



The effects of reading achievement and sex upon self-esteem of achievers and low-achievers in grades two through six in Billings, Montana
by Judith Tasset Starr

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of DOCTOR OF EDUCATION
Montana State University
© Copyright by Judith Tasset Starr (1979)

Abstract:

The purposes of this investigation were as follows: to determine the effect of factors, namely, reading achievement, grade level, and sex upon self-esteem scores at the beginning of students' academic year and after five months of reading instruction, and to determine the effect of these factors upon change in self-esteem scores.

Hypotheses were formulated around three categories: First Self-Esteem Inventory (SEI) Scores; Second SEI Scores; Change in SEI Scores.

Two groups of students were drawn from the population of second through sixth grade children who attended the nine Title I-designated public schools in Billings, Montana during the 1978-79 academic year. Achievers were students who were not enrolled in the Title I labs for supplemental reading instruction. Low-achievers were students who were enrolled in Title I labs for supplemental reading instruction. Participants were grouped according to grade level and sex. The resultant population consisted of 142 achievers and 142 low-achievers in grades two through six.

Subjects were given the SEI during the first week of the 1978-79 academic year, and again after five months of reading instruction. Two textbook-related reading test scores were recorded for each subject.

All hypotheses were tested at the .05 level of significance, using either the Student's t-test, two-way analysis of variance, or stepwise multiple regression.

Analyses of the data indicated that on both SEI administrations, the self-esteem scores of achievers were significantly higher than those of low-achievers when the subjects were examined as two groups without regard to grade level. When grade level was considered, however, achievers' SEI scores were significantly higher only in grade six on the first SEI, and in grades five and six on the second SEI. Neither sex nor the interaction between achievement and sex was significant on either of the SEI administrations when achievers and low-achievers were examined as two groups. The change in self-esteem scores was not significant when achievers and low-achievers were examined as two groups nor when they were examined by grade level. Sex was not a significant factor in the change in self-esteem scores for the two groups nor was the interaction between sex and achievement significant. There was no linear relationship between change in self-esteem scores and other factors such as reading achievement scores, grade level, and sex.

For Nathan and Joshua

My Sonshines

THE EFFECTS OF READING ACHIEVEMENT AND SEX UPON
SELF-ESTEEM OF ACHIEVERS AND LOW-ACHIEVERS IN
GRADES TWO THROUGH SIX IN BILLINGS, MONTANA

by

JUDITH TASSET STARR

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

DOCTOR OF EDUCATION

Approved:

Ebora A. Old Coyote
Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Joseph C. Johnson, II
Head, Major Department

Henry J. Parsons
Graduate Dean

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

August, 1979

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special acknowledgment is made to Dr. Einora A. Old Coyote, my adviser, my mentor, my friend, for the past sixteen years.

Acknowledgment is made to my reading committee, Dr. Lawrence Ellerbruch and Mr. Don Holz, and to other members of my committee, Dr. Albert Suvak and Dr. Richard Horswill.

To Mr. Walt Laird, Director of Special Services, Billings School District #2, to Ms. Becky Nicklin, Title I Program Consultant, and to Ms. Mary Merten, Title I lab teacher, my continuing gratitude. I also wish to thank the principals and teachers in the nine Title I schools for their assistance and for their suggestions.

I wish to extend my appreciation to my friend, Dennis Weems, for his invaluable assistance and for his remarkable patience. Appreciation is also extended to Ms. Susie Colbrese, computer programmer at Eastern Montana College, for efficiently--and cheerfully--running my data through the computer.

To my husband, Bill, and my friends, Skip Sherman and Linda Utley, my thanks for helping with the tiresome task of coding and verifying the data.

Finally, and specially, my sincere thanks to all of the children who faithfully made their countless "x" marks on my response sheets. You have been my inspiration.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	viii
ABSTRACT	ix
Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION TO THE PROBLEM	1
STATEMENT OF THE PURPOSES	2
QUESTIONS INVESTIGATED	2
NEED FOR THE STUDY	4
RESEARCH PROCEDURES	6
LIMITATIONS AND DELIMITATIONS	8
DEFINITIONS OF TERMS	10
SUMMARY	11
2. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	13
INTRODUCTION	13
IMPLICATIONS OF READING ACHIEVEMENT	15
IMPLICATIONS FOR SELF-ESTEEM	18
SELF-ESTEEM AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT	21
TEACHING PRACTICES AS THEY RELATE TO SELF-ESTEEM	24
3. PROCEDURES	29
INTRODUCTION	29
POPULATION DESCRIPTION AND SAMPLING PROCEDURE	29

Chapter	Page
READING INSTRUCTIONAL PROCEDURES	30
METHODS OF COLLECTING DATA	31
Reading Achievement Data	31
Self-Esteem Data	34
METHODS OF ORGANIZING DATA	37
STATISTICAL HYPOTHESES	37
Hypotheses Related to First SEI Scores	38
Hypotheses Related to Second SEI Scores	39
Hypotheses Related to Change in SEI Scores	39
ANALYSIS OF DATA	40
PRECAUTIONS TAKEN FOR ACCURACY	41
SUMMARY	41
4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	44
INTRODUCTION	44
ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	46
First SEI Scores	46
Second SEI Scores	52
Change in SEI Scores	59
SUMMARY	67
5. DISCUSSION	69
INTRODUCTION	69
CONCLUSIONS	71
First SEI Scores	71
Second SEI Scores	72
Change in SEI Scores	73

Chapter	Page
INFERENCES	74
RECOMMENDATIONS	76
Recommendations for Further Research	76
Recommendations for School Personnel	78
SUMMARY	79
APPENDICES	82
A. COMPOSITE TEST SCORE LETTERS	83
B. EXPLANATION OF READING ACHIEVEMENT SCORES	85
C. HOUGHTON MIFFLIN LETTER--TEST VALIDATION	86
D. COOPERSMITH LETTER	88
E. SELF-ESTEEM INVENTORY (SEI)	89
REFERENCES	92

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Distribution of Population by Grade Level and Sex	45
2. Summary of Student's t-Test Results for Achievers and Low-Achievers on the First Administration of the SEI	47
3. Summary of Students' t-Test Results for Achievers and Low-Achievers, by Grade Level, on the First Administration of the SEI	48
4. Analysis of Variance Results for Achievement and Sex on the First SEI Scores	51
5. Comparison of Students' t-Test Results for Achievers and Low-Achievers on the First and Second Administrations of the SEI	53
6. Comparison of Students' t-Test Results of First and Second SEI Administrations for Achievers and Low-Achievers, When Examined by Grade Level	55
7. Analysis of Variance Results for Achievement and Sex on the Second SEI Scores	57
8. Summary of Students' t Results for Achievers and Low-Achievers on the Change in SEI Scores	59
9. Summary of Students' t Results for Change in Self-Esteem Scores of Achievers and Low-Achievers, When Examined by Grade Level	61
10. Analysis of Variance Results for Achievement and Sex on the Change in SEI Scores	63
11. Summary of Analyses of Variance for Stepwise Multiple Regression on the Dependent Variable, Change in SEI Scores	65
12. Multiple Regression Summary	65

ABSTRACT

The purposes of this investigation were as follows: to determine the effect of factors, namely, reading achievement, grade level, and sex upon self-esteem scores at the beginning of students' academic year and after five months of reading instruction, and to determine the effect of these factors upon change in self-esteem scores.

Hypotheses were formulated around three categories: First Self-Esteem Inventory (SEI) Scores; Second SEI Scores; Change in SEI Scores.

Two groups of students were drawn from the population of second through sixth grade children who attended the nine Title I-designated public schools in Billings, Montana during the 1978-79 academic year. Achievers were students who were not enrolled in the Title I labs for supplemental reading instruction. Low-achievers were students who were enrolled in Title I labs for supplemental reading instruction. Participants were grouped according to grade level and sex. The resultant population consisted of 142 achievers and 142 low-achievers in grades two through six.

Subjects were given the SEI during the first week of the 1978-79 academic year, and again after five months of reading instruction. Two textbook-related reading test scores were recorded for each subject.

All hypotheses were tested at the .05 level of significance, using either the Student's t-test, two-way analysis of variance, or stepwise multiple regression.

Analyses of the data indicated that on both SEI administrations, the self-esteem scores of achievers were significantly higher than those of low-achievers when the subjects were examined as two groups without regard to grade level. When grade level was considered, however, achievers' SEI scores were significantly higher only in grade six on the first SEI, and in grades five and six on the second SEI. Neither sex nor the interaction between achievement and sex was significant on either of the SEI administrations when achievers and low-achievers were examined as two groups.

The change in self-esteem scores was not significant when achievers and low-achievers were examined as two groups nor when they were examined by grade level. Sex was not a significant factor in the change in self-esteem scores for the two groups nor was the interaction between sex and achievement significant. There was no linear relationship between change in self-esteem scores and other factors such as reading achievement scores, grade level, and sex.

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION TO THE PROBLEM

Although many studies have been conducted relative to self-esteem and academic achievement, investigators have failed to concur on the relationship between these variables. A review of the literature indicated a need for additional research in this area. Further, the review showed a need for studies relative to self-esteem in low-achievers, and relative to the effects of reading achievement upon self-esteem.

This investigation was concerned with self-esteem and reading achievement in achieving and low-achieving students in grades two through six. Self-esteem was examined at the beginning of students' academic year, and again after five months of reading instruction. Change in self-esteem as a function of reading achievement, grade level, and sex was also considered.

The purposes of this study and the procedures which were followed in this investigation are presented in this chapter. Specific questions which were considered are delineated. The need for the study is discussed. Limitations and delimitations of the research are noted, and terms are defined as necessary.

STATEMENT OF THE PURPOSES

The purposes of this investigation were as follows: to determine the effect of factors, namely, reading achievement, grade level, and sex upon self-esteem scores at the beginning of students' academic year and after five months of reading instruction, and to determine the effect of these factors upon change in self-esteem scores.

The research was conducted during the 1978-79 academic year in Billings, Montana. Two groups of students were involved. Achievers were students who did not attend the nine Title I labs within the public school system for supplemental instruction in reading. Low-achievers were students from the same nine schools who did attend the Title I labs for supplemental instruction in reading.

QUESTIONS INVESTIGATED

Several questions related to the purposes of this study were developed:

1. Is there a difference between the self-esteem scores of achievers and low-achievers before they are subjected to a reading instructional program?

2. Is there a difference between the self-esteem scores of achievers and low-achievers, when examined by grade level, before they are subjected to a reading instructional program?

3. When considering the interaction between sex and achievement, is there a difference between the self-esteem scores of achievers and low-achievers before they are subjected to a reading instructional program?

4. Is there a difference between the self-esteem scores of achievers and low-achievers after they have been subjected to a reading instructional program for five months?

5. Is there a difference between the self-esteem scores of achievers and low-achievers, when examined by grade level, after they have been subjected to a reading instructional program for five months?

6. When considering the interaction between sex and achievement, is there a difference between the self-esteem scores of achievers and low-achievers after they have been subjected to a reading instructional program for five months?

7. Is there a difference in the change in self-esteem scores between achievers and low-achievers as a result of having been subjected to a reading instructional program for five months?

8. Is there a difference in the change in self-esteem scores between achievers and low-achievers when examined by grade level as a result of having been subjected to a reading instructional program for five months?

9. When considering the interaction between sex and achievement, is there a difference between the change in self-esteem scores for achievers and low-achievers as a result of having been subjected to a reading instructional program for five months?

10. Is there a linear relationship between change in self-esteem scores and other factors such as reading achievement scores, grade level, and sex?

NEED FOR THE STUDY

The review of the literature indicated conflicting findings relative to the relationship between self-esteem and achievement in reading and in other academic areas. Noting the failure of researchers to concur on this issue, Fink suggested that ". . . the investigation into the development of adequate and inadequate self-concept remains a fruitful area for further research" (1965:491).

Burg suggested that our technological orientation has been a subtle deterrent to the investigation of the affective variables that influence individuals. One such variable is self-esteem. Furthermore, the study of these variables

. . . demands an exploration of the growth and development of uniquely human attitudes and reactions. It is an area of study less well understood, more threatening for some, and with fewer readily apparent remedies (1975:361).

In order to contribute to the understanding of these affective dimensions of children and in order to propose methods which might more effectively enhance their development, it is important that research projects, such as the one discussed herein, be conducted.

Although researchers have gradually ventured into the affective realm, few have concerned themselves primarily or exclusively with low-achieving students. This was verified by Opie and Lemaster's survey of the literature from 1960 through 1975. In their summary of the literature review, the investigators stated that "in spite of a growing interest in the variety of learning problems, the low-average child remains an enigma and is the forgotten child" (1975:379). The present investigation was addressed to the "forgotten child" of whom Opie and Lemasters speak.

Perhaps the most significant indication of the need for this research was provided by the frequently-cited study by Jean Williams of Colorado State University. In her concluding remarks, Williams noted that

Much remains to be done to facilitate understanding of the complex relationship between psychological factors such as the self-concept and academic achievement. Future investigation of the self-concept and young children might [also] profitably examine its change . . . (1973:379).

Like many schools throughout the nation, the schools used in this investigation have adopted the practice of periodically testing the elementary students on specific reading skills. The tests were

developed by the publisher of the basal reading text and are essential for the proper use of the basal readers. Most children are tested five or more times during the school year. After each test, the teacher informs the child of his performance. Stenner and Katzenmeyer noted the significance of self-esteem and the potential effect on self-esteem of instructional practices such as this. They emphasized the importance of periodic examination of educational practices, "... not only in light of how they affect academic development but also in the way they contribute to the development of a positive concept of the self" (1976:357).

RESEARCH PROCEDURES

In order to gain information concerning self-esteem, reading achievement, and the relationship between these factors, the investigator conducted a review of the related literature of the past ten years. The major sources of the literature were the libraries of Montana State University, Bozeman, and Eastern Montana College, Billings. The following procedures were then followed in order to answer the questions which related to the broad purposes of the investigation.

During the first week of the school year, before being exposed to the formal instruction and testing in the reading program, all children in grades two through six in the nine Title I-designated

public schools in Billings, Montana were given Coopersmith's Self-Esteem Inventory (SEI) by their regular reading teachers. Since this was the first week of school, the first self-esteem test provided a baseline measure of self-esteem which was therefore uninfluenced by academic experiences of the current school year.

Approximately five months lapsed. During that time, all students were exposed to reading instruction from the 1974 edition of the Houghton Mifflin Company basal readers. In addition to classroom reading instruction, low-achievers received supplemental instruction in reading from the Title I lab teachers. The basis for that instruction was also the Houghton Mifflin basal series. Achievers received their reading instruction from their regular reading teachers. The reading achievement of all students was measured at least twice on the tests published by Houghton Mifflin Company to accompany the basal series. After each reading test, the students were individually informed of their performance on the test. All students were again given the SEI after having taken at least two, in some cases more, of the textbook-related reading tests.

From the nine Title I schools, two groups of children were drawn. Low-achievers were students who attended the Title I reading and Math Labs for supplemental instruction in reading. They were "educationally disadvantaged in reading," as defined on page 8.

An educationally disadvantaged student is a student who is performing at least six (6) months below grade level in reading (language arts) or math.

An educationally disadvantaged student is a student who demonstrates poor work habits, has a short attention span, has poor self-image and shows a negative attitude toward school (Title I Project Proposal).

Achievers were students who were not "educationally disadvantaged" in reading and did not attend the Title I labs for supplemental instruction in reading. Each group consisted of 142 children in grades two through six, selected as described later on page 30.

After the two groups of students were identified, the investigator recorded their scores from the two textbook-related reading tests they had taken during the 1978-79 academic year. The data collected on the two groups of subjects included their grade level, sex, two SEI scores, and two reading test scores. This body of data was statistically analyzed in order to answer the questions which related to the broad purposes of the investigation.

LIMITATIONS AND DELIMITATIONS

The following were limitations of the investigation:

1. Specific teaching techniques, which might have influenced reading achievement, were not controlled by the investigator.
2. Control of other specific teacher-related variables, such as years of experience and classroom management techniques, was beyond the scope of this investigation.

3. Control of extraneous variables which might affect achievement, such as intelligence and home environment, was beyond the scope of this investigation.

4. No provision was made to determine whether any of the students were repeating their current grade level or previous grade levels.

5. Length of daily reading instructional periods in classrooms and in Title I labs was not controlled by the investigator.

The following were delimitations of the investigation:

1. The investigation included children who attended the nine Title I-designated schools in Billings, Montana during the 1978-79 academic year and whose formal reading instruction was based exclusively upon the 1974 edition of the Houghton-Mifflin Company basal reading series.

2. The low-achieving group included the children in grades two through six who attended Title I Reading and Math Labs for supplemental instruction in reading.

3. The achieving group duplicated the low-achieving group in size and was drawn from the children in grades two through six who did not attend the Title I labs in their schools.

4. All reading tests and Self-Esteem Inventories were given by the regular reading teachers of the subjects.

5. Measurement of self-esteem was limited to Coopersmith's Self-Esteem Inventory.

6. Measurement of reading achievement was limited to the Basic Reading Tests, published by Houghton-Mifflin Company, to accompany their 1974 basal reading series.

DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

The terms listed here were used throughout the study and are defined as follows:

Achiever. An achiever is a student who is not "educationally disadvantaged" in reading and does not attend a Title I Reading and Math Lab for supplemental instruction in reading.

Low-achiever. A low-achiever is a student who is "educationally disadvantaged" in reading and attends a Title I Lab for supplemental instruction in reading because he/she meets both of the following general criteria:

An educationally disadvantaged student is a student who is performing at least six (6) months below grade level in reading (language arts) or math.

An educationally disadvantaged student is a student who demonstrates poor work habits, has a short attention span, has poor self-image and shows a negative attitude toward school (Title I Project Proposal, 1977-78:2).

Reading Achievement. Reading achievement is the total of the scores received on two textbook-related reading tests.

Self-esteem. Self-esteem is the score received on Coopersmith's Self-Esteem Inventory. As noted in Chapter 2, the term "self-concept" is frequently used synonymously with self-esteem in research and in the literature.

Supplemental Reading Instruction. Supplemental reading instruction is the daily instruction in reading received by low-achievers in Title I labs in addition to classroom reading instruction. Each low-achiever attended the Title I lab in his/her school for thirty to forty minutes per day.

Textbook-related reading tests. Textbook-related reading tests are the Basic Reading Tests published by Houghton Mifflin Company to accompany their 1974 basal reading series.

Title I-designated schools. Title I-designated schools are schools which meet federal guidelines for the receipt of monies under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. In Billings, Montana, a minimum of 13.9 percent of students in a particular school must come from homes which qualify for free lunch under federal guidelines in order for that school to receive the Title I designation.

SUMMARY

In this chapter, the investigator stated that the purposes of this investigation were as follows: to determine the effect of factors,

namely, reading achievement, grade level, and sex upon self-esteem scores at the beginning of students' academic year and after five months of reading instruction, and to determine the effect of these factors upon change in self-esteem scores. The need for the study was documented. The investigator enumerated questions which were considered as they related to the broad purposes of the research. The general procedures for the investigation were discussed, and limitations and delimitations were noted. Terms used specifically for the research were defined.

Chapter 2

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

INTRODUCTION

Approximately one-third of the children in American classrooms fail to become competent readers (Gunderson, 1976:370). Many researchers have offered explanations for underachievement in reading and in other academic areas. In his summary of the research relative to academic underachievement, Fink (1965:486-7) noted that lack of perseverance, asocial tendencies, submission-aggression conflict, hostility, organic brain damage, poor teaching, low socioeconomic level, poor home background, and inadequate school facilities have all been documented as factors contributing to academic underachievement.

In his discussion of the research, Fink said that many of the explanations for academic underachievement are superficial or fragmentary. He suggested, therefore, that

... the problem is based on a central rather than a peripheral motivating force and further that this force is essentially molar rather than molecular. The conceptualization that appears to satisfy best the above requirements is that of the concept of self (Fink, 1965:487).

In the following review of the literature, implications for reading achievement and for self-esteem will be discussed. Evidence will then be cited of the relationship between these two factors.

Consideration will be given to teaching practices as they relate to reading achievement and self-esteem.

Although the terms used throughout the study were defined in Chapter 1, the investigator feels that the review of the literature must be prefaced by the clarification of two terms. For purposes of the investigation, the terms self-concept and self-esteem were used interchangeably. The researcher noted, in examining the literature, that other investigators have used the terms synonymously. Williams (1973:378), for example, entitled her study The Relationship of Self-Concept and Reading Achievement in First Grade Children.

The instrument she used to measure self-concept was Coopersmith's Self-Esteem Inventory. Conversely, Opie and Lemasters (1975:381) used the term self-esteem in the title of their study but used the term self-concept in their discussion of the research problem and the findings. Further, McIntire and Drummond (1976:529) cite the Self-Esteem Inventory as one of two ". . . self-concept measures commonly used with elementary school age children."

It must also be noted that indices related to the topic investigated use the terms self-concept and self-esteem interchangeably. The Education Index, for example, has as one of its topics self-concept. The reader is then referred to the heading of self-perception. Under that particular heading, some articles are listed in which the term self-concept appears in the title. Others in the same section have

the term self-esteem in their titles. Similarly, the heading self-esteem precedes titles using both terms--self-concept and self-esteem.

The investigator noted that Psychological Abstracts, Bibliographic Index, and Dissertation Abstracts also use the terms self-concept and self-esteem interchangeably. The same article is frequently listed under both headings.

IMPLICATIONS OF READING ACHIEVEMENT

As early as 1936, the emotional and personal problems of retarded readers were being studied. Carter contended that a child's failure to learn the materials presented to him might lead to frustration or fear which, in its extreme, might cause a "disorganized emotional response." The learning process would then be further inhibited (Robinson, 1946:77).

Ten years later, Robinson summarized the significant research of that period. Like Carter, she alluded to the effects of failure. Robinson indicated that although there were relatively few studies related to academic achievement, they agreed that pleasant stimuli, prior academic success, and praise facilitate learning. Unpleasant associations, failure, and frustration not only inhibit learning; they also potentially result in feelings of shame and reproval (Robinson, 1946:77).

Although Robinson did not refer directly to self-concept, she discussed the frustration and failure cycle in much the same way that current researchers discuss the low self-esteem and reading-failure cycle.

It seems evident that emotional difficulties may cause reading disability in the beginning and that this disability may, in turn, result in frustration, which further blocks learning and again intensifies the frustration. The interaction and intensification become a vicious circle, leading to intense emotional maladjustments and complete failure to progress in reading (1946:78).

Robinson concluded that the emotional maladjustment of a retarded reader may be either the cause or the result of ". . . the interaction of reading failure and emotional maladjustments" (1946:78).

Investigators have continued to examine the effects of underachievement in reading. Camp and Zimet (1975:109) studied forty-five first-grade children who had been divided into high, middle, and low reading groups on the basis of their skills. Observers recorded the children's behavior in thirty-nine categories. In their discussion of the study, the researchers noted that decreasing reading skill was associated with more time samples in which deviant behavior and interruptions occurred. This corroborates Graubard's (1971) finding that as reading level decreases, behavior problems increase. Glavin and Annesley (1971) also found a significant relationship between behavior problems and reading underachievement.

Although teachers continue to be primarily interested in how well each child can read, and in the extent to which he uses reading skills in other academic areas, they are, according to Austin (1958:24), becoming increasingly concerned with children's feelings about reading. What does a child's ability to read--or the lack of it--mean to him? What needs are being satisfied, or frustrated, as a result of his level of reading skill? What is the process of learning to read doing to him?

When difficulty in reading does occur, the accompanying feelings of failure and frustration often lead to emotional conflicts. Negative attitudes develop toward reading, and the pupil expresses his dislike of the process in a variety of ways Depending upon his basic temperament, the child may become defiantly uncooperative, withdrawing and inattentive, or over-anxious and tense (Austin, 1958:24).

Failure in reading has been cited by teachers as a problem common to the dropout, the underachiever, and to students erroneously labeled as "retarded" (Earp, 1974:562). Other serious consequences of reading failure were found in four separate studies, which concluded that,

. . . . in certain instances, failure in reading tends to contribute to juvenile delinquency. In general, the evidence indicates that the person with a reading [difficulty] tends also to be the person with other adjustment problems (Bond and Tinker, 1967:7).

The prognosis, however, is encouraging. Correction of a student's disability actually tends to improve his personal and social adjustment (Bond and Tinker, 1967:7). According to Austin (1958:26), when appropriate methods and materials are employed by a competent, caring teacher, the child will gradually experience success in reading. Improved emotional health results.

When feelings of achievement and self-confidence are restored, the emotional health of the individual can be expected to show improvement also. Indeed, success in learning to read after a long period of failure may be of greater importance to the individual than the actual use of the ability itself (Austin, 1958:26).

The foregoing section has summarized the research relative to the importance of achievement in reading. Reading failure has been cited as a cause of negative behavior, including juvenile delinquency.

IMPLICATIONS FOR SELF-ESTEEM

Although many definitions for self-esteem have been advanced, most of them center upon an individual's view of himself. For Fink, self-concept is ". . . the attitudes and feelings that a person has regarding himself" (1965:487). He noted that

It is implicit in this definition that these attitudes and feelings lead to attempts on the part of the individual through various actions to enhance or defend himself (1965:487).

Virginia Satir (1972:21) used the word "pot" to mean self-worth, self-concept, or self-esteem. According to Satir, an individual's pot has as its source not only the individual himself, but also the interaction of the individual with other people.

A. Jackson Stenner, president of National Testing Service, Inc., and William G. Katzenmeyer, professor of education and associate dean of the Graduate School, Duke University, collaborated on a study of the development of self-concept in young children. Based upon their research, they described children with positive self-concepts and children with poor concepts of themselves.

Children . . . with positive self-concepts are confident of their ability to meet everyday problems and demands and are at ease in their relationships with other people. They compare themselves favorably with their peers and feel that authority figures are supportive and interested in them as individuals. These children tend to be comparatively independent and reliable and are relatively free from anxiety, nervousness, and excessive worry, tiredness, and loneliness. They are seldom considered behavior problems. As for their schoolwork, these children tend to be above average in reading and mathematics. They generally attain higher scores on standardized achievement tests than would be predicted from ability tests. They view school as a happy, worthwhile place to be (1976:356).

According to the authors, children having poor self-concepts exhibit characteristics which are opposite to those mentioned above.

The importance of self-esteem for both academic and personal achievement has been repeatedly discussed in the literature. As will be shown in the next section of this literature review, a positive

self-image may be important for success in learning. It is essential to future achievement. According to Ryan (1975:132), children with positive self-concepts are likely to view the future in a positive way. They expect to succeed. Conversely, children who have experienced failure and who hold negative self-concepts view the future as containing yet more opportunities for failure.

Because self-esteem, more than any other factor, affects an individual's behavior (Ryan, 1975:132-3), it becomes possible that children will exhibit negative behavior which is reflective of negative attitudes toward self. As a result, they often fail to reach their true potential for academic and personal achievement. This phenomenon can be observed in very young children:

Even by first grade, many can't separate "I'm not very good at reading" (or writing, or arithmetic) from "I'm not very good." And then so much of what they do grows out of negative self-esteem and continues to prove to them how bad they really are (Simon and O'Rourke, 1975:46).

In many cases, the negative behavior resulting from the feelings of "I'm not very good" continues into adulthood. This was demonstrated by Balester in a study of the self-concepts of delinquents. He found that

. . . adults had more positive self-concepts than juvenile nondelinquents, nondelinquents perceived themselves more positively than first-offender delinquents, and these latter, in turn, possess more positive self-concepts than "repeaters" (Combs, 1959:267).

Hunter's interviews with school-aged children have yielded information which relates good behavior to good feelings about the self, resulting from positive encounters with teachers. The comments of a group of children who had been behavior problems throughout most of their school years indicated that most of their teachers had made them feel devalued. The children generally agreed that they had behaved well in the classrooms of teachers who had encouraged them by saying positive things about them (1975:15).

In summary, although many definitions of self-esteem, or self-concept, have been advanced, the literature indicates that they generally center around the individual's view of himself. Characteristics of individuals having positive self-concepts, and those having negative self-concepts have been discussed. Evidence has been cited which suggests the significance of self-esteem for behavior patterns.

SELF-ESTEEM AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Educators, psychologists, and other researchers have discussed the relationship between self-esteem and academic achievement. Both Burg (1975:362) and Goodlad (1964:12) contended that self-esteem is related to achievement in a cyclical manner. Poor achievement results in a lowered self-image, which perpetuates the poor achievement. Furthermore, ". . . the problems resulting from failure to read block the effort to teach the child to read" (Goodlad, 1964:12). For the

disabled reader, the school experience may reinforce the negative self-concept and the academic failure. "Every school day in nearly every classroom setting he is reminded that he is an inadequate human being. He is embarrassed, ridiculed, and patronized" (Sawyer, 1974:559-60).

Pine (1978:412) reviewed the findings of eleven studies in which measures of self-concept were related to measures of reading achievement. The research with children in grades three through nine indicates that successful readers generally have positive self-concepts; the opposite is generally true of unsuccessful readers.

Burg (1975:362) reports that self-esteem is significant even in the earliest years of a child's formal education. Self-esteem has been shown to have a functional utility at the kindergarten level. At the end of grade two, self-esteem has proven to be a better predictor of achievement in reading than either intelligence or readiness tests.

The findings of other researchers, however, refute the ones reported above. Williams examined objective scores of young children's self-concepts and their first and second grade reading achievement scores. "There was essentially no relationship between the children's self-concepts and their first and second grade reading achievement" (1973:379). Similarly, two studies of first and second graders, reported by Pine, ". . . failed to find a significant difference

between the self-concept scores of successful and unsuccessful readers" (1978:413).

A study of 198 pupils from fourth, fifth, and sixth grades examined not only self-concept and achievement but also teacher ratings of students' self-concepts. No significant correlation was found between self-concept and reading or mathematics achievement scores. Interestingly, however, "teacher's rating of the child's self-concept was significantly related to achievement in reading and mathematics" (Chang, 1976:112).

The findings of a study of elementary and junior high male underachievers also questioned the widely reported relationship between self-concept and achievement. When both groups of boys were combined, a low self-concept was related to academic underachievement in approximately half of the subjects (Opie and Lemasters, 1975:384).

Although little research has been conducted relative to self-concept, achievement, and intelligence, it is important to note that children with average or above average intelligence test scores are often academic underachievers. In her study of 133 first and second grade children, Williams (1973:379) hypothesized a positive correlation between self-concept scores and reading achievement scores in grades one and two. She also hypothesized that self-concept scores are better predictors of reading achievement scores than are intelligence scores. The investigator failed to find significant correlations

between self-concept and first or second grade reading achievement. Self-concept scores were not found to be better predictors of reading achievement than intelligence test scores. Moreover, according to Williams, there was not a significant relationship between self-concept and intelligence.

The literature reviewed herein indicated conflicting findings relative to the relationship between self-esteem and reading achievement. In general, however, these two factors seem to be more closely related in the early years of a child's formal education. Researchers have failed to find a significant correlation between self-concept and intelligence.

TEACHING PRACTICES AS THEY RELATE TO SELF-ESTEEM

Although it is generally assumed that teachers want to employ teaching strategies and materials that will benefit their students, teachers are frequently unaware of potential negative effects inherent in some of their methods. For example, regardless of classroom organization, children are frequently grouped by ability for reading instruction. The stage is set for subtle forms of competition between reading groups, often noted as early as first grade. Camp and Zimet alluded to the potential consequences of classroom competition.

. . . a competitive classroom milieu may . . . heighten the less successful students' dissatisfaction with their own performance with a resulting increase in inattentiveness and attention-getting behavior (1975:110).

In their discussion of ability grouping, another team of investigators noted that although there is little significant research relative to the effects of ability grouping for reading instruction, "Some [researchers] suspect that a concomitant of ability grouping in reading is the development of the child's self-concept" (Miller and Hering, 1975:389).

Although most teachers emphatically deny showing favoritism to particular students or groups of students, the research findings of Miller and Hering (1975:391) pointed to one possible source of lower self-esteem in underachieving students. The researchers found that many teachers prefer to work with children in the highest reading group. The preference for giving the most instruction to the children who need it the least is not only inconsistent with a basic purpose for grouping by reading ability. According to the investigators, it also presents an opportunity for underachieving readers to perceive negative teacher attitudes toward them as learners, and possibly as people. The researchers cautioned teachers, therefore, to "... guard against any negative effects that may be fostered through such grouping practices with children in reading" (1975:391).

Davidson and Lang (1965:437) demonstrated that children do, indeed, perceive how their teachers feel about them. In their study of children's perceptions of their teachers' feelings, the investigators found a positive and significant correlation between children's

self-perceptions and children's perceptions of their teachers' feelings toward them. Children with more favorable self-images generally perceived their teachers' attitudes toward them more favorably.

As shown above, competition is a subtle variable which potentially affects self-esteem and success in learning to read. It may be seen in the earliest years of a child's education. The same is true of pressure. Earp commented on the presence of pressure in classrooms, and noted the results of pressure upon the natural learning process. She suggested that strong emotional overtones accompany the process of learning to read. For the child who is having difficulty with this process, the pressure contributes to his failure (1974:562).

Many subtle forms of pressure are present within the classroom and within the child himself. A more obvious form of pressure lies in testing. According to Sawyer, teachers often assume that the child can learn specific skills, but simply hasn't. This assumption leads to repetitive teaching, and ultimately, to failure for the child.

Diagnoses tend to be conducted within a specific conceptual framework. Reading teachers tend to focus on an assessment of reading skills mastered and those in which the child is deficient. Clearly, any child experiencing difficulty in reading will exhibit a profile of skill deficiencies, and the inability to use these skills will directly inhibit reading performance. A prescription centering on instruction in the skill deficient areas would seem reasonable. The assumption would be that the child is capable of learning these skills but for some unknown reason has not yet learned them. Most

if not all special reading class instruction in our public schools is predicated upon this assumption. For some children acceptance of this assumption and the resulting recommendation for "more of the same" is an automatic prescription for frustration and failure (Sawyer, 1975:620-1).

Diagnoses and remedial suggestions which focus only upon a child's failures doom him to further failure and perpetuate the low achievement, low self-esteem cycle (Sawyer, 1975:621).

Teaching materials must also be considered as they relate to self-concept and success in learning to read. Despite the attempts of educators, researchers, and publishers to create a variety of materials for the teaching of reading, basal readers predominate. Indeed, "in 95 percent of our schools, the basal reader is the reading program" (Gunderson, 1976:371). One can only speculate upon the consequences for the child who does not "fit" the program.

Implications of teaching strategies for students' self-esteem have been discussed. Grouping for reading instruction, with its concomitant competition, has been examined as it relates to the development of self-esteem. Teacher preference for particular reading groups, pressure, and teaching materials have also been discussed as factors relating to self-esteem.

The foregoing review of the literature examined the implications of reading achievement and of self-esteem. Evidence was cited of the relationship between these two factors. Consideration was given to teaching practices as they relate to reading achievement and self-concept.

Chapter 3

PROCEDURES

INTRODUCTION

The purposes of this investigation were as follows: to determine the effect of factors, namely, reading achievement, grade level, and sex upon self-esteem scores at the beginning of students' academic year and after five months of reading instruction, and to determine the effect of these factors upon change in self-esteem scores.

In this chapter, the investigator will discuss in detail the procedures of the study. Sections included in this chapter are as follows: (1) population description and sampling procedures, (2) reading instructional procedure, (3) methods of collecting data, (4) methods of organizing data, (5) statistical hypotheses, (6) analysis of the data, and (7) precautions taken for accuracy.

POPULATION DESCRIPTION AND SAMPLING PROCEDURE

Prior to the fall opening of school, the investigator met with appropriate administrative officials of School District #2, Billings, Montana. The purposes of the research and the procedures to be employed in the investigation were discussed. The investigator requested the cooperation of the principals of the nine Title I schools. Although the administrators with whom the investigator

discussed the research indicated that they believed all nine principals would cooperate, participation on the investigation was voluntary. Indeed, all nine principals did cooperate in the research.

Two groups of 142 students each were taken from the population of second through sixth grade children who attended the nine Title I-designated public schools in Billings, Montana during the 1978-79 academic year. Low-achievers were all students enrolled in Title I labs for supplemental reading instruction. Achievers were selected from the remaining student population according to the judgment of the investigator. Participants were grouped according to grade level and sex.

READING INSTRUCTIONAL PROCEDURES

Procedures for the achiever group consisted of the reading instructional program based upon the Houghton Mifflin Reading Series, 1974 edition. The instruction was implemented by the subjects' regular reading teachers. In addition to classroom reading instruction, the low-achiever group received supplemental reading instruction in the Title I labs. The basis for that instruction was also the Houghton Mifflin Reading Series. After completion of each section, or "magazine," of the basal texts, subjects were given a Basic Reading

Test, developed by Houghton Mifflin Company, to accompany that particular section of the text. After each test, subjects were individually informed of their performance on that test. For purposes of this investigation, each subject took two Basic Reading Tests.

Control of teaching style, materials, and classroom management techniques was beyond the scope of this investigation.

METHODS OF COLLECTING DATA

Reading Achievement Data

Students were classified as "low-achievers" or "achievers" based upon their attendance or non-attendance in the Title I labs in their schools for supplemental instruction in reading. Specific information relative to achievement in reading, used in the analysis of hypothesis ten, was collected from an examination of students' scores on the first two Basic Reading Tests taken during the 1978-79 academic year.

Each of the reading tests for grades two through six consists of a test booklet in which the student works independently. The four skill areas and their components are as follows:

Decoding Skills: Word-attack, Expressional Skills, Pronunciation. Comprehension Skills: Literal Comprehension, Interpretive Thinking, Meaning-Acquisition. Reference and Study Skills: Information-Locating, Information-Appraising,

Information-Organizing. Literary Skills: Classification, Elementary Identification, Quality Evaluation.

Separate scores are achieved for each component area.

Composite scores are calculated for each of the four major skill areas and for the total test. For purposes of this investigation, total test scores were used as the measure of reading achievement. The investigator discussed use of the total test scores, as opposed to use of the composite scores for the four skill areas, with Mr. Roland Flynn, former Reading Consultant for School District #2, and with Ms. RuthAnn Green, a representative of Houghton Mifflin Company. Both Mr. Flynn and Ms. Green indicated that use of total test scores was legitimate for purposes of the investigation. Letters from Mr. Flynn and Ms. Green relative to that issue appear in Appendix A and an explanation of the reading achievement scores appears in Appendix B.

Information concerning the reliability and validity of the Basic Reading Tests does not accompany the materials distributed by Houghton Mifflin Company for use in the schools. Therefore, the investigator telephoned Ms. RuthAnn Green, a representative of the reading department at Houghton Mifflin, and asked for the necessary information. In her written reply to the investigator, Ms. Green indicated that "it is Houghton Mifflin Company's policy not to distribute test data on its various programs." The following information, however, was provided to the investigator:

All tests are validated through a variety of measures, however; learner verification studies, field testing, teacher attitude questionnaires, and item analysis studies by a staff-consultant on testing who regularly screens the reading testing program.

The most recent reading learner verification study was in 1976-77 for THE HOUGHTON MIFFLIN READING SERIES, 1976 Edition. The basic purpose of this study was to obtain feedback on the learning-teaching effectiveness of the HMRS program that can be used to enhance the instructional quality of future editions. The two types of data collected in this study were:

Learner Test Data: Data on the HMRS Tests of Basic Reading Skills were collected from a national cross-section of some 1,000 students in six elementary schools using the program, grades K-6. The sample is representative of a cross-section of five major characteristics: geographic diversity, community-type diversity, socio-economic diversity, racial and ethnic diversity, and diversity of ability levels. The selected classes were supplied with complementary sets of the test materials. The teachers of these classes were asked to teach the program in their normal way and to administer the proper tests at the appropriate time as they went along. Periodically throughout the school year, the teachers forwarded test data to Houghton Mifflin for processing and analysis. Essentially, the test results indicate that most pupils can adequately perform most of the skills in the HOUGHTON MIFFLIN READING SERIES after instruction. The sample clearly meets the "80/80 mastery criterion"--that is more than 80 percent of the time, the sample demonstrated mastery at or above the 80.0 "difficulty" level. ("Difficulty" is the percent of students who answered a test item correctly.)

Teacher Attitude Data: Teacher input was gathered by a direct mail attitudinal questionnaire sent to teachers in a cross-section of schools using the program in their classrooms, grades K-6

The test and questionnaire results of these two studies will be used to eliminate or rewrite items where test precision was not obtained. It may also be used in reorganizing the tests or, perhaps, to revise certain areas of the program.

A copy of Ms. Green's response to the investigator's request for information concerning reliability and validity of the Basic Reading Tests appears in Appendix C.

Self-Esteem Data

Stanley Coopersmith's Self-Esteem Inventory (SEI) was used in order to collect self-esteem data on the subjects. The SEI, which has been widely used with subjects ranging in age from eight through adult, is comprised of five subscales: General self; social self-peers; Home-parents; Lie scale; School-academic. With the exception of the Lie scale, the subscales do not have to be scored separately. For purposes of this investigation, therefore, all references to self-esteem were based upon the composite score.

The fifty-eight items on the SEI were read to the children by their teachers, a procedure which, according to Coopersmith, is acceptable (Coopersmith, 1960). Administration time for the SEI was approximately twenty minutes. Appendix D presents a copy of Dr. Coopersmith's letter granting permission to reproduce the SEI, a copy of which appears in Appendix E. Check marks indicate correct, or high self-esteem, responses. Because the directions were given orally, the directions which appear at the top of the SEI were omitted. Scoring information, which appears in the top right corner, was omitted from the forms prepared for this investigation.

