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Abstract

Rapid climatic changes and increasing human influence at high elevations around the world will have profound impacts on mountain biodiversity. However, forecasts from statistical models (e.g. species distribution models) rarely consider that plant community changes could substantially lag behind climatic changes, hindering our ability to make temporally realistic projections for the coming century. Indeed, the magnitudes of lags, and the relative importance of the different factors giving rise to them, remain poorly understood. We review evidence for three types of lag: "dispersal lags" affecting plant species' spread along elevational gradients, "establishment lags" following their arrival in recipient communities, and "extinction lags" of resident species. Variation in lags is explained by variation among species in physiological and demographic responses, by effects of altered biotic interactions, and by aspects of the physical environment. Of these, altered biotic interactions could
contribute substantially to establishment and extinction lags, yet impacts of biotic interactions on range dynamics are poorly understood. We develop a mechanistic community model to illustrate how species turnover in future communities might lag behind simple expectations based on species' range shifts with unlimited dispersal. The model shows a combined contribution of altered biotic interactions and dispersal lags to plant community turnover along an elevational gradient following climate warming. Our review and simulation support the view that accounting for disequilibrium range dynamics will be essential for realistic forecasts of patterns of biodiversity under climate change, with implications for the conservation of mountain species and the ecosystem functions they provide.
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## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Mountains are experiencing extensive changes in land-use and climate and increasing levels of biological invasion, with temperature increasing faster than global averages at high elevations in many mountain ranges (Mountain Research Initiative EDW Working Group, 2015). Such rapid changes in temperature are expected to result in extinctions of cold-adapted plant species in mountains (e.g., Dullinger, Gattringer, et al., 2012; Hübner et al., 2016). In some regions, projections using species distribution models (SDMs) predict up to 100% species turnover in alpine plant communities by 2100 (Engler et al., 2009, 2011). Nonetheless, the role for biotic interactions to influence range dynamics. This is especially likely in mountains, where steep environmental gradients give rise to abrupt transitions between bioclimatic zones, most apparent at the subalpine-alpine ecotone between forest and alpine vegetation (Descombes, Vittoz, Guisan, & Pellissier, 2017; Körner, 2003; Mayor et al., 2017). Species' range expansions across this ecotone will therefore precipitate interactions between alpine taxa and novel competitors (Alexander et al., 2015; le Roux & McGeoch, 2008) and natural enemies (Rasmann, Pellissier, Defossez, Jactel, & Kunstler, 2014), which might strongly influence alpine species' persistence and ecosystem properties. Nonetheless, the possible consequences of such altered interactions remain poorly studied, and difficult to integrate into predictive biodiversity models.
Our aim here is to outline how different demographic, community and physical processes might affect the dynamics of plant community change in mountain—and especially alpine—ecosystems following climate change. We focus on mountains because of their inherent societal and conservation value (Körner, 2004), but also because the compressed climatic gradients in mountains make them ideal model systems to study impacts of climate change, and its interaction with other global change drivers such as land-use changes and non-native species (Pauchard et al., 2016; Sundqvist, Sanders, & Wardle, 2013). In particular, we expect community changes to occur more rapidly across compressed elevational climatic gradients, providing opportunities to develop and test predictions about key processes that might be applied to more extensive lowland areas. First, we outline how different processes contribute to disequilibrium dynamics in mountain plant communities. We show that while the importance of dispersal lags is generally well-appreciated, comparatively much less is known about how biotic interactions could influence range dynamics. Therefore, we next develop a process-based and dynamic community model to illustrate how dispersal lags and competitive interactions could influence expectations for range shifts and rates of community turnover along an elevational gradient under climate change. Finally, we discuss the possible implications of disequilibrium dynamics for the future of mountain ecosystems, and highlight areas for further research.

2 | LAGS IN RANGE SHIFTS OF PLANT SPECIES WITH CLIMATE CHANGE

Species’ range shifts occur as the result of population expansion at the leading range edge and/or contraction at the trailing range edge (Lenoir & Svenning, 2013). The pace at which range shifts occur following climate change will depend on factors influencing: (1) dispersal at the leading edge; (2) the likelihood of a species establishing populations and increasing in abundance (hereafter "establishment") beyond their current range edge once propagules arrive; and (3) local extinction at the trailing edge (Svenning & Sandel, 2013; Urban et al., 2012; Figure 1). The extent to which species show lags in these three processes—dispersal, establishment and extinction—determines the rate and synchronicity of species’ range shifts, and ultimately community turnover. Three broad classes of factors increase and/or decrease the magnitude of these lags and how they vary across species: (a) intrinsic physiological and demographic responses of the range-shifting species themselves; (b) interactions with other species; and (c) characteristics of the physical environment (Figure 1). In the following sections we consider how these factors (a, b and c) could influence each type of lag (1, 2 and 3), and review examples from the recent literature demonstrating these processes operating in mountains.

2.1 | Dispersal lags

2.1.1 | Physiology and demography

As research into biological invasions has shown (e.g. Pyšek et al., 2009), variation in dispersal lags across plant species will depend to a large extent on functional traits influencing dispersal and population spread (Matteodo, Wipf, Stöckli, Rixen, & Vittoz, 2013), particularly the length of the juvenile period, fecundity and dispersal ability. On average, an extended time to maturity will increase the likelihood of a dispersal lag (Kroiss & HilleRisLambers, 2014; Lenoir & Svenning, 2013), because spread rates will be less likely to keep pace with the rate of climate change. Indeed, herbaceous plants with
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shorter life cycles have shifted further towards higher elevation than
have woody plants with longer life cycles under contemporary cli-
mate change (Lenoir et al., 2008). Spread rates also depend on
propagule production by reproductive adults (Molau & Larsson,
2000) and the dispersal ability of those propagules (i.e. the shape of
their dispersal kernel; Muller-Landau, Wright, Calderón, Hubbell, &
Foster, 2002). In general, plants producing many small seeds will
spread faster than those producing few heavy seeds, which could
explain the greater elevation range shifts of small-seeded plants in
the Italian Alps (Parolo & Rossi, 2008). Species more associated with
human-induced dispersal, and particularly lowland non-native spe-
cies, might also have an advantage as mountains become increasingly
connected by roads and other human corridors (e.g. power lines;
Pauchard et al., 2016). Lower elevation native species are also
transported to alpine areas by agricultural or recreational activities
(Pickering, Mount, Wichmann, & Bullock, 2011). Finally, evolution of
traits conferring greater dispersal ability will also tend to reduce dis-
persal lags. While there is some evidence of this occurring in plants
(e.g. Williams, Kendall, & Levine, 2016), we are not aware of any
empirical examples from mountain environments.

2.1.2  Biotic interactions

Biotic interactions could influence dispersal lags for plants relying on
animal vectors for dispersal (Svenning et al., 2014). The high mobili-
ity of most animals compared to plants (Lenoir & Svenning, 2013)
means that interactions with animal vectors are unlikely to limit rates
of plant dispersal, assuming that the vector species can migrate inde-
pendently of the plant species it transports (i.e. it is not a specialist
on that plant species) (but see Neuschulz, Merges, Bollmann, Gugerli,
& Böhning-Gaese, 2017). Indeed, animal-dispersed plants are per-
haps better able to track climate change than those relying on wind
or passive dispersal. For example, bears disperse seeds of cherry
trees upwards several hundred metres in elevation as they follow
plant phenology during the summer season (Naoe et al., 2016), and
birds regularly disperse seeds across large distances (Viana, Santa-
maria, & Figuerola, 2016). Interactions with animal vectors could
therefore accentuate variation among plants in spread rates.

2.1.3  Physical environment

The magnitude of dispersal lags will further depend on barriers to
dispersal such as landscape configuration and heterogeneity. When
suitable habitat patches are isolated, even species with high dispersal
potential could have a low probability of reaching them. The rugged
topography of mountains, with suitable habitat patches separated by
valleys or regions of different bedrock, can present significant dis-
persal barriers (but see Elsen & Tingley, 2015; Thiel-Egenter et al.,
2011). In the European Alps, nearly half of 183 alpine plants have
failed to fill their potential climatic niche, suggesting substantial post-
glacial recolonization lags, and this is more pronounced for species
for which suitable habitat patches (calcareous substrate) are more
isolated (Dullinger, Willner, et al., 2012).

Other evidence suggests that dispersal lags along elevational gra-
dients could be small due to the compressed climatic gradients in
mountains. Engler et al. (2009) found that dispersal did not strongly
limit the speed of mountain species’ responses to climate change;
simulations of unlimited dispersal provided a similar outcome to pro-
jections constrained by dispersal kernels. Empirical studies have
found smaller lags in range expansion along elevational than latitudi-
nal gradients (Bertrand et al., 2011; Jump, Mátyás, & Peñuelas,
2009; but see Chen, Hill, Ohlemüller, Roy, & Thomas, 2011), or even
little lag at all (Beckage et al., 2008). Furthermore, short dispersal
distances mean that many propagules might already be present out-
side of the current range of climatically suitable areas of adult plants.
This is the case, for example, for alpine areas in Sweden, where 11
species were found in the seed bank up to 500 m higher in elevation
than the upper limit of established populations (Molau & Larsson,
2000). These species might experience no dispersal lag once climate
becomes permissive for population establishment, depending on how
long dormant propagules remain viable in the seed bank. Finally, high
rates of dispersal to higher elevations might be expected due to
greater land area at, and so relatively higher propague pressure
from, lower elevations, although over two-thirds of mountain ranges
do not show monotonic declines in area with elevation (Elsen & Tin-
gle, 2015). Altogether, these lines of evidence suggest that dispersal
lags might only moderately constrain the rate of range expansion,
especially for species with broad habitat requirements.

2.2  Establishment lags

2.2.1  Physiology and demography

Having arrived at a new site, the probability of a plant species estab-
lishing will depend on traits such as seed size and germination
requirements, mode of reproduction (selfing vs. outcrossing) and
propagule number. Germination and seedling establishment are
major bottlenecks in arctic and alpine plant life history (Graae et al.,
2011; Shevtsova et al., 2009) and show very low rates under field
conditions, even when propagule inputs are high. For instance, along
an elevational gradient in northern Sweden, average seedling emer-
gence of 17 species was 7.5%, and their subsequent mortality rate
80%, when growing without competition (Milbau, Shevtsova, Osler,
Mooshammer, & Graae, 2013); under high-arctic conditions on Sval-
bard, germination was generally below 5%, compared to c. 80%
under optimal lab conditions for the same seed source (Müller,
Cooper, & Alsos, 2011). Therefore, propagules must arrive in suffi-
cient numbers to increase the probability of establishment, and over-
come other limitations of small population size such as Allee effects
or a limited capacity to adapt genetically to the new environmental
conditions.

2.2.2  Biotic interactions

The probability of establishment in alpine communities will depend
on interactions with the resident plant community, natural enemies
and mutualists (HilleRisLambers et al., 2013). Vegetation cover facilitates alpine plants by creating soil and microclimatic conditions favourable for plant growth (Körner, 2003; Michalet, Schöb, Lortie, Brooker, & Callaway, 2014). In particular, strong facilitative effects have been demonstrated after experimental neighbour removal (Callaway et al., 2002; Choler, Michalet, & Callaway, 2001; Olsen, Töpper, Skarpaas, Vandvik, & Klanderud, 2016), or observed for plants growing in the presence vs. absence of nurse plants (Cavieres et al., 2014). Facilitation can also be density dependent, as when higher canopy cover of adult trees promotes tree seedling recruitment near treeline (Maher & Germino, 2006). Altogether, facilitation in alpine vegetation could promote the establishment of populations towards species’ high elevation range limits (Choler et al., 2001; le Roux, Virtanen, Heikkinen, & Luoto, 2012), something that has also been observed for some non-native plants (Cavieres, Quiroz, & Molina-Montenegro, 2008).

In the context of these facilitative effects of vegetation cover, competition within closed alpine communities can continue to play an important role even under relatively harsh environmental conditions (Chesson & Huns, 1997). This appears to be especially the case at the seedling recruitment stage, which can be greatly reduced in closed alpine vegetation, presumably because of competition (Gough, 2006; Graae et al., 2011; Lembrechts et al., 2016; Milbau et al., 2013; Moen, 1993). For example, Graae et al. (2011) observed early establishment rates of 0.9% in undisturbed and 11% in disturbed tundra vegetation. The extent to which vegetation cover facilitates or inhibits recruitment will therefore depend on the balance between competition and exposure to severe environmental conditions. As an example of this, a theoretical study suggests that seedling recruitment could be greater in small rather than large gaps, because small gaps balance relaxed competition with a favourable microclimate (Lembrechts, Milbau, & Nijs, 2015). Nonetheless, recruitment from seed occurs frequently in some alpine areas, such as in the Australian Alps (Venn & Morgan, 2009), where negative effects of vegetation on recruitment are also weaker (Venn, Morgan, & Green, 2009). Interaction strengths are also likely to change with ontogeny, for example with competitive effects at the seedling stage weakening (Gough, 2006) or becoming increasingly facilitative (le Roux, Shaw, & Chown, 2013) as the plant grows.

Given the many different ways in which species can interact with each other and their environment, generalization is difficult. Nonetheless, reconciling these empirical and theoretical observations, we suggest that facilitation will most strongly influence climate change range dynamics in sparsely vegetated habitats, such as high alpine screes or in drought-prone mountain regions (Michalet et al., 2014). Here, establishment lags might be protracted by the colonization of pioneer species that can facilitate later-arriving species. By contrast, in already densely vegetated alpine meadows we expect a stronger role for competition, with colonization of new species contingent on disturbance creating “safe-sites” for establishment (Vittoz, Randin, Dutoit, Bonnet, & Hegg, 2009). The strength of competition from alpine communities could increase with climate warming (e.g. Alexander et al., 2015; Olsen et al., 2016), potentially reducing establishment success further (Hampe, 2011). In addition, it will take time for founding individuals to expand population size towards carrying capacity (cf. “abundance lag” in Essl et al., 2015). This process could be accelerated by traits conferring high competitive ability and/or high relative growth rate, which might trade-off with traits conferring successful dispersal or initial establishment (Turnbull, Rees, & Crawley, 1999). Furthermore, colonizing species from warmer areas are likely to possess faster growth rates than alpine species under climate change conditions (Körner, 2003), potentially conferring a competitive advantage (Alexander et al., 2015) and promoting population expansion.

Natural enemies accompanying plants as they expand their ranges from lower elevation might also influence establishment lags. Establishment lags should be reduced for species that experience “enemy release” (Engelkes et al., 2008) by dispersing faster than the natural enemies that regulate their population abundance at lower elevation. For example, release from seed predation might promote the spread of tropical trees to higher elevations in the Andes (Hillyer & Silman, 2010). However, natural enemies already present in alpine ecosystems are likely to increase establishment lags of plants arriving from lower elevation. Mammalian herbivores can prevent establishment at the upper range margin of some forbs (Kaarlejärvi et al., 2013) and trees (Brown & Vellend, 2014), contributing to the formation of treelines (Speed, Austrheim, Hester, & Mysterud, 2010). Range expansion might also be constrained by soil pathogens (Brown & Vellend, 2014). For example, the broad host range dieback pathogen Phytophthora cinnamoni, already present in subalpine regions of Australia, may negatively impact not only existing flora, but also any range-expanding species from lower elevations, including non-native plants (Burgess et al., 2017).

Finally, lagged range shifts of mutualists such as pollinators or soil microbiota might increase plant establishment lags, especially when mutualists have poor dispersal ability and engage in specialized interactions (Corlett & Westcott, 2013). For example, the availability of pollinator services might already limit range expansion of some species at their high elevation range edge (HilleRisLambers et al., 2013). Similarly, the spread of non-native trees into new environments has been slowed or halted by a lack of appropriate mycorrhizal fungi (Nuñez, Horton, & Simberloff, 2009).

### 2.2.3 Physical environment

As previously noted, low establishment rates in alpine ecosystems are attributed to limiting abiotic conditions like high irradiance, drought and extremes of temperature, and the extent to which these are ameliorated by vegetation cover (Eckstein, Pereira, Milbau, & Graae, 2011; Graae et al., 2011; Milbau et al., 2013). In areas where vegetation cover reduces establishment success, successful recruitment might only occur when disturbances create ephemeral safe sites for establishment (Milbau et al., 2013). Although alpine areas are naturally disturbed (e.g. by freeze-thaw dynamics, landslides and animals), certain attributes of human disturbances (e.g. frequency, intensity, nutrient release) cause them to more strongly promote the
establishment of colonizing (non-native) plant species from lower elevation (Lembrechts et al., 2016). Therefore, establishment lags might be strongly influenced by the extent of human disturbances in alpine areas, easing the barriers for some species.

Soil development itself will limit the establishment of propagules across the alpine elevational gradient, especially for species that require deep organic soils. In the Swiss Alps, alpine species that are able to colonize scree habitats have increased in frequency markedly over the last century, in contrast to alpine species with a preference for organic soil (Kulonen, 2017). Poorly-developed alpine soils with low water-retaining capacity can limit seedling growth and survival, which is expected to constrain the range expansion of tree and grassland species beyond the current limits of alpine meadows (Ford, 2014; HilleRisLambers et al., 2013). But soil development could also constrain establishment at lower elevations as well. For example, low water-holding capacity in thin alpine soils could explain colonization lags by spruce (Picea abies) in the Swiss Alps during the Holocene, and with future climate change (Henne, Elkin, Reineking, Bugmann, & Tinner, 2011). Alpine soil development can take hundreds to thousands of years, and be further slowed on steep slopes prone to soil erosion (Theurillat et al., 1998). Therefore in general, soil development is likely to contribute substantially to establishment lags, even given increased rates of soil formation due to warmer climate (Svenning & Sandel, 2013).

2.3 | Extinction lags

2.3.1 | Physiology and demography

Populations of alpine species that are physiologically unable to tolerate altered climatic conditions due to climate change, especially those at the low-elevation distribution limit, will rapidly become locally extinct. For example, Ranunculus glacialis does not tolerate warmer conditions when transplanted to lower elevations (Prock & Körner, 1996). Population dieback is occurring in some areas, caused primarily by interactions between extreme climatic conditions (e.g. summer drought or severe frost) and plant pathogens. Examples include the shrub Nematolepis ovatifolia in alpine areas of Australia (Green, 2016), and the cushion plant Azorella macquariensis on the sub-Antarctic Macquarie Island (Bergstrom et al., 2015).

Extinction lags could, however, be substantial for many other alpine species, even if climate change has a negative effect on population dynamics (Dullinger, Gattringer, et al., 2012; Ess et al., 2015; Svenning & Sandel, 2013). Some herbaceous alpine plant species, including those dominating alpine grasslands such as Carex curvula in the European Alps, have persisted many centuries through extensive fluctuations in climate (De Witte, Armbruster, Gielly, Taberlet, & Stöcklin, 2012). Long-lived species with extensive resources stored in roots, rhizomes and stems can persist for decades or even centuries after cessation of reproduction, as is the case for some trees (Hampe & Jump, 2011). In addition, recruitment might continue from long-lived soil seed banks, or after living individuals have disappeared altogether from the community (Ouburg & Eriksson, 2004).

Finally, many alpine species are widely distributed and possess an intrinsic ability to tolerate temperature and water stresses (Körner, 2003), equipping them to tolerate climate changes. Widely distributed species tend to have broad ecological tolerances, making them less vulnerable to extinction following climate change (Slatyer, Hirst, & Sexton, 2013). Such species can also host higher levels of genetic variability in ecologically relevant traits (Sheth & Angert, 2014), potentially fostering adaptation to environmental change and so delaying extinction lags. Together, therefore, there could be considerable inertia in alpine plant populations, delaying local extinction due to unfavourable climate.

2.3.2 | Biotic interactions

Changing species interactions can play a more decisive role in population declines and local extinction following climate change than direct climatic effects (Cahill et al., 2013). Altered biotic interactions could therefore accelerate local extinction, firstly, due to changes in interactions among species already co-occurring in alpine communities today. For instance, some alpine plants might be competitively suppressed under climatic warming by neighbours that respond more favourably to altered climate (Niu & Wan, 2008), such as those already found at lower elevation. Biotic interactions among alpine species can shift from facilitative to competitive as climatic conditions change (Olsen et al., 2016). Climate change could also alter the trophic interactions regulating population size, such as with pollinators, herbivores and pathogens. For example, some species of Phytophthora occur with susceptible hosts in alpine areas of the Australian Alps, but disease outbreak is only expected to occur once climate warms sufficiently to become conducive to disease expression (Burgess et al., 2017), with potentially large impacts on host plant populations (Cahill, Rookes, Wilson, Gibson, & McDougall, 2008). Pathogens have also been implicated in population collapses in an alpine ecosystem on the sub-Antarctic Macquarie Island (Bergstrom et al., 2015). In contrast, mutualistic interactions can enhance plant species’ climatic tolerance (Afkhami, McIntyre, & Strauss, 2014), potentially delaying or avoiding local extinction, as suggested for effects of ectomycorrhizal fungi on range contraction rates of North American trees (Lankau, Zhu, & Ondroe, 2015).

Competitors and natural enemies can restrict a species’ distribution to a subset of the environmental conditions it would physiologically be able to tolerate (i.e. set the limits to its realized climate niche; Figure 2). Therefore, the local persistence of some alpine plants near their lower range margin might hinge on the outcome of their interactions with new competitors or natural enemies that migrate-in from lower elevations. For example, a plant community from low elevation suppressed the survival and growth of three focal alpine plants much more strongly than an alpine plant community when growing under a warmer low elevation climate (Alexander et al., 2015). Partly this might be driven by functional trait differences between subalpine and alpine species, with traits such as taller stature and faster growth conferring a competitive advantage to lower elevation species once climate at high elevations becomes
permissive. Although upwards range shifts of lower elevation taxa have been well-documented, direct evidence that competitive replacement is already occurring is scant. However, in mountains of China (Zong, Xu, Dege, Wu, & He, 2016) and Japan (Kudo, Amagai, Hoshino, & Kaneko, 2011), the climate-related range expansion of two grass species into alpine areas has led to local reductions in species richness.

Interactions with new natural enemies could also accelerate the local extinction of alpine plants. Alpine communities can contain a low abundance of certain taxa found more commonly at lower elevations, such as herbivorous insects (Pellissier et al., 2012). As a result, alpine plants tend to be less defended against herbivores than lowland species (Bruehlheide & Scheidel, 1999; Pellissier et al., 2012). More generally, the arrival of functionally new herbivores from lower elevations with climate warming might increase the competitive advantage of better-defended, co-evolved lowland plants (Rasmann et al., 2014). This arrival might accelerate the extinction of local alpine plant species, thus favouring the establishment of other species from lower elevation and in the end precipitate rapid community change. Overall, the effect of low elevation species on extinction lags of alpine plants will depend on their rates of dispersal and establishment, as well as their direct (e.g. through competition, herbivory) and indirect (e.g. by facilitating the arrival of other lowland species) effects on alpine plant population dynamics.

2.3.3 | Physical environment

The complex topography and spatial heterogeneity of mountain environments contribute to the occurrence of microsites within close proximity that differ strongly in climatic characteristics (Randin et al., 2009; Scherrer & Körner, 2011). This microsite variation might also help increase extinction lags at the landscape scale by providing microrefugia for populations to persist locally, as climatic relics, under deteriorating regional climate (Hampe & Jump, 2011). However, the effectiveness of such microhabitats for long-term species survival relies on long-term microclimate stability (Lenoir, Hattab, & Pierre, 2017). Other features of the physical environment that increase dispersal and establishment lags, such as topographic...
isolation, will also tend to increase extinction lags by sheltering alpine plants from interactions with lowland taxa. For example, rocky habitats like screes that are difficult for lowland competitors to colonize, and slow soil development more generally, could provide microrefugia for some alpine plants under a warmer climate (Kulonen, 2017). Indeed, populations of some alpine plants can persist at low elevations in habitats, such as rocky slopes, where they are sheltered from competition with lowland species (Spillmann & Holderegger, 2008).

### 3 | PREVALENCE AND PREDICTION OF LAGGED RANGE DYNAMICS IN ALPINE COMMUNITIES

Based on our review, dispersal lags could be substantial for some species spreading to higher elevations, but on the whole the available evidence suggests that dispersal lags will contribute less to disequilibrium range dynamics than establishment and extinction lags. Existing vegetation could facilitate range expansion into sparsely vegetated alpine habitats (Cavieres et al., 2008), but biotic pressures (e.g. herbivory, competition) in temperate/arctic alpine ecosystems with closed vegetation can impose strong barriers to establishment (e.g. Kaarlejarvi et al., 2013; Milbau et al., 2013). Therefore, even when low elevation species arrive in a climatically suitable area, we could expect a considerable lag before they are able to establish and increase population size. Coupled with this, several lines of evidence suggest that extinction lags in alpine species could also be substantial; some species have persisted in situ through large climatic fluctuations (De Witte et al., 2012), and there appears to have been little local extinction at trailing edges so far (Pauli et al., 2012), in contrast with the many examples of range expansions (but see Cannone, Sgorbati, & Guglielmin, 2007). These observations are consistent with the view that species’ low elevation range edges tend not to be set by direct climatic effects, which might be favourable for plant growth following climate change, but rather by negative biotic interactions (Gaston, 2003; Hargreaves, Samis, & Eckert, 2014; MacArthur, 1972).

The accumulation of species’ dispersal, establishment and extinction lags will affect the magnitude of community turnover (i.e. changes in species composition and abundance) with climate change (Bertrand et al., 2011). Evidence of alpine community turnover during the last decades of climate change exist (Gottfried et al., 2012; Wipf, Stückli, Herz, & Rixen, 2013), but so far the documented change in alpine communities are the result of colonization of species from lower elevation (i.e. a thermophilization of the flora) without clear negative effects on resident species. Regions such as the Swiss Alps have already experienced more than 1°C of warming in the 20th century, yet its effect on the vegetation is sometimes barely perceptible (Vittoz et al., 2009). Taken together then, lags in the dispersal, establishment and local extinction of individual species will collectively determine the rate of community turnover.

The need to forecast the impact of global changes on species assemblages has fuelled the development of a variety of predictive models (e.g. Thuiller, Lavoire, Araújo, Sykes, & Prentice, 2005). Due to their simplicity of application, statistical models such as species distribution models (SDMs) have been a particularly popular approach to forecast the consequence of global changes on species assemblages (e.g. Descombes, Pradervand, Golay, Guisan, & Pellissier, 2016; Engler et al., 2011; Thuiller et al., 2005). SDMs fitting relationship between species’ occurrence (or abundance) and climate allow species assemblages to be predicted in space and time (e.g. Guisan & Raubek, 2011). Though they can integrate dispersal (Engler et al., 2009), SDMs do not usually account explicitly for biotic interactions (Wisz et al., 2013), so they are unable to consider processes such as establishment or extinction lags. Rather, mechanistic approaches that account independently for effects of climate and biotic interactions on population dynamics would be needed to model such disequilibrium situations (Schurr et al., 2012; Zurell et al., 2016). Meta-community models could prove particularly useful in identifying the range of circumstances under which strong lags should arise (Jackson & Sax, 2010). In the next section, we develop a process-based meta-community model that directly manipulates dispersal processes while indirectly manipulating establishment and extinction processes through competition. The model illustrates the effects of lags in dispersal, establishment and extinction on community turnover compared to expectations of species-specific range shifts with unlimited dispersal (e.g. SDMs). Our objective is to illustrate the sort of modelling approach that might be used to predict disequilibrium range dynamics under climate change.

### 4 | LAGGED RANGE DYNAMICS AND COMMUNITY TURNOVER ALONG AN ELEVATIONAL GRADIENT: A META-COMMUNITY MODEL

Meta-community models could help provide forecasts of disequilibrium range dynamics (Jackson & Sax, 2010), including dispersal, establishment and extinction lags. Some previous attempts have been made to model mechanisms of plant community responses to climate changes, for example including dispersal kernels (Engler et al., 2009) or demographic effects (Cotto et al., 2017; Dullinger, Gaitringer, et al., 2012) within SDMs. Combining SDMs with demographic parameters, Cotto et al. (2017) showed that perennial species can persist in unsuitable habitats longer than predicted by their climatic tolerance, causing delayed range losses. Nevertheless, to integrate the effect of biotic interactions on the turnover of entire communities, a mechanistic model is needed that not only allows dispersal limitation and demographic inertia, but also periods of transient co-occurrence in communities caused by lags in the establishment of new species and their replacement of weaker competitors.

We formulate a model to describe the assembly of a meta-community along a temperature gradient, and the response of the system to climate warming, inspired by a Lotka-Volterra model of...
interspecific competition. The model does not attempt to consider all processes highlighted by our review; it includes variation among species in demographic rates (dispersal, growth) and impacts of one type of biotic interaction (competition), but for simplicity omits non-climatic impacts of the physical environment on lags.

We developed a 1-dimensional stepping stone model representing a meta-community of 500 communities along a linear temperature (elevation) gradient varying between 0 and 20°C. A plant species’ distribution along the gradient depends on dispersal, its growth response to temperature, and effects of competitors (see Appendix S1). The model simulates the dispersal and growth dynamics of a set of plant species within a meta-community. The population size of species $i$ in community $j$ at time $t + 1$, $P_{ij,t+1}$, can be calculated from the population of species $i$ in cell $j$ at time $t$, $P_{ij,t}$, in two successive operations. In each community, all species export a fraction ($d$) of their local population to the two adjacent communities in the 1-dimensional landscape:

$$P_{ij,t+1} = (1 - d) \times P_{ij,t} + \frac{d}{2} \times \left( P_{ij-1,t} + P_{ij+1,t} \right)$$  (1)

Weak dispersal to neighboring cells will cause dispersal lags under scenarios of warming. Next, we derive the species’ population sizes after taking into account population growth and competitive interactions:

$$P_{ij,t+1} = P_{ij,t} + \Delta t \times [\gamma(T_t, T_{	ext{min}}) - c_i P_{ij,t} - \frac{1}{l} \sum_{k} P_{kj,t}]$$  (2)

Competition enters the model in three ways. First, fast-growing species have a competitive advantage over slow-growing species because they more rapidly attain higher abundance within a community. Therefore, growth rate ($\gamma$) captures variation among species in their innate competitive ability. A large difference in $\gamma_i$ between an invader species and those in the established communities will allow the invader to quickly expand its population with a small establishment lag. Second, species vary in their sensitivity to competition ($l_i$), i.e. the extent to which their population size is directly reduced by the abundance of both hetero- and conspecific neighbours. When the invader is a greatly superior competitor (i.e. $l_i$ invader $< l_i$ established species), the population size of the established community will offer weak resistance to the invader’s growth, resulting in a small establishment lag for the invader. Combined with a higher growth of the invader, this implies short extinction lags for the established species. Third, population size shows additional sensitivity to the abundance of conspecific neighbours, given by a coefficient $c_i$ that is set to be constant across all species and is needed to stabilize the coexistence of multiple species (Chesson, 2000). We assume a fundamental trade-off between competitive ability and tolerance of low temperatures (Jones & Gilbert, 2016; Loehle, 1998; le Roux et al., 2013), so that fast-growing species (high $\gamma$) are less sensitive to competition (low $l$) but restricted to growing under warmer temperatures (high $T_{\text{min}}$), while slow-growing species (low $\gamma$) suffer greater competitive suppression (high $l$) but can tolerate lower temperatures for growth (low $T_{\text{min}}$) (Grime, 1977). This widely held assumption in fact remains largely untested (Jones & Gilbert, 2016), but is consistent with observations that species are principally limited by abiotic factors at their colder range edge (Pellissier et al., 2013) but biotic interactions at the warmer edge (Alexander et al., 2015), and is further supported by the successful growth of many alpine plants in lowland botanical gardens (Vetaas, 2002).

We investigate the rate of temporal community turnover, quantified as $\beta$-diversity between the communities present at a given point along the gradient before and after a 3°C increase in temperature, using the $\beta$-diversity of Jost (2007) and Tuomisto (2010) (see Appendix S1). We compared the meta-community model with the expectation of community turnover when all species shift their range independently and without dispersal limitation (i.e. by simply stacking SDM projections). In this scenario, communities are simply transposed to higher elevations under the +3°C climate warming scenario without any dispersal lag or altered competitive interactions. Smaller values for temporal $\beta$-diversity in the process-based simulation relative to the SDM-stacking indicate greater time lags in community turnover.

To test the influence of competition and dispersal on the response of the meta-community to climate warming, we simulated a set of 500 scenarios in which we randomly sampled the values of the following three parameters (Appendix S1): dispersal rate $d$; mean and coefficient of variation in $\gamma_i$ in the species pool; and mean and coefficient of variation in $l_i$ in the species pool (see Table S1 for parameter distributions). We first explored scenarios varying the average values of these parameters across the entire gradient, to quantify how the strength of those processes influence community turnover. Second, we explored scenarios differing in the coefficient of variation (CV) of $\gamma_i$ or $l_i$ along the gradient, hypothesizing that a greater CV (i.e. greater asymmetry in growth or sensitivity to competition between low- and high-elevation species) should promote faster turnover under temperature change. Each meta-community was subjected to an initial burn-in period to reach equilibrium (see Appendix S1), and was then exposed to a gradual climate warming of 3°C and allowed to reassemble along the elevational gradient. We computed temporal community turnover and fitted a linear mixed effects model to quantify the effects on community turnover of dispersal rate, mean and CV of growth rate and sensitivity to competition, with species pool as a random effect. We also controlled for initial meta-community structure (after the initial burn-in period) by including mean $\gamma$- and spatial $\beta$-diversity across the gradient as fixed effects. To exclude edge effects on our estimates of temporal turnover, we removed low and high elevation communities from the linear model estimation (see Appendix S1). Our baseline for comparing the results of the meta-community model and the SDM-stacking approach were the meta-communities after the initial burn-in period, which differed between each set of parameters (Figures 3 and 4).

We documented lags in the dynamics of species dispersal and replacement across the gradient, with an average 10% lower temporal community turnover ($\beta$-diversity) compared to the expectations obtained by simply stacking SDMs (Figures 3, 4 and S1c). Both outcomes from our process-based model and expectations obtained by
stacking SDM projections followed the same pattern along the temperature gradient: a low turnover at high elevation and a high turnover at low elevations. This reflects the structure of the meta-community in our model; the gradient in species diversity is not linear, since species coexistence results from an interactive effect of temperature-related growth on population sizes acting together with the species’ intrinsic abilities to tolerate competition (Figures S1d, S2 and S3).

Both dispersal rate and competition significantly affected the extent of community turnover following climate warming. Temporal turnover increased with higher dispersal rates (Table 1), bringing it closer to the expectations obtained using SDM-stacking (Figures 3 and 4), as expected from previous work (Engler et al., 2009). Under high dispersal meta-communities had a temporal turnover close to the one predicted by SDM stacking regardless of other model parameters (Figures 3a-d and 4a-d), and also an accelerated colonization of previously empty communities at the mountain summits (Figures 3 and 4). However, the effects of competitive ability captured by the mean and coefficient of variation among species in growth rate (g) were nearly three to five times greater than the effect size of the log-transformed dispersal rate on community turnover over time (Table 1). When growth rates were high across the whole gradient, competitive replacement and hence community turnover occurred more rapidly (Figure 3c,d,g,h). A greater variation in growth rate between warm- and cool-adapted species also accelerated the rate of temporal turnover in community composition (Figure 3a,b,e,f). The effects on community turnover over time of species’ sensitivity to competition were qualitatively similar to growth rate but smaller in magnitude (Table 1; Figure 4). Because in our simulations climate change occurred gradually over time, these results are likely to be conservative with respect to the relative importance of competition and dispersal on temporal community turnover. Nevertheless, the model outputs are constrained by the architecture of the models and the number of time steps considered within the 3°C change and confrontations with empirical data are needed to provide realistic expectations.

Our simulation exercise with a meta-community model indicates how the demographic parameters $g$, $l$, and $d$ influence lags in community turnover under climate change and how forecasts might differ from single species models based on their realized niche and with unlimited dispersal. Beyond the use of SDMs in community forecasts under climate change, our results advocate the development and calibrations of process-based models to reach more accurate temporal estimates of community changes. This agenda will require methodological developments (e.g. Harsch et al., 2017; Urban et al., 2016) and the collection of appropriate demographic data, e.g. using experiments (Alexander, Diez, Hart, & Levine, 2016). Despite the complexity of mechanisms described in the review section, our model focuses on competition because of its central importance for regulating plant population dynamics, even under relatively
harsh environmental conditions (Chesson & Huntly, 1997). Nonetheless, the model structure might be extended to accommodate a more complex suite of positive and negative interactions (Brooker, Travis, Clark, & Dytham, 2007; Svenning et al., 2014), including interactions with higher trophic levels. In addition, the model as written corresponds to environmental conditions typical for temperate mountains like the European Alps, where water is generally not limiting along the gradient (with the exception of some internal valleys). Further modifications would be required to accommodate other environmental contexts, such as mountains where vegetation is shaped by drought at lower elevations or where climate change increases, rather than decreases, climatic stress at high elevation. Finally, while we illustrate the potential of dispersal, establishment and extinction lags in shaping community turnover over time, we are still far from being able to calibrate such a model with empirical data.

5 | DISEQUILIBRIUM DYNAMICS IN ALPINE PLANT COMMUNITIES: IMPLICATIONS AND RESEARCH NEEDS

Two main findings emerge from our review and simulation of disequilibrium dynamics in alpine plant communities. Firstly, they confirm that lags in species’ range responses to recent climate change along elevation gradients occur, and that the conditions promoting lags are common and likely to influence rates of community turnover in the future. This has implications for the future structure and functioning of alpine communities, which are discussed below. Secondly, biotic interactions play a crucial role in mediating disequilibrium dynamics,
and likely have a particularly strong influence on establishment and extinction lags. Together, these findings imply that modelling of disequilibrium dynamics in a way that accounts for changing biotic interactions will be necessary to forecast the response of alpine communities to climate change. Our simulation model offers an example of one way forward, and other tools are becoming available (Evans, Merow, Record, McMahon, & Enquist, 2016; Pagel & Schurr, 2012; Zurell et al., 2016), rooted in the common demographic basis of both range dynamics and impacts of biotic interactions on population dynamics (Alexander et al., 2016).

Whilst our simulation model is informative, we can as yet say little about the relative contribution of different lags to disequilibrium dynamics without data on demographic rates and biotic interactions for a large number of species. Parameterizing such demographic models will be a huge challenge, since potentially all species can respond differently to changing environmental conditions. One approach might be to measure demographic rates for a subset of species under a range of controlled environmental conditions, either in the field or phytotron, and correlate these with functional trait proxies that are easier to measure for a large number of species (Alexander et al., 2016). For example, such trait-based models have been developed to predict dispersal potential (Tackenberg, 2003), and therefore might also be applied to predict demographic responses to abiotic gradients (i.e. to estimate something approaching a species’ fundamental niche). Traits can also be used as proxies for the outcome of biotic interactions, especially for trophic interactions (Morales-Castilla, Matías, Gravel, & Araújo, 2015) and to some extent for competition among plants (e.g. Kraft, Godoy, & Levine, 2015).

There are a number of further implications of disequilibrium community dynamics not addressed here, which constitute open questions for future research. Firstly, how long will novel communities persist following climate change? Novel communities arising from asynchronous migration might be transient, especially when community turnover is rapid, and converge towards the composition of communities typical of lower elevations today (Cannone et al., 2007). However, they might be more persistent when environmental conditions themselves are novel (Williams & Jackson, 2007), or if priority effects or rapid evolution stabilize a novel community structure. Secondly, what are the consequences of disequilibrium dynamics for alpine ecosystem structure and function? For instance, considerable time will pass before trees invading alpine habitats will deliver the full quantity and quality of forest ecosystem services (e.g. timber production, protection against avalanches; Essl et al., 2015; Svenning & Sandel, 2013). Similarly, alpine meadows composed of fewer, functionally different species might not deliver ecosystem services in the same way as current alpine meadow communities (Körner, 2004). Thirdly, what are the implications of disequilibrium dynamics for the conservation and management of biodiversity in mountains? The prevalence of lags underscores the need for networks of protection promoting (or sometimes reducing) connectivity, that do more than just protect the highest elevation ecosystems (Plassmann, Kohler, Badura, & Walzer, 2016). Understanding the nature and causes of lags at leading and trailing range edges might inform active management strategies for particular species or habitat types of conservation concern. Finally, the examples we have reviewed illustrate the usefulness of elevational gradients, and alpine ecosystems in particular, as model systems to observe disequilibrium dynamics and also to conduct experiments at the scale of species’ ranges. Disequilibrium dynamics are likely to be magnified in lowland areas by the greater spatial scales involved, so studies from mountains should provide lower limits for the magnitude of lags that we should expect following climate change. But one should extrapolate biological responses from mountainous to lowland regions with caution, since climatic changes with latitude covary with numerous factors, such as photoperiod, geological history and regional species pools, that tend to be relatively constant across elevational gradients. Nonetheless, we hope that further insights and methodological approaches developed in mountains will eventually be scaled-up to help predict disequilibrium dynamics across broader latitudinal and longitudinal gradients.
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