



# Rht-1 Semi-Dwarfing Alleles Increase the Abundance of High Molecular Weight Glutenin Subunits

Emma Jobson, Jae-Bom Ohm, John Martin, Mike Giroux

Jobson, E. M., Ohm, J. B., Martin, J. M., & Giroux, M. J. (2021). Rht - 1 semi - dwarfing alleles increase the abundance of high molecular weight glutenin subunits. *Cereal Chemistry*, 98(2), 337-345.

10.1002/cche.10371

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: [Rht - 1 semi - dwarfing alleles increase the abundance of high molecular weight glutenin subunits. *Cereal Chemistry* (2020)], which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/cche.10371>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions: <https://authorservices.wiley.com/author-resources/Journal-Authors/licensing/self-archiving.html#3>.

Made available through Montana State University's [ScholarWorks](https://scholarworks.montana.edu)  
[scholarworks.montana.edu](https://scholarworks.montana.edu)

1

2 DR. MICHAEL J. GIROUX (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-7343-6719)

3

4

5 Article type : Research

6

7

8 ***Rht-1* Semi-Dwarfing Alleles Increase the Abundance of High Molecular**  
9 **Weight Glutenin Subunits**10 Emma Jobson<sup>1</sup>, Jae-Bom Ohm<sup>2</sup>, John Martin<sup>1</sup>, Mike Giroux<sup>1,3</sup>

11

12 <sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Sciences and Plant Pathology, 119 Plant Bioscience Building, Montana  
13 State University, Bozeman, MT 59717-3150, USA14 <sup>2</sup>USDA-ARS, Edward T. Schafer Agricultural Research Center, Cereal Crops Research Unit,  
15 Hard Spring and Durum Wheat Quality Lab., Fargo, ND 5810816 <sup>3</sup>Corresponding author. Email: [mgiroux@montana.edu](mailto:mgiroux@montana.edu) Phone: (406) 994-7877

17

18 **Funding Information**19 This project was supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture awards  
20 2017- 67014-26190, 2019-67014-29199, by the Montana Wheat and Barley Committee, and the  
21 Montana Agricultural Experiment Station.22 **ABSTRACT**23 **Background and Objectives:** Grain protein and starch abundance and composition are  
24 quantitative traits that play key roles in wheat quality. The semi-dwarfing alleles of the *Reduced*  
**This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/cche.10371](https://doi.org/10.1002/cche.10371)**

25 *height (Rht-1)* gene increase tillers and yield but also reduce seed size and protein content.  
26 Despite their negative impact on grain protein content the semi-dwarfing alleles increase dough  
27 mixing time and tolerance. This study used near isogenic lines that were either tall or semi-dwarf  
28 lines that carried *Rht-B1b*, *Rht-D1b*, or *Rht-8* to investigate how each semi-dwarfing allele  
29 impacts gluten composition and flour pasting properties. .

30 **Findings:** None of the semi-dwarfing alleles impacted starch properties. Each reduced flour  
31 protein content compared to the tall variety with the largest decreases in *Rht-B1b* (1.8%) and  
32 *Rht-D1b* (1.5%). The semi-dwarfing lines increased the gluten index (21.5%) compared to *Rht-*  
33 *1a*. Using SE-HPLC we determined that the semi-dwarfing lines had an increased relative  
34 abundance of high molecular weight glutenins compared to the tall variety.

35 **Conclusions:** This study indicates that the *Rht-1* semi-dwarfing alleles increase dough mixing  
36 time and tolerance by increasing the relative abundance of high molecular weight glutenins  
37 yielding stronger dough.

38 **Significance and Novelty:** The semi-dwarfing alleles developed primarily for agronomic  
39 purposes have significant impacts on gluten index and starch swelling power.

40 **KEYWORDS:** gluten, *Rht-1*, semi-dwarf, starch, wheat

## 41 1. INTRODUCTION

42 Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is one of the most important crops for human consumption. Its  
43 popularity is driven by its ability to grow well within a wide range of environments, as well as  
44 the products derived from wheat dough. Specifically, the extensibility and elasticity unique to  
45 wheat dough which allows gas bubbles to be trapped during the baking process has contributed  
46 to the global success of wheat. Both extensibility and elasticity play important roles in dough  
47 development. Breadmaking doughs are typically stronger and more elastic compared to pastry  
48 doughs which have lower protein content and greater extensibility. Together, extensibility and  
49 elasticity are referred to as dough viscoelasticity and grain storage proteins are the major  
50 determinant of the viscoelasticity of dough (Shewry, Halford, Belton, & Tatham, 2002). Wheat  
51 grain protein accounts for approximately 8 - 18% of grain dry weight (Shewry et al., 2009). The  
52 major class of storage protein in wheat grain is gluten (Shewry, et al., 2002). Gluten is composed  
53 of hundreds of different protein subunits which form a complex matrix in dough (Wrigley &  
54 Bietz, 1988: reviewed in Wieser, 2007). Gluten can be separated into its two most prevalent  
55 fractions based on their solubility; the alcohol soluble gliadins, and the alcohol insoluble

56 glutenins. Gliadins are considered less elastic than glutenins and are primarily responsible for the  
57 extensibility of the dough. The glutenins are responsible for dough elasticity and cohesion which  
58 determines dough strength (Weiser, 2007). Furthermore, insoluble polymeric proteins are  
59 positively correlated with bake mixing time, whereas soluble polymeric proteins are negatively  
60 correlated with bake mixing time (Park, Bean, Chung, & Seib, 2006).

61 Glutenins are further characterized by their molecular weight. Low molecular weight  
62 glutenins account for approximately 20% of gluten proteins (Weiser & Kieffer 2001). High  
63 molecular weight glutenins account for 10% of gluten proteins and have a molecular weight  
64 ranging from 67 – 83 kDa (Wieser, 2007). Of gluten component proteins, high molecular weight  
65 glutenins have the greatest impact on dough strength (Wrigley, Bekes, & Bushnuk, 2006; Weiser  
66 & Kieffer 2001). High molecular weight glutenins are largely controlled by loci found on the  
67 group 1 chromosomes, *Glu-A1*, *Glu-B1*, and *Glu-D1* (Payne, 1987). Recent studies have shown  
68 that these genes, as well as those associated with gliadins, are regulated by both *cis* and *trans* loci  
69 (Plessis, Ravel, Bordes, Balfourier, & Martre, 2013). These transcription factors have been  
70 shown to impact the quantity and composition of glutenins and gliadins (Plessis et al., 2013).

71 In addition to grain protein, starch is also important in dough rheology. Starch accounts  
72 for 65-73% of the dry weight of flour (Pomeranz, 1988). It plays a key role in water absorption  
73 and interacts directly with the gluten matrix (Sandstedt, 1961, 1955; Petrofsky & Hosene, 1995).  
74 Furthermore, the rate of starch gelatinization directly impacts dough expansion during  
75 baking (Kusunose, Fugii, & Matsumoto, 1999).

76 Starch is composed of large A-type and small B-type granules. The A-type granules have  
77 a diameter greater than 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and are more disk shaped than spherical; B-type granules have a  
78 diameter smaller than 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and are spherical (Soulaka & Morrison, 1985; Vermeulen, Goderis,  
79 Reynaers & Delcour, 2005; Kim & Huber, 2008). Although A-type granules account for greater  
80 than 70% of total starch weight, 90% of granules are B-type (Bechtel, Zayas, Kaleikau, &  
81 Pomeranz, 1990; Raeker, Gaines, Finney, & Donelson, 1998; Peng, Gao, Abdel-Aal, Hucl, &  
82 Chibbar, 1999). The surface of these granules has a direct impact on dough rheology (Sipes,  
83 1993) and the ratio of A- and B-type granules impacts bread making (Park, Chung, & Seib,  
84 2005).

85 Grain protein and starch content are quantitative traits controlled by many genes and  
86 influenced by the environment. One gene that has large impacts on protein content is *Reduced*

87 *Height (Rht-1)*. There is a *Rht-1* gene on each of the group 4 chromosomes (Gale, Law, &  
88 Worland, 1975; Gale & Marshall, 1975, 1976; McVittie, Gale, Marshall, & Westcott, 1978;  
89 Sourdille et al., 1998). Dominant acting mutant forms of *Rht-1* reduce plant height and increase  
90 yield. The two most prevalent semi-dwarfing mutations are found in the B and D genome, *Rht-*  
91 *B1b* and *Rht-D1b*, respectively. Both alleles contain a premature stop codon near the RHT  
92 protein N terminus (Peng, Richards, & Hartley, 1999). The resultant truncated protein partially  
93 inhibits the plant's ability to respond to gibberellic acid (GA) (Allan, Vogel, & Craddock, 1959).  
94 The agronomic result is a 20% height reduction, increased productive tillers, and a 10% increase  
95 in grain yield (Flintham, Börner, Worland, & Gale, 1997). *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* are also  
96 associated with decreased kernel size and grain protein content (Flintham et al., 1997; Gooding,  
97 Cannon, Thompson, & Davies, 1999; Lanning et al., 2012; Mann et al., 2009). *Rht-8* is another  
98 gene which reduces plant height but does not interfere with the plant's ability to perceive  
99 gibberellic acid (Korzun, Röder, Ganal, Worland & Law, 1998; Rebetzke & Richards, 2000).  
100 *Rht-8* reduces plant height approximately 6.5% by impacting the plant's ability to respond to  
101 brassinosteroids (Lanning et al., 2012; Gasperini, Greenland, Hedden, Dreos, Harwood, &  
102 Griffiths, 2012). *Rht-8* was included as part of this study to ensure that the impact of *Rht-*  
103 *B1b/Rht-D1b* was due to the *Rht-1* mutations, and not semi-dwarfed plant architecture.

104 Limited work has been done to investigate the impact of the *Rht-1* semi-dwarfing alleles  
105 on bread making and end use quality. Sherman et al. (2014) associated *Rht-D1b* with decreased  
106 flour yield, protein, and loaf volume, but increased mixing tolerance and bake mixing time. We  
107 used near isogenic lines carrying either *Rht-B1b*, *Rht-D1b*, or no semi-dwarfing mutation to  
108 evaluate the impact of the semi-dwarfing alleles on end use quality (Jobson, Martin, Schneider,  
109 & Giroux, 2018). We also observed a decrease in flour protein content (1.8% *Rht-B1b*, 1.5% *Rht-*  
110 *D1b*), but an increase in mixograph mixing time (1.8 minutes) and tolerance when compared to  
111 *Rht-1a*.

112 The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of the *Rht-1* semi-dwarfing alleles  
113 on dough strength and grain composition to better understand how they increase dough strength  
114 despite reducing flour protein content. For this study we used near isogenic lines developed in a  
115 tall hard red spring wheat cultivar. Lines either carried *Rht-B1b*, *Rht-D1b*, no semi-dwarfing  
116 gene (*Rht-1a*), or *Rht-8*.

117 The *Rht-1* semi-dwarfing alleles have been incorporated into most modern wheat  
118 cultivars. Therefore, it is important to not only understand their agronomic impact, but also their  
119 impact on end use quality and bread making. This study provides new insight into the impact of  
120 the semi-dwarfing alleles on grain protein and starch composition in relation to bread making  
121 quality. The semi-dwarfing alleles are some of the most broadly used genes in wheat breeding  
122 programs. Although there has been extensive research regarding their impact on plant growth  
123 and development, there is very limited research regarding their impact on bread making and end  
124 use quality. This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the impact of the semi-dwarfing  
125 alleles on starch and protein in relation to bread making; and illustrates how genes which  
126 significantly impact agronomic traits also influence product quality.

## 127 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 128 **2.1. Plant Material**

129 This study used near isogenic lines (NILs) which carried either no semi-dwarfing alleles, *Rht-*  
130 *B1b*, *Rht-D1b*, or *Rht-8*. The NILs were developed in the standard height, hard red spring  
131 wheat, “Fortuna” (CI 13596) as described by Lanning et al. (2012). “Hi-Line” (PI  
132 549275) was the donor parent for the *Rht-B1b* allele, “McNeal” (PI 574642) served as the  
133 donor of the *Rht-D1b* allele, and “Mara” (PI 244854) was the donor of the *Rht-8* allele.  
134 All lines were backcrossed to Fortuna as the recurrent parent to the BC<sub>4</sub> generation. The  
135 genotype of each line was confirmed in the BC<sub>4</sub> generation using the markers described  
136 by Ellis, Spielmeyer, Gale, Rebetzke, & Richrds (2002) and Ellis, Rebetzke, Azanza,  
137 Richards, & Spielmeyer (2005).

### 138 139 **2.2. Field Design and Conditions**

140 Trials for this study were grown as described in Jobson et al., (2018) in 2017 at the  
141 Arthur H. Post Field Research Center near Bozeman, MT (latitude 45.6 N, longitude 111.00 W,  
142 elevation 1,455 m, soil type: Amsterdam silt loam). Plants were grown under both irrigated and  
143 rainfed conditions. The trials received 13.8 cm of precipitation throughout the growing season  
144 with the irrigated field receiving an additional 10.2 cm of water with half supplied one week  
145 prior to and half one-week post heading.

146 The NILs (*Rht-B1b*, *Rht-D1b*, *Rht-8*, and *Rht-1a*) were grown in a randomized complete  
147 block design with five replications in both the irrigated and rainfed trials. The plots were 3 m  
148 long and 4 rows wide, with 30 cm between rows. Seeds were planted at a rate of 3.3 g per m of  
149 row.

### 150 **2.3. Milling**

151 Milling and quality analysis were done according to AACC approved methods (American  
152 Association of Cereal Chemists, 2000). Samples were cleaned using a Forster Cyclone Grain  
153 Scourer, 1930, size 6 (Forster Manufacturing CO, Wichita, KS  
154 ). Dockages were removed using a Dockage Test Machine XT7 2014 (Carter International,  
155 Minneapolis, MN). Samples were tempered to 14.5 % moisture (AACC Method 26-10.02) and  
156 milled into straight grade white flour and bran fractions using a Quadrumat Jr II Mill (C.W.  
157 Brabender Instruments Inc., Hackensack, NJ). The milled samples were further cleaned using a  
158 149 µm USA Standard Testing Sieve (Seedburo Equipment CO., Chicago, IL) and a Ro-Tap  
159 RX-29 shaker (W.S. Tyler, Mentor, OH).

### 160 **2.4. Flour Properties**

161 Flour protein and moisture content were measured using a Foss Infratec 1241 Machine  
162 (Foss Analytics, Eden Prairie, MN; AACC Method 39-11.01). Whole wheat flour for flour  
163 swelling power analysis and starch swelling power was milled using a Laboratory Mill 3303  
164 (Perten, Springfield, IL). Starch was extracted and purified from 300 mg of whole wheat flour as  
165 described by Hogg *et al.* (2013). Flour swelling power was measured according to AACC  
166 Method 56-21.01. Gluten Index was measured using the Glutomatic System (Perten, Springfield,  
167 IL; AACC Method 38-12.02). The pasting property of flour was measured using a Perten Rapid  
168 Visco Analyser 4500 (Perten; AACC Method 76-21.02).

### 169 **2.5. Starch Granule Visualization**

170 Measurements of starch granules were done using images captured using a Zeiss Supra  
171 55 VP field emission gun-scanning electron microscope, (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Peabody, MA).  
172 Three starch samples purified from flour from each genotype grown under irrigated conditions  
173 were imaged. Each sample was imaged 5 times. Measurements of A- and B-type granules were  
174 determined by measuring the maximal diameter of three A- and three B-type granules from each  
175 image; totaling 15 measurements for each of the three biological replicates.

### 176 **2.6. Protein molecular weight distribution**

177 Flour from 3 replications of each genotype grown under irrigated conditions was  
178 analyzed at the USDA-ARS Hard Spring and Durum Wheat Quality Laboratory at Fargo, North  
179 Dakota for protein molecular weight distribution analysis. Protein molecular weight distribution  
180 (MWD) parameters were measured using size exclusion high performance liquid  
181 chromatography (SE-HPLC) as described by Gupta, Khan, & MacRitchie (1993) and Ohm,  
182 Hareland, Simsek, & Seabourn (2009). The extractable and unextractable protein fractions were  
183 obtained from 10mg (14% moisture) of flour using a sodium phosphate/ sodium dodecyl sulfate  
184 (SDS) solution (0.5% SDS and 0.5 M sodium phosphate, pH 6.9). The SDS extractable protein  
185 fraction was then solubilized in 1 ml of a buffer solution, and vortexed for 5 minutes at 2,000  
186 rpm using a vortex mixer (Pulsing Vortex Mixer; Fisher Scientific, Hampton NH). The  
187 extractable protein fraction was then separated by centrifugation at 20,000 g (Eppendorf  
188 Centrifuge 5424, Hamburg, Germany) and filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m polyvinylidene difluoride  
189 syringe filter. The unextractable protein fraction was solubilized by sonicating the residue in 1  
190 mL of the buffer solution for 30 sec (Sonic Dismembrator 100; Fisher Scientific). The  
191 unextractable protein fraction was then separated using centrifugation and filtration as described  
192 for the extractable fraction. Immediately after filtration both the SDS extractable and  
193 unextractable protein fractions were heated at 80 °C for 2 min to prevent protein hydrolysis. Ten  
194  $\mu$ L of each fraction was then injected individually for SE-HPLC fractionation.  
195 Size exclusion HPLC was done using a liquid chromatograph (Agilent 1100; Agilent  
196 Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) loaded with a size exclusion narrow bore column (300  $\times$  4.6  
197 mm, Yarra 3  $\mu$ m SEC SEC-4000; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) and a guard cartridge (BIOSEP  
198 SEC S4000; Phenomenex). The SE-HPLC system was run at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min using an  
199 isocratic mobile phase of 50% acetonitrile and 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid aqueous solution.  
200 Absorbance data were attained at 214 nm by a photodiode array detector (Agilent 1200; Agilent  
201 Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). UV absorbance data were analyzed by in-house programs coded  
202 using MATLAB software (MathWorks, Natick, MA) as described by Ohm, Hareland, Simsek, &  
203 Seabourn et al. (2009). Size exclusion HPLC profiles were divided into four fractions (F) as  
204 follows, F1: 3.5– 4.7 min, F2: 4.7–5.2 min, F3: 5.2-5.8 and F4: 5.8-7.4 min. Size exclusion  
205 HPLC fractions (F1–4) were reported to be composed primarily of polymeric proteins for F1 and  
206 F2, gliadins for F3, and albumin and globulins for F4 (Larroque, Gianibelli, Batey, &

207 MacRitchie, 1997; Malalgoda, Ohm, Meinhardt, & Simsek, 2018). The protein molecular weight  
208 distribution parameters were derived from UV absorbance data from the four fractions.

## 209 **2.7. RNA Sequencing**

210 Grain used for expression analysis was collected and immediately frozen using liquid  
211 nitrogen at 21 days past anthesis. The frozen grain was ground using a mortar and pestle, and  
212 total RNA was extracted using a RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) (Oiestad et al.,  
213 2016). The extracted RNA was quantified using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent  
214 Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). ArrayStar (DNASTAR, Madison, WI) was used to analyze the  
215 sequence data. The parameters were match setting at 90% for a minimum of 100 bp. The data are  
216 reported as reads per kilobase of transcript for million mapped reads (RPKM) (Mortazavi,  
217 Williams, McCue, Schaeffer, & Wold, 2008). The data was initially analyzed globally using the  
218 most recent wheat genome sequence (Appels, et al., 2018). We then performed a targeted  
219 expression analysis focused on genes previously identified to be involved in grain starch (Cao,  
220 Hu, & Wang, 2012) and protein synthesis (Kawaura, Mochida, & Ogihara, 2005). The data were  
221 normalized to *Act-2*. *Act-2* encodes an actin-like protein and has been previously shown to be a  
222 reliably expressed gene for RNAseq dataset normalization (Tenea, Peres, Cordeiro, & Maquet,  
223 2011).

## 224 **2.8. Statistical Analysis**

225 Response variables where data were obtained on all replications were analyzed using an  
226 analysis of variance model for a randomized complete block design which combined both  
227 rainfed and irrigated environments. All factors were considered fixed. A model for a completely  
228 randomized design was used for protein molecular weight distribution and starch granule size  
229 variables where data were collected on a subset of the replications. The Least Significant  
230 Difference (LSD) value to compare differences between genotypes was calculated following a  
231 significant F ratio ( $P < 0.05$ ) using the “Agricolae” R v1.3.3 package (De Mendiburu & Simon,  
232 2015). The *P* value presented for the expression analysis was calculated using a two-tailed,  
233 independent sample *t*-test. This value represents any variance in expression between the wildtype  
234 and *Rht-B1b* lines.

## 235 **3. RESULTS**

### 236 **3.1. Flour and Starch Pasting Properties**

237 We observed the expected reduction in flour protein in the semi-dwarf lines compared to  
238 the tall *Rht-1a*. *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* decreased flour protein content by 1.8% and 1.5%  
239 compared to *Rht-1a* ( $P<0.05$ ; Table 1). *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* increased gluten index 21.5%  
240 compared to *Rht-1a* (95 % vs 74 %) ( $P<0.05$ ; Table 1). *Rht-8* had intermediate flour protein  
241 content and gluten index between the semi-dwarf and tall varieties. *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* had no  
242 measurable impact compared to *Rht-1a* on starch swelling power (Table 1), flour swelling  
243 power, or starch granule size (data not shown).

244 The semi-dwarfing alleles had an impact on the pasting properties of the flour measured  
245 using RVA. The peak viscosity value was increased 20% in the semi-dwarfing lines compared to  
246 *Rht-1a* (data not shown) and time to peak was increased slightly. The total setback value was  
247 also increased in *Rht-B1b* (26%) and *Rht-D1b* (22%) compared to *Rht-1a* (data not shown)

### 248 **3.2. Protein Molecular Weight Distribution**

249 SE-HPLC results are divided by those proteins which were SDS-Extractable, and those  
250 which were not. The first two parameters in each group (UP1, UP2, EP1, EP2) represent the  
251 proportion of polymeric glutenin proteins in total proteins. Specifically, UP1 and UP2 are  
252 associated with the large molecular weight glutenins. We observed an increase in UP1 and UP2  
253 in *Rht-B1b* (UP1: 14.92%, UP2: 4.39%) and *Rht-D1b* (UP1: 14.65%, UP2: 4.33%) compared to  
254 *Rht-1a* (UP1: 12.73%, UP2: 3.80%) ( $P<0.05$ ; Table 2). The third fraction is associated with the  
255 unextractable and extractable gliadin subunits (UP3 and EP3). The EP3 value was decreased in  
256 the semi-dwarfing lines (*Rht-B1b*:32.7%, *Rht-D1b*:33.42%) compared to the tall variety  
257 (35.84%) ( $P<0.05$ ; Table 2). There was no measurable difference between genotypes for the  
258 unextractable gliadins. The fourth fraction (UP4 and EP4) is associated with the albumins and  
259 globulins, we did not detect any significant differences between genotypes for this fraction.

### 260 **3.3. RNA Sequencing**

261 RNA sequencing data was initially analyzed globally. We also performed a targeted  
262 analysis focused on genes associated with seed storage proteins (Table 3). We found no  
263 statistically significant differences in storage protein gene expression between *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-*  
264 *1a*.

## 265 **DISCUSSION**

266 *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* have a significant impact on many aspects of wheat plant growth  
267 and development. Because they reliably decrease plant height and increase yield, they are now

268 present in most modern wheat varieties. However, despite their agronomic importance, there is  
269 limited research regarding their impact on protein and starch in relation to bread making. Since  
270 the ability of wheat flour to be baked into bread is one of the primary reasons for the global  
271 success of wheat, it is important to understand how yield genes, such as *Rht-1*, impact end use  
272 quality and bread making.

273 A previous study (Jobson et al., 2018) showed that *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* increase dough  
274 mixing time (4.6 minutes) while reducing flour protein. In this study we evaluated the impact of  
275 *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* on dough gluten index, starch properties, and storage protein composition  
276 to understand how *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* impact dough mixing properties. *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b*  
277 did not impact flour or starch swelling power, or starch granule size. Our previous study also  
278 showed *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* had no impact on alpha amylase activity (Jobson et al., 2018).  
279 Based on these results, it is unlikely that the semi-dwarfing alleles significantly impact starch  
280 content or composition.

281 There were measurable differences in pasting viscosity between *Rht-B1b/Rht-D1b* and  
282 *Rht-1a*. Semi-dwarf NILs flour had increased final viscosity as well as peak time compared to  
283 the tall NIL flour. This may be explained by previous findings which describe an inverse  
284 relationship between flour protein content and final viscosity and total setback (Lee, 2016;  
285 Katyal et al., 2018).

286 Despite having a lower flour protein, *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* increased gluten index 21.5%  
287 compared to *Rht-1a* (95% vs 74%). This agrees with our previous study which illustrated that  
288 despite decreasing total protein content, the *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* semi-dwarfing alleles increase  
289 dough strength (Jobson et al., 2018). This may be explained by a difference in the abundance of  
290 major storage proteins. Barak, Mudgil, and Khatkar (2014) and Dhaka and Khatkar (2015) found  
291 that an increased ratio of gliadins to glutenins decreased gluten index and dough stability. Barak  
292 et al. (2014) added fractionated glutenins and gliadins to fortified flour in increments of 2, 4, 6,  
293 8, and 10%. The addition of gliadins decreased the stability and mixing time of the dough, while  
294 the addition of glutenins increased the dough stability and mixing time. A 2% addition of  
295 glutenins resulted in a 100% increase in the dough stability. Based on SE-HPLC data, *Rht-*  
296 *B1b/Rht-D1b* have a positive impact on glutenin percentage, specifically the high molecular  
297 weight SDS unextractable polymers. Previous SE-HPLC studies have shown that these high  
298 molecular weight SDS unextractable protein polymers have a significant impact on increasing

299 dough strength, which may partially explain the increased dough strength associated with the  
300 semi-dwarfing alleles (Tsilo, Mudgil, & Khatkar, 2010; Dachkevitch & Autran 1989; Singh,  
301 Donovan, & MacRitchie, 1990; Bangur, Batey, McKenzie, & MacRitchie, 1997; Park et al.,  
302 2006).

303 For almost all traits, *Rht-8* was intermediary between *Rht-B1b/Rht-D1b* and *Rht-1a*. This  
304 may be due to its intermediate grain protein content. However, further research is needed to  
305 understand the mechanism behind the impact of *Rht-8* on grain composition and end use quality.

## 306 **CONCLUSIONS**

307 This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the impact of the *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b*  
308 semi-dwarfing alleles on flour protein composition, starch and flour pasting properties. We  
309 found that despite decreasing total flour protein content, *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* increase dough  
310 strength compared to the tall NIL by altering the composition of gluten component storage  
311 proteins. We observed that *Rht-B1b* and *Rht-D1b* increase the relative abundance of glutenins  
312 compared to gliadins, which has previously been shown to increase dough strength. Further  
313 studies will be needed to determine how the semi-dwarfing alleles alter gluten storage protein  
314 composition.

315

## 316 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

317 We would like to acknowledge Luther Talbert and Nancy Blake for the use of their  
318 isolines in this study; Douglas Engle and Craig Morris for completing the RVA; and Deanna  
319 Nash and Harvey TeSlaa for their assistance with milling.

320

## 321 **REFERENCES**

322 Allan, R. E., Vogel, O. A., & Craddock, J. C. (1959). Comparative response to gibberellic acid of  
323 dwarf, semi-dwarf and standard short and tall winter wheat varieties. *Agronomy*  
324 *Journal*, 51, 737–740.

325

326 AACC International. (2000). *Approved Methods of Analysis* (11<sup>th</sup> Ed). AACC International: St.  
327 Paul, MN.

328

329 Appels, R., *et al.* (2018). Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully  
330 annotated reference genome. *Science*, 361, 661.  
331

332 Bangur, R., Batey, I.L., McKenzie, E., & MacRitchie, F. (1997). Dependence of extensograph  
333 parameters on wheat protein composition measured by SE-HPLC. *Journal of Cereal*  
334 *Science*, 25, 237-241.  
335

336 Barak, S., Mudgil, D., & Khatkar, B.S. (2014). Influence of gliadin and glutenin fractions on  
337 rheological, pasting, and textural properties of dough. *International Journal of Food*  
338 *Properties*, 17, 1428-1438.  
339

340 Bechtel, D. B., Zayas, I., Kaleikau, L., & Pomeranz, Y. (1990). Size-distribution of wheat starch  
341 granules during endosperm development. *Cereal Chemistry*, 67, 59-63.  
342

343 Cao, Y., Hu, H.G., & Wang, C.S. (2012). Expression profiles of genes involved in starch  
344 synthesis in non-waxy and waxy wheat. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 59, 632-  
345 639.  
346

347 Dachkevitch, T. & Autran J.C. (1989). Prediction of baking quality of bread wheats in breeding  
348 programs by size-exclusion high-performance liquid chromatography. *Cereal Chemistry*,  
349 66, 448-456.  
350

351 De Mendiburu, F., & Simon, R. (2015). *Agricolae*: Ten years of an open source statistical tool  
352 for experiments in breeding, agriculture and biology. *PeerJ PrePrints*, 3, e1404v1.  
353

354 Dhaka, V. & Khatkar, B. 2015. Effects of gliadin/glutenin and HMW-GS/LMW-GS ratio on  
355 d ological properties and bread-making potential of wheat varieties. *Journal of*  
356 *Food Quality*. 38:71-82.  
357

358 Ellis, H., Spielmeyer, W., Gale, K., Rebetzke, G., & Richards, R. (2002). “Perfect” markers for  
359 the Rht-B1b and RhtD1b dwarfing genes in wheat. *Theoretical and Applied*  
360 *Genetics*, 105, 1038–1042.

361

362 Ellis, M. H., Rebetzke, G. J., Azanza, F., Richards, R. A., & Spielmeyer, W. (2005). Molecular  
363 mapping of gibberellin responsive dwarfing genes in bread wheat. *Theoretical and*  
364 *Applied Genetics*, 111, 423– 430.

365

366 Flintham, J. E., Börner, A., Worland, A.J., & Gale, M.D. (1997). Optimizing wheat grain yield:  
367 effects of Rht (gibberellin-insensitive) dwarfing genes. *The Journal of Agricultural*  
368 *Science*, 128, 11-25.

369

370

371 Gale, M. D., Law, C.N., & Worland, A.J. (1975). The chromosomal location of a major dwarfing  
372 gene from Norin 10 in new British semi-dwarf wheats. *Heredity*, 35, 417-421.

373

374 Gale, M.D. & Marshall G.A. (1976). The chromosomal location of Gai 1 and Rht 1, genes for  
375 gibberellin insensitivity and semi-dwarfism, in a derivative of Norin 10 wheat. *Heredity*,  
376 37, 283-289.

377

378 Gale, M.D. & Marshall, G.A. (1975). Nature and genetic-control of gibberellin insensitivity in  
379 dwarf wheat grain. *Heredity*, 35, 55-65.

380

381 Gasperini, D., Greenland, A., Hedden, P., Dreos, R., Harwood, W., Griffiths, S. (2012). Genetic  
382 and physiological analysis of Rht8 in bread wheat: an alternative source of semi-  
383 dwarfism with a reduced sensitivity to brassinosteroids. *Journal of Experimental Botany*,  
384 63, 4419-4436.

385

386 Gooding, M. J., Cannon, N.D., Thompson, A.J., & Davies, W.P. (1999). Quality and value of  
387 organic grain from contrasting breadmaking wheat varieties and near isogenic lines  
388 differing in dwarfing genes. *Biological Agriculture & Horticulture*, 16, 335-350.

389  
390 Gupta, R., Khan, K., & MacRitchie, F. (1993). Biochemical basis of flour properties in bread  
391 wheats. I. Effects of variation in the quantity and size distribution of polymeric protein.  
392 *Journal of Cereal Science*, 18, 23-41.  
393  
394 Hogg, A.C., Gauss, K., Hofer, P., Martin, J.M., Graybosch, R.A., Hansen, L.E., & Giroux, M.J.  
395 (2013). Creation of a high-amylose durum wheat through mutagenesis of starch synthase  
396 II (SSIIa). *Journal of Cereal Science*, 57, 377-383.  
397  
398 Jobson, E. M., Martin, J.M., Schneider, T.M., & Giroux, M.J. (2018). The impact of the  
399 Rht-B1b, Rht-D1b, and Rht-8 wheat semi-dwarfing genes on flour milling, baking, and  
400 micronutrients. *Cereal Chemistry*, 95, 770-778.  
401  
402 Katyal, M., Viridi, A.S., Singh, N., Kaur, A., Rana, J.C., & Kumari, J. (2018). Diversity in  
403 protein profiling, pasting, empirical and dynamic dough rheological properties of meal  
404 from different durum wheat accessions. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 55,  
405 1256-1269.  
406  
407 Kawaura, K., Mochida, K., & Ogihara, Y. (2005). Expression profile of two storage-protein gene  
408 families in hexaploid wheat revealed by large-scale analysis of expressed sequence tags.  
409 *Plant Physiology*, 139, 1870-1880.  
410  
411 Kim, H.S., & K. C. Huber. (2008). Channels within soft wheat starch A-and B-type granules.  
412 *Journal of Cereal Science*, 48, 159-172.  
413  
414 Korzun, V., Röder, M.S., Ganal, M.W., Worland, A.J., & Law, C.N. (1998). Genetic analysis of  
415 the dwarfing gene (Rht8) in wheat. Part I. Molecular mapping of Rht8 on the short arm of  
416 chromosome 2D of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theoretical and Applied*  
417 *Genetics*, 96, 1104-1109.  
418

419 Kusunose, C., Fujii, T., & Matsumoto, H. (1999). Role of starch granules in controlling  
420 expansion of dough during baking. *Cereal Chemistry*, 76, 920-924.  
421

422 Lanning, S. P., Martin, J.M., Stougaard, R.N., Guillen-Portal, F.R., Blake, N.K., Sherman, J.D.,  
423 Robbins, A.M., Kephart, K.D., Lamb, P., Carlson, G.R., Pumphrey, M., & Talbert, L.E.  
424 (2012). Evaluation of near-isogenic lines for three height-reducing genes in hard red  
425 spring wheat. *Crop Science*, 52, 1145-1152.

426 Larroque, O. R., Gianibelli, M.C., Batey, I.L., & MacRitchie, F. (1997). Electrophoretic  
427 characterisation of fractions collected from gluten protein extracts subjected to  
428 size-exclusion high-performance liquid chromatography. *Electrophoresis*, 18, 1064-1067.  
429

430 Lee, N.Y. (2016). Effects of blends of low-protein winter wheat flour and barley byproducts on  
431 quality changes in noodles. *Preventive Nutrition and Food Science*, 21, 1870-1880.  
432

433 Malalgoda, M., Ohm, J.B., Meinhardt, S., & Simsek, S. (2018). Association between gluten  
434 protein composition and breadmaking quality characteristics in historical and modern  
435 spring wheat. *Cereal Chemistry*, 95, 226-238.  
436

437 Mann, G., Diffey, S., Cullis, B., Azanza, F., Martin, D., Kelly, A., McIntyre, L., Schmidt, A.,  
438 Ma, W., Nath, Z., Kutty, I., Leyne, P.E., Rampling, L., Quail, K.J., & Morell, M.K.  
439 (2009). Genetic control of wheat quality: interactions between chromosomal regions  
440 determining protein content and composition, dough rheology, and sponge and dough  
441 baking properties. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 118, 1519-1537.  
442

443 McVittie, J. A., Gale, M.D., Marshall, G.A., & Westcott, B. 1978. The intra-chromosomal  
444 mapping of the Norin 10 and Tom Thumb dwarfing genes. *Heredity*. 40:67-70.  
445

446 Mortazavi, A., Williams, B. A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L., & Wold, B. (2008). Mapping and  
447 quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *National Methods*, 5, 621–628.  
448

449 Ohm, J. B., Hareland, G., Simsek, S., & Seabourn, B. (2009). Size-exclusion HPLC of protein  
450 using a narrow-bore column for evaluation of breadmaking quality of hard spring wheat  
451 flours. *Cereal Chemistry*, 86, 463-469.

452

453 Oiestad, A. J., Martin, J. M., & Giroux, M. J. (2016). Overexpression of ADP-glucose  
454 pyrophosphorylase in both leaf and seed tissue synergistically increase biomass and seed  
455 number in rice *Oryza sativa* ssp. *japonica*. *Functional Plant Biology*, 43, 1194–1204.

456

457 Park, S. H., Bean, S.R., Chung, O.K., & Seib, P.A. (2006). Levels of protein and protein  
458 composition in hard winter wheat flours and the relationship to breadmaking. *Cereal*  
459 *Chemistry*, 83, 418-423.

460

461 Park, S. H., Chung, O.K., & Seib, P.A. (2005). Effects of varying weight ratios of large and  
462 small wheat starch granules on experimental straight-dough bread. *Cereal Chemistry*, 82,  
463 166-172.

464

465 Payne, P. I. (1987). Genetics of wheat storage proteins and the effect of allelic variation on  
466 bread-making quality. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology*, 38, 141-153.

467

468 Peng, J., Richards, D., & Hartley, N. (1999). ‘Green revolution’ genes encode mutant gibberellin  
469 response modulators. *Nature*, 400, 256-261.

470

471 Peng, M., Gao, M., Abdel-Aal, E.-S.M., Hucl, P., & Chibbar, R.N. (1999). Separation and  
472 characterization of A-and B-type starch granules in wheat endosperm. *Cereal Chemistry*,  
473 76, 375-379.

474

475 Petrofsky, K., & Hosene, R. (1995). Rheological properties of dough made with starch and  
476 gluten from several cereal sources. *Cereal Chemistry*, 72, 53-57.

477

478 Plessis, A., Ravel, C., Bordes, J., Balfourier, F., & Martre, P. (2013). Association study of wheat  
479 grain protein composition reveals that gliadin and glutenin composition are trans-

480 regulated by different chromosome regions. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 64, 3627-  
481 3644.

482

483 Pomeranz, Y. (1988). Chemical composition of kernel structures. In: Pomeranz, Y. (Ed.) *Wheat*  
484 *Chemistry and Technology*, col. 1. AACC International, St. Paul, MN, 97-158.

485

486 Raeker, M., Gaines, C.S., Finney, P.L., & Donelson, T. (1998). Granule size distribution and  
487 chemical composition of starches from 12 soft wheat cultivars. *Cereal Chemistry*, 75,  
488 721-728.

489

490 Rebetzke, G. & Richards, R. (2000). Gibberellic acid-sensitive dwarfing genes reduce plant  
491 height to increase kernel number and grain yield of wheat. *Crop and Pasture Science*, 51,  
492 235-246.

493 Sandstedt, R.M. (1955). Photomicrographic studies of wheat starch. III. Enzymatic digestion  
494 and granule structure. *Cereal Chemistry*, 32, 17-47.

495 Sandstedt, R.M. (1961). The function of starch in the baking of bread. *Bakers Digest*, 35, 36-44.

496

497 Sherman, J. D., Nash, D., Lanning, S.P., Martin, J.M., Blake, N.K., Morris, C.F., & Talbert, L.  
498 (2014). Genetics of end-use quality differences between a modern and historical spring  
499 wheat. *Crop Science*, 54, 1972-1980.

500

501 Shewry, P. R., D'Ovidio, R., Lafandra, D., Jenkins, J. A., Mills, E. N. C., & Bekes, F. (2009).  
502 Wheat grain proteins. In K. Khan, & P. R. Shewry (Eds.). *Wheat: Chemistry and*  
503 *Technology* (pp. 223–298). (4th ed.). St. Paul, M.N., U.S.A.: A.A.C.C.

504

505 Shewry, P., Halford, N., Belton, P., & Tatham, A. (2002). The structure and properties of gluten:  
506 an elastic protein from wheat grain. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of*  
507 *London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 357, 133-142.

508

509 Singh, N., Donovan, R., & MacRitchie, F. (1990). Use of sonication and size-exclusion high-  
510 performance liquid chromatography in the study of wheat flour proteins. II. Relative

511 quantity of glutenin as a measure of breadmaking quality. *Cereal Chemistry*, 67, 161-  
512 11.70.

513

514 Sipes, K. K. (1993). Factors affecting protein and starch interaction. MS thesis. Kansas State  
515 University, Manhattan, KS.

516

517 Soulaka, A. B., & Morrison, W.R. (1985). The amylose and lipid contents, dimensions, and  
518 gelatinisation characteristics of some wheat starches and their A-and B-granule fractions.  
519 *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 36, 709-718.

520

521 Sourdille, P., Charmet, G., Trottet, M., Tixier, M.H., Boef, C., Negre, S., Barloy, D., & Bernard,  
522 M. (1998). Linkage between RFLP molecular markers and the dwarfing genes Rht-B1  
523 and Rht-D1 in wheat. *Hereditas*, 128, 41-46.

524

525 Tenea, G. N., Peres, A. B., Cordeiro, F. R., & Maquet, A. (2011). Reference genes for gene  
526 expression studies in wheat flag leaves grown under different farming conditions. *BMC*  
527 *Research Notes* 4:373.

528

529 Tsilo, T. J., Mudgil, D., & Khatkar, B.S. (2010). Association of size-exclusion HPLC of  
530 endosperm proteins with dough mixing and breadmaking characteristics in a recombinant  
531 inbred population of hard red spring wheat. *Cereal Chemistry*, 87, 104-111.

532

533 Vermeulen, R., Goderis, B., Reynaers, H., & Delcour, J.A. (2005). Gelatinisation related  
534 structural aspects of small and large wheat starch granules. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 62,  
535 170-181.

536

537 Wieser, H. (2007). Chemistry of gluten proteins. *Food Microbiology*, 24, 115-119.

538

539 Wieser, H., & Kieffer, R. (2001). Correlations of the amount of gluten protein types to the  
540 technological properties of wheat flours determined on a micro-scale. *Journal of Cereal*  
541 *Science*, 34, 19-27.

542  
 543 Wrigley, C., Bekes, F., & Bushuk, W. (2006). Gluten: a balance of gliadin and glutenin. In:  
 544 Wrigley, C. W., Bekes, F., & Bushuk, W., Eds.; Gliadin and glutenin. The unique balance  
 545 of wheat quality. AACC International Press, St Paul, MN. pp 2-32.  
 546  
 547 Wrigley, C.W., & Bietz, J.A. (1988). Proteins and Amino Acids. In: Y. Pomeranz, Ed. Wheat  
 548 Chemistry and Technology. AACC, St. Paul, MN. pp 159–275.  
 549

550 **Tables:**

551 **Table I:** Impact of *Rht* semi-dwarfing alleles on gluten index, starch swelling, and flour  
 552 viscosity.  
 553

	Flour Protein (%)	Gluten Index (%)	Starch Swelling Power (g/g) <sup>a</sup>	RVA <sup>b</sup> Final Viscosity <sup>c</sup>	RVA Peak Time (min)
<i>Rht-1a</i>	15.0 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	74 ± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	23.4 ± 3.31 <sup>a</sup>	159.5 ± 6.8 <sup>b</sup>	15.8 ± 0.09 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Rht-8</i>	14.6 ± 0.19 <sup>b</sup>	82 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	20.28 ± 2.42 <sup>a</sup>	168.1 ± 11.2 <sup>b</sup>	15.9 ± 0.13 <sup>bc</sup>
<i>Rht-B1b</i>	13.2 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	96 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	21.3 ± 5.42 <sup>a</sup>	199.1 ± 35.7 <sup>a</sup>	16.0 ± 0.09 <sup>ab</sup>
<i>Rht-D1b</i>	13.5 ± 0.11 <sup>c</sup>	95 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	21.9 ± 2.94 <sup>a</sup>	195.2 ± 13.7 <sup>a</sup>	16.03 ± 0.19 <sup>a</sup>
LSD					
(0.05)	0.16	2.36	<i>N.S.</i>	16.9	0.08

554  
 555 <sup>a</sup> Starch swelling power reported as grams of water absorbed/grams of starch.  
 556 <sup>b</sup> RVA: Rapid Visco Analyser  
 557 <sup>c</sup> Final Viscosity measured in Rapid Visco Units.  
 558 *N.S.* no significant difference between groups.  
 559 Values represent the mean of combined rainfed and irrigated plots ± standard error.  
 560 Means followed by different letters within the same column are statistically different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).  
 561  $n = 10$ , where  $n$  represents one plot in either rainfed or irrigated conditions.  
 562 Flour protein previously reported in Jobson et al. (2018).  
 563  
 564

565

566

567

568 **Table II:** Impact of Rht-1 semi-dwarfing alleles upon wheat flour storage protein distribution.

<b>SDS Extractable</b>	<b>Mean % Area</b>
<b>EP1</b>	
<i>Rht-1a</i>	15.63 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Rht-8</i>	14.96 ± 0.26 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Rht-B1b</i>	15.13 ± 0.34 <sup>ab</sup>
<i>Rht-D1b</i>	15.18 ± 0.38 <sup>ab</sup>
LSD	0.54
<b>EP2</b>	
<i>Rht-1a</i>	7.60 ± 0.25 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Rht-8</i>	7.46 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Rht-B1b</i>	7.49 ± 0.21 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Rht-D1b</i>	7.45 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	0.38
<b>EP3</b>	
<i>Rht-1a</i>	35.84 ± 0.35 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Rht-8</i>	35.18 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Rht-B1b</i>	32.70 ± 0.18 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Rht-D1b</i>	33.42 ± 0.20 <sup>d</sup>
LSD	0.42
<b>EP4</b>	
<i>Rht-1a</i>	16.34 ± 0.65 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Rht-8</i>	16.86 ± 0.68 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Rht-B1b</i>	17.04 ± 0.48 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Rht-D1b</i>	17.00 ± 0.54 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	1.12
<b>SDS Unextractable</b>	
<b>UP1</b>	
<i>Rht-1a</i>	12.73 ± 0.64 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Rht-8</i>	13.54 ± 0.68 <sup>bc</sup>
<i>Rht-B1b</i>	14.92 ± 0.48 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Rht-D1b</i>	14.65 ± 0.59 <sup>ab</sup>

569	LSD	1.14
	<b>UP2</b>	
	<i>Rht-1a</i>	3.80 ± 0.07 <sup>c</sup>
	<i>Rht-8</i>	4.06 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>
	<i>Rht-B1b</i>	4.39 ± 0.14 <sup>a</sup>
	<i>Rht-D1b</i>	4.33 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>
	LSD	0.17
	<b>UP3</b>	
	<i>Rht-1a</i>	5.21 ± 0.57 <sup>a</sup>
	<i>Rht-8</i>	5.04 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>
	<i>Rht-B1b</i>	5.26 ± 0.32 <sup>a</sup>
	<i>Rht-D1b</i>	5.02 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>
	LSD	0.42
	<b>UP4</b>	
	<i>Rht-1a</i>	3.07 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>
	<i>Rht-8</i>	2.95 ± 0.09 <sup>ab</sup>
	<i>Rht-B1b</i>	2.91 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>
	<i>Rht-D1b</i>	2.84 ± 0.13 <sup>ab</sup>
	LSD	0.19

570

571 Values represent the mean of irrigated plots ± standard deviation, n=3.

572 Means followed by different letters within the same column are statistically different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

573 EP: SDS Extractable proteins, higher content of low molecular weight subunits.

574 UP: SDS Unextractable proteins, higher content of high molecular weight subunits.

575 EP1, EP2, UP1, and UP2 primarily represent the polymeric proteins, EP3/UP3 represent the

576 gliadins, and EP4/UP4 represent albumins and globulins (Larroque et al., 1997; Malalgoda et al.,

577 2018).

**Table III:** Expression of wheat seed storage protein genes in developing grains 21 days past anthesis. Data is reported as the average reads per kilobase million (RPKM), n=3 individual plants grown under irrigated conditions, *P*-value represents a two tailed independent *t* test, all expression values were normalized to actin (Tenea et al., 2011).

	<b>Protein Type</b>	<b>Accession #</b>	<b><i>Rht-B1b</i> Average RPKM</b>	<b><i>Rht-1a</i> Average RPKM</b>	<b><i>P</i>-value</b>	<b><i>Rht-B1b</i>/ <i>Rht-1a</i></b>
Gliadins	alpha gliadin	U51306	12353	22366	0.28	0.55
	alpha/beta gliadin	M11075	52764	58102	0.54	0.91
	gamma gliadin	M16064	79750	69377	0.68	1.15
	omega gliadin	AF280605	6708	9290	0.36	0.72
Glutenins	HMW x-type Bx7	DQ119142	16179	15119	0.82	1.07
	HMW x-type 1Dx5	X12928	9380	8377	0.70	1.12
	HMW y-type 1Dy	X03041	9250	10509	0.17	0.88
Control	Actin	AB181991	353	353		