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ABSTRACT

A new development for the synthesis $C_2$-Symmetric $P$-Chiral Bis(phosphine borane) ligands is reported. These ligands are based on the asymmetric induction of prochiral phosphine ligands with organolithium/chiral diamine complexes. These ligands have been evaluated in asymmetric rhodium(I) catalyzed hydrogenation and [4 + 2] cycloisomerization reactions. Enantiomeric excesses as high as 99% were obtained for ene-diene cycloadditions.
CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Enantiomerically pure substances are of economic importance in industry. The need for efficient enantioselective syntheses remains a constant challenge. One of the most common methods to obtain stereoisomerically pure compounds involves the use of a stoichiometric quantity of a chiral resolving agent. This method, however, requires the recovery of the resolving agent which can be time consuming and costly. Hence, the development of chiral catalysis is of great interest because of its potential to be more economically feasible and efficient.

Chiral phosphine ligands have been used in transition metal complexes as catalysts for production of enantiomerically pure compounds. There are a variety of reactions where these ligands are not efficient in their reactivity or selectivity and a continued search for well designed chiral ligands remain an important goal. The objective of the research described herein was to design new $C_2$-symmetric $P$-chiral phosphine ligands for use as enantiocontrollers in asymmetric rhodium(I) catalyzed $[4 + 2]$ cycloisomerizations of olefins. These new ligands were also evaluated to determine their efficacy in asymmetric reduction of C=C linkages.

The synthesis and application of new chiral diphosphine ligands are reported herein. A key feature of these ligands is that the chirality is at the phosphorus atom. In catalytic processes involving phosphine ligands reactivity can be fine-tuned by altering
the environment surrounding the phosphorus atom. These alterations result in a change in the steric and/or electronic environment around the phosphorus. Therefore, the reactivity of phosphorus ligands were studied by preparing a number of chiral phosphine-borane ligands starting with prochiral phosphine-borane (Figure 1).

![Chemical Structures](image)

Figure 1. Prochiral Aryldimethylphophine.

A new method to prepare chiral phosphine-borane ligands was achieved by starting with prochiral phosphine-borane (Figure 1). Asymmetric induction of prochiral phosphine ligands with organolithium/chiral diamine complexes allowed for an efficient method for asymmetric synthesis of new phosphine-borane ligands. The fundamental concepts of organolithium/chiral diamine complexes for asymmetric induction of prochiral phosphine ligands will be discussed. An overview will be given of the use and versatility of these complexes.

The logic behind the design and development of homochiral phosphine ligands during the course of this research will be discussed as well as the evaluation of these ligands in asymmetric catalytic hydrogenation and rhodium(I) catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloisomerization reactions.
CHAPTER 2

BACKGROUND

Organolithium/Chiral Diamine Complexes

The use of complexes formed between organolithium reagents and enantiopure ligands in asymmetric chemistry offers convenient approaches to syntheses of enantioenriched compounds.

\((-\text{-sparteine})\)

Figure 2. Chiral Diamine \((-\text{-Sparteine})\).

Hoppe and co-workers reported that \textit{sec}-BuLi and the \textit{C}_1 symmetric diamine \((-\text{-sparteine})\) (Figure 2) form a complex that can be used to lithiate a nonconjugated oxygen-substituted system.\(^{1,2,3}\) Beak and co-workers later reported a highly enantioselective deprotonation using \textbf{3} for a nonconjugated nitrogen system (Scheme 1).\(^4\) Complex \textbf{3} was determined to be configurationally stable at \(-78\) °C and to react with
electrophiles with retention of configuration.\textsuperscript{5} NMR spectroscopy established the structure of RLi/(−)-sparteine to be an unsymmetrical dimer in which one of the lithium atoms is complexed by (−)-sparteine and the other lithium atom is complexed by Et\textsubscript{2}O. Asymmetric deprotonation of Boc-pyrrolidine (2) with 3 resulted in a configurationally stable lithiated species 4 which could be trapped with various electrophiles to give 2-substituted Boc-pyrrolidines in high enantioenrichment (Scheme 1)\textsuperscript{4}.

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{scheme1}
\end{center}

Scheme 1. Asymmetric Deprotonation of Boc-pyrrolidine (2).

A kinetic investigation of the reaction strongly suggests that deprotonation is the rate-determining step and that the complexation/decomplexation equilibrium is fast relative to the deprotonation reaction. The predominant species in solution is the prelithiation complex and though the structure is speculative there are three possibilities (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{4} Complex 5 is consistent with the kinetic investigation data; however, the distance between the substrate and (−)-sparteine makes it difficult to envision how asymmetric induction occurs. Complex structures 6\textsuperscript{4} and 7,\textsuperscript{6} however, allow the substrate
to be in closer proximity to the chirality introduced by the (-)-sparteine. There has been support for both complexes 6 and 7. While Beak suggests a transition state that would proceed from the monomeric 6,4 Collum proposes a linear dimer 7 based on the lithium dialkylamide transition states.6

![Figure 3. Proposed Prelithiation Complexes of (1).](image)

A variety of ligand systems including (-)-sparteine (1) were investigated by Beak, of particular interest were, (-)-isoparteine (8), 3-benzyl-6-methyl-3,6-diaza-bicyclo[3.2.1]octane (9), and trans-1,2-bis(dimethylamino)cyclohexane (10) (Figure 4). Beak found ligands 8 and 9 provided useful enantiomeric excess (ee) with low conversion to product, while 10 gave no enantioselectivity but provided a good conversion to product (~90%).5
Figure 4. Chiral Diamines for Enantioselective Lithiations.

Table 1. Enantioselective Ortho Lithiation of Phenylcarbamate Chromium Complexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>complex</th>
<th>diamine</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>$E^+$</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>% ee (abs. config.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Et$_2$O</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>41 (1R,2S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>59 (1R,2S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>17 (1S,2R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Uemura and co-workers later found that 10 gave good to excellent yields for the enantioselective ortho lithiation of phenylcarbamate chromium complex (11) with 41 and 59% ee (Table 1, entry 1 and 2). Furthermore, Uemura determined that (-)-sparteine gave the opposite absolute configuration in 62% yield and 17% ee (entry 3). They also found solvent could affect both the yield and enantioselectivity (entry 1 and 2).  

Chiral Phosphine Ligands

Optically active phosphines with the chirality in either the framework of the phosphine (13 - 15) or at the phosphorus atom (16) have found wide use as ligands for transition metals (Figure 5). Until recently, there have been few examples of P-chiral diphosphine ligands in the literature. Their absence is due to the difficulty in the synthesis and purification of the enantioenriched phosphorus stereocenter.
Enantiomerically enriched molecules can either be synthesized in racemic form then resolved, or the synthesis can be performed by asymmetric induction of chirality or kinetic resolution\textsuperscript{13}. Resolution of racemates with resolving agents\textsuperscript{14} or high-performance chromatographic techniques\textsuperscript{15} using chiral stationary phases is a common method of obtaining optically active organophosphorus compounds. Chromatography or crystallization of self-resolving systems that introduce C-chiral units\textsuperscript{16,17} to the final phosphine structure can also achieve enantioenriched compounds. Kinetic resolution\textsuperscript{18}
utilizing chiral auxiliaries and enzymatic techniques are additional methods to achieve optical enhancement.

Asymmetric synthesis is an attractive route to chiral phosphorus compounds. Jugé and co-workers have developed a methodology for synthesis of enantiomerically enriched borane-protected P-chiral phosphines. They elaborated a general method based on the regio and stereoselectivity of the ring opening of the oxazaphospholidine-borane complex. Diastereomerically pure oxazaphospholidine-borane complex (18) was prepared in one step from (-)-ephedrine (17), bis(diethylamino)phenylphosphine, and borane-methyl sulfide complex.

Scheme 2. Asymmetric Synthesis of P-Chiral Phosphine Using Oxazaphospholidine Borane.
Treatment of oxazaphospholidine borane with an organolithium gives the aminophosphine borane diastereomer (19). Acid catalyzed methanolysis provides quantitatively the corresponding phosphinite borane (20). Reaction of 20 with an addition of another organolithium reagent gives optically active phosphine boranes (21) (Scheme 2). Recrystallization provides the enantioenriched phosphine boranes in >99% ee. Treatment of the phosphine borane with diethylamine quantitatively yields the free phosphine (22) with retention of configuration. While this procedure is over a decade old it is still the most frequently used method for preparation of P-chiral phosphine boranes.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of C₂-Symmetric P-Chiral Phosphinnes 26 and 27.
Mezzetti and co-workers\textsuperscript{20} utilized this method developed by Jugé to make phosphine boranes. Deprotonation of 25 with sec-BuLi then separately treated with dichlorodimethylsilane and 1,1'-dilithioferrocene as the electrophiles gave diphosphine boranes 26 and 27 with diastereomeric ratios of 99:1 and 100:0 respectively (Scheme 3).

The oxazaphospholidine borane route was once again applied for the synthesis of $C_2$-symmetric $P$-chiral bisphosphine ligands. Van Leeuwin and Widhalm\textsuperscript{21} employed this method to prepare $C_2$-symmetric $P$-chiral phosphines. Efforts to introduce a dilithioaryl species at the P-O bond cleavage step led to the formation of either monosubstituted products or mixtures of diastereomers in a 65:35 ratio of (Rp,Rp) and (Rp,Sp) (29) (Scheme 4).

\begin{equation*}
\text{Scheme 4. Introduction of Dilithioaryl Species to Cleave P-O Bond of Oxazaphospholidine Derivative 28.}
\end{equation*}

Steric bulk surrounding the phosphine amide appears to interfere with the nucleophilic attack and/or also promotes stereorearrangement, which would account for
the observed results. These results prompted van Leeuwin to perform acid catalyzed methanolysis. Treatment of 28 with aryllithium reagents 30a-e afforded aminophosphateboranes 31a-e in 85 - 94% yield. Acid catalyzed methanolysis affords the phosphinite borane complexes 32a-e with inversion of configuration in at least 98% ee (Scheme 5).

\[
\begin{align*}
(2S_p,4R,5S)-28 & \xrightarrow{RLi\ 30a-e} (R_p,1S\ 2R)-31a-e \\
(R,R)-33a-e & \xleftarrow{1,1'-dilithioferrocene} (S)-32a-e
\end{align*}
\]

a: R = 1-napthyl  
b: R = 2-napthyl  
c: R = 2-anisyl  
d: R = 2-biphenylyl  
e: R = 9-phenanthryl

Scheme 5. Synthetic Pathway Towards Ferrocenyl Tethered P-chiral Ligands.

Treatment of the phosphinite borane complexes 32a-e with 1,1'-dilithioferrocene at -40 °C then warming to room temperature over a period of 15 h provided the desired C₂-symmetric P-chiral bisphosphine boranes 33a-e (Scheme 5). Approximately 10% of
the monosubstituted byproduct was formed. After decomplexation of the borane complexes, the P-chiral bisphosphine ligands were obtained in >98% ee.

Scheme 6. Two Step Process Approach to C₂-Symmetric P-Chiral Diphosphines.

Muci and Evans²² demonstrated the use of prochiral aryldimethylphosphine borane as a precursor for the synthesis of C₂-symmetric P-chiral bisphosphine boranes. As in our laboratories, they performed successive asymmetric deprotonation of aryldimethylphosphine boranes and subsequent oxidative coupling to provide the diphosphine products with good enantioselectivity (Scheme 6).

Scheme 7. Preparation of 1,2-Bis(trialkylphosphino)ethanes
Imamoto\textsuperscript{23} also showed the versatility of this method in the preparation of 1,2-bis(trialkylphosphino)ethanes. Treating PCl\textsubscript{3} with two consecutive Grignard reactions, followed by protecting with BH\textsubscript{3}SMe\textsubscript{2} provided alkyldimethylphosphine boranes 33a-e. Asymmetric deprotonation using sec-BuLi/(-)-sparteine complex and subsequent copper(I)-mediated coupling yielded the desired products 34a-e with the meso-diastereomer (Scheme 7). Purification to separate the enantiomers from the meso-compound was accomplished by HPLC and enantioenrichment was improved by recrystallization.

Catalytic Asymmetric Hydrogenation

The discovery of catalysts bearing chiral phosphine ligands has become significant in the development of organotransition-metal chemistry. Most notably is the catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of prochiral olefins where optical yields approach 100\% ee.

Rhodium complexes with chelating chiral phosphine ligands have been used as catalysts for hydrogenation. Complexes using chelating chiral diphosphine ligands with rhodium, such as DIOP (13),\textsuperscript{8,9} CHIRAPHOS (14),\textsuperscript{10} PROPHOS (15),\textsuperscript{11} and DIPAMP (16),\textsuperscript{12} are used as catalyst precursors in asymmetric hydrogenation of various dehydroamino acids and their methyl esters. Many groups have actively carried out mechanistic studies of the asymmetric hydrogenation during the last three decades. A discussion of all the efficient systems that are available for asymmetric hydrogenation is beyond the scope of this thesis. Instead, an examination in detail of a well-studied
system will be considered, followed by recent examples of hydrogenation of unsaturated compounds.

Scheme 8. Mechanistic Scheme for Olefin Hydrogenation.24

In this schematic mechanistic interpretation (Scheme 8) the chiral ligand, usually a chelating diphosphine, remains attached to the metal throughout the cycle. The metal complex 35 (which is shown without its coordinating solvent molecules) binds with substrate 36 to form intermediate 37 (step 1). Oxidative addition of dihydrogen to the metal produces 38 (step 2). Transfer of a hydrogen atom to the substrate gives 39 (step 3) followed by the second hydrogen atom transfer and subsequent decomplexation of the
fully reduced product 40 regenerates the catalyst 35 and completes the cycle. As each new chiral center is created, the substrate remains as part of the chiral complex, which provides an efficient asymmetric synthesis. This cyclic representation in Figure 6 is not the only possible mechanism; however, it most generally represents the mechanism of the broad spectrum of most homogeneous asymmetric hydrogenations.

Knowles and colleagues,25 of the Monsanto Company, developed one of the most studied systems for asymmetric hydrogenation. Their goal was to design phosphine ligands to control selectivity in the hydrogenation of acetamidocinnamic acid to give the precursor of L-dopa (3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine), a drug used in the treatment of Parkinson’s disease. Detailed kinetic experiments were carried out to determine the catalytic cycle for hydrogenation of the dehydroamino acid with a rhodium complex containing DIPHOS. Further experiments were performed using the chiral diphosphine ligand, DIPAMP (16). The catalytic cycle giving the chiral product was found to be closely similar to that of Scheme 9.

Two pathways were found for the chiral hydrogenation of the dehydroamino acid, as shown in Scheme 10. The pathway at the left of the illustration gives the preferred mode of initial binding of the reactant to the catalyst, while the pathway shown at the right involves a minor isomer. Accordingly, these pathways provide products with different stereochemistries.

The mechanistic studies showed that, contrary to the first expectation, the chirality of the product was not determined by the preferred mode of initial binding of the
reactant. The complexation of the substrate to the catalyst gives two diastereoisomeric complexes that are in rapid equilibrium. The oxidative addition of the molecular hydrogen was found to be irreversible and rate determining. The stereoselectivity of the overall reaction depended on the relative rates of oxidative addition to the two complexes. The predominant product resulted from the minor isomer pathway by virtue of a much higher reactivity of the reactant-catalyst adduct with hydrogen. It was found that the ratio $k''_2:k'_2$ was 573:1, and the equilibrium ratio of the complexation of the substrate to the catalyst was 1:11. These values taken together provides a product ratio of ~52:1 in favor of the minor isomer. In consequence, the minor catalyst-substrate complex provided the major enantiomer of the product.
Scheme 10. Parallel Reaction Paths for Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Olefins.

Coordination onto the prochiral C=C bond can occur on either face. Oxidative addition of hydrogen takes place on the face of the alkene that is coordinated to the metal.
All the intermediates retain the carbonyl group coordinating to the metal center throughout the reaction (Scheme 10). This structural feature is important in many substrates that provide high enantiomeric excesses. Substrates known as dehydro-α-acylamino acids have been one of the most extensively studied. Selected examples of recent asymmetric hydrogenations of this class of compound are given in Table 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R}^1\text{R}^2\text{NHCOCH}_3 & \xrightarrow{\text{Rh-catalyst}} \text{R}^1\text{R}^2\text{COOR}^3 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Table 2. Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Dehydro-α-Acylamino Acids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R(^1)</th>
<th>R(^2)</th>
<th>R(^3)</th>
<th>H(_2) (atm)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Ee (%) (R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(^{26})</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(^{27})</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(^{27})</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>&gt;99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4(^{28})</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(^{1})</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(^{20})</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(^{20})</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8(^{20})</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9(^{29})</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>96.8 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(^{29})</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>97.5 (S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 Transition Metal-Catalyzed [4 + 2] Cycloadditions

Reactions that deal with stereo- and enantiocontrol and are compatible with a variety of functional groups are important tools in applications for organic chemistry. Some of the most important reactions are bond, ring, and stereocenter formations. Among the most powerful reactions for forming multiple bonds and stereogenic centers in a single synthetic operation are the cycloaddition reactions. Heat, light, Lewis acids,\(^{30}\) high pressure,\(^{31}\) sonication, special solvent effects,\(^{32}\) and more recently, metal catalysts,\(^{33}\) have all been used to promote these reactions.

The most widely used method for preparation of six-membered rings is the Diels-Alder [4 + 2] cycloaddition.\(^{34,35}\) The versatility of the Diels-Alder reaction has made this one of the most widely studied methods in organic chemistry and is the standard by which other cycloadditions are compared. The Diels-Alder [4 + 2] cycloaddition is very useful in ring formation where chemo- and stereoselectivity are dictated by the diene and dienophile substrates. However, the Diels-Alder reaction is often restricted to the electronic requirements that govern this concerted process: an electron rich diene and an electron deficient dienophile. The reaction of unactivated olefins, dienes and acetylenes is inefficient and strenuous conditions are necessary to obtain good yields of the cycloadducts. The cycloaddition of two unactivated species proved to be difficult since
homodimerization can be a competitive and dominant reaction pathway. Due to these restrictions and the necessity of high temperatures required for the uncatalyzed process, the scope of these [4 +2] cycloadditions are limited. As a result, transition metal-catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloisomerization between electronically similar components offer advantages over traditional Diels-Alder processes.

Metal catalysis allows greater opportunities for highly selective cycloaddition reactions since complexation of the metal to an olefin, diene, or acetylene significantly modifies the reactivity of this moiety. A quintessential feature of this strategy is the ability to establish enantioselective transformations by adding chiral ligands while maintaining the already observed rate enhancement.

Metal catalysts activate the [4 + 2] cycloisomerization by a combination of proximity and complexation induced polarization effects. Interaction of the £-bonds of
the diene and the dienophile give rise to the formation of the \( \pi \)-complex. There are two ways oxidative coupling could occur: by generating a \( \eta^1, \eta^3 \)-complex\(^{36,41} \) or the formation of the metallacyclopentene (Scheme II).\(^{37} \) Both could be precursors to the formation of the metallacycle that could then give the carbocycle and regenerate the active catalyst by reductive elimination. To date, Rh, Ni, Ti, Fe, and Pd have been reported to catalyze the \([4 + 2]\) cycloaddition.\(^{38} \)

Mortreux and co-workers\(^{39} \) have studied the chemo- and enantioselectivity of the Diels-Alder reaction between 1,3-butadiene and methyl sorbate. Using a low-valent nickel complex in the presence of chiral aminophosphine-phosphite and diphosphine ligands, the yield and the chemoselectivity were only moderate, and the enantioselectivity was unfortunately low (5% ee). Previously, Garratt\(^{40} \) showed that low-valent nickel complex (generated by reduction of Ni(acac)\(_2\) with Et\(_3\)Al) influenced the selectivity of this reaction. Under thermal conditions methyl sorbate was the “diene” component and the 1,3-butadiene was the “dienophile”. However, the low-valent nickel complex reversed the reactivity rendering 1,3-butadiene as the “diene” component and methyl sorbate as the “dienophile” component.

Previous work done in the Livinghouse laboratories has shown that a variety of Rh(I) catalysts can accelerate intramolecular \([4 +2]\) cycloisomerization reactions at low temperatures.\(^{41,42,43} \) Furthermore, it has been determined that bisphosphines that form 7-member chelates are effective ligands for the intramolecular \([4 +2]\) cycloaddition reaction.\(^{41} \) A series of bisphosphine ligands 41 and 42 (Figure 6), related to (+)-DIOP,
were chosen to evaluate the possibility of asymmetric rhodium(I) catalyzed [4 +2] cycloisomerizations. These ligands provided moderate to good levels of enantioselection.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{41: } & R^1=R^2=\text{CH}_3, \text{Ar}=\text{Ph} \\
\text{42: } & R^1=\text{CH}_3, R^2=\text{Ar}=\text{Ph}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 6. (+)-DIOP Derivatives 41 and 42.

The results of some of the cyclizations of ene-dienes and diene-ynes are summarized in Table 3. The \(^1\)H-NMR resonance for the corresponding diastereomeric Mosher's esters\(^{17}\) was used to determine the enantiomeric excesses. The experimental results suggest that by slightly altering the 1,3-dioxolane ring the absolute sense of the asymmetric induction is reversed.
Table 3. Enantioselective Rh(I)-Catalyzed [4 + 2] Cycloisomerization Using (+)-DIOP Related Ligands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycloadduct</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>% de (abs. config.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>73 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>52 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>47 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>87 (S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued advances were made in the Livinghouse laboratory to improve the asymmetric induction and to provide high turn over frequencies. Increasing the reactivity of the catalyst system can be accomplished by changing the nature of the rhodium complex. The use of counterions has shown increased reaction rates.
The counterion effect was examined with the use of an electron-rich ligand, (+)-DIOP, for use in the rhodium catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloaddition of the tosyl-protected azatriene 46 (Table 4). The bromide as the counterion showed similar ee but a decrease in the rate relative to the chloride while the iodide and trichlorostannyl anions demonstrated low activity. The cationic triflate species led to the bicycloadduct with similar reaction rates but reduced enantioselectivity.

When using the weakly ligating counterion, hexafluoroantimonate, milder conditions were utilized (a weakly coordinating solvent dichloroethane [DCE] and lower temperature (40 °C)). It was found that complete isomerization of the bicycloadduct 47 was observed with the more polar solvent (trifluoroethanol [TFE]). The hexafluoroantimonate anions resulted in a lower reaction rate and a slight decrease in enantioselectivity. However, a cationic species generated by hydrogenation of a pre-formed Rh-phosphine complex enhanced the rate and maintained the degree of enantioselection. The catalyst generated from [(NBD)₂Rh]SbF₆ is the preferred method for screening chiral phosphine ligands due to the mild conditions, similar reactivity and enantioselectivity.
(a) [(COE)$_2$RhCl)$_2$ (2 mol%), (+)-DIOP (4 mol%), additive (4 mol%), solvent, 55 °C. (b) OsO$_4$ (5 mol%), NMO, EtOAc/H$_2$O (1/1).

Table 4. Counterion Effect of Enantioselective Cyclization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anion</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time (hrs.)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1/1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br$^a$</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1/1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br$^a$</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1/3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>NaI</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1/1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnCl$_3$</td>
<td>SnCl$_2$</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1/1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTf</td>
<td>AgOTf</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1/1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SbF$_6$</td>
<td>AgSbF$_6$</td>
<td>DCE (40 °C)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SbF$_6$</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>DCE (40 °C)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ [(COE)$_2$RhBr)$_2$ (2 mol%); $^b$ [(COE)$_2$RhCl)$_2$ (1 mol%); $^c$ [((+)-DIOP)Rh(NBD)][SbF$_6$] (2 mol%)
Recently, Gilbertson$^{44}$ reported the use of commercially available chiral bisphosphine ligands to catalyze the $[4 + 2]$ cycloisomerization reaction in which both triene and dieneyne substrates were investigated. Table 5 shows the results of asymmetric induction of triene 49. Both CHIRAPHOS and DIOP provided cyclization product in good ee. Their enantioselectivity is comparable to our aforementioned catalyst system employing DIOP. Consequently, their most selective ligand was BINAP. Their initial attempts to utilize this system only resulted in the isomerization of the triene unit. This result also is comparable to our system using BINAP; however, they reexamined this catalyst in EtOAc instead of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and obtained cycloisomerization product in 98% ee.
Table 5. Asymmetric Induction of Triene 49.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chiral ligand</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Yield (50)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
<th>Yield (51)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(S,S)-CHIRAPHOS</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 18 h</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S,S)-DIOP</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂, 55 °C, 24 h</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S,S)-DUPHOS</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc (6:1), 55 °C, 1 h</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-BINAP</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 8 h</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-BINAP</td>
<td>EtOAc, 10 mol % cat., 55 °C, 72 h</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Reactions were carried out with 0.07–0.1 mmol substrate and 6 mol % chiral ligand unless otherwise specified. The catalyst was prepared by prehydrogenation of the phosphine rhodium olefin complex before addition of the substrate.
Gilbertson\textsuperscript{44} then found that BINAP, CHIRAPHOS, and DIOP did not work well in the catalysis of the cycloisomerization of dieneyne 52. The reactions using these ligands gave the cyclic product with low selectivity (9~43\% ee). DUPHOS gave a low yield (15\%) with good selectivity (81\% ee); however, the major product was the dimer 54. They studied a variety of reaction conditions in an attempt to decrease the reactivity of the catalyst precursor. Under these conditions (3\% catalyst with a (6/1) mixture of CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/EtOAc and excess ligand) the product was obtained in good yield (85\%) and high selectivity (95\% ee). It seems when EtOAc was added as part of the solvent system that higher enantioselectivities were obtained. To this point, the role of EtOAc in enhancing the enantioenrichment is unclear.
Table 6. Asymmetric Induction of Dienyne 52.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chiral ligand</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>ee</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(S)-BINAP</td>
<td>EtOAc, 55 °C, 60 h</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S,S)-CHIRAPHOS</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 24 h</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S,S)-DIOP</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 24 h</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S,S)-DUPHOS</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 2 h</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S,S)-DUPHOS</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc (6/1), 55 °C, 4 h</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reactions were carried out with 0.07–0.1 mmol substrate and 6 mol % chiral ligand unless otherwise specified. The catalyst was prepared by prehydrogenation of the phosphate rhodium olefin complex before addition of the substrate.*
CHAPTER 3

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Organolithium/Chiral Diamine Complexes

Asymmetric deprotonation from complexes formed between organolithium reagents and enantioenriched ligands can afford highly enantioenriched products. Hoppe\(^1,2,3\) was the first to report the use of \(s\text{-BuLi/(-)-sparteine}\) to provide \(\alpha\)-oxygen substituted dipole stabilized carbanion products with high enantioenrichments in a lithiation-substitution sequence. Beak\(^4,5\) later established that \(s\text{-BuLi/(-)-sparteine}\) can be used with \(N\text{-Boc-pyrrolidine}\) in an asymmetric deprotonation-electrophilic substitution sequence to provide highly enantioenriched 2-substituted \(N\text{-Boc-pyrrolidines}\). Asymmetric deprotonation using organolithium/chiral diamine complexes show promise for the synthesis of homochiral phosphine ligands.

One of the objectives for the research herein was to design a beneficial sequence for asymmetric synthesis of \(P\)-chiral phosphine ligands. Sparteine has been known to be an effective external chiral ligand for asymmetric induction and was perceived as a potential route towards the synthesis of \(P\)-chiral phosphine ligands. It was also speculated that other chiral diamines might be useful in asymmetric deprotonation reactions to provide \(P\)-chiral phosphine ligands. Combinations of the following criteria prove to be essential in providing an effective ligand in the asymmetric deprotonation
reaction. It is advantageous for highly enantioselective deprotonation reactions that the chiral ligand bind the organolithium species strongly enough to keep the concentration of reactive racemic species low. The ligand must also have proper steric interactions and provide sufficient flexibility to allow the substrate to adopt the proper diastereomeric transition state in order to introduce enantioselectivity. Furthermore, the ligands should accelerate the lithiation reaction compared to the ligand-free reaction.

Based on the criteria listed above the goals put forth for this part of the research were to determine if the $\text{-BuLi/(-)-sparteine}$ complex would be effective in the asymmetric deprotonation of dimethylphenylphosphine-borane and to provide other synthetically useful ligands in both enantiomeric forms. Accordingly, the efficacies of selected ligands for the organolithium/chiral diamine ligand complexes as reagents for asymmetric deprotonation of dimethylphenylphosphine-borane were evaluated. Asymmetric lithiation of dimethylphenylphosphine-borane was investigated using (-)-sparteine and chiral diamine ligand systems of $trans$-cyclohexanediamicine derivatives and their antipodes (Figure 7).

In order to determine ligand structure-enantioselectivity relationships, each ligand was assayed for reaction sequence 57 to 58 (Scheme 12). A 1:1 organolithium/chiral diamine ligand complex was formed at $-78 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$ then dimethylphenylphosphine borane was added and allowed to stir at $-78 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 4 h before the addition of benzophenone. Once the addition was complete the reaction mixture was warmed to $-20 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$ and allowed to stir for 17 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated $\text{NH}_4^+\text{Cl}$ then the enantiomeric excess of 58 was determined by chiral HPLC and compared to the racemic product.
Solvent effects using either diethyl ether (Et$_2$O) or tert-butyl methyl ether ('BuOMe) were also evaluated (Table 7).

Figure 7. *Trans*-Cyclohexanediamine Derivatives and Their Antipodes.
Scheme 12. Benzophenone Trapped Asymmetrically Lithiated Dimethylphosphine Borane with Chiral Diamines 54 - 56.

Table 7. Benzophenone Trapped Asymmetrically Lithiated Dimethylphosphine Borane with Chiral Diamines 54 - 56.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Organolithium</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>s-BuLi</td>
<td>Et₂O</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-sparteine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>n-BuLi</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-sparteine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t-BuLi</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>s-BuLi</td>
<td>t-BuOMe</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-sparteine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>n-BuLi</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-sparteine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The initial attempt towards the asymmetric deprotonation was using s-BuLi/chiral diamine complex in Et₂O. The first ligand examined, (-)-sparteine, provided a 77% ee. Encouraged by this result, the other three ligands were investigated. Chiral ligand 54 gave a 37% ee with good yields. A negligible selectivity was obtained when the reaction was run with ligand 55. It was initially hoped that as steric interactions increased so would the enantiomeric excess of the product. These interactions are clearly not present in 55, suggesting that the methyl on the ethyl substituents are situated away from the binding sites and that the methylene group of the ethyl does not have as much steric interactions as the methyl substituent of ligand 54. However, replacement of the alkyl substituents with a cyclic substituent, 56, allowed enough steric interactions along with sufficient flexibility to provide the desired product in 81% ee.

Since (-)-sparteine and 56 were the most effective ligands in the initial investigation for the asymmetric deprotonation of dimethylphenylphosphine borane, these ligands were examined under other conditions. The results described in table 7 show that 56 did not continue to provide useful enantiomeric excess under any of the other conditions. The most successful of the ligands assayed was (-)-sparteine, which afforded the highest enantioselectivity (87% ee) when using s-BuLi as the organolithium and tBuOMe as the solvent.

In conclusion, although ligand 56 provided substantial enantioselectivity in the asymmetric lithiation of dimethylphenylphosphine borane, it did not provide enantioselectivities as high as (-)-sparteine. The combination of binding, rigidity, and the
specific steric features of (-)-sparteine contribute to its effectiveness as a chiral ligand. Indeed, the other ligands investigated did possess the binding modes and some of the rigidity of (-)-sparteine but did not provide an adequate steric environment for effective asymmetric deprotonation.
Chiral Diphosphine Ligands

It has long been recognized that changing substituents on phosphorus ligands can cause noticeable changes in the behavior of the free ligands and of their transition metal complexes. In 1970, quantitative measures of electronic and steric effects were proposed based on $A_1$ carbonyl stretching frequencies ($v$) in Ni(CO)$_3$L complexes, and ligand cone angles ($\theta$) of space-filling CPK molecular models. Since then a large number of papers have appeared which show that both steric and electronic effects are equally as important.

Previous work done in the Livinghouse laboratories have demonstrated that slight alterations in the steric and/or electronics of the phosphorus ligand can change the absolute stereochemistry of the rhodium(I) catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloisomerization product and the reactivity of the rhodium(I) catalyst. Altering the backbone of the bisphosphine ligand (+)-DIOP derivatives with stericly encumbered and/or electronically differentiating substituents markedly changed the reactivity and selectivity of the rhodium(I) catalyst. These ligands gave cycloaddition products with moderate selectivity and in good yields. With the chirality of the (+)-DIOP derivatives existing on the backbone of the ligands and not near the reaction site may account for the moderate to low selectivity.

It was hoped that creating a ligand with chiral phosphorus would increase the asymmetric induction of the rhodium(I) catalyst by allowing the chirality to be in closer proximity to the metal center. The focuses of the studies were to understand the importance of steric and electronic effects that were investigated by studying the
conformational variances within the phosphorus ligand. This study was geared towards
the modification of the phosphine; in particular, making the ligand chiral at phosphorus in
order to fully define the scope of the asymmetric cycloisomerization reaction and
improve the level of asymmetric induction.

Advances towards the synthesis of chiral monophosphines were made in
Livinghouse laboratories via the precursor, 2-substituted-3,4-dimethyl-5-
phenyloxazaphospholidine. This method provided $P$-chiral diarylmethylphosphine
ligands in $>99\%$ ee. The process to obtain the desired ligands is laborious due to multiple
synthetic steps and the necessity to purify at several key steps by recrystallization to
induce high enantioenrichment. The desired $P$-chiral diphosphines were achieved by
treatment of these diarylmethylphosphines with an organolithium reagent and subsequent
copper-mediated coupling.

While these ligands may independently incorporate the chirality on either the
linking carbon chain or the phosphorus centers, comparatively few $P$-chiral ligands have
received attention due to the difficulties associated with the synthesis of enantiomerically
enriched phosphorus stereocenters. This thesis discloses a convenient method to the
synthesis of a variety of $C_2$-symmetric $P$-chiral bis(phosphine borane)s which can be
directly prepared from prochiral aryldimethylphosphine boranes. As discussed in section
3.1, high enantioselective deprotonation of dimethylphenylphosphine borane has been
achieved using $s$-BuLi/(-)-sparteine complex. The approach taken herein involves
asymmetric deprotonation of aryldimethylphosphineborane with $s$-BuLi/(-)-sparteine
complexes followed by oxidative or silyative coupling.
The electronic effect of the aryldimethylphosphine borane depends considerably on the nature of the substituent atoms on the phosphorus. Since the proposed ligands have two methyl and one aryl as substituents it was necessary to create the steric and electronic environment with the aromatic ring. Based on CO stretching frequencies of Ni(CO)_3L, Tolman found that more electron withdrawing substituents give higher CO stretching frequencies. The order of electron withdrawal for some substituents of interest was found to be CF_3 >> p-C_6H_4F > p-Tolyl > 2,4,6-(CH_3)_3C_6H_2 > t-Bu.\(^{46}\)

Both the steric and electronics of the bis(phosphine borane)s are important for transition metal chemistry. The design for the trivalent phosphines incorporates the electron donor and acceptor properties while containing steric interactions. Phosphorus ligands containing the right proportions of these properties might be achieved by placing different electronic substituents on the ortho position of the aromatic ring. The investigation of the phosphine ligands begun with the following aryl substituents: 2,6-(CH_3O)_2C_6H_3, 2,4,6-(CH_3)_3C_6H_2, 2-(CF_3)C_6H_4, and 1-Ferrocenyl. The 1-ferrocenyl aryl group is different from the others in the series; however, it is electron donating and possesses large steric interactions, all of which should make an interesting ligand.

Treatment of the corresponding dichlorophosphines with CH_3MgCl (2.51 equiv) followed by complexation with H_3B-S(CH_3)_2 afforded the aryldimethylphosphine boranes (59 – 62). Asymmetric metalation of the aryldimethylphosphine boranes with the s-BuLi/(−)-sparteine complex (1.1 equiv) in Et_2O at −78 °C for 5 h, followed by trapping with benzophenone (1.1 equiv) in THF at −20 °C, afforded the corresponding alcohols (Scheme 13). The enantiomeric ratios of the alcohols were determined by
HPLC using a CHIRALPAK® AD column (Table 8). Corresponding racemic alcohols for HPLC comparisons were prepared by metalation with s-BuLi without (-)-sparteine.


Table 8. Benzophenone Trapped Lithiated Phosphine Boranes 59 – 62 with (-)-Sparteine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phosphine</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>2-(CF3)C6H4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>2,6-(CH3O)2C6H3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>2,4,6-(CH3)3C6H2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>1-Ferrocenyl</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The highest enantioselectivity was observed with 60, which provided 63 in 95% ee. Similarly, good enantioselectivity of 84 and 89% ee were obtained for 59 and 62.
respectively. However, 61 did not provide sufficient enantioenrichment (65% ee). One consideration for the selection for the aryldimethylphosphine boranes for the bis(phosphine borane)s synthesis is the enantioenrichment of the asymmetric deprotonation. Considerable effort was invested in an attempt to improve the enantioselective deprotonation of 61.

The goal was to prepare $C_2$-symmetric $P$-chiral diphosphines that provide good selectivities while maintaining sufficient reactivity. For the [4 + 2] cycloisomerization reaction, Livinghouses laboratories found that diphosphines which form 7-member chelates provide very reactive complexes for this transformation with a general trend being $7>6>5>4$. Ligands of the general type, 67, 68 and 69, could possibly provide the necessary requirements to form effective catalysts (Figure 8).

![Figure 8. General Type of P-Chiral Bisphosphine Ligands 67 - 69.](image)

**Oxidative Coupling.** (Scheme 14) Successive enantioselective deprotonation of aryldimethylphosphine boranes with $s$-BuLi/(-)-sparteine complex and subsequent oxidative coupling with CuCl$_2$ provided $C_2$-symmetric $P$-chiral bis(phosphine borane)s.
Analysis of the products by chiral HPLC using a CHIRALPAK® AD and OD-H column was not successful at resolving the enantiomers. Nevertheless, the $C_2$-symmetric bisphosphine boranes were separated from the meso diastereomeric products by selective crystallization.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BH}_3 & \quad \text{a) (-)-sparteine (1.1 equiv)} \\
\text{ArP"CH}_3 & \quad \text{Et}_2\text{O, -78 °C} \\
\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{b) s-BuLi (1.1 equiv)} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{c) CuCl}_2 (3.0 \text{ equiv}) \\
& \quad \text{-20 °C}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 14. Oxidative Coupling to Give $C_2$-Symmetric $P$-Chiral Bis(phosphine borane)s.

**Silylative Coupling.** (Scheme 15) Successive enantioselective deprotonation of aryldimethylphosphine boranes with $s$-BuLi/(-)-sparteine complex and subsequent silylative coupling to $\text{Me}_2\text{SiCl}_2$ and $\text{Cl}_2\text{Me}_4\text{Si}$ gives $C_2$-symmetric $P$-chiral bis(phosphine borane)s. Analysis of the products by chiral HPLC using a CHIRALPAK® AD and OD-H column was not successful at resolving the enantiomers.
Scheme 15. Silylative Coupling to Give

$C_2$-Symmetric $P$-Chiral Bis(phosphine borane)s.

Another series of ligands were proposed during the course of this thesis. Osborn$^{47}$ and Zhang$^{48}$ have described the use of chiral PNP diphosphines in asymmetric synthesis; likewise, Ito$^{49}$ and Trost$^{50}$ have shown that trans-binding diphosphines possess superior qualities as chirality controllers in several asymmetric transformations.
In Livinghouse’s laboratories, a related series of \( P \)-chiral tridentate ligands was proposed. The new ligands described here are constructed around a heteroaromatic core that links two \( P \)-chiral phosphines. By virtue of this design feature, coplanarity will be rigorously maintained over the five contiguous centers containing the central heteroatomic ligand. Accordingly, the \( P \)-chiral phosphine moieties of the ligands 70 and 71 should be held in \textit{trans} binding array with respect to the catalytic metal center.

For ligand 72, \textit{trans} binding of the phosphines should be preferred thermodynamically but ligation at the \textit{fac} positions cannot be ruled out. In addition, the \textit{mer} complexes derived from 72 are expected to possess a greater degree of conformational mobility than complexes from the corresponding 70 and 71 ligands.

![Figure 9. General Type of \( P \)-Chiral Tridentate Ligands 70 - 72.](image)
Mathieu has shown that reaction of Mo(CO)$_6$ with 73 gives the corresponding fac-tricoordinate complex 74 kinetically. Subsequent rearrangement of 74 to the thermodynamic mer isomer 75 was found to be rapid at room temperature (Scheme 16).$^{51}$

![Chemical diagram](image)


It should be emphasized that the trans orientation of the $P$-chiral phosphine centers in complexes derived from the aforementioned ligands is expected to provide a very favorable stereochemical environment for asymmetric catalysis. In addition, the donor ability of the central heteroatom in these ligands is expected to decrease in the order N>S>O. This should provide a means by which the electronic characteristics of the bound, catalytically active metal could be conveniently modified.
Ligands 70 and 71 were synthesized by Wolfe via dynamic resolution of lithiated racemic t-butylphenylphosphine borane with (-)-sparteine. The $C_2$-symmetric bis(phosphine borane) was derived by metallation of the secondary phosphine borane with $n$-BuLi (1.0 equiv) at $-78$ °C in the presence of (-)-sparteine (1.3 equiv). The solution was warmed to ambient temperature and stirred for 30 min followed by cooling to $-78$ °C and immediate bis-alkylation with the requisite dihalide (0.5 equiv) delivered 70 and 71 (Scheme 17).

Scheme 17. Bis-Alkylations Involving Dynamically Resolved t-Butylphenylphosphine-Borane.
Asymmetric lithiation of 59 followed by alkylicative coupling with 2,6-bis(hydroxymethyl)pyridine ditriflate (0.5 equiv) provided the PNP diphosphine borane 76 in 48% yield after recrystallized purification to remove a small amount of the accompanying meso derivative and unreacted prochiral phosphine borane (Scheme 18).

![Scheme 18. Bis-Alkylation to Give PNP Ligand 76.](image)

High enantioselectivity is significant for the synthesis of the bis(phosphine borane)s; likewise, purification of the phosphine products is just as important in the overall scheme. It was necessary to have crystalline material and/or be able to separate the diastereomers by chromatography. Until the chiral phosphine was clean of the diastereomer, it was not useable in the transition metal transformations; therefore, it was imperative to have ligands that could be purified. Unfortunately, there were several ligands synthesized that could not be resolved.
Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Hydrogenation

α-Acylaminoacrylic acid derivatives have proven to be excellent precursors for asymmetric rhodium(I) catalyzed hydrogenation. Enantiomeric excesses of 95-99% are now possible with these derivatives. Bisphosphine catalysts are not as sensitive to reaction variables as earlier catalysts. And excellent results were obtained at higher temperatures and pressures. The efficacies of chiral bisphosphine ligands are analyzed using α-acylaminoacrylic acid derivatives. To determine the efficiency of the aforementioned C₂-symmetric P-chiral phosphine ligands, Z-α-acylaminoacrylic acid derivative 77 was used in the asymmetric hydrogenation with complex 79 as catalyst.

\[
\text{Scheme 19. Asymmetric Hydrogenation of } Z-\alpha-\text{Acylaminoacrylic Acid Derivative 77 with Catalyst Complex 79.}
\]
Ligands 70, 71, 76, 80 - 86 (Figure 10) were tested in the rhodium(I) catalyzed hydrogenation of α-acetamidocinnamic acid derivative 77 (Scheme 19). The results are summarized in Table 9. The catalytic system formed in situ from DIPAMP (16) and [Rh(COD)₂]OTf⁻ hydrogenates methyl (Z)-α-acetamidocinnamate (77) to (R)-N-acetylphenylalanine methyl ester (78) with 99% ee at ambient temperature and 30 psi H₂ (Table 9, entry 1). Likewise, a similar system using CHIRAPHOS (14) provides 85% ee under identical reaction conditions (entry 2). By contrast, the in situ formed catalytic
system using chiral ligand 80 gives only 50% ee (entry 12). Despite extensive experimentation in this area, most of the chiral ligands resulted in disappointingly low enantioselectivity (entries 3-11) in the rhodium(I) catalyzed hydrogenation reaction.

Table 9. Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Z-α-Acylaminoacrylic Acid Derivative 77 with Catalyst Complex 79.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>chiral ligand</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All reactions were carried out in MeOH with mmol substrate and mol % chiral ligand unless otherwise specified. They were carried out at ambient temperature and an initial H₂ pressure of 30 psi. The selectivities were determined by analytical chiral capillary GC using Chrompack Chiral-L-Val column (25 m). In all cases, the ee were determined by comparison with racemic compound.
Contrary to the original concept, placing a stereogenic P atom in the immediate proximity of the metal did not help provide good enantioselectivity in the asymmetric hydrogenation. Various degrees of steric bulk of the substituents placed on the P atom of the ligands prepared in the Livinghouse laboratories provided little selectivity. Efforts towards studying the effects of varying rigidity of the linkages between the stereogenic P atoms did not improve the stereodifferentiating ability of the chiral ligand for the hydrogenation reaction. However, the catalyst system using ligands 80 and 85 (entries 11 and 12) exhibited a trend affording slightly higher enantioselectivity in the hydrogenation. These ligands all have an electron deficient substituent, o-trifluoromethylphenyl, on the phosphine. Based on this information the focus of this thesis was directed towards the use of these electron deficient phosphines in the asymmetric rhodium(I) catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloisomerizations.
Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed [4 + 2] Cycloaddition

Rhodium catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloisomerization of unactivated ene-dienes and diene-ynes are transformations that can be performed on substrates that do not readily undergo thermal or Lewis acid catalyzed Diels-Alder reactions. The scope of this work was to develop and investigate a series of asymmetric phosphine catalysts that were sufficiently active and general for an asymmetric version of this transformation.

![Figure 11. Ene-diene and Diene-yne Substrates for Metal Catalyzed Cycloadditions.](image)

The use of the new chiral bisphosphine ligands, 80, 85, 87 – 89, (Figure 12) to catalyze the cycloisomerization of ene-diene and diene-yne substrates (Figure 11) were investigated. Selectivities as high as 99% ee for ene-diene substrates are reported.
Figure 12. Disubstituted Silyltethered C2-Symmetric P-Chiral Bis(phosphine borane)s.

Substrate 46 was initially used to determine the value of varying the counterion associated with the catalysts used in the rhodium catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloisomerization. Ligands 80 and 85 provided the best results in the asymmetric hydrogenation reaction; therefore, these were the initial ligands used in the investigation of the asymmetric cycloisomerization. Under the neutral counterion conditions (Scheme 20) both 80 and 85 were unable to sufficiently cyclize 46 (Table 10, entries 1 and 2 respectively).
Scheme 20. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition of Ene-diene 46 with Cl\(^-\) as the Counterion.

Table 10. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition of Ene-diene 46 with Cl\(^-\) as the Counterion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Chiral ligand</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee(^b) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1:1), 70 °C, 20 h</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1:1), 70 °C, 20 h</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DUPHOS</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1:1), 70 °C, 20 h</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(+)-DIOP</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1:1), 70 °C, 20 h</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(+)-DIOP</td>
<td>DCE/TFE (1:1), 70 °C, 20 h(^c)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) All reactions were carried out with 0.2 – 0.5 mmol substrate and 2.5 mol % chiral ligand unless otherwise specified. \(^b\) The selectivities were determined by analytical high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on an IBM LC/9533 ternary gradient liquid chromatograph with a variable wavelength detector, using a Daicel CHIRALPAK\(^\circledR\) AD column (250 x 4.6 mm), or a Daicel CHIRALCEL\(^\circledR\) OD-H (250 x 4.6 mm) column. In all cases, the ee were determined by comparison with racemic compounds. \(^c\) The complex, Rh[(ligand)(COD)]\(^+\) SbF\(_6\)^-, was used to catalyze this reaction with prehydrogenation of the phosphine rhodium olefin complex before addition of the substrate.
By altering the nature of the rhodium complex it is possible to change the reactivity of the catalyst system. Cyclic product 47 was obtained in 97% yield and 76% ee (Table 10, entry 4) when (+)-DIOP was used in the neutral catalyst conditions. When the counterion was changed to SbF$_6^-$ 47 was provided in a 96% yield and 68%ee (Table 10, entry 5). Owing to the comparable yields and the reasonable enantioenrichment under the two conditions, counterion SbF$_6^-$ was then investigated for ligands 80 and 85.

Scheme 21. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition of Ene-diene 46 with SbF$_6^-$ as the Counterion.

Table 11. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition
of Ene-diene 46 with SbF₆⁻ as the Counterion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Chiral ligand</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>DCE, 70°C, 20 h</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>DCE, 70°C, 20 h</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>DCE, 70°C, 20 h</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>TFE, 70°C, 20 h</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>DCE, 70°C, 20 h</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>DCE, 70°C, 20 h</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DUPHOS</td>
<td>DCE, 70°C, 20 h</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DIOP</td>
<td>DCE, 70°C, 20 h</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a All reactions were carried out with 0.2 – 0.5 mmol substrate and 2.5 mol % chiral ligand unless otherwise specified. *b The selectivities were determined by analytical HPLC on an IBM LC/9533 ternary gradient liquid chromatograph with a variable wavelength detector, using a Diacel CHIRALPAK® AD column (250 x 4.6 mm), or a Diacel CHIRALCEL® OD-H (250 x 4.6 mm) column. In all cases, the ee were determined by comparison with racemic compounds. *c The catalyst was prepared by prehydrogenation of the phosphine rhodium olefin complex before addition of the substrate.
Ligand 80 provided a 62% yield of the cycloisomerization product in 76% ee while 85 gave 89% yield in 62% ee (Table 11, entries 1 and 2 respectively). Encouraged by these results, a number of other ligands were investigated. Under the same conditions the commercially available (R,R)-Me,Me-DUPHOS gave the cycloaddition product in a 43% yield with a 60% ee; incidentally, when Cl⁻ was used as the counterion the cyclic product was obtained in 83% yield with a selectivity of 71% ee. Both DIOP and DUPHOS gave lower enantioselectivity of the cycloisomerization product when using the less ligating counterion, SbF₆⁻.

The ethyl backbone of 80 provided a higher selectivity but gave a lower yield of the cycloisomerization product compared to 85, which has a silane tethered three-membered backbone. These results are in concurrence with our initial hypothesis that the conformational rigidity of the ethyl backbone leads to high enantioselectivity in asymmetric reactions. Similarly, the flexibility of 85, which forms a six-membered chelate, is in agreement with previous results that indicate enhanced reactivity as the chelation size increases.

![Figure 13. Possible Conformation of Rhodium/Ligand Complexes 80a and 85a, Front View.](image-url)
Figure 14. Possible Conformation of Rhodium/Ligand Complex

80a and 85a, Side View.
The key features of the next series of ligands were developed from the cycloaddition results obtained for ligands 80 and 85. The 5-membered chelate ring of 80a allowed the catalyst to be rigid enough to transfer the chirality from the phosphine; however, this same rigidity decreased the reactivity of the catalyst. The flexible six-membered chelate formed with 85a furnished a more reactive complex but due to the conformational flexibility the catalyst was not able to efficiently transfer the chirality (Figures 13 and 14). The next series of ligands concentrated on the substituents of the silane tethered backbone by replacing the methyl substituents with substituents that would have more steric bulk. It was initially anticipated that as the steric bulk of the silane groups increased, the enantioselectivity of the cycloisomerization product would be enhanced while at the same time the reactivity may possibly decrease. The methyl substituents on the silane were replaced with isopropyls, cyclohexyls, and phenyls. These new C2-symmetric P-chiral phosphine ligands (87 - 89) were synthesized and their efficacy in rhodium(I) catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloaddition were investigated.
Ligand 87, which contains isopropyl substituents on the silane, resulted in 85% yield of 47 while increasing the selectivity to 91% ee (Table 11, entry 4) compared to 62% ee for 85 (entry 2). In concurrence with the initial hypothesis, 88 provided a 71% yield in 87% ee (entry 5) of cyclic product. Finally, catalysis with 89 gave only a 55% ee with a 72% yield (entry 6). A decrease in the overall reactivity was observed with the more sterically encumbered substituents on the silane while the selectivity increased except for conditions using 89.
The extent of the cycloaddition reaction was investigated with a variety of substrates selected in order to establish the generality of the process and its applicability to commonly encountered synthetic problems. In contrast with the results obtained with substrate 46, ene-diene 92 bearing a methyl substituent at the external terminus of the alkene group provides cyclic product 93 in low yields with an undesired mixture of isomers 94 and 95.

Scheme 22. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition of Ene-diene 92 with SbF$_6$ as the Counterion.
Table 12. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition of Ene-diene 92 with SbF$_6^-$ as the Counterion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Chiral ligand</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Yield 93 (%)</th>
<th>ee$^b$ (%)</th>
<th>Yield 94-95 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>TFE, 55 °C, 24 h$^c$</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>TFE, 70 °C, 36 h$^c$</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>DCE/EA (6:1), 55 °C, 24 h$^c$</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>DCE, 70 °C, 36 h$^d$</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>TFE, 55 °C, 24 h$^c$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>TFE, 55 °C, 24 h$^c$</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>DCE/EA (6:1), 55 °C, 24 h$^c$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>TFE, 55 °C, 24 h$^c$</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>TFE, 55 °C, 24 h$^c$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ All reactions were carried out with 0.2 – 0.5 mmol substrate and 2.5 mol % chiral ligand unless otherwise specified. $^b$ The selectivities were determined by analytical HPLC on an IBM LC/9533 ternary gradient liquid chromatograph with a variable wavelength detector, using a Diacel CHIRALPAK® AD column (250 x 4.6 mm), or a Diacel CHIRALCEL® OD-H (250 x 4.6 mm) column. In all cases, the ee were determined by comparison with racemic compounds. $^c$ The catalyst was prepared by prehydrogenation of the phosphine rhodium olefin complex before addition of the substrate. $^d$ The complex, Rh(ligand)(COE)$_2$Cl, was used to catalyze this reaction without hydrogenation.
Under the conditions found to work best for substrate 46, catalysis with 80 in TFE at 70 °C provided cycloaddition product 93 with good selectivity, 77% ee (entry 2). Consequently, this reaction afforded 93 in low yields accompanied with the formation of a mixture of isomers, 94 and 95. In an effort to prevent the formation of the isomers the reaction was performed at a lower temperature, 55 °C. The yield of 93 decreased while the formation of the isomers remained the same (entry 1). It was also anticipated that decreasing the reactivity of the catalyst precursor might affect the distribution between 93 and the isomers, 94 and 95. Attempts using the catalyst generated from [(COE)2RhCl]2 provided trace amount of 93 with a majority of starting substrate left.

Gilbertson et. al.44 found that the addition of ethyl acetate to the solvent system decreased the rate of reaction of the metal catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloisomerization. They suggest that the ethyl acetate coordinates to an intermediate along the catalytic cycle and alters the rate of both the desired and undesired pathways. Using their solvent system, DCE and ethyl acetate (6/1), at 55 °C for 60 h provided product in 25% yield with similar enantioenrichment (76% ee) and no observable isomer.

In accord with the results obtained with 80 in TFE at 55 °C, ligands 85 and 87 provided product in low yields along with the formation of 94 and 95. Meanwhile, the enantioenrichment was excellent, 98 and 99% ee respectively (entries 5 and 6). To improve the efficiency of this cycloaddition with 87, the reaction was run in DCE and ethyl acetate (6/1) which led to complete recovery of starting material. The cycloisomerization was investigated using ligand 88 and 89 in TFE. These conditions
gave no appreciable cycloaddition reaction but with 89 as the ligand 7% of the isomers formed.

Scheme 23. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition of Ene-diene 96 with SbF₆⁻ as the Counterion.

Substrate 96 bearing methyl group at the internal terminus did not undergo cycloaddition (Scheme 23). This reaction was submitted to a variety of solvent and temperature conditions. Incidentally, the non-asymmetric cycloaddition, 1:2 ratio of [(COE)₂RhCl]₂ and [(F₃C)₂CHO]₃P in THF, provided product in high yield (97%).

Scheme 24. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition of Diene-yne 98 with SbF₆⁻ as the Counterion.
It was initially hoped that these catalysts systems developed herein would be effective enough to cycloisomerize both the ene-dienes and diene-ynes. Instead, diene-yne 98 did not provide the cycloaddition product when using ligand 80 but did consume the substrate. TLC showed three distinctive spots. Crude $^1$H NMR did not have any identifiable protons.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{49} & \quad \text{[Rh(chiral ligand)(solvent)$_2$]}^+ \text{SbF}_6^- \\
& \rightarrow \text{50} + \text{51}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 25. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition of Ene-diene 49 with SbF$_6^-$ as the Counterion.

The results obtained for the asymmetric rhodium(I) catalyzed intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloisomerization of ene-diene, 49, with 87 gave none of the cycloisomerization, but formed vinyl ether 51 in 8% yield. Attempts with (S,S)-CHIRAPHOS as a ligand gives the desired product 50 in 70% yield with trace amount of 51. Catalysis with (S,S)-Me,Me-DUPHOS proved to be unreactive.
Scheme 26. Asymmetric Rhodium(I) Catalyzed Cycloaddition of Diene-yne 52 with SbF$_6^-$ as the Counterion.

Catalysis of 52 with 87 yielded no desired product by NMR but did seem to consume most of 52 and provided an array of other unidentifiable compounds.
CHAPTER 4

CONCLUSION

This thesis has described an efficient method for the preparation of $C_2$-symmetric $P$-chiral bis(phosphine borane)s which provide substantial enantioselectivities in asymmetric rhodium(I) catalyzed [4 + 2] cycloisomerization reactions. Of significance was the synthetic strategy towards the preparation of the $P$-chiral diphosphines that involved the asymmetric deprotonation of prochiral aryl(dimethylphosphine boranes using organolithium/chiral diamine ligand complexes. The most successful chiral diamine ligand assayed for the enantioenriched metalation was (-)-sparteine.

These new ligands were used in asymmetric rhodium(I) catalyzed hydrogenation of dehydroamino acid. The low enantioenrichment achieved in the hydrogenation reactions directed the research herein to develop a new series of $P$-chiral diphosphines. These ligands were applied in the rhodium(I) catalyzed intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition. Although these catalyst systems were not general for all the ene-diene and diene-yne substrates evaluated, it was found that the selectivities of these reactions were as high as 99% ee.
EXPERIMENTAL

General Experimental

Melting points were obtained using a Mel-Temp II apparatus equipped with a digital thermometer and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin Elmer 241 MC polarimeter with a sodium lamp and reported as follows: \([\alpha]_\lambda^T^\circ C (c = g/100 \text{ ml of solvent})\). Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 1600 FT-IR. Standard KBr pellet procedures obtained infrared spectra of solids. \(^1\)H NMR was recorded on Bruker DPX-300 (300 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane with residual hydrogen bearing solvent resonance as the internal standard (deuterochloroform (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 7.24 ppm). Data reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = single, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, pent = pentet, m = multiplet, etc.), integration, coupling constant (Hz), and assignment. \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were recorded on a DPX-300 (75 MHz) spectrometer with complete proton decoupling. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane with solvent as the internal standard (CDCl\(_3\): \(\delta\) 77.0 ppm). Data reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity, coupling constant, and assignment. \(^{31}\)P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX-300 (121 MHz) spectrometer with complete proton decoupling. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from H\(_3\)PO\(_4\).
with \( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \) as an external standard (\( \delta \) 0.0 ppm). Mass spectra were obtained on a BG 70 series mass spectrometer, under electron impact conditions at 70 eV.

Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Polygram\textsuperscript{®} SIL G/UV\textsubscript{254} 0.25 mm silica gel plates with fluorescent indicator supplied by Alltech and Scientific Adsorbent. UV-light, iodine, and KMnO\textsubscript{4} accomplished visualization. Flash chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel 60. Solvents for extraction and flash chromatography were reagent grades. Where reported, the enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by analytical high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on an IBM LC/9533 ternary gradient liquid chromatograph with a variable wavelength detector, using a Daicel CHIRALPAK\textsuperscript{®} AD column (250 x 4.6 mm), or a Daicel CHIRALCEL\textsuperscript{®} OD-H (250 x 4.6 mm) column. In all cases, the ee is determined by comparison with racemic compounds.

All experiments were conducted in oven and/or flame-dried glassware with dry solvents while under an atmosphere of dry argon. (-)-Sparteine was distilled from CaH\textsubscript{2} under vacuum and stored at -20 °C under an atmosphere of argon. Diethyl ether (Et\textsubscript{2}O), tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dimethoxyethane (DME) were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Alkyllithiums were titrated with \( \text{N}-\text{pivaloyl}-\text{o}-\text{benzylaniline} \). Spectral and physical properties for compounds made by literature preparations are consistent with values reported.
Chloro-\(P,P\)-dimethylphosphine borane (100)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BH}_3 \\
\text{Cl} & \quad \text{P} \\
\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{CH}_3
\end{align*}
\]

A flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic Teflon-coated spinbar was fitted with a rubber septum and purged with argon. The flask was charged with \(\text{Et}_2\text{O}\) (40 mL), cooled to 0 °C then tarred. Hydrogen chloride gas (7.27 g, 200 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was dissolved into the tared flask of \(\text{Et}_2\text{O}\) at 0 °C. The resulting solution was cooled to -78 °C and dimethyl(bisethy lammino)phosphineborane (14.6 g, 100 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise via syringe. Once the addition was complete the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at -5 °C. The precipitate was filtered away and washed with \(\text{Et}_2\text{O}\) (3 x 20 mL). The organic layers were combined and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by distillation (25 °C, 5 μtorr) to provide chlorodimethylphosphine borane (10.9 g, 99%) as a clear oil. \(^1\text{H}\) NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 1.83 (d, \(J_{\text{P-H}} = 8.3\) Hz, 6H, \(\text{P(CH}_3)_2\)), 0.81 (br dq, \(J_{\text{B-H}} = 98.9, 10.3\) Hz, 3H, \(\text{BH}_3\)); \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 19.62 (d, \(J_{\text{P-C}} = 28.1\) Hz, \(\text{P(CH}_3)_2\)); \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR (121 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 103.57 (q, \(J_{\text{B-P}} = 42.9\) Hz); IR (NaCl film): 2986, 2915, 2386, 2331, 2231, 1411, 1292, 1055, 956, 916, 858, 751, 592 cm\(^{-1}\).
A 1 L flame-dried 3 neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic Teflon-coated spinbar, a -78 °C cold finger, and a 500 mL pressure equalizing addition funnel was charged with magnesium metal (24.3 g, 1.0 mol, 1.0 equiv) and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and Et₂O (500 mL) was subsequently added. Chloromethane gas was added dropwise via cold finger over a period of 4 h while maintaining a gentle reflux until all the magnesium metal had been consumed. The Grignard was cooled to 0 °C followed by the addition of dichlorobis(diethylamino)phosphine (70 g, 0.4 mol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (200 mL) dropwise from a constant rate addition funnel over a 3 h period. The resulting suspension was warmed to ambient temperature and stirred for an additional 4 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to -78 °C and borane-methyl sulfide complex (10.1 M, 36.9 mL, 0.38 mol, 0.95 equiv) was added dropwise from a constant rate addition funnel over a 30 min period. The suspension was warmed to ambient temperature and stirred for an additional 3 h. The precipitate was filtered away and washed with 3-150 mL portions of anhydrous Et₂O. The organic layers were combined and concentrated in vacuo.
The resulting orange suspension was filtered through a pad of florisil (benzene for elution). The yellow solution was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by fractional distillation (61 °C, 0.40 mm Hg) to provide dimethyl(bisethylammino)phosphine borane (33.1 g, 62%) as a colorless oil. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 3.01 (dq, $J_{P-H,H-H} = 10.8$, 7.0 Hz, 4H, N(CH$_2$CH$_3$)), 1.32 (d, $J_{P-H} = 9.3$ Hz, 6H, P(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.04 (t, $J_{H-H} = 7.0$, 6H, N(CH$_2$CH$_3$)), 0.54 (br dq, $J_{B-H} = 94.5$, 15.0 Hz, 3H, BH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 39.8 (d, $J_{P-C} = 2.6$ Hz, PN(CH$_2$CH$_3$)), 14.3 (d, $J_{P-C} = 42.2$ Hz, P(CH$_3$)$_2$), 14.1 (PN(CH$_2$CH$_3$)$_2$); $^{31}$P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 58.09 (br q, $J_{B-P} = 73.6$ Hz); IR (NaCl film): $\nu = 2972$, 2872, 2374, 2256, 1464, 1378, 1302, 1178, 1070, 946, 402 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C$_6$H$_{16}$NP ($M^+$ -BH$_3$) 133.1020, found 133.1039.

$P,P$-Dimethylphenylphosphine borane (57)

A flame-dried 500 mL 3 neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic Teflon-coated spinbar, a $-78$ °C cold finger, and a 100 mL pressure equalizing addition funnel was charged with magnesium metal (5.29 g, 218 mmol, 1.1 equiv)
and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and Et₂O (100 mL) was subsequently added. Chloromethane gas (10.1 g, 200 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added slowly via a cold finger over a 4 h period to maintain a gentle reflux. The reaction was cooled to −78 °C and a solution of dichlorophenylphosphine (13 mL, 99 mmol, 0.5 equiv) in Et₂O (50 mL) was added over a period of 1 h from a constant rate addition funnel. After the completed addition, the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stir overnight. Borane-methyl sulfide complex (10.1 M, 10 mL, 101 mmol, 0.51 equiv) was added at 0 °C from a constant rate addition funnel over a 30 min period then allowed to stir for 2 h at ambient temperature after the addition was complete. The Grignard was quenched with 0 °C aqueous ammonium chloride. The reaction mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 100 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by distillation (90 °C, 1 mm Hg) to provide 57 (5.28 g, 60.0%) as colorless oil. Spectral data consistent with that reported.22
P,P-Dihalide-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine borane (halide = Br and/or Cl) (102)

A 1 L flame-dried 3 neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic Teflon-coated spinbar, a reflux condenser, and a 500 mL pressure equalizing addition funnel was charged with magnesium metal (24 g, 1.0 mol, 1.0 equiv) and fitted with rubber septums. The flask was purged with argon, and Et₂O (300 mL) was subsequently added. A solution of 2-bromobenzotrifluoride (136 mL, 1.0 mol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (200 mL) was added via the pressure equalizing addition funnel over a 5 h period to maintain a gentle reflux. A 2 L flame-dried 3 neck round-bottom flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer and rubber septums was charged with a solution of phosphorus trichloride (151 g, 1.1 mol, 1.1 equiv) in Et₂O (500 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. The above Grignard solution was added via cannula over a period of 1.5 h. After the completed addition, the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred for 18 h. The resulting precipitate was filtered and the brown solute was concentrated in vacuo. The brown oil was purified by high vacuum distillation (70 °C/0.05 mm Hg) to provide 102 as a clear oil (139 g.). This compound was directly used in the next step to make P, P-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphinoborane (59).
A flame-dried 3 L 3 neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic Teflon-coated spinbar and a −78 °C cold finger was charged with magnesium metal (53.5 g, 2.2 mol, 1.1 equiv) and fitted with rubber septums. The flask was purged with argon, and Et₂O (2 L) was subsequently added. Chloromethane gas (101 g, 2.0 mol, 1.0 equiv) was added slowly via a cold finger over an 8 h period to maintain a gentle reflux. To a 5 L flame-dried 3 neck round-bottom flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer and reflux condenser was charged with dihalide-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine (102) (139 g, halide = Cl and Br), Et₂O (750 mL), and fitted with rubber septums. The reaction was cooled to −78 °C and the above grignard solution was added via cannula over a period of 1 h. After the completed addition, the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stir for 7 h. The reaction suspension was cooled to 0 °C and borane-methyl sulfide complex (10.1 M, 101 mL, 1.02 mol, 0.51 equiv) was added from a constant rate addition funnel over a 30 min period then allowed to
warm to ambient temperature and stir for 18 h after the addition was complete. Excess Grignard was quenched with 0 °C aqueous ammonium chloride. The reaction mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 100 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by distillation (73 °C, 1 mm Hg) to provide 59 (180 g, 82%) as colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.32 (d with fine coupling, Jₚ-H = 15.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.80 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.64 (m, 2H, ArH), 1.68 (d, Jₚ-H = 0.3 Hz, 3H, PCH₃), 0.85 (br q, Jₚ-H = 9.1 Hz, 3H, BH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 137.5 (d, Jₚ-C = 17.9 Hz, CH), 132.5 (d, Jₚ-C = 12.5 Hz, CH), 132.2 (CH), 129.3 (d, Jₚ-C = 40.7 Hz, C), 128.0 (m, CH), 124.5 (q, Jₚ-C = 271.6, C), 13.7 (d, Jₚ-C = 38.9 Hz, CH₃); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 10.9 (br q, Jₚ-P = 60.3 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -57.1; IR(NaCl film): 3061, 2374 (broad, B-H), 1592, 1568, 1432, 1201, 1176, 1122, 1064, 919, 764 cm⁻¹; HRMS calculated for C₉H₁₅BF₃P (M⁺ -BH₄) 206.0472, found 206.0471.
A 250 mL flamed-dried round-bottom flask with a magnetic Teflon-coated spinbar was fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with a solution of (tri-n-butylstannyl)ferrocene (7.42 g, 15.6 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in THF (45 mL). At -78 °C, n-butyllithium (2.2 M in hexane, 7.09 mL, 15.6 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added via syringe over 10 min. Once the addition was complete, the resulting solution stirred for 1 h at -78 °C then for 15 min at 0 °C. Chlorodimethylphosphineborane (1.57 g, 14.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise at -78 °C from a constant rate addition funnel and allowed to warm to ambient temperature after the addition was complete and stirred for 5 h. The solution was diluted with Et₂O (50 mL), washed with H₂O (75 mL) and brine (75 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue, an orange solid, was dissolved in 3 mL of toluene and purified by flash column chromatography (100% hexanes to 20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide 62 (3.15 g, 85%) as an orange crystal. m.p. 103.4 - 104.7 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.40 (app br d with fine
coupling, $J_{P,H} = 7.3$ Hz, 4H, CpH), 4.30 (s, 5H, CpH), 1.47 (d, $J_{P_H} = 10.4$ Hz, 6H, PCH$_3$), 0.73 (br q, $J_{B-H} = 95.6$Hz, 3H, BH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 71.7 (d, $J_{P,C} = 7.3$ Hz, CH), 71.2 (d, $J_{P,C} = 10.3$ Hz, CH), 69.9 (CH), 14.5 (d, $J_{P,C} = 40.3$ Hz, CH$_3$); $^{31}$P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 0.03 (br q, $J_{B-P} = 61.4$ Hz); IR (NaCl film): 2361, 2330, 1420, 1185, 1072, 1029, 952, 932, 888, 819 cm$^{-1}$. HRMS (EI): $m/z$ calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{15}$PFe (M$^+$ -BH$_3$) requires 246.0261, found 246.0253.

$P,P$-Dimethyl-2,6-dimethoxyphenylphosphine borane (60)

A 250 mL flamed-dried round-bottom flask with a magnetic Teflon-coated spinbar was fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with a solution of 1-bromo-2,6-dimethoxybenzene (6.52 g, 30.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et$_2$O (75 mL). At $-78$ °C, n-butyllithium (2.4 M in hexane, 7.09 mL, 15.6 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added via syringe over 10 min. Once the addition was complete, the resulting solution stirred for 15 min at $-78$ °C then for 2 h at 0 °C. A solution of chlorodimethylphosphine borane (3.31 g, 30.0 mmol, 1.0
equiv) in Et₂O (10 mL) was added dropwise at -78 °C from a constant rate addition funnel and allowed to stir for 3 h then warmed to -40 °C and stirred for 18 h. The reaction was allowed to warm to 0 °C and stirred for 3 h. Excess Grignard was quenched with 0 °C aqueous ammonium chloride. The reaction mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 100 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The clear crystals were purified by dissolving in hot 20% ethyl acetate/hexanes to provide 60 (3.96 g, 56%) as a clear crystal.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃):  δ 7.33 (t, J_H-H = 8.4, 1H, ArH), 6.52 (dd J_H-H = 8.37 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.50 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 1.65 (d, J_P-H = 10.5 Hz, 6H, PCH₃), 0.77 (br q, J_B-H = 91.8 Hz, 3H, BH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃):  δ 162.9 (C), 133.5 (CH), 106.5 (d, J_P-C = 50.7 Hz, C), 105.0 (C), 56.2 (CH₃), 15.2 (d, J_P-C = 41.4 Hz, CH₃); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃):  δ 0.74 (q, J_B-P = 60.1 Hz).
(R<sub>Pr</sub>R<sub>Pr</sub>)-Bis[ferrocenylmethylphosphinoborane)methyl]dimethylsilane (83)

A flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with a solution of (-)-sparteine (2.58 g, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (35 mL) at -78 °C. Sec-butyllithium (1.3 M in hexane, 8.46 mL, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise and stirred for 10 min after the addition. A solution of P,P-dimethylferrocenylphosphine borane (2.60 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was added over 5 min via cannula. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 5 h at -78 °C, then dichlorodimethylsilane (645 mg, 5.0 mmol, 0.5 equiv) was added, warmed to -20 °C and stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (5 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL) and brine (15 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a plug of silica gel, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography, the residue was loaded on the column by dissolving in hot toluene (5 mL), (5% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide the 83
(1.30 g, 45%) as an orange solid. Recrystallization from hot toluene afforded meso free 83 (1.06 g, 37%) as an orange crystal. m.p. 141.8 – 143.9 °C (decomposition); [α]D20° = –3.0 (c = 0.10, CH2Cl2); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 4.46 (m; 2H, CpH), 4.39 (m, 4H, CpH), 4.30 (m, 2H, CpH), 4.25 (s, 10H, CpH), 1.53 (d, Jp-H = 10.0 Hz, 6H, PCH3), 1.13 (p, Jp-H = 14.7 Hz, 4H, PCH2), 1.40 – 0.10 (br m, 6H, BH3), 0.13 (s, 6H, SiCH3); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 74.0 (d, Jp-C = 62.9 Hz, C), 72.6 (d, Jp-C = 13.9 Hz, CH), 71.4 (d, Jp-C = 7.9 Hz, CH), 71.0 (dd, JFe-C = 106.4 Hz, Jp-C = 6.3 Hz, CH) 70.0 (CH), 17.5 (d, Jp-C = 24.9 Hz, CH, CH3), 16.0 (d, Jp-C = 40.9 Hz, CH2), 1.1 (CH3); 31P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl3): δ 4.3 (br d, JB-P = 73.8 Hz); IR (KBr): 2364 (broad, B-H), 1413, 1297, 1251, 1174, 1062, 908, 824 cm−1; HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C26H34SiP2Fe2 (M+ - B2H4CH3) requires 548.0604, found 548.0595.

(Rp,Rp)-Bis[methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine borane]ethane (80)

A 100 mL flame-dried round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged
with argon, and charged with a solution of (-)-sparteine (2.58 g, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in Et₂O (35 mL) at -78 °C. Sec-butyllithium (1.3 M in hexane, 8.46 mL, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise and stirred for 10 min after the addition. A solution of P,P-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphineborane (2.20 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (5 mL) was added over 5 min via cannula. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h at -78 °C, then anhydrous copper (II) chloride (4.03 g, 30.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added in one batch under a flow of argon, warmed to -20 °C and stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with 25% aqueous ammonium hydroxide (15 mL), H₂O (15 mL), and brine (15 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a plug of silica gel, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (0 - 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide 80 (1.36 g, 62%) as white solid. Recrystallization from 20% ethyl acetate in hexanes afforded meso free 80 (1.20 g, 55%) as a white crystal. m.p. 134.1–136.1 °C; [α]D 30°C -0.695 (c = 8.07, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.27 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.75 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.64 (m, 4H, ArH), 2.14 (br m, 2H, PCH₂CH₂), 1.89 (br m, 2H, PCH₂CH₂), 1.69 (m, 6H, PCH₃), 1.45 - 0.15 (br q, Jₚ,B = 88.0, 6H, BH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.1 (br d, Jₚ,B = 56.9Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -57.5 (s); IR (NaCl film): 2385 (broad, B-H), 1437, 1313, 1181, 1114, 1068,
1036, 910, 775 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (EI) \(m/z\) calculated for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{18}\)F\(_6\)P\(_2\) (M\(^{+}\) -B\(_2\)H\(_6\)) 410.0788, found 410.0784.

\((R_P,R_P)\)-Bis\([\text{methyl}-2-(\text{trifluoromethyl})\text{phenylphosphine borane}]\text{methyl}\)dimethylsilane (85)

A flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with a solution of (-)-sparteine (2.58 g, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in Et\(_2\)O (35 mL) at -78 °C. Sec-butyllithium (1.3 M in hexane, 8.46 mL, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise and stirred for 10 min after the addition. A solution of \(P,P\)-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphineborane (2.20 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et\(_2\)O (5.0 mL) was added over 5 min via cannula. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h at -78 °C, then dichlorodimethylsilane (645 mg, 5.0 mmol, 0.5 equiv) was added via syringe, warmed to -20 °C and stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (5 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et\(_2\)O (3 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H\(_2\)O (15 mL) and brine (15 mL) respectively. The organic layer was
dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a plug of silica gel, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (0 - 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide 85 (1.71 g, 69%) as a white solid. Recrystallization from hot Et₂O afforded meso free 85 (1.41 g, 57%) as a white crystal. m.p. 76.8 - 77.6 °C; [α]D²⁸°C +1.69(c = 2.60, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.37 (d with fine coupling, Jₚ-H = 16.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.76 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.60 (m, 4H, ArH), 1.67 (d, Jₚ-H = 9.9 Hz, 6H, PCH₃), 1.47 (app dt, Jₚ-H, H-H = 48.3, 14.8 Hz, 6H, PCH₂Si), 0.87 (br q, Jₚ-H = 95.1 Hz, 6H, BH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 138.2 (d, Jₚ,C = 19.5 Hz, CH), 132.4 (d, Jₚ,C = 12.9 Hz, CH), 132.2 (CH), 131.9 (m, C), 130.2 (d, Jₚ,C = 39.5 Hz, C), 128.1 (m, CH), 124.6 (q, Jₚ,C = 273.4 Hz, C), 15.8 (d, Jₚ,C = 41.6 Hz, CH₂), 15.3 (d, Jₚ,C = 26.2 Hz, CH₃), 0.26 (CH₃); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 15.3 (br d, Jₚ,P = 79.1 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -56.6 (s); IR (KBr): 2376 (broad, B-H), 1436, 1313, 1265, 1182, 1118, 1035, 901, 832, 770, 738 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C₂₀H₂₆B₁F₆SiP₂ (M+ BH₄) 480.1313, found 480.1298.
(R_P,R_P)-Bis{[methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine borane|methyl]diisopropyl-silane (87)

A 100 mL flame-dried round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar was fitted with a rubber septum and purged with argon. The flask was charged with a solution of (-)-sparteine (2.58 g, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in Et₂O (35 mL) at -78 °C then sec-butyllithium (1.3 M in hexane, 8.46 mL, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise and stirred for 10 min. Once the addition was complete a solution of P,P-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphineborane (2.20 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (5.0 mL) was added over 5 min via cannula. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h at -78 °C, then dichlorodiisopropylsilane (645 mg, 5.0 mmol, 0.5 equiv) was added via syringe, warmed to -20 °C and stirred for 42 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (5 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H₂O (15 mL) and brine (15 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a plug of silica gel, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified
by flash column chromatography (0 - 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide 87 (1.77g, 64%) as white solid. Recrystallization from hot Et₂O afforded meso free 87 (1.55g, 57%) as a white crystal. m.p. 151.2-155.1 °C; [α]D28° +4.12 (c = 1.97, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.40 (dt, JP-H-H-H = 16.0, 4.3 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.77 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.59 (m, 4H, ArH), 1.76 (d, JP-H = 9.8 Hz, 6H, PCH₃), 1.68 (m, 4H, PCH₂Si), 1.13 (septet, JH-H = 7.4 Hz, 2H, SiCH(CH₃)₂), 0.92 (d, JH-H = 7.4 Hz, 6H, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.62 (d, JH-H = 7.4 Hz, 6H, CH₃CHCH₃); ¹³C (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 138.4 (d, JP-C = 19.5 Hz, CH), 132.4 (d, JP-C = 13.0 Hz, CH), 132.0 (CH), 130.5 (d, JP-C = 39.4 Hz, C), 128.2 (m, CH), 124.7 (q, JP-C = 274.1, C), 18.7 (CH₃), 18.2 (CH₃), 16.1 (app dd, JP-C = 39.8, 4.3 Hz, CH₂), 13.9 (CH), 9.3 (d, JP-C = 21.4, CH₃); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 15.7 (br d, JB-P = 65.4 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -56.3 (s); IR (KBr): 2870, 2368 (B-H), 1436, 1311, 1266, 1187, 1116, 1036, 900, 802, 773, 740, 702, 682 cm⁻¹; HRMS (El): m/z calculated for C₂₄H₃₄BF₆P₂Si (M⁺-BH₄) 536.1935, found 536.1939.
(R_P,R_P)-Bis[(methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine borane]methyl}dicyclohexyl-silane (88)

![Chemical structure diagram]

A flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with a solution of (-)-sparteine (2.58 g, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in Et_2O (35 mL) at -78 °C. Sec-butyllithium (1.3 M in hexane, 8.46 mL, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise and stirred for 10 min after the addition. A solution of P,P-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphineborane (2.20 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et_2O (5.0 mL) was added over 5 min via cannula. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h at -78 °C, then dichlorodicyclohexylsilane (645 mg, 5.0 mmol, 0.5 equiv) was added via syringe, warmed to -20 °C and stirred for 3 days. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (5 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et_2O (3 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H_2O (15 mL) and brine (15 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a plug of silica.
gel, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (0 - 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide 88 (1.54g, 49%) as white foam. Recrystallization from hot hexanes afforded meso free 88 (1.14g, 36%) as a white crystal. m.p. 109.9-110.9 °C; [α]D 28°C +2.02 (c = 1.49, CH2Cl2); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.44 (app dd, Jₚ-H, H·H = 15.9, 6.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.77 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.60 (m, 4H, ArH), 1.75 (d, Jₚ-H = 10.0 Hz, 6H, PCH₃), 1.71 (32H, alkyl protons); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 138.5 (d, Jₚ-C = 19.3 Hz, C), 132.4 (d, Jₚ-C = 13.0 Hz, C), 131.98 (m, C), 131.95 (CH), 131.1 (d, Jₚ-C = 39.1 Hz, C), 128.2 (m, CH), 124.7 (q, Jₚ-C = 273.7 Hz, C), 30.1 (CH), 28.5 (CH₂), 28.4 (CH₂), 28.2 (CH₂), 27.0 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 16.2 (app dd, Jₚ-C = 39.8, 4.1 Hz, CH₂), 8.7 (d, Jₚ-C = 21.4 Hz, CH₃); 31P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl3): δ 15.8 (br d, Jₚ-P = 49.0 Hz); 19F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl3): δ -56.2 (s); IR (KBr): 2924, 2850, 2373 (B-H), 1436, 1310, 1180, 1117, 1036, 901, 772 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C₃0H₃₉F₆SiP₂ (M⁺ -B₂H₇) 603.2190, found 603.2201.
A 100 mL flame-dried round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar was fitted with a rubber septum and purged with argon. The flask was charged with a solution of (-)-sparteine (2.58 g, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in Et₂O (35 mL) at -78 °C then sec-butyllithium (1.3 M in hexane, 8.46 mL, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise and stirred for 10 min. Once the addition was complete a solution of P,P-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphineborane (2.20 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (5.0 mL) was added over 5 min via cannula. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h at -78 °C, then dichlorodiphenylsilane (645 mg, 5.0 mmol, 0.5 equiv) was added via syringe, warmed to -20 °C and stirred for 3 days. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl) (5 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H₂O (15 mL) and brine (15 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a plug of silica gel, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified
by flash column chromatography (0 - 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide 89 (1.14g, 37%) as white solid. Recrystallization in hot Et₂O afforded meso free 89 (755mg, 25%) m.p. = 117.0 -118.3 °C; [α]D³¹°C = -1.45 (c = 1.73, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.01 (dd, J₉-H, H-H = 16.3, 7.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.62 (app d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.44 (t, J₉-H = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.28 (m, 8H, ArH), 7.10 (m, 4H, ArH), 2.39 (app pentet, J = 15.5 Hz, 4H, SiCH₂P), 1.36 (d, J₉-p = 10.1 Hz, 3H, PCH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 138.1 (d, J₉-C = 19.7 Hz, CH), 135.8 (CH), 133.6 (C), 132.3 (d, J₉-C = 13.3 Hz, C), 131.0 (d, J₉-C = 39.4 Hz, C), 130.3 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 127.7 (m, CH), 124.7 (q, J₉-C = 273.3 Hz, C), 14.7 (app dd, J₉-C = 39.8, 4.3 Hz, CH₂), 12.1 (d, J₉-C = 22.7 Hz, CH₃); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 15.9 (br d, J₉-P = 55.7 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -56.4 (s); IR (KBr): 2399 (B-H), 1426,1312, 1174, 1113, 1036, 901, 767, 699 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₃₄F₆SiP₂ (M⁺ -B₂H₇) 591.1262, found 591.1255.
(R,R)-2,6-Bis[[(methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)phosphine boranelyethyl]pyridine (76)

A flame-dried 50 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with a solution of trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (310 mg, 1.1 mmol, 2.2 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at -78 °C. A solution of pyridine (87 mg, 1.1 mmol, 2.2 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) was added dropwise by syringe and stirred for an additional 15 min at -78°C. The reaction mixture was to warm to 0 °C and stirred for 15 min. After the allotted time the mixture was cooled to -78°C and a solution of 2,6-pyridinedimethanol (70 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added via cannula. The resulting reaction mixture stirred for 30 min at -78°C then warmed to 0°C and allowed to stir for an additional 15 min. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice water (5 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 5 mL). The organic extracts were combined dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a
plug of florisil, and concentrated in vacuo at ambient temperature to provide 2,6-bis(triflatomethyl)pyridine as a violet colored oil. Meanwhile, a flame-dried test tube (16 x 100 mm) was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with a solution of (-)-sparteine (258 mg, 1.1 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in Et₂O (1.0 mL) at -78 °C. Sec-butyllithium (1.3 M in hexane, 846 µL, 1.1 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise and stirred for 10 min after the addition. A solution of P₂P-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphineborane (220 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (1.0 mL) was added over 5 min via cannula. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h at -78 °C, then the freshly prepared 2,6-bis(triflatomethyl)pyridine (135 mg, 0.5 mmol, 0.5 equiv) was added dropwise as a solution in toluene (1.0 mL) via syringe. The reaction mixture was warmed to -20 °C and stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (4 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et₂O (4 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H₂O (10 mL) and brine (10 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a plug of silica gel, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (0 - 30% ethyl acetate in hexanes) afforded 76 (155 mg, 57%) as white solid. Recrystallized in 20% ethyl acetate in hexanes to provide meso free 76 (130 mg, 48%) as a white crystal. m.p. = 132.8 - 135.7 °C; [α]_D²⁸ = -4.0 (c = 0.10, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.33 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.79 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.62 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.35 (app t, J_H-H = 7.7 Hz,
1H, ArH), 6.83 (d, J_H-H = 7.7 Hz, ArH), 2.95 (m, 2H, CHHCH2P), 2.67 (m, 2H, CHHCH2P), 2.52 (m, 2H, PCHHCH2), 2.38 (m, 2H, PCHHCH2), 1.71 (d, J_P-H = 10.2 Hz, 6H, PCH3), 0.87 (br q, J_B-H = 9.40 Hz, 6H, BH3); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 159.7 (d, J_P-C = 13.9 Hz, C), 138.8 (d, J_P-C = 18.1 Hz, CH), 137.1 (CH), 132.4 (CH), 132.1 (CH), 127.6 (C), 124.5 (q, J_F-C = 273.9 Hz, C), 120.8 (CH), 31.9 (CH2), 26.4 (d, J_P-C = 37.7 Hz, CH2), 12.0 (d, J_P-C = 35.3 Hz, CH3); 31P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl3): δ 18.6 (br d, J_B-B = 7.7 Hz); 19F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl3): δ -57.2 (s); HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C25H24NF6P2 (M+ B2H7) requires 514.1288, found 514.1294.

(Rp,Rp)-Bis{[methyl-2,6-dimethoxyphenylphosphine borane]methyl}bis(dimethylsilane) (103)

A flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with a solution of (-)-sparteine (2.58 g, 11.0 mmol, 1.1
equiv) in Et₂O (35 mL) at -78 °C. Sec-butyllithium (1.3 M in hexane, 8.46 mL, 11.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise and stirred for 10 min after the addition. A solution of \(\text{P, P-dimethyl-2,6-dimethoxyphenylphosphine borane}\) (2.12 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (5.0 mL) was added over 5 min via cannula. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 7 h at -78 °C, then dichlorobis(dimethylsilane) (937 mg, 5.0 mmol, 0.5 equiv) was added via syringe, warmed to -20 °C and stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (5 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H₂O (15 mL) and brine (15 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a plug of silica gel, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (0 - 30% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide 103 (1.78g, 66%) as white solid. Recrystallization in 20% ethyl acetate in hexane gave meso free 103 (1.45g, 54%) as a white crystal. m.p. 111.3 – 115.2 °C; \([\alpha]_D^{30\text{°C}} = -3.14\) (c = 1.02, CH₂Cl₂);

\(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta\) 7.36 (t, \(J_{\text{H-H}} = 8.4\) Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.54 (app dd, \(J_{\text{H-H}} = 8.37, 3.20\) Hz, 4H, ArH), 3.83 (s, 12H, CH₃O), 1.70 (d, \(J_{\text{P-H}} = 10.0\) Hz, 6H, CH₃P), 1.49 (app t, \(J_{\text{P-H}} = 14.2\) Hz, 2H, SiCH₂P), 1.34 (app t, \(J_{\text{P-H}} = 13.4\) Hz, 2H, SiCH₂P), 0.75 (m, 6H, BH₃), -0.002 (d, \(J = 14.8\) Hz, 12H, CH₃Si); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta\) 166.9 (C), 137.5 (CH), 111 (d, \(J_{\text{P-C}} = 47.8\) Hz, C), 108.7 (CH), 59.8 (CH₃), 20.7 (d, \(J_{\text{P-C}} = 25.2\) Hz, CH₃), 1.0 (CH₃); \(^{31}\)P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta\) 5.1 (br d, \(J_{\text{B-P}} = 73.7\) Hz); IR (KBr): 2924, 2381, 1586, 1470, 1251,
1110, 1060, 913, 844, 794 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): \( m/z \) calculated for C\(_{23}\)H\(_{37}\)O\(_4\)Si\(_2\)P\(_2\) (M⁺ -CH\(_3\)B\(_2\)H\(_6\)) requires 495.1711, found 495.1706.

General Procedure for Deprotection of the Phosphine

A flame-dried round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with a solution of the phosphineborane in pyrrolidine (approximately 2 mL per 1 mmol of phosphineborane). The reaction mixture was stirred at 45°C for 24 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, the excess pyrrolidine was removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in degassed CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and passed through a plug of silica gel then concentrated in vacuo. The pyrrolidine-borane complex was sublimed from the product under reduced vacuum at temperatures not exceeding 70°C to yield the corresponding deprotected phosphine in quantitative yields.
**P,P-Dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine (104)**

![Chemical structure of P,P-Dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine](image)

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.67 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.53 (t, $J_{H-H} = 7.5$ Hz, ArH), 7.40 (t, $J_{H-H} = 7.6$ Hz, ArH), 1.30 (d, $J_{P-H} = 4.5$ Hz, CH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 141.6 (d, $J_{P-C} = 31.2$ Hz, C), 134.2 (m, C), 132.1 (CH), 131.0 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 126.4 (m, CH), 124.9 (q, $J_{F-C} = 275.0$ Hz, C), 15.3 (d, $J_{P-C} = 14.2$ Hz, CH$_3$); $^{31}$P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ -49.4 (q, $J_{P-P} = 55.4$ Hz); $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ -57.5 (d, $J_{P-F} = 55.7$ Hz).

**(R,R,R)-Bis[methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphinyl]ethane (105)**

![Chemical structure of (R,R,R)-Bis[methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphinyl]ethane](image)

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.67 (br d, $J_{P-H} = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.46 (br m, 6H, ArH), 1.66 (p, $J_{P-H} = 10.0$ Hz, PCH$_2$), 1.28 (m, PCH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 139.4 (d, $J_{P-C} = 31.5$ Hz, C), 135.0 (pentet, $J_{P-C} = 29.6$ Hz, C), 132.0 (CH), 131.7 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 124.8 (q, $J_{F-C} = 275.3$ Hz, CF$_3$), 26.7 (app t, $J_{P-C}$ =...
13.4 Hz, PCH₂), 13.0 (d, J_P,C = 15.5 Hz, PCH₃); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -36.9 (m); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -57.0 (d, J_F,P = 55.1 Hz).

(RP,RP)-Bis[[methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine]methyl]dimethylsilane(106)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.66 (dm, J_P,H = 21.2 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.48 (app t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.35 (app t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 1.27 (d, J_P,H = 5.0 Hz, 6H, PCH₃), 0.99 (app q, J_P,H = 14.4 Hz, 4H, PCH₂Si), -0.04 (s, 6H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 142.8 (d, J_P,C = 33.7 Hz, C), 134.2 (m, C), 132.0 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 124.9 (q, J_F,C = 275.0 Hz, C), 17.9 (CH₂), 17.7 (CH₃), -0.34 (CH₃); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -57.2 (d, J_F,P = 59.3 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -46.2 (q, J_P,F = 59.3 Hz).
$(R,R)$-Bis[[methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine]methyl]diisopropylsilane (107)

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.64 (dd with fine coupling, $J_{P,H,H-H} = 24.9$, 7.5 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.47 (app t, $J_{H-H} = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.34 (app t, $J_{H-H} = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 1.28 (d, $J_{P,H} = 5.4$ Hz, 6H, $PCH_3$), 0.99 (m, 14H, $CH_3CH$ and $CH_3CH_2$), 0.91 (br s, 4H, Si$CH_2P$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 143.6 (d, $J_{P,C} = 35.6$ Hz, C), 134.1 (p, $J_{P,C} = 28.7$ Hz, C), 132.0 (br s, CH), 128.8 (CH), 126.0 (m, CH), 124.8 (q, $J_{F,C} = 275.3$ Hz, C), 18.6 (CH$_3$), 18.1 (d, $J_{P,C} = 16.7$ Hz, CH$_3$), 13.0 (CH), 11.9 (d, $J_{P,C} = 30.3$ Hz, CH$_2$); $^{31}$P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ -45.7 (q, $J_{P,P} = 59.5$ Hz); $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ -57.2 (d, $J_{P,F} = 59.4$ Hz).
(R,R)-Bis[[methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-phosphine[methyl]dicyclohexylsilane (108)

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.65 (ddm, $J_{P-H, H-H} = 23.2, 7.6$ Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.47 (app t, $J_{H-H} = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.35 (app t, $J_{H-H} = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 1.60 (m, 10H, alkyl protons), 1.27 (d, $J_{P-H} = 5.3$ Hz, 6H, PCH$_3$), 1.23 – 0.71 (br m, alkyl protons); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 143.6 (d, $J_{P-C} = 34.9$ Hz, C), 134.2 (pentet, $J = 28.5$ Hz, C), 132.1 (CH), 132.0 (CH), 128.8 (br s, CH), 124.8 (q, $J_{F-C} = 275.2$ Hz, C), 28.5 (CH$_2$), 28.4 (CH$_2$), 27.3 (CH$_2$), 25.0 (CH), 18.3 (d, $J_{P-C} = 16.5$ Hz, CH$_3$), 11.9 (d, $J_{P-C} = 33.0$ Hz, CH$_2$); $^{31}$P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ -45.9 (q, $J_{F-P} = 59.6$ Hz); $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ -57.1 (d, $J_{P-F} = 59.0$ Hz).
(R_P,R_P)-Bis[[methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]phosphine[methyl]diphenylsilane

(109)

\[ \text{H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta \text{ 7.60 (ddm, } J_{\text{P-H, H-H}} = 29.7, 7.4 \text{ Hz, } 4\text{H, ArH)} , \\
\text{7.50 (m, } 4\text{H, ArH)}, \text{ 7.31 (m, } 10\text{H, ArH)} , \text{ 1.56 (app q, } J = 14.1 \text{ Hz, } 4\text{H, SiCH}_2\text{P)} , \\
\text{0.96 (d, } J_{\text{P-H}} = 5.1 \text{ Hz, } 6\text{H, PCH}_3); \text{ } ^{13}\text{C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta \text{ 142.5 (d, } J_{\text{P-C}} \\
\text{= 34.7 Hz, C)}, \text{ 135.6 (CH)}, \text{ 134.0 (app pentet, } J = 28.8 \text{ Hz, C)}, \text{ 132.0 (CH)}, \text{ 131.9} \\
\text{(CH)}, \text{ 130.0 (CH)}, \text{ 128.8 (CH)}, \text{ 128.2 (CH)}, \text{ 125.7 (m, CH)}, \text{ 124.8 (q, } J_{\text{F-C}} = 275.2 \\
\text{Hz, C)}, \text{ 17.2 (d, } J_{\text{P-C}} = 16.2 \text{ Hz, CH}_3), \text{ 14.9 (d, } J_{\text{P-C}} = 30.7 \text{ Hz, CH}_2); \text{ } ^{31}\text{P NMR} \\
\text{(121 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta \text{ -45.9 (q, } J_{\text{F-P}} = 59.5 \text{ Hz)}; \text{ } ^{19}\text{F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta \\
\text{ -57.1 (d, } J_{\text{P-F}} = 58.9 \text{ Hz).} \]
(R<sub>p</sub>,R<sub>p</sub>)-2,6-Bis[methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]phosphine|ethyl|pyridine

(110)

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta$ 7.69 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.52 (t, $J_{H-H} = 7.3$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.41 (t, $J_{H-H} = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.39 (t, $J_{H-H} = 7.7$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.87 (d, $J_{H-H} = 7.7$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 2.78 (q with fine coupling, $J_{H-H} = 8.4$ Hz, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>P), 2.10 (m, 4H, PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.32 (d, $J_{P-H} = 4.8$ Hz, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>P); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): $\delta$ 161.5 (d, $J_{P-C} = 12.1$ Hz, C), 140.0 (d, $J_{P-C} = 31.5$ Hz, C), 137.0 (CH), 132.0 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 124.7 (q, $J_{F-C} = 252$ Hz, C), 120.3 (CH), 34.9 (d, $J_{P-C} = 16.6$ Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.8 (d, $J_{P-C} = 25.2$ Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 13.1 (d, $J_{P-C} = 15.9$ Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>); $^{31}$P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): $\delta$ -39.7 (q, $J_{F-P} = 53.3$ Hz); $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): $\delta$ -57.1 (d, $J_{P-F} = 56.3$ Hz).
Substrate Synthesis: Ene-dienes and Dien-ynes

N-2-Propenyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (111)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \quad \text{S} \\
\text{O} & \quad \text{NH} \\
\text{O} & \quad \text{Ar} \\
\end{align*}
\]

A flame-dried 250 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar was fitted with a rubber septum and purged with argon. The flask was charged \( p \)-toluene sulfonyl chloride (11.4 g, 60 mmol, 1.0 equiv), THF (60 mL) and pyridine (5.22 g, 66 mmol, 1.1 equiv). Allylamine (3.77 g, 66 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise and stirred at ambient temperature for 6 h. Aqueous sodium hydroxide (15%, 20 mL) was added and the biphasic mixture stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with HCl (2M, 60 mL) and the aqueous layer was separated and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 60 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H\( _2 \)O (60 mL), NaOH (aq) (15%, 20 mL) and brine (60 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo to an oil which was eluted through a plug of silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexanes (1/1)) and concentrated in vacuo to give a white solid. The compound was purified by crystallization from ethyl acetate/hexanes (10/1) to give 111 (12.7 g, 93%) as a white crystal.\(^{54}\)

\[^1\text{H} \text{NMR} \text{ (300 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)}: \delta 7.73 \text{ (d, } J_{\text{H-H}} = 8.3 \text{ Hz, 2H, ArH}), 7.29 \text{ (d, } J_{\text{H-H}} = 8.1 \text{ Hz,}
\]
N-(E,E)-2,4-hexadienyl-N-{(E)-but-2-enyl}-4-methylbenzenesulfonylamide (92)

A flame-dried 50 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with N-(E-but-2-enyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonylamine (1.13 g, 5.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), (E,E)-2,4-hexadien-1-ol (982 mg, 10.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and THF (15 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 °C and tri-n-butylphosphine (2.07 g, 10.25 mmol, 2.05 equiv) was added, followed by diethyl azodicarboxylate (1.74 g, 10.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) dropwise via aluminum foil covered syringe over 20 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min at 0 °C then H2O (200 uL) was added and the solution was concentrated in vacuo.
to an orange oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (0 – 5 % ethyl acetate/hexanes) to give 92 (0.91 g, 60%) as a clear oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.66 (d, Jₕ-ₕ = 8.3 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.26 (d, Jₕ-ₕ = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.60 (m, 2H, ), 5.57 (m, 2H, ), 5.24 (m, 2H, ), 3.77 (d, Jₕ-ₕ = 6.8 Hz, 2H, ), 3.69 (d, Jₕ-ₕ = 6.5 Hz, 2H, ), 2.40 (s, 3H, ArCH₃), 1.71 (d, Jₕ-ₕ = 6.7 Hz, 3H, ), 1.61 (app d, Jₕ-ₕ = 6.4 Hz, 3H, ); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.4 (C), 138.1 (C), 134.8 (CH), 130.9 (CH), 130.8 (CH), 130.6 (CH), 129.9 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 124.9 (CH), 48.9 (CH₂), 48.7 (CH₂), 21.9 (CH₃), 18.4 (CH₃), 18.0 (CH₃); IR (NaCl, film): 3022, 2917, 1661, 1598, 1494, 1439, 1339, 1304, 1158, 1092, 1038, 991, 969, 914, 844, 815, 779, 729, 654 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C₁₇H₂₃NO₂S (M⁺) requires 305.1451, found 305.1450.

N-{2-methyl-(E)-2,4-pentadienyl}-N-(prop-2-enyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (96)

A flame-dried 50 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with N-2-propenyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (111) (1.90 g, 9.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 2-methyl-(E)-2,4-pentadien-1-ol (1.06 g, 10.8
mmol, 1.2 equiv) and THF (15 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 °C and tri-n-butylphosphine (2.19 g, 10.8 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added, followed by diethyl azodicarboxylate (1.88 g, 10.8 mmol, 1.2 equiv) dropwise via aluminum foil covered syringe over 20 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min at 0 °C then H2O (200 uL) was added and the solution was concentrated in vacuo to a viscous orange oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (0 – 5 % ethyl acetate/hexanes) to give 96 (2.29 g, 85%) as a clear oil.  

$\text{H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3): \; \delta \; 7.68 \, (d, \; J_{H-H} = 8.3 \, Hz, \; 2H, \; \text{ArH}), \; 7.28 \, (d, \; J_{H-H} = 8.0 \, Hz, \; 2H, \; \text{ArH}), \; 6.52 \, (\text{app dt, } J_{H-H} = 16.9, \; 10.5 \, Hz, \; 1H, \; \text{CHCH=CH}), \; 5.85 \, (d, \; J_{H-H} = 10.8 \, Hz, \; 1H, \; \text{C=CHCH}), \; 5.49 \, (\text{ddt, } J_{H-H} = 16.7, \; 10.4, \; 6.7 \, Hz, \; 1H, \; \text{CH}_2\text{CH=CH}_2), \; 5.09 \, (\text{br m, } 4H, \; \text{CH}_2), \; 3.72 \, (m, \; 4H, \; \text{CH}_2), \; 2.41 \, (s, \; 3H, \; \text{CH}_3), \; 1.70 \, (s, \; 3H, \; \text{CH}_3); \; \text{C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3): \; \delta \; 143.6 \, (C), \; 137.8 \, (C), \; 133.3 \, (C), \; 132.8 \, (C), \; 132.76 \, (C), \; 130.1 \, (CH), \; 129.7 \, (CH), \; 127.6 \, (CH), \; 119.5 \, (CH_2), \; 117.9 \, (CH_2), \; 54.9 \, (CH_2), \; 49.8 \, (CH_2), \; 21.9 \, (CH_3), \; 15.0 \, (CH_3); \; \text{IR (NaCl, film): 3021, 2916, 2855, 1661, 1598, 1495, 1598, 1494, 1440, 1340, 1158, 1092, 990, 915, 729 cm}^{-1}; \; \text{HRMS (El): m/z} \, \text{calculated for C}_{16}\text{H}_{21}\text{NO}_2\text{S (M}^+ + \text{H}^+) \, \text{requires 292.1371, found 292.1362.}
N-(E,E)-2,4-hexadienyl-N-(prop-2-ynyl-3-trimethylsilyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (98)

A flame-dried 50 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon, and charged with N-(prop-2-ynyl-3-trimethylsilyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (1.90 g, 9.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), (E,E)-2,4-hexadien-1-ol (1.77 g, 18.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and THF (15 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 °C and tri-n-butylphosphine (3.64 g, 18.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was added, followed by diethyl azodicarboxylate (3.13 g, 18.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) dropwise via aluminum foil covered syringe over 20 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min at 0 °C then H2O (200 uL) was added and the solution was concentrated in vacuo to a viscous orange oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (0 – 5 % ethyl acetate/hexanes) to give 98 (2.7 g, 83%) as a clear oil.\(^5\) \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.70 (d, \(J_{H-H} = 8.3\) Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.26 (d, \(J_{H-H} = 8.1\) Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.17 (dd, \(J_{H-H} = 14.9, 10.5\) Hz, 1H, CH₂CH=CH), 6.01 (ddd, \(J_{H-H} = 14.8, 10.4, 1.4\) Hz, 1H, CH₃CH=CH), 5.67 (dq, \(J_{H-H} = 14.9, 6.8\) Hz, 1H, CH₂CH=CH), 5.40 (dt, \(J_{H-H} = 14.9, 7.0\) Hz, 1H, CH₂CH=CH), 4.06 (s, 2H, NCH₂C≡C), 3.79 (d, \(J_{H-H} = 7.0\) Hz, 2H, NCH₂CH=C), 2.40 (s, 3H, ArCH₃), 1.73 (d, \(J_{H-H} = 6.6\) Hz, 3H, CH₃CH=CH), \(-0.02\) (s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃); \(^1\)C NMR (75
MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 143.7 (C), 136.4 (C), 135.9 (CH), 131.2 (CH), 130.8 (CH), 129.9 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 98.4 (C), 91.3 (C), 48.6 (CH$_2$), 37.3 (CH$_2$), 21.9 (CH$_3$), 18.5 (CH$_3$), 0.01 (CH$_3$).

4-Oxo-(E,E)-6,8-decadien-1-yne (52)

A flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon then charged with sodium hydride (NaH) (60% oil dispersion, 897 mg, 22.4 mmol, 1.1 equiv). To remove the oil the dispersion was triturated with pentane (3 x 5 mL) and dried under high vacuum at ambient temperature. Once the NaH was dried, the flask was purged with argon and THF (50 mL) was added and cooled to 0 °C. To the resulting suspension (E,E)-2,4-hexadiene-1-ol (2.45 g, 20.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise via syringe (H$_2$ (g) evolved during the addition). The reaction mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and allowed to stir for 30 min. The reaction was then cooled to 0 °C, and propargyl bromide(2.55 g, 21.4 mmol, 1.05 equiv) was added dropwise via syringe. The solution was warmed slowly to ambient temperature and stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice water (10 mL). The aqueous layer was
separated and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H₂O (10 mL) and brine (10 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting oil was purified by bulb to bulb distillation to provide 52 (2.51g, 90%) as a colorless oil.⁴⁴ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.20 (dd, Jₜ-H = 15.1, 10.4 Hz, 1H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 6.03 (ddd, Jₜ-H = 15.0, 10.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H, CH₃CH=CH₂), 5.69 (dq, Jₜ-H = 14.9, 6.7 Hz, 1H, CH₃CH=CH₂), 5.57 (dt, Jₜ-H = 15.1, 6.4 Hz, 1H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 4.10 (d, Jₜ-H = 2.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂C≡CH), 4.04 (d, Jₜ-H = 6.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 2.39 (t, Jₜ-H = 2.4 Hz, 1H, CH₂C≡CH), 1.72 (d, Jₜ-H = 6.7 Hz, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 134.5 (CH), 131.1 (CH), 130.7 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 80.2 (C), 74.7 (C), 70.3 (CH₂), 57.1 (CH₂), 18.4 (CH₃); IR (NaCl, film): 2974, 2946, 2854, 2116, 1610, 1440, 1354, 1120, 1084, 1018, 970, 892, 668 cm⁻¹;

4-Oxo-1,6(E),8(E)-decatriene (49)

A flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic spinbar and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon then charged with sodium hydride (NaH) (60% oil dispersion, 897 mg,
22.4 mmol, 1.1 equiv). To remove the oil the dispersion was triturated with pentane (3 x 5 mL) and dried under high vacuum at ambient temperature. Once the NaH was dried, the flask was purged with argon and THF (50 mL) was added and cooled to 0 °C. To the resulting suspension (E,E)-2,4-hexadiene-1-ol (2.45 g, 20.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise via syringe (H₂ (g) evolved during the addition). The reaction mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and allowed to stir for 30 min. The reaction was then cooled to 0 °C, and allyl bromide (2.59 g, 21.4 mmol, 1.05 equiv) was added dropwise via syringe. The solution was warmed slowly to ambient temperature and stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice water (10 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with H₂O (10 mL) and brine (10 mL) respectively. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting oil was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (0 – 5 % ethyl acetate/hexanes) to provide 49 (2.79 g, 99%) as a colorless oil.

**¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃):**  δ  6.18 (dd, J_H-H = 15.0, 10.4 Hz, 1H, CH₂CH=CH), 6.05 (ddd, J_H-H = 14.9, 10.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H, CH₃CH=CH), 5.89 (ddt, J_H-H = 17.2, 10.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H, CH₂=CHCH₂), 5.68 (m, 1H, CH₃CH=CH), 5.62 (m, 1H, CH₂CH=CH), 5.25 (app dq, J_H-H = 17.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H, CHH=CHCH₂), 5.15 (app dq, J_H-H = 10.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H, CHH=CHCH₂), 3.97 (d, J_H-H = 7.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.94 (dt, J_H-H = 5.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 1.73 (d, J_H-H = 6.6 Hz, 3H, CH₃);

**¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃):**  δ  135.2 (CH), 133.6 (CH), 131.3 (CH), 130.2 (CH),
127.0 (CH), 117.2 (CH₂), 71.2 (CH₂), 70.9 (CH₂), 18.4 (CH₃); IR (NaCl, film): 3020, 2984, 2934, 2916, 2852, 1742, 1448, 1374, 1358, 1240, 1114, 1082, 1050, 990, 924 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C₉H₁₄O (M⁺) requires 138.1045, found 138.1044.

**Rhodium(I) Catalyzed [4 + 2] Cycloadditions**

**Catalyst Synthesis**

A flame-dried round bottom flask with a magnetic Teflon-coated spinbar was charged with [Rh(NBD)₂]⁺ (SbF₅⁻) (1.0 equiv) and CH₂Cl₂ (0.07M) under argon. To this solution bisphosphine (1.1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.07M) was added dropwise at ambient temperature. After the addition was complete the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, after which the volume of the reaction was reduced half in vacuo. Et₂O was added to the orange solution until an orange solid crashed out. The solids were collected and washed with Et₂O. After drying under high vacuum the product was obtained.

**Cycloaddition**

A flame-dried 10 mL Schlenk tube with a magnetic Teflon-coated spinbar was charged with chiral catalyst (2.5 mol %) and fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was evacuated by high vacuum and back filled with argon. The catalyst was dissolved in solvent (0.1 M) and allowed to stir for 5 min then purged with H₂ (g)
and rapidly stirred for an additional 30 min. The H₂ (g) was removed by the freeze-pump-thaw method then back filled with argon. The substrate was added by gas tight syringe and warmed to the desired temperatures. After the reaction was complete (followed by TLC) the solution was eluted with Et₂O and placed through a neutral alumina plug.

_Cis-2,3,3a,-(R,S)-6,7,7a-hexahydro-6-trans-methyl-2-(4-methylbenzenesulfonamido)-1H-isoindole (47)_

![Chemical structure of Cis-2,3,3a,-(R,S)-6,7,7a-hexahydro-6-trans-methyl-2-(4-methylbenzenesulfonamido)-1H-isoindole (47)](image)

m.p. 73.5 - 75.5 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.71 (d, J₇H-H=8.1, 2H, ArH), 7.32 (d, J₇H-H=8.1, 2H, ArH), 5.54(br s, 2H, CH=CH), 3.54 (dd, J₇H-H=9.3, 8.5 Hz, 1H, NCH//CH), 3.45 (dd, J₇H-H=10.1, 6.5 Hz, 1H, NCHHCH), 3.08 (dd, J₇H-H=10.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H, NCHHCH), 2.81 (app. t, J₇H-H=9.9 Hz, 1H, NCHHCH), 2.53 (m, 1H, CH₂CH=CH=C), 2.43 (s, 3H, ArCH₃), 2.23 (m, 1H, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.11 (m, 1H, CHCH=CHCH₃), 1.47 (app. dt, J₇H-H=12.9, 4.5 Hz, 1H, CHCHHCHCH₃), 0.87 (d, J₇H-H=7.1 Hz, CH₃), 0.65 (app. dt, J₇H-H=13.1, 11.1 Hz, 1H, CHCHHCHCH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.7 (C), 136.0 (CH), 134.2
(C), 130.0 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 124.7 (CH), 54.6 (CH$_2$), 52.8 (CH$_2$), 38.2 (CH),
37.0 (CH), 34.1 (CH$_2$), 30.5 (CH), 21.9 (CH$_3$), 21.8 (CH$_3$); IR (KBr): 3061,
3014, 2951, 2916, 2892, 2868, 2856, 2837, 1646, 1600, 1495, 1485, 1473, 1453,
1396, 1384, 1368, 1338, 1305, 1290, 1274, 1214, 1159, 1130, 1120, 1096, 1087,
1052, 949, 836, 742; HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C$_{16}$H$_{21}$NO$_2$S (M$^+$) requires
291.1293, found 291.1293.

Cis-2,3,3a-(R,S)-6,7,7a-hexahydro-6-trans-7-cis-dimethyl-2-(4-
methylbenzenesulfon-amido)-1H-isoindole (93)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{m.p.} & \quad 89.7 - 92.8 \, ^\circ\text{C}; \quad ^1\text{H NMR}(300 \, \text{MHz, CDCl}_3): \quad \delta & \quad 7.70 \, (d, \ J_{H-H} = 8.2 \, \text{Hz}, \, 2\text{H}, \text{ArH}), \quad 7.30 \, (d, \ J_{H-H} = 8.0 \, \text{Hz}, \, 2\text{H}, \text{ArH}), \quad 5.49 \, (m, \, 2\text{H}, \, CH=CH), \quad 3.54 \, (dd, \ J_{H-H} = 9.0, \, 8.2 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1\text{H}, \text{NCHHCH}), \quad 3.38 \, (dd, \ J_{H-H} = 10.6, \, 6.6 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1\text{H}, \text{NCHHCH}), \quad 3.27 \\
& \quad (dd, \ J_{H-H} = 10.6, \, 2.0 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1\text{H}, \text{NCHHCH}), \quad 2.69 \, (dd, \ J_{H-H} = 10.6, \, 9.3 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1\text{H}, \text{NCHHCH}), \quad 2.54 \, (m, \, 1\text{H}, \, \text{CH}_2\text{CHCHCH}_3), \quad 2.41 \, (s, \, 3\text{H}, \, \text{ArCH}_3), \quad 1.81 \, (m, \, 1\text{H}, \, CH_2CHCHCH_3), \quad 1.67 \, (m, \, 1\text{H}, \, \text{CHCHCH}_3), \quad 0.89 \, (d, \ J_{H-H} = 7.1 \, \text{Hz}, \, CH_3), \quad 0.82 \, (d, \ J_{H-H} = 6.4 \, \text{Hz}, \, CH_3), \quad 0.59 \, (m, \, 1\text{H}, \, \text{CHCHCH}_3); \quad ^{13}\text{C NMR}(75 \, \text{MHz, CDCl}_3): \quad \delta \\
& \quad 143.8 \, (C), \quad 135.7 \, (CH), \quad 134.0 \, (C), \quad 129.9 \, (CH), \quad 128.0 \, (CH), \quad 124.1 \, (CH), \quad 53.2 \\
& \quad (CH), \quad 52.1 \, (CH), \quad 43.6 \, (CH), \quad 39.1 \, (CH), \quad 36.9 \, (CH_2), \quad 35.6 \, (CH_2), \quad 21.9 \, (CH_3), \quad 20.2
\end{align*}
\]
(CH₃), 17.7 (CH₃); IR (KBr): 2965, 1601, 1339, 1161, 1033, 816, 732, 665;
HRMS (EI): m/z calculated for C₁₇H₂₃NO₂S (M⁺) requires 305.1450, found 305.1444.
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