Re-evaluation of the taxonomic status of *Vegaranina* (Crustacea: Raninidae) from the Late Cretaceous of Cuba, with description of a new species
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Abstract

A new species of raninid crustacean, *Vegaranina rivasi* sp. nov, is described based on three specimens collected from a Late Cretaceous deposit in central Cuba. Previous studies assigned one of the specimens to *Vegaranina precocia* (Feldmann, Vega, Tucker, Garcia-Barrera & Avendano, 1996), a species described from the Late Cretaceous of Mexico. However, after collecting the new specimens and recent major revisions of the group, we identified a unique combination of characters in the Cuban specimens that separate them from the other species in the genus.
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Introduction

Varela & Rojas-Consuegra (2009) reported for the first time the carapace of an extinct raninid crustacean which they identified as *Lophoranina precocious* Feldmann, Vega, Tucker, Garcia-Barrera & Avendano, 1996, from Late Cretaceous rocks of central Cuba. This species was previously only known from deposits of similar age in Mexico and Puerto Rico (Feldmann *et al.* 1996; Schweitzer *et al.* 2007). More recently, Van Bakel *et al.* (2012) erected the genus *Vegaranina* to host this species based on several synapomorphies, separating *V. precocia* from the Eocene-Miocene *Lophoranina* Fabiani, 1910 (Van Bakel *et al.* 2012, Karasawa *et al.* 2014). At the same time, Van Bakel *et al.* (2012) mentioned the existence of two other undescribed species of *Vegaranina*, one from southern France and the other from an unknown locality.

Meanwhile, two additional specimens of *Vegaranina* were collected from the locality in Cuba where the genus was previously reported (Varela & Rojas-Consuegra 2009). The revision of the new fossils and the specimen previously reported by Varela & Rojas-Consuegra (2009), showed the presence of characters that separate the Cuban specimens from *V. precocia* (Feldmann *et al.* 1996; Van Bakel *et al.* 2012; Karasawa *et al.* 2014). The new species *Vegaranina rivasi* sp. nov, differs from *V. precocia* in its greater level of terrace fusion and serrated...
margins in the carapace. The description of the new species from Cuba has implications for the taxonomic status of the Puerto Rican specimen previously identified as *Lophoranina* *cf.* *precocious* (Schweitzer et al. 2008)

The following abbreviations were used: br, branchial region; cr, cardiac region; eb, epibranchial region; in, inner orbital spine; it, intra-orbital spine; msg, mesogastric region; mtg, metagastric region; oo, outer orbital spine; ro, rostrum; s1–3: anterolateral spines; T1–T7: transversal terraces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campanian</td>
<td>Cantabria Formation</td>
<td>120 m</td>
<td>Biogenic and bioclastic limestone of coarse grain,</td>
<td>Massive to irregularly stratified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lower Maastrichtian</td>
<td>Monos Formation</td>
<td>300 m</td>
<td>Biodetritic limestone of medium to fine grain, and loam with irregular stratification</td>
<td>Micritic limestone, recrystallised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polymictic sandstone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marl and siltstone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandstone of coarse grain to calcareaan grab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandy limestone of medium to coarse grain, massive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fragmented limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 1.** Stratigraphic section of Monos Formation in the region where the specimens of *Vegaranina rivasi* sp. nov. were collected.

**Systematic paleontology**

**Order DECAPODA Latreille, 1803**

**Family RANINIDAE De Haan, 1839**

**Subfamily Ranininae De Haan, 1839**


**Included species.** *Vegaranina* sp. 1 (Van Bakel et al. 2012: 95), *Vegaranina* sp. 2 (Van Bakel et al. 2012: 95),
**Vegaranina rivasi, sp. nov.**

**Range.** Early Maastrichtian (Late Cretaceous), Chiapas, Mexico; Maastrichtian Puerto Rico; Campanian-Lower Maastrichtian, Cienfuegos, Cuba; Maastrichtian, southern France.

**Diagnosis.** See Van Bakel et al. (2012)

---

**Vegaranina rivasi sp. nov.**

(Figs. 2–4)


**Material examined.** The holotype (MMC-5-68-1) is an almost complete carapace, preserving all the terraces, anterolateral spines, extraorbital tooth and part of the tridentate rostrum. The specimen has a diagonal fracture from the right margin of the 4th terrace to the midline of the branchiocardiac groove. The paratypes (MMC-5-68-2, 5-68-3) are right and left half of the carapaces of two specimens partially preserving some of the terraces. The specimen MMC-5-68-1 was collected in 1999 by Luis Orlando, and the paratypes were collected by Jesús Servilio Quintero in 2014. All specimens are deposited at the Museo Municipal de Cruces (MMC).

**Measurements (in mm):** Holotype MMC-5-68-1 length: 90, width: 75; paratype MMC-5-68-2 length (estimated) 50, width (estimated) 40.

**Type locality.** The holotype (MMC-5-68-1) was collected about 1.5 km south of the town Potrerillo, while the paratypes (MMC-5-68-2, 5-68-3) at 1 km east of the same town, in the Municipality of Cruces, Cienfuegos Province, Cuba.

**Geologic formation and age.** Recent investigations of the area of provenance of the specimens indicates that those rocks belong to the Monos Formation (Truitt, P., in Brönnimann & Pardo 1954) and not the Cotorro Formation as was initially suggested by Varela & Rojas-Consuegra (2009). The Monos Formation represents a transgressive cycle characterized by a sequence of polymictic gravels that transgress into sandstones, formed by the erosion of the Antillean Cretaceous Volcanic Arc (Fig. 1). Limonites, and loam can also be found intercalated in some sections of the outcrop. These rocks were deposited during the Campanian - Lower Maastrichtian in a shallow marine environment with strong input of terrestrial sediments that was decreasing over time as the transgression progressed (IGP, 2013). The three specimens were found in sandstone nodules weathered out from the structural rock. Several ammonites, gastropods, bivalves, echinoderms and wood fragments have also been collected from the same sandstone section. In the region, the Monos Formation is transitionally covered by Maastrichtian carbonates of the Cantabria Formation (Truitt, P., in Brönnimann & Pardo 1954; Kantshev et al. 1976).

**Etymology.** The species is named in memory of Danilo Rivas Enterrio (1962–2014) who was an important collector of fossils from Cienfuegos, Cuba.

**Diagnosis.** Species of *Vegaranina*, with the following unique combination of characters: large anterolateral spines (larger than post-orbital spine), lateral and posterolateral margin with small spines. First and second transverse terraces plus mesogastric, metagastric, and cardiac region fused at carapace midline (Fig. 2, 3); interrupting cervical, cardiac and branchiocardiac grooves. Anterior and posterior terraces of branchial region partially fused.

**Description.** Large, wide raninid, with ovoid carapace, convex transversely, reaching its maximum width at level of middle epibranchial terrace. Carapace converging strongly towards the posterior region, from fourth transverse terrace posterior to the cardiac region. Lateral and post-lateral margin of the carapace serrated with small spines. First and second transverse terraces plus mesogastric, metagastric, and cardiac region fused at carapace midline (Fig. 2, 3); interrupting cervical, cardiac and branchiocardiac grooves. Anterior and posterior terraces of branchial region partially fused.
Lobulated terraces separated from the post-frontal region by cervical groove, and from the seven main transverse terraces by branchiocardiacs. Lobulated terraces and the ones located posterior the branchiocardiac groove, are serrated on the anterior ridge and gently inclined backward. Epibranchial region with three serrated terraces. Epibranchial region separated from branchial and metagastric region by a diagonal groove. Branchial region composed of two terraces nearly completely fused, anterior terrace near five times smaller than posterior terrace. Branchial region separated from cardiac and metagastric region by diagonal groove. Mesogastric, metagastric and cardiac region plus first and second transverse terraces fused on the midline by a stripe 5 mm wide. Fusion of previous mentioned structures interrupt the cervical, cardiac, and branchiocardiacs grooves. Cardiac lobes appear to be rhomboidal and not serrated. Region posterior to branchiocardiacs groove and composed by metabranchial, mesobranchial, and intestinal region are undifferentiated; cut by seven strong serrated terraces transverse from side to side. Terraces are concave and resembles a wide U. Other terraces show lower level of fusion or connection, varying among individuals.

FIGURE 2. Dorsal view of the nearly complete carapace of the holotype of *V. rivasi* **sp. nov.** MMC-5-68-1. T1–T7 refers to the order of the transversal terraces.
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FIGURE 3. Anterior half of the holotype of *V. rivasi* sp. nov. with some of the most important structures. Notice the fusion between the mesogastric (msg), metagastral (mtg) and cardiac region (cr). The two arrows indicate the presence of the open orbital fissures.

FIGURE 4. Lateral and dorsal view of the partial carapace of the paratype of *V. rivasi* sp. nov. MMC-5-68-2. Notice the lateral spines on the margin of the specimen.

**Remarks.** The genus *Vegaranina* was erected by Van Bakel et al. (2012) to contain *Lophoranina precocious* and two undescribed species. *Vegaranina rivasi* sp. nov. differs from *V. precocia* by the fusion of the mesogastric, metagastric and cardiac region as well as the first and second transverse terraces on the midline by a stripe of 5 mm. In *V. precocia*, each of these structures is separated by deep grooves. The lateral margins of the carapace of *V. rivasi* sp. nov. are serrated, but these are smooth in *V. precocia*. The three anterolateral spines are larger in *V. rivasi* sp. nov., although Feldmann, and Schweitzer (2007) observed that the length of the spines could vary because of sexual dimorphism. Among the lobulated terraces, the cardiac terraces of *V. rivasi* sp. nov. are subrhomboidal, while in *V. precocia* they tend to be rectangular. The differences observed between the specimens from Cuba and Mexico cannot be explained by ontogenetic changes because the characters that distinguish the species are stable in
individuals of distinct size in each taxon (Feldmann et al. 1996, Vega et al. 2018); also, the size of the paratypes of the Cuban species (MMC-5-68-2, 5-68-3) overlap with the size of *V. precocia*. Although the sex of the specimens from both species has not been determined, it seems unlikely that the seven fossil specimens of *V. precocia* reported by Vega et al. (2018) represent one sex and the three Cuban specimens the other. We consider that with the exception of the differences in spine size, sexual dimorphism fails to be the cause of the difference between other characters. Lastly, Klompmaker et al. (2015) demonstrated that the differential preservation of the cuticle in fossil decapod crustaceans can have important effects for species identification. Nevertheless, in all specimens of *V. rivasi* sp. nov., and in the holotype (Feldmann et al. 1996, fig. 3) and neotype of *V. precocia*, (Vega et al 2018, fig. 6) the cuticle is preserved.

Schweitzer et al. (2008) reported *Lophoranina* cf. *precocious* from the Late Cretaceous of Puerto Rico. However, the specimen lacks most of the region anterior to the branchiocardiac groove, and the characters that differentiate *V. precocia* and *V. rivasi* sp. nov. cannot be evaluated. We recommend to referring the Puerto Rican specimen to *Vegaranina* sp. until more and better preserved specimens are found.

Feldmann et al. (1996) proposed that the presence of fewer and stronger terraces in *V. precocia* (*L. precocious*) is a primitive character that evolved later in numerous, and thinner terraces in the Eocene-Miocene *Lophoranina*. Van Bakel et al. (2012) stated that *Vegaranina* could be the link between the Cenomanian *Lophoraninella cretacea* (Dames, 1886) and Eocene-Miocene *Lophoranina*. Nonetheless, *L. cretacea* is characterized by two anterolateral spines and several thin terraces (Van Bakel et al. 2012), like *Lophoranina* (Karasawa et al. 2014), and it seems unlikely that in the transitional form (*Vegaranina*) these key characters would be different. Posteriorly, Karasawa et al. (2014) in a phylogenetic study of Raninoida found that *Lophoranina* and *Vegaranina* are sister genera, linked by the character of carapaces with terrace ornamentation. Nevertheless, *Lophoraninella cretacea* was not included in the phylogeny by Karasawa et al. (2014), because the type specimens are poorly preserved. It seems that until better specimens of *Lophoraninella* are found, its phylogenetic position in respect to *Lophoranina* and *Vegaranina* remains unclear.

The distribution pattern of *Vegaranina* spp. in America and Europe during the late Cretaceous support the hypothesis that the genus originated in the Tethys region (Feldmann et al. 1996, Schweitzer et al. 2007). It also indicates that the genus was widely dispersed, with at least 3 species living throughout the Tethys (Van Bakel et al. 2012, Vega et al. 2018).
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