



Reintroduction of greater prairie chickens using egg substitution on Arrowwood National Wildlife Refuge, North Dakota
by Howard Raymond Burt

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Fish and Wildlife Management
Montana State University
© Copyright by Howard Raymond Burt (1991)

Abstract:

Reintroduction of greater prairie chickens (*Tympanuchus cupido pinnatus*) to Arrowwood National Wildlife Refuge, North Dakota, was attempted in the spring and summer of 1988 and 1989. The method entailed substituting prairie chicken eggs into sharp-tailed grouse (*Tympanuchus phasianellus* Jamesi) nests. Thirty-five sharp-tailed grouse hens were captured on dancing grounds or nests and instrumented with radio transmitters. Thirty-five sharptail nests were located by telemetry or cable-chain drag. Most (54%) nests were located in vegetation containing a combination of brush and grass. Success of all nesting sharptail hens was low (48%), with predators destroying 37% of the nests. Twenty-six sharptailed grouse and 1 ring-necked pheasant (*Phasianus colchicus*) nests were provided with 523 prairie chicken eggs. Fifteen nests were provided with unincubated prairie chicken eggs and 12 were provided with prairie chicken eggs incubated at least 20 days. Nests provided with unincubated prairie chicken eggs had a lower (33%) nest success than nests provided with incubated eggs (92%). Hatchability of unincubated prairie chicken eggs (43%) was significantly lower than for incubated eggs (79%). Only 2% of 102 prairie chicken chicks that left the nest with radioed sharptail hens survived until the end of the field seasons. Sharp-tailed grouse with prairie chicken broods were located most often (61%) in vegetation types with a combination of brush and grass, and appeared to select brood habitat which may have been less than optimum for prairie chicken chicks. Only 2 prairie chicken cocks were observed on the study area in 1990. Weather, predation, and lack of optimum prairie chicken habitat may have caused the apparent failure of this reintroduction effort.

REINTRODUCTION OF GREATER PRAIRIE CHICKENS USING
EGG SUBSTITUTION ON ARROWWOOD NATIONAL
WILDLIFE REFUGE, NORTH DAKOTA

by

Howard Raymond Burt

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

in

Fish and Wildlife Management

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

March 1991

N378
B95

ii

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Howard Raymond Burt

CHIEFMAN BOND

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

March 14, 1991

Date

Robert J. Eng

Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

13 March 1991

Date

Robert S. Moore

Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

March 26, 1991

Date

Henry J. Parsons

Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgment of source is made.

Permission for extensive quotation from or reproduction of this thesis may be granted by my major professor, or in his absence, by the Dean of Libraries when, in the opinion of either, the proposed use of the material is for scholarly purposes. Any copying or use of the material in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature Harold R. Burt

Date March 14, 1991

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. R. L. Eng for his guidance and education throughout this project. Committee members, Drs. W. R. Gould, L. R. Irby, and J. E. Taylor provided helpful suggestions and critically reviewed the manuscript. I would also like to thank P. VanNingen and D. Sterns of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and personnel of Arrowwood National Wildlife Refuge for providing vehicles, equipment, and assistance in the field. I am grateful to A. Kruse and personnel of Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center for providing suggestions and prairie chicken eggs during the study. I am especially grateful to John Toepfer for his contribution to the study design and assistance in the field. P. Byorth, P. Gunderson, K. Johnson, R. Northrup, M. Restani, and C. Sime provided invaluable suggestions and friendship. Special thanks to my parents for their encouragement. Finally, I would like to thank my wife Sandy for her support and patience. This study was funded by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xi
ABSTRACT.....	xii
INTRODUCTION.....	1
STUDY AREA.....	4
Geology and Soils.....	4
Climate and Weather.....	6
Land Use.....	6
Vegetation.....	7
Mammals and Birds.....	8
METHODS.....	10
Censusing.....	10
Trapping, Banding and Radio-Tagging.....	10
Radio-Tracking.....	11
Nests.....	12
Egg Substitution.....	14
Movements and Home Range.....	15
Data Analysis.....	16
RESULTS.....	17
Trapping.....	17
Radio Tagging and Banding.....	19
Nesting.....	20
Initiation Dates and Clutch Sizes.....	21
Success.....	22
General Nest Site Characteristics.....	23
Nesting Cover.....	25
Distribution of Nests.....	29
Egg Substitution.....	30
Hatchability.....	31
Movements and Home Range.....	31
Pre-incubation Period.....	31
Brood Period.....	32
Non-brood Hens.....	33
Brood Rearing.....	34
Brood Survival.....	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS--Continued

	Page
Habitat Use.....	35
Hen Survival.....	35
Non-brood Hen Habitat Use.....	37
DISCUSSION.....	38
CONCLUSIONS AND MANAGEMENT RECOMENDATIONS.....	44
REFERENCES CITED.....	49
APPENDIX.....	56

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Monthly precipitation (Ppt) in cm and temperature (C) and departures (Dep) from normal at ANWR headquarters, North Dakota, 1988-1989...	7
2. Number and sex of sharp-tailed grouse caught on dancing grounds, ANWR, North Dakota, 1988-1989..	19
3. Sex and age of sharp-tailed grouse captured on dancing grounds, ANWR, North Dakota, 1988-1989..	19
4. Mean weights of sharp-tailed grouse captured, ANWR, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	20
5. Sharptail nests located on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	21
6. Nest and nesting success of sharptail nests located on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	23
7. Number and percent of sharptail nests in land use types on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	23
8. Number of hectares and percent of entire study area for each land use type, ANWR, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	24
9. Availability and use of land use types on the study area, ANWR, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	24
10. Number and percentage of initial, second, and all nests found in vegetation types on the study area, ANWR, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	25
11. Average percent cover at successful and unsuccessful sharp-tailed grouse nests, ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988.....	27
12. Dominant species providing canopy cover at nest sites on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988.....	28

LIST OF TABLES--Continued

Table	Page
13. Frequency of dominant species at nest sites, ANWR, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	28
14. Mean heights (HT) and effective heights (EHT) of vegetation (in centimeters) at nest, and 5 and 10 m from nest, ANWR, North Dakota, 1989....	30
15. Nests which received prairie chicken eggs on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	31
16. Hatchability of prairie chicken eggs on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	32
17. Preincubation home range sizes (ha) for Sharp-tailed grouse hens on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	33
18. Summer home ranges (ha) for sharp-tailed grouse hens without broods on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	33
19. Production of sharptail hens provided with prairie chicken eggs on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	34
20. Number and percent of relocations within each vegetation type for 10 sharptail hens with prairie chicken broods on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	36
21. Fate of radioed sharptail hens on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	36
22. Number and percent of relocations within each vegetation type for 5 non-brood sharptail hens on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	37
23. Plant species observed on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	57
24. Mammalian species observed on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	58
25. Avian species observed on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	59

LIST OF TABLES--Continued

Table		Page
26.	Summary of sharp-tailed grouse captured during 1988 and 1989 on ANWR study area, North Dakota..	61
27.	Band data for sharp-tailed grouse captured on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1989.....	63
28.	Home range sizes (ha) and number and dates of locations for sharp-tailed grouse hens on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	65

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Historical and current distribution of the greater prairie chicken in North America.....	2
2. Location of the study area on ANWR in Stutsman County, North Dakota.....	5
3. Sharp-tailed grouse display grounds on ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1988-1989.....	18
4. Vegetation causing visual obstruction at nest, and 5 and 10 m from the nest, ANWR study area, North Dakota, 1989.....	26

ABSTRACT

Reintroduction of greater prairie chickens (Tympanuchus cupido pinnatus) to Arrowwood National Wildlife Refuge, North Dakota, was attempted in the spring and summer of 1988 and 1989. The method entailed substituting prairie chicken eggs into sharp-tailed grouse (Tympanuchus phasianellus jamesi) nests. Thirty-five sharp-tailed grouse hens were captured on dancing grounds or nests and instrumented with radio transmitters. Thirty-five sharptail nests were located by telemetry or cable-chain drag. Most (54%) nests were located in vegetation containing a combination of brush and grass. Success of all nesting sharptail hens was low (48%), with predators destroying 37% of the nests. Twenty-six sharp-tailed grouse and 1 ring-necked pheasant (Phasianus colchicus) nests were provided with 523 prairie chicken eggs. Fifteen nests were provided with unincubated prairie chicken eggs and 12 were provided with prairie chicken eggs incubated at least 20 days. Nests provided with unincubated prairie chicken eggs had a lower (33%) nest success than nests provided with incubated eggs (92%). Hatchability of unincubated prairie chicken eggs (43%) was significantly lower than for incubated eggs (79%). Only 2% of 102 prairie chicken chicks that left the nest with radioed sharptail hens survived until the end of the field seasons. Sharp-tailed grouse with prairie chicken broods were located most often (61%) in vegetation types with a combination of brush and grass, and appeared to select brood habitat which may have been less than optimum for prairie chicken chicks. Only 2 prairie chicken cocks were observed on the study area in 1990. Weather, predation, and lack of optimum prairie chicken habitat may have caused the apparent failure of this reintroduction effort.

INTRODUCTION

The original distribution of the greater prairie chicken (*Tympanuchus cupido*) included much of the eastern Great Plains from approximately the 100th meridian eastward to Kentucky, Ohio, and Tennessee, and northward to Michigan, Minnesota, and South Dakota (Johnsgard 1983). As a result of white settlement and patch farming, the species' range was extended north and west into northern Michigan and southern Ontario, northern Wisconsin, most of Minnesota, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, North Dakota, Kansas, and eastern Montana, Wyoming and Colorado. The combination of undisturbed native prairie nesting areas and availability of winter food in farmed areas led to peak numbers of greater prairie chickens in the early 1900's (Evans 1968).

These peak numbers began to decline in the 1930's as native prairie was converted to cropland, agricultural practices became more intensive, and overgrazing and annual haying became more prevalent. Since then the greater prairie chicken has been virtually extirpated from its Canadian range (Hammerstrom and Hammerstrom 1961), and in the United States has had its range and numbers drastically reduced (Fig. 1.).

