



Size, abundance, and seasonal habitat utilization of an unfished trout population and their response to catch and release fishing
by Denise Wilson Vore

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Fish and Wildlife Management
Montana State University
© Copyright by Denise Wilson Vore (1993)

Abstract:

Few studies have assessed the effects of catch and release fishing on a previously unfished trout population or provided information on seasonal habitat use. Four sections of Rattlesnake Creek were studied from March 1985 through February 1987. I examined the effects of catch and release fishing on a population of trout that had not been fished for 45 years. Seasonal and diel habitat use were also evaluated. Cutthroat, bull, and brook trout were present in Rattlesnake Creek. Size and abundance of cutthroat and bull trout were large compared to other similar streams. Two years of catch and release fishing had no measurable effect on size or abundance of trout. Among all cutthroat trout tagged, 22% were recaptured and 68% of those over 400 mm total length were caught and released. These and other data collected on Rattlesnake Creek indicate the extreme vulnerability of cutthroat trout to angling. Cutthroat trout behavior during late spring days was related to spawning. Feeding was the dominant activity during summer days and cover seeking dominated during winter days.

Diel shifts were most noticeable during winter. Twice as many trout were counted at night during the winter. Winter night . counts were similar to summer day counts.

SIZE, ABUNDANCE, AND SEASONAL HABITAT UTILIZATION
OF AN UNFISHED TROUT POPULATION AND THEIR
RESPONSE TO CATCH AND RELEASE FISHING

by

Denise Wilson Vore

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirement for the degree

of

Master of Science

in

Fish and Wildlife Management

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana
April 1993

7378
Y9134

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Denise Wilson Vore

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

22 April 1993
Date

Robert B. White
Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

22 April 1993
Date

Robert S. Moore
Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

5/9/93
Date

R. L. Brown
Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library.

If I have indicated my intention to copyright this thesis by including a copyright notice page, copying is allowable only for scholarly purposes, consistent with "fair use" as prescribed in the U.S. Copyright Law. Requests for permission for extended quotation from or reproduction of this thesis in whole or in parts may be granted only by the copyright holder.

Signature

Denise Wilson Love

Date

4/22/93

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My sincere thanks to those who were involved in all phases of this study. I am grateful to the following groups for their interest and assistance with funding: the Trout and Salmon Foundation, Sport Fishery Research Foundation, Foundation for Montana Trout, National and local Trout Unlimited, Western Montana Fish and Game Association and the Inland Empire Fly Fishing Club.

I would like to extend a special thanks to the following individuals for their assistance. Don Peters and Dennis Workman of the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks contributed invaluable assistance during all phases of the study. Gary Blount worked in partnership to develop the project and collect all portions of the data. Marshall Bloom and Pete Test of Trout Unlimited were instrumental in getting the project initiated. Dr. Bob White supported the project and assisted in preparation of the manuscript. Drs. Calvin Kaya and Lynn Irby critically reviewed the manuscript. Ginger Thomas, Ken Gutowski, and Ron Snyder provided advice, encouragement, and expertise in statistical analysis, insect analysis (not included) and field work techniques.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| APPROVAL | ii |
| STATEMENT OF PERMISSION OF USE | iii |
| VITA | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | v |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| ABSTRACT | xiii |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| STUDY AREA | 4 |
| METHODS | 7 |
| Study Sections | 7 |
| Cutthroat Trout Genetics | 7 |
| Population Estimates | 8 |
| Creel Census | 10 |
| Fish Movement | 11 |
| Water Temperature and Discharge | 12 |
| Habitat Variables | 12 |
| RESULTS | 15 |
| Population Characteristics | 15 |
| Species Composition | 15 |
| Genotype Determination | 15 |
| Trout Size | 18 |
| Population Abundance | 20 |
| Creel Census | 25 |
| Angler Hours and Pressure | 25 |
| Catch and Catch Composition | 29 |
| Recapture Rates | 29 |
| Movement | 31 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Habitat | 33 |
| Habitat Characteristics | 33 |
| Temperatures and Discharge | 37 |
| Habitat Use and Behavior | 37 |
| DISCUSSION | 58 |
| Population Characteristics | 58 |
| Species Composition. | 58 |
| Trout Size and Abundance | 61 |
| Snorkel Method | 63 |
| Angler Use and Catch. | 65 |
| Seasonal Movement Patterns | 67 |
| Seasonal Habitat Utilization and Behavior | 68 |
| LITERATURE CITED | 74 |
| APPENDICES | 83 |
| Appendix A - Tables | 84 |
| Appendix B - Figures | 95 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Page |
|---|------|
| 1. Classification of substrate material (Platts 1979) . . . | 13 |
| 2. Numerical ratings used to classify streambank environment (Platts, 1979) | 14 |
| 3. Pool quality rating criteria (Platts, 1979) | 14 |
| 4. Comparison of trout length (mm) and weight (gm) by stream section in Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 and 1986 . | 19 |
| 5. Growth rates of cutthroat trout determined from recapture measurements, 1985 and 1986. | 21 |
| 6. Summer and fall mark/recapture efficiencies (%) in Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 and 1986 | 21 |
| 7. Comparison of 1985 and 1986 summer daytime population estimates for four sections of Rattlesnake Creek. Confidence intervals are shown in parentheses. | 26 |
| 8. Comparison of 1985 and 1986 fall daytime population estimates in three sections of Rattlesnake Creek. Confidence intervals are shown in parentheses | 26 |
| 9. Total estimated anglers, hours fished, and cutthroat (Ct) caught per hour, Rattlesnake Creek, April through September, 1985 and 1986 | 27 |
| 10. Number of cutthroat trout marked and recaptured by angling and size distribution in 1985 and 1986. . . | 30 |
| 11. Movement of cutthroat trout based on tag returns, 1985 and 1986. Only movement out of section tagged is recorded | 32 |
| 12. Number, size, and direction of travel of trout trapped in Rattlesnake Creek, 1986 | 32 |

LIST OF TABLES - Continued

| Table | Page |
|---|------|
| 13. Directional movement, by size class, of cutthroat trout trapped in Rattlesnake Creek, July 21-October 3, 1986 | 34 |
| 14. Habitat data collected on Rattlesnake Creek, September, 1986 | 36 |
| 15. Number of cutthroat trout marked, captured, and recaptured in Sections I and II of Rattlesnake Creek, spring 1985 | 39 |
| 16. Comparison of cutthroat trout abundance during fall 1985 and 1986 snorkel counts in sections I, II, and III of Rattlesnake Creek. Water temperatures are shown in parentheses | 47 |
| 17. Summer (su) 1985, fall 1985, and winter (w) 1985-1986 daytime cutthroat counts and winter 1985-1986 brook and bull trout counts in Section I of Rattlesnake Creek | 49 |
| 18. Number of cutthroat, brook and bull trout counted, by habitat type, in Rattlesnake Creek, 1985-86. | 50 |
| 19. Summer (su), fall (f), and winter (w) day and night cutthroat counts for section II of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985. Also included are February 10, 1986 daytime(W) and nighttime (N) counts for brook and bull trout. | 52 |
| 20. Number of three species of trout observed in section II of Rattlesnake Creek, January 9, 1987 during the day (W) and night (N) compared to summer (S), fall (F) and winter (W) 1985-86. | 55 |
| 21. Summer (su) 1985 and 1986, fall 1985 and 1986, and winter (w) 1986-87 daytime cutthroat trout counts in section III of Rattlesnake Creek | 57 |
| 22. Rattlesnake Creek water analysis, 10/28/85 and 12/16/86. Data from Mountain Water Company, Missoula | 85 |
| 23. Location of study sections and landmarks in the Rattlesnake Creek drainage | 86 |

LIST OF TABLES - Continued

| Table | Page |
|---|------|
| 24. Sample creel census form used in the volunteer creel box, 1985 and 1986. | 86 |
| 25. Allele frequencies at the loci that differentiate westslope cutthroat and rainbow trout in samples collected from Rattlesnake Creek in 1985 and 1986. The allele characteristics of westslope cutthroat trout at each locus is listed first | 87 |
| 26. Trout population estimates for section I (0.6 km) of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 | 88 |
| 27. Trout population estimates for section II (0.6 km) of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 | 89 |
| 28. Trout population estimates for section III (0.6 km) of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 | 90 |
| 29. Trout population estimates for section IV (0.6 km) of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 | 90 |
| 30. Trout population estimates for section I (0.6 km) of Rattlesnake Creek, 1986 | 91 |
| 31. Trout population estimates for section II (0.6 km) of Rattlesnake Creek, 1986 | 92 |
| 32. Trout population estimates for section III (0.6 km) of Rattlesnake Creek, 1986 | 93 |
| 33. Trout population estimates for section IV (0.6 km) of Rattlesnake Creek, 1986 | 94 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Page |
|---|------|
| 1. Drainage map of Rattlesnake Creek and study section locations | 5 |
| 2. Seasonal species composition and distribution in section III, IV and total species composition on Rattlesnake Creek, 1985, 1986, and 1987 | 16 |
| 3. Seasonal species composition and distribution in section I, and II of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985, 1986, and 1987 | 17 |
| 4. Estimated number of cutthroat trout by size class, season, and section (0.6 km) in Rattlesnake Creek. Confidence intervals shown in Tables 28, 29, 32, and 33, Appendix A. | 23 |
| 5. Estimated number of cutthroat trout by size class, season, and section (0.6 km) in Rattlesnake Creek. Confidence intervals shown in Tables 30, 31, 34, and 35, Appendix A. | 24 |
| 6. Estimated anglers in two areas of Rattlesnake Creek during weekdays and weekend days, 1985 and 1986. | 28 |
| 7. Length frequencies of cutthroat, brook, and bull trout trapped in Rattlesnake Creek between July 21 and October 3, 1986 | 35 |
| 8. Stream discharge and mean temperatures taken on Rattlesnake Creek below Beeskove Creek from June 1985 through February 1987 | 38 |
| 9. Number of cutthroat trout < 200 mm total length counted in sections I-III during the day in Rattlesnake Creek, summer and fall 1985 and 1986 . | 43 |
| 10. Number of cutthroat trout > 200 mm total length counted seasonally during the day in sections I and II of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 and 1986 | 44 |
| 11. Number of cutthroat trout > 200 mm total length counted seasonally during the day in sections III and IV of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 and 1986 | 45 |

LIST OF FIGURES - Continued

| Figure | Page |
|--|------|
| 12. Length frequency distribution of cutthroat trout captured in sections I-IV of Rattlesnake Creek, summer 1985 | 96 |
| 13. Length frequency distribution of cutthroat trout captured in sections I-IV of Rattlesnake Creek, summer 1986 | 97 |
| 14. Age and length, determined from scales, of cutthroat trout in sections I and II of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 | 98 |
| 15. Age and length, determined from scales, of cutthroat trout in sections III and IV of Rattlesnake Creek, 1985 | 99 |

ABSTRACT

Few studies have assessed the effects of catch and release fishing on a previously unfished trout population or provided information on seasonal habitat use. Four sections of Rattlesnake Creek were studied from March 1985 through February 1987. I examined the effects of catch and release fishing on a population of trout that had not been fished for 45 years. Seasonal and diel habitat use were also evaluated. Cutthroat, bull, and brook trout were present in Rattlesnake Creek. Size and abundance of cutthroat and bull trout were large compared to other similar streams. Two years of catch and release fishing had no measurable effect on size or abundance of trout. Among all cutthroat trout tagged, 22% were recaptured and 68% of those over 400 mm total length were caught and released. These and other data collected on Rattlesnake Creek indicate the extreme vulnerability of cutthroat trout to angling. Cutthroat trout behavior during late spring days was related to spawning. Feeding was the dominant activity during summer days and cover seeking dominated during winter days. Diel shifts were most noticeable during winter. Twice as many trout were counted at night during the winter. Winter night counts were similar to summer day counts.

INTRODUCTION

Catch and release fishing was first instituted in the Great Smokey Mountains National Park in 1954 (Barnhart 1989). It is currently used to establish and maintain high quality fisheries by decreasing angling mortality. Several studies have shown the effects of catch and release fishing (Anderson and Nehring 1984, Thurow and Bjornn 1978, Varley and Gresswell 1988). However, there have been few opportunities to study the effects of catch and release fishing on a previously unfished trout population.

Rattlesnake Creek was closed to fishing for 45 years. It was protected as Missoula, Montana's municipal water supply. In 1985, a 25 km section of Rattlesnake Creek, above the confluence of Beeskove Creek, was opened to catch and release fishing. Approximately 12 km immediately below Beeskove Creek remains closed to fishing.

This study was designed to determine the characteristics of an unfished trout population and evaluate its response to catch and release angling. With the recent decline in many interior cutthroat trout populations (Behnke 1972) and their known vulnerability to angling (MacPhee 1966), it is becoming increasingly important that we understand the dynamics of unfished populations and the effects of angling on remaining populations. Results from this study will provide a point of reference for comparing trout populations in relatively pristine systems with those in heavily impacted and

managed streams. Until we understand the structure of undisturbed fisheries and their habitats, protection and enhancement efforts will lack both a rational context and effective direction. Population abundance, size, habitat use, and species composition of trout in Rattlesnake Creek were the elements examined in the study. Abundance and size of trout were expected to exceed that of other similar-sized, but fished, streams.

Seasonal changes in abundance and habitat use were also investigated. Limited information is available about seasonal fluctuations in trout populations or their habitat requirement during the late fall and winter periods in temperate latitudes. Collection of information during late fall and winter can be difficult due to icing, subzero temperatures, and snow. Studies which have evaluated seasonal population fluctuations and habitat use have concentrated on juvenile anadromous fishes (Taylor 1988, Bustard and Narver 1975, Hillman and Griffith 1987, and Everest et al. 1985). Seasonality has been investigated to a lesser extent in brook and brown trout populations (Cunjak and Power 1986 & 1987, Hartman 1963, Maciolek and Needham 1952, Chisholm and Hubert 1987). Seasonal and diel fluctuations in cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki lewisi*) abundance, habitat use, and behavior were evaluated in this study. Specific objectives of my study were to:

1. Determine population abundance, size, and species composition in two unfished sections and two fished sections of Rattlesnake Creek.

2. Conduct a creel census to determine angler distribution, angler use, catch, catch composition, and catch per unit effort.
3. Identify seasonal movement patterns of trout in Rattlesnake Creek.
4. Describe seasonal and diel cutthroat trout habitat use and behavior.

STUDY AREA

The Rattlesnake Creek drainage is located in west central Montana, 8.3 km north of Missoula (Figure 1). The drainage includes approximately 21,053 ha and is within the Lolo National Forest.

The general topography of the drainage is mountainous with glacially formed valleys. Geologic parent material in the area includes argillite, quartzite, and limestone of the Precambrian Belt series as well as Cambrian shales and limestones (Nelson & Dobell 1961). Climate of the region is semi-arid with an average annual precipitation of 32 cm (Knoche 1968).

Vegetation varies from a spruce-fir forest in the upper drainage to an open pine-larch forest below Franklin Bridge (Figure 1). Trees and shrubs of the riparian area include: cottonwood (*Populus trichocarpa*), alder (*Alnus rubra*), willow (*Salix* spp.), and rose (*Rosa* spp.). Occasionally the valley bottom opens up into small grassy meadows. Fescues (*Festuca* spp.), wheatgrasses (*Agropyron* spp.), pinegrasses (*Calamagrostis* spp.), and bluejoint (*Calamagrostis* spp.) are the most common graminoids (Adelman 1979).

Rattlesnake Creek originates on the flanks of McLeod and Triangle peaks, and flows south-southwest to its confluence with the Clark Fork of the Columbia River at Missoula (Figure 1). It is a third order stream with a gravel, rubble substrate. The watershed is characterized by relatively high peak discharge per unit area (Van der Poel 1979). Average annual discharge during the study period

