addition to the influence that hydrodynamics have on biofilm morphology, we have used digital time-lapse microscopy (DTLM) to demonstrate that hydrodynamics can also influence dynamic behaviour in bacterial biofilms (Stoodley et al., 1999d). In this work, ripple-shaped and round microcolonies in mixed-culture biofilms, grown under turbulent flow (Re 3600), were transported downstream across the upper and lower surfaces of a square glass flow cell (Fig. 2). Some of the structures appeared to roll across the surface while others appeared to slide. The travel velocity of the microcolonies across the surface varied with short-term variations in the velocity of the bulk liquid. A maximum migration velocity of approximately 1 mm h\(^{-1}\) occurred in the transition region between laminar and turbulent flow. The ripple-shaped microcolonies were also observed to continually detach from the glass surface. These observations support the hypothesis made by Inghis (1993) that ventilator-associated pneumonia may be related to the detachment of biofilm fragments from the walls of tracheal tubes. The biofilms that he observed had distinct wave patterns which led Inghis to hypothesize that the biofilm had been flowing and that this dynamic phenomenon may be related to biofilm detachment and dissemination into the lungs. Time-lapse movies of biofilms in turbulent flow taken at frame intervals of 0.5–1 h over time periods of up to 24 h suggest that biofilms behave like viscous fluids flowing along channel walls (Stoodley et al., 1999d). In addition to flow along channel walls, we have also observed similar flow phenomena around glass beads in a porous media flow cell (unpublished data). These observations are supported by several studies which show that biofilms can behave like viscoelastic liquids (Christensen & Characklis, 1990; Ohashi & Harada, 1994; Stoodley et al., 1999e). Flowing biofilms have important consequences for the dissemination of bacterial infection or contamination since this
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**Fig. 1.** Ten-day-old *P. aeruginosa* PAN067 biofilm grown under laminar (a) and turbulent (b) flow at $Re_{120}$ and 3600, respectively (Stoodley et al., 1999b). The laminar (grown biofilm was composed of single cells and small microcolonies (labelled 'C') while the turbulent grown biofilm microcolonies formed elongated streamers ('S') in the downstream direction. The biofilm was stained with the LIVE/DEAD BacLight Bacterial Viability kit (Molecular Probes). Although not seen in this greyscale image, approximately 98% of the cells were viable (green). Bar, 50 μm.
mechanism allows biofilm bacteria to colonize adjacent clean surfaces without depending on a planktonic phase, which is generally more susceptible to antimicrobial agents (Gilbert & Brown, 1995).

**NUTRIENTS AND HYDRODYNAMICS**

At higher nutrient concentrations and loading rates, biofilms tend to be thicker and denser than those grown in nutrient-poor environments (Characklis, 1990). However, less is known about the influence of nutrient type and concentration on the morphology of bacterial biofilms. Møller et al. (1997) reported that the morphology of an established undefined degradative community became more homogeneous when the nutrient source was changed from 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoic acid (2,4,6-TCB) to
Trypticase soy broth (TSB) while maintaining a constant carbon loading rate. They noted that the biofilm grown on TSB closely resembled the biofilms that they had previously grown exclusively on glucose and TSB. They hypothesized that mound-shaped microcolonies observed in the biofilms grown on 2,4,6-TCB may be characteristic of growth by their particular community on chlorinated substrates. It is likely that the morphological differences in their biofilms may have occurred due to population shifts in the community in response to changes in the enrichment conditions. Pure culture experiments on *Mycobacterium* spp. growing in laminar flow showed that, although biofilms took longer to accumulate on sterile tap water than on enrichment media, the morphology of the biofilms was similar (Hall-Stoodley *et al.*, 1999).

Stoodley *et al.* (1999c) have also shown that the morphology of an established biofilm can change significantly by varying the carbon concentration. In these experiments, the morphology of the microcolonies in a 21-d-old mixed-species biofilm grown under turbulent flow changed from that of ripples and streamers to large, closely packed, mound structures when the concentration of glucose (the sole carbon source) was increased by a factor of 10. The morphology was noticeably different within 10 h. In addition to the morphological change, there was also a change in the dynamic behaviour of the biofilm. At the low glucose concentration (40 p.p.m.), the biofilm appeared to flow downstream over the glass surface, but at 400 p.p.m. glucose, the downstream motion of biofilm microcolonies was much less evident. However, microcolonies could be observed to be continually growing and detaching using DTLM (Stoodley *et al.*, 1999f). When the glucose concentration was reduced back to 40 p.p.m., there was a net reduction of biomass and the ripples and streamers began to reform within approximately 48 h.

**GENETIC REGULATION OF BIOFILM STRUCTURE**

In the preceding sections, we have discussed some of the influences of the external environment on biofilm structure. Now we will turn to the influence that the biofilm micro-organisms themselves may have on the structure of the biofilms in which they live.

**Cell signalling and quorum sensing**

Quorum sensing (QS) is a mechanism used by both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria to regulate their gene expression, and resulting phenotype, as a function of the density of the cell culture (Bassler, 1999). The cell culture density is ‘sensed’ through production of cell signalling molecules, which, once a threshold concentration is reached, initiate a signal transduction cascade, resulting in the expression of a number of target genes. In many Gram-negative bacteria, these cell signals commonly belong to
a family of acylated-homoserine lactones (AHLs). However, cyclic dipeptides (Holden et al., 1999) and quinolones (Pesci et al., 1999) can also function as signalling molecules. QS in P. aeruginosa proceeds through the lasI/lasR system, which is homologous to the luxI/luxR system responsible for light production in some marine Vibrio species. However, in P. aeruginosa, instead of light production, high cell densities in stationary phase batch cultures can result in the production of virulence factors and secondary metabolites (Jones et al., 1993; Latifi et al., 1995, 1996; Winson et al., 1995). The QS cascade in P. aeruginosa is activated by the cell signalling molecule OdDHL, whose synthesis is directed by lasI. At high concentrations, OdDHL binds with a transcriptional activator (the LasR protein), which further up-regulates lasR and lasI in addition to a number of other genes, including lasB, resulting in the production of elastase and other virulence factors (Pesci & Iglewski, 1997). The LasR–OdDHL complex also up-regulates rhlI, which produces another signalling molecule, N-butanoyl-l-homoserine lactone (BHL). BHL binds to RhlR and this complex up-regulates the rhl regulon, resulting in the production of rhamnolipid (Pearson et al., 1997). Whiteley et al. (1999) have identified between 39 and 270 genes that are controlled by OdDHL and BHL-activated QS mechanisms in P. aeruginosa.

It was suggested that QS may play a role in the development of biofilms which also exhibit high cell densities (Williams & Stewart, 1994). Davies et al. (1998) strengthened this hypothesis when they reported that the cell signal OdDHL was required for P. aeruginosa JP1, a lasI mutant (defective in the production of OdDHL), to develop the structurally complex biofilms which were formed by the parental wild-type (WT) PAO1 cells.

Cell signalling and hydrodynamics
Unlike suspended batch cultures, however, biofilms usually do not grow in completely mixed closed systems, and transport through biofilm microcolonies appears to be mainly through diffusion (Bryers & Drummond, 1998; deBeer et al., 1997). In this case, it is not only the cell density that is important for the build-up of cell signalling molecules to concentrations at which QS mechanisms are activated, but also the production rate of signals, the rate of transport through the biofilm, the shape and dimensions of biofilm structures and the mass transport conditions outside the biofilm. The experiments by Davies et al. (1998) were conducted under very low laminar flows (Re 0.17). It is possible that under higher flows, cell signals may be diluted before they can reach QS concentrations within biofilm microcolonies. To investigate this further, we grew biofilms using P. aeruginosa PAOR, a lasR mutant (Latifi et al., 1996), and the parental WT (PAO1) strain under laminar (Re 120) and turbulent (Re 3600) flow (Stoodley et al., 1999b). Production of OdDHL was suppressed in the PAOR mutant, as demonstrated by biosensor assay (Winson et al., 1998), which showed that the
OdDHL concentration in the spent medium was below detection limits (approx. $10^{-3}$ nM). We also used *P. aeruginosa* PAN067 (Jones *et al.*, 1993), a mutant deficient in the production of BHL, an N-acyl homoserine lactone which has been implicated in biofilm cell signalling (Davies *et al.*, 1998). BHL synthesis is directed by *rbhl*. In our experiments, we found that both the WT and the two mutant strains formed complex structures and it was the hydrodynamics that had the greatest influence on the observed microcolony structure (Fig. 3). In laminar flow, the microcolonies of both the mutant strains (PAOR and PAN067) and their parental strains were circular in shape but in turbulent flow they formed elongated streamers (Fig. 3e, f). The influence of the inability to produce AHLs on biofilm formation was more subtle than found by Davies *et al.* (1998) and appeared to be related more to the rates of growth and detachment than the ability to form complex structures (Stoodley *et al.*, 1999b). Clearly, further work is required to determine how the hydrodynamic conditions may influence QS mechanisms in biofilms, particularly those grown in well-mixed, open environments.

**Biofilm structures formed through twitching motility**

In addition to cell signalling mechanisms by which biofilm structures form through growth, time-lapse imaging has shown that microcolonies can also form from the co-ordinated movement of single attached cells to specific loci on a surface (Dalton *et al.*, 1996). In *P. aeruginosa*, such co-ordinated motion has been shown to be associated with twitching motility mediated by type IV pili (Semmler *et al.*, 1999). O'Toole & Kolter (1998) have shown that this type of motility is important for the formation of biofilm structures in the initial stages of biofilm development. However, since these studies are generally limited to the first few hours of biofilm development, it is not clear how twitching motility may influence the long-term structural arrangements of biofilms.

**DISCUSSION**

A more complete understanding of biofilm development and behaviour is essential if we are to predict, and ultimately control, biofilm processes. The use of confocal microscopy has documented some of the structural complexities of different types of biofilms, while time-lapse imaging is starting to reveal some of the dynamic behaviours occurring in biofilms.

**Biofilm development and behaviour: nature or nurture?**

Clearly, both environment and genotype have been shown to play a role in biofilm development and behaviour, but it is not so clear how the environmental conditions determine which factors dominate. Shear is one environmental condition we have studied that appears to be of fundamental significance. There are others yet to be elucidated, including nutrients and surface type to name a few. For example, the
Fig. 3. Influence of cell signalling and hydrodynamics on biofilm structure after 6 days growth. (a) *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 grown under laminar flow (Re 120). The biofilm was composed of a monolayer of single cells interspersed with circular-shaped microcolonies (labelled 'MC'). Some void areas were devoid of cells. (b) *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 grown under turbulent flow (Re 3600). The microcolonies ('MC') were elongated in the downstream direction to form streamers ('S'). (c) *P. aeruginosa* PAOR, a lasR mutant (Latifi et al., 1996) grown under laminar flow. The biofilm was similar in morphology to the parental PAO1 strain. (d) *P. aeruginosa* PAOR grown under turbulent flow. Again, the biofilm morphology was similar to the parental PAO1 strain grown under the corresponding flow velocity. (e) Low magnification image of the same PAOR biofilm as in (c) showing the overall pattern of the biofilm grown in laminar flow. (f) Low magnification image of the same PAOR biofilm as in (d) showing the influence of increased shear on biofilm morphology. The biofilm microcolonies formed elongated 'streamers'. A void area caused by localized sloughing detachment is indicated ('V'). All biofilms were grown on a minimal salts medium with glucose (400 p.p.m.) as the sole carbon source. The black arrow indicates the direction of bulk fluid flow in all panels. Bar, 10 μm (a, b, c, d) and 500 μm (e, f).
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aggregation of single cells into microcolonies in the initial stages of biofilm formation in low shear environments appears to be controlled at the genetic level (O'Toole & Kolter, 1998), while the downstream motion of biofilm microcolonies in high shear flow appears to be a physical phenomenon related to the magnitude of the shear and the material properties of the biofilm exopolysaccharide matrix (Stoodley et al., 1999d, f). Likewise, in low shear flows, cell signalling has been shown to play a significant role in the determination of biofilm structure (Davies et al., 1998), while in high shear, the structures that develop appear to be shaped by the external shear and drag forces acting on the growing biofilm (Stoodley et al., 1999b).

Increasingly, researchers are using genetic techniques to identify the role that individual genes may have on the phenotype of the individual cells and consequently the overall development of bacterial biofilms (O'Toole et al., 1999). This approach has been advanced by the use of microtitre plates to assess biofilm accumulation. This technique allows rapid screening of large numbers of constructed mutants necessary for genetic analysis. However, these experiments are generally limited to studying biofilms in non-flowing, batch culture environments and in the very initial stages (hours) of biofilm development. In contrast, the microscopic monitoring of biofilms growing in flow cells allows long-term (days to months) experiments under flowing continuous culture conditions. However, this technique is limited by the number of replicates per experiment and in the total number of experiments that can be conducted.

An obvious approach is to use microtitre plates for rapid screening and then use flow cells to look at the longer term influence of a particular mutation on biofilm growth and behaviour in a flowing system. Presently, the construction of mutants deficient in specific phenotypes thought to be important for biofilm formation is proceeding at a much faster pace than can be studied in long-term flow cell experiments. To clear this backlog will require the development of biofilm flow cell systems capable of accommodating large numbers of replicates so that the influence of a particular mutation on biofilm development can be systematically assessed. It is only by the study of both the environmental and genetic influences on biofilm development that we will be able to begin to piece together how different biofilms behave in the real world, outside of the laboratory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank A. Lazdunski from the Laboratoire d’Ingenierie et Dynamique des Systemes Membranaires, Marseille, France, for providing P. aeruginosa PAOR. Work in the laboratories of P.S. and H.M. L.-S. was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (1 RO1 GM60052-01) and by the co-operative agreement EEC-8907039 between the National Science Foundation and Montana State University – Bozeman.
REFERENCES


