



Experiments on the free shear layer between adjacent supersonic streams  
by Timothy L Brower

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in  
Mechanical Engineering  
Montana State University  
© Copyright by Timothy L Brower (1983)

**Abstract:**

A closed-form analytical theory has been developed to predict the flow field of two-dimensional, laminar, non-equilibrium free shear layers, shed from the trailing edge of a thin flat plate serving as a partition separating two dissimilar parallel flows. A Mach 3 supersonic nozzle in combination with either a Mach 1.6 or a Mach 2 supersonic nozzle were used to produce a free shear layer. The investigation was designed to provide experimental evidence by which free shear layer theories may be checked. A comparison of theoretical/experimental mean flow properties in the laminar, non-equilibrium region of the free shear layer were made. The theory showed good agreement qualitatively, but poor agreement quantitatively when compared to experimental data. The theoretically assumed initial velocity profile showed a 35 % difference in thickness compared to the experimental thickness. The theory predicts free shear layer thickness and minimum velocity growth rates that parallel the experimental results, although a quantitative error of between 20-50 % exists.

**EXPERIMENTS ON THE FREE SHEAR LAYER  
BETWEEN ADJACENT SUPERSONIC STREAMS**

by

Timothy L Brower

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

in

Mechanical Engineering

**MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
Bozeman, Montana**

March 1983

MAIN LIB.  
N378  
B811  
cop. 2

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Timothy L Brower

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

3/16/83  
Date

*A. M. ...*  
Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

3/16/83  
Date

*Dennis O. Blackhitter*  
Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

3-22-83  
Date

*Michael Malone*  
Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgement of source is made.

Permission for extensive quotation from or reproduction of this thesis may be granted by my major professor, or in his absence, by the Director of Libraries when, in the opinion of either, the proposed use of the material is for scholarly purposes. Any copying or use of the material in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature Timothy J Brower

Date 3/16/83

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is indebted to the following for their contribution to this investigation.

His advisor, Anthony Demetriades, for his guidance and cooperation throughout the investigation.

Gordon Williamson and his machine shop crew for their expert construction of the wind tunnel modifications.

John Rompel and Glenn McCullough for their assistance with the laboratory equipment.

Harry Townes, for his participation in the nozzle design. Harry Townes and Bob Warrington served as committee members and reviewed this thesis.

The Mechanical Engineering Department of Montana State University and the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research for financial assistance.

Special thanks go to his wife Cindi and his two children Victoria and Skylar for their support and encouragement during this graduate program.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	viii
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	ix
NOMENCLATURE . . . . .	xiii
1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
2. THEORETICAL REVIEW . . . . .	4
3. EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH . . . . .	11
4. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE . . . . .	12
Wind Tunnel . . . . .	12
Pitot Probe . . . . .	12
Schlieren Optical System . . . . .	17
Static Pressure Probe . . . . .	17
Total Temperature Probe . . . . .	18
Hot-Film Anemometer . . . . .	19
Probe Positioning . . . . .	19
Data Collection . . . . .	20
5. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN . . . . .	23
Wind Tunnel Modification . . . . .	23
Configuration I . . . . .	26
Configuration II . . . . .	26
Configuration III . . . . .	29
Configuration IV . . . . .	31
Diffuser Modification . . . . .	35
6. RESULTS . . . . .	40
Trailing Edge Boundary Layer . . . . .	42
Free Shear Layer Mean Flow Measurements . . . . .	49
Transition Determination . . . . .	58
Comparison with Theory . . . . .	71
Configuration III . . . . .	72
Configuration IV . . . . .	75
7. CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	78

TABLE OF CONTENTS--Continued

	Page
APPENDICES . . . . .	81
APPENDIX A - Transition Theory Comparison . . . . .	82
APPENDIX B - Tunnel Vibration . . . . .	86
APPENDIX C - Wave Structures . . . . .	88
APPENDIX D - Data Reduction Program For Boundary Layer Profiles . . . . .	90
APPENDIX E - Data Reduction Program For Free Shear Layer Profiles . . . . .	93
REFERENCES CITED . . . . .	96

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
1. Nozzle configurations . . . . .	25
2. Nozzle coordinates . . . . .	34
3. Optimum operating conditions . . . . .	41
4. Boundary layer laminar region . . . . .	42
5. Boundary layer $\delta/\theta$ , measured v. theory . . . . .	45
6. Boundary layer and edge properties . . . . .	51
7. Summary of transition determination . . . . .	71



## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
1. Nomenclature and definitions of the FSL . . . . .	2
2. FSL development at $y' = 0$ and $r = 0$ . . . . .	7
3. FSL development at $y' = 0$ and $r = 1$ , $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ . . . . .	7
4. Theoretical FSL development at $r = 1$ and $r = .5$ . . . . .	9
5. Theoretical FSL development at $r = 0$ and minimum velocity growth at $y' = 0$ . . . . .	10
6. Diagram of the wind tunnel showing major components . . . . .	13
7. Photograph of modified wind tunnel . . . . .	14
8. Pitot and static probe schematic . . . . .	15
9. Static pressure v. downstream distance plot used to interpolate unknown pressure values close to the T.E. . . . .	18
10. Data collection block-diagram . . . . .	22
11. Schematic of tunnel modification . . . . .	24
12. Nomenclature for deflected FSL . . . . .	27
13. Schlieren photograph of Configurations II and IIa . . . . .	28
14. Photograph of Configuration III . . . . .	30
15. Schlieren Photograph of Configuration III . . . . .	32
16. Configuration IV's slow-side design. Two pieces 'butted' together to form nozzle . . . . .	33
17. Photograph of Configuration IV . . . . .	33
18. Schlieren photograph of Configuration IV . . . . .	36

LIST OF FIGURES--Continued

	Page
19. Configuration III flow uniformity . . . . .	37
20. Configuration IV flow uniformity . . . . .	37
21. Schematic of variable diffuser . . . . .	39
22. $P_0$ v. RMS B.L. transition trace for Configuration III . . . . .	43
23. $P_0$ v. RMS B.L. transition trace for Configuration IV . . . . .	43
24. Experimental B.L. profiles . . . . .	44
25. Experimental B.L. $\delta$ v. theory . . . . .	46
26. Experimental B.L. $\theta$ v. theory . . . . .	46
27. Experimental B.L. comparison to Blasius profile . . . . .	47
28. Graphical comparison of theoretical v. experimental properties entering the integral defining $\theta$ . . . . .	48
29. Configuration III pressure gradients . . . . .	50
30. Configuration IV pressure gradients . . . . .	50
31. Mach No. v. downstream distance in FSL . . . . .	52
32. Velocity v. downstream distance in FSL . . . . .	52
33. Reynolds No. v. downstream distance in FSL . . . . .	53
34. Configuration III typical properties through FSL . . . . .	55
35. Configuration IV typical properties through FSL . . . . .	55
36. Velocity plot v. y-position in FSL Configuration III . . . . .	56
37. Velocity plot v. y-position in FSL Configuration IV . . . . .	56

LIST OF FIGURES--Continued

	Page
38. h v. x for Configurations III and IV . . . . .	57
39. Schlieren photograph of Configuration III transition determination . . . . .	60
40. Edge and plan view of a low speed (incompressible) mixing layer. Scale: streamwise dimension of picture is 15 cm. . . . .	61
41. Configuration III Spectra v. x . . . . .	64
42. Configuration IV Spectra v. x . . . . .	65
43. Configuration III RMS v. x . . . . .	66
44. Configuration IV RMS v. x . . . . .	67
45. Configuration III 175 KHz. signal v. x . . . . .	68
46. Configuration IV 175 KHz. signal v. x . . . . .	69
47. Graphical results showing Configuration III hot-film transitional points . . . . .	70
48. Graphical results showing Configuration IV hot-film transitional points . . . . .	70
49. Theoretical v. experimental minimum velocity growth in FSL of Configuration III . . . . .	73
50. Theoretical v. experimental FSL development at $x' = 0$ of Configuration III . . . . .	74
51. Theoretical v. experimental FSL development at $x' = 0.056$ and $x' = 0.112$ of Configuration III . . . . .	75
52. Theoretical v. experimental minimum velocity growth in FSL of Configuration IV . . . . .	76
53. Theoretical v. experimental FSL development at $x' = 0$ and $x' = 0.059$ . . . . .	77
54. Experimental transition point for Configuration III . . . . .	84

LIST OF FIGURES--Continued

	Page
55. Experimental transition point for Configuration IV . . . . .	85
56. Frequency v. intensity spectra from accelerometer attached above the test section . .	87
57. Non-dimensional graph showing the wave structure wavelength to be proportional to the FSL thickness . . . . .	89

## NOMENCLATURE

<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Description</u>
DSL :	Dividing stream line
f :	Frequency
FSL :	Free shear layer
h :	FSL thickness
M :	Mach number
P :	Momentum thickness ratio $\theta_1/\theta_2$
$P_0$ :	Stagnation pressure
$P_s$ :	Static pressure
r :	Speed ratio $U_2/U_1$
Re :	Reynolds Number
Re' :	Unit Reynolds Number
$\bar{Re}'$ :	$(Re'_1 + Re'_2)/2$
SWT :	Supersonic Wind Tunnel
T :	Temperature
$T_0$ :	Stagnation Temperature
T.E. :	Trailing Edge
U :	Velocity
$U_s$ :	Velocity on the DSL
x :	Distance from the T.E. (x positive downstream)
$x^*$ :	Same as x
$x'$ :	Non-dimensional $x^*$

NOMENCLATURE--Continued

<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Description</u>
$y$ :	Distance normal to FSL
$\tilde{y}$ :	Compressible transformed $y$
$y'$ :	Non-dimensional $y$
$\alpha$ :	Deflected angle of FSL
$\delta$ :	Boundary layer thickness at T.E.
$\theta$ :	Momentum thickness at T.E.
$\beta$ :	$\theta_1 + \theta_2$
$\rho$ :	Density
$\lambda$ :	Non-dimensional speed ratio $(U_1 - U_2) / (U_1 + U_2)$ , also wavelength in Appendix C
$( )_1$ :	Fast-side property
$( )_2$ :	Slow-side property
$( )_e$ :	Free stream property

## ABSTRACT

A closed-form analytical theory has been developed to predict the flow field of two-dimensional, laminar, non-equilibrium free shear layers, shed from the trailing edge of a thin flat plate serving as a partition separating two dissimilar parallel flows. A Mach 3 supersonic nozzle in combination with either a Mach 1.6 or a Mach 2 supersonic nozzle were used to produce a free shear layer. The investigation was designed to provide experimental evidence by which free shear layer theories may be checked. A comparison of theoretical/experimental mean flow properties in the laminar, non-equilibrium region of the free shear layer were made. The theory showed good agreement qualitatively, but poor agreement quantitatively when compared to experimental data. The theoretically assumed initial velocity profile showed a 35 % difference in thickness compared to the experimental thickness. The theory predicts free shear layer thickness and minimum velocity growth rates that parallel the experimental results, although a quantitative error of between 20-50 % exists.

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

An analytical theory has been developed by Demetriades {1}<sup>1</sup> to predict the flow field of two-dimensional, laminar, non-equilibrium free shear layers, shed from the trailing edge of a thin flat plate serving as a partition separating two dissimilar parallel flows. The term free shear layer (FSL) is applied since the flow is not confined by solid walls. The theory applies to the non-equilibrium (non-similar) region of the free shear layer as shown in Figure 1. Figure 1 consists of a general nomenclature diagram and also a qualitative schematic diagram of a nozzle combination described in detail in Chapters 5 and 6. Downstream of the trailing edge (T.E.), the velocity profiles of the two parallel flows coalesce into a single profile in the FSL. The non-equilibrium region is identified by a trough (velocity decrease) in the velocity profile. The trough depth is greatest at the T.E. and progressively decreases with increasing distance downstream until finally the trough disappears and the profile becomes the equilibrium (self-similar) profile.

<sup>1</sup>The symbol { } will denote references cited. References cited are found following the appendices.































































































































































































































