



A weed education program, and the biology and control of spotted knapweed (*Centaurea maculosa* Lam.) in Montana
by Celestine Ann Lacey

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in
Agronomy
Montana State University
© Copyright by Celestine Ann Lacey (1985)

Abstract:

Leafy Spurge (*Euphorbia esula* L.) and spotted knapweed (*Centaurea maculosa* Lam.) are introduced perennial weeds infesting over 1.1 million hectares of range and pastureland in Montana. In an effort to stop the spread of these weeds, a statewide weed education program was expanded in 1983; and research was initiated on the biology and control of spotted knapweed in Montana.

The educational program utilized newsletters, demonstration plots, field tours, and a ransom program to increase awareness and disseminate information on leafy spurge and spotted knapweed. Herbicide demonstration plots and field tours provided information on weed identification, control, and sprayer calibration. A ransom program was initiated in Sweetgrass County, Montana in 1984. The program led to increased public awareness and control of existing spotted knapweed infestations. The effectiveness of the weed education program was evaluated by surveying landowners in four counties. Results of the survey indicated that landowners attending tours were more aware of the weed problem than those that did not attend tours. The number of landowners utilizing weed control practices was also greater among tour participants.

The effect of low rates of picloram (4-amino-3,5,6-tri-chloropicolinic acid) and picloram in combination with 2,4-D ((2,4-dichlorophenoxy)acetic acid) on controlling spotted knapweed was evaluated at two locations in Montana. All rates of picloram significantly reduced spotted knapweed density compared to the control. The persistence of picloram in the soil determines the length of control of spotted knapweed. The reduction of spotted knapweed density resulted in forage increases of 200 to 770 percent.

Differential tolerance to 2,4-D was observed in spotted knapweed in field experiments conducted at two locations in Montana. When plants from both locations were grown under identical conditions in the field, there was no difference in response to 2,4-D. Laboratory studies indicate that uptake and translocation of ¹⁴C 2,4-D was the same in both strains of spotted knapweed. The observed tolerance to 2,4-D in the initial field studies appears to be the result of differences in plant vigor.

The longevity of viability of spotted knapweed seed in the soil was studied from 1982 through 1984. Results of the seed burial study showed that less than 50 percent of the seed had germinated two years after burial. In field studies, about 65 seed per 0.5 m² remained viable in soil 26 months after seed production was stopped.

A WEED EDUCATION PROGRAM, AND THE BIOLOGY AND CONTROL
OF SPOTTED KNAPWEED (Centaurea maculosa Lam.)
IN MONTANA

by

Celestine Ann Lacey

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

in

Agronomy

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Bozeman, Montana

June 1985

N378
L116
Cop. 2

APPROVAL

of a thesis submitted by

Celestine Ann Lacey

This thesis has been read by each member of the thesis committee and has been found to be satisfactory regarding content, English usage, format, citations, bibliographic style, and consistency, and is ready for submission to the College of Graduate Studies.

June 11, 1985
Date

Gene K Fay
Chairperson, Graduate Committee

Approved for the Major Department

June 11, 1985
Date

Dwane A Miller
Head, Major Department

Approved for the College of Graduate Studies

17 June 1985
Date

W. Maloe
Graduate Dean

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a master's degree at Montana State University, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgment of source is made.

Permission for extensive quotation from or reproduction of this thesis may be granted by my major professor, or in his absence, by the Dean of Libraries when, in the opinion of either, the proposed use of the material is for scholarly purposes. Any copying or use of the material in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my permission.

Signature Robertino Ann Lacey

Date June 11, 1985

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deep appreciation to my advisor, Dr. Pete Fay, for the help, encouragement, and friendship he offered during my education.

Sincere thanks are also extended to my committee, Kris Havstad, Michael Foley, and Mike Jackson for their assistance and direction.

I would also like to thank all of the members in the weed crew, Dan Burkhart, Tim Chicoine, Lee Coble, Shannon Cox, Bill Dyer, Gary Fellows, Bruce Maxwell, Scott Nissen, Sarah Scherzer, and many others for their enthusiastic support, help, and advice. Without their time and energy, this project could not have been completed.

A very special thanks to my husband, John, for his ideas, support, and encouragement during the past two years.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
APPROVAL.....	ii
STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE.....	iii
VITA.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES.....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiii
ABSTRACT.....	xv
PREFACE	xvi
PART 1 THE LEAFY SPURGE AND SPOTTED KNAPWEED PUBLIC EDUCATION PROGRAM.....	1
CHAPTER	
1 LITERATURE REVIEW	2
Introduction	2
Extension Techniques	3
Audio-visual.....	3
Demonstration Plots and Group Meetings.....	4
Written Communication	5
Evaluation of Delivery Systems.....	5
Summary.....	6
2 EXTENSION TECHNIQUES.....	8
Abstract.....	8
Introduction.....	9
Materials and Methods.....	10
Newsletter	10
Demonstration Plots and Field Tours.....	11
Ransom Program.....	13
Results and Discussion.....	14

TABLE OF CONTENTS-Continued

	Newsletter.....	14
	Demonstration Plots and Field Tours.....	16
	Ransom Program.....	20
	Summary.....	21
3	PROGRAM EVALUATION.....	23
	Abstract.....	23
	Introduction.....	23
	Materials and Methods.....	24
	Results and Discussion.....	26
	Summary.....	34
	PART 2 BIOLOGY AND CONTROL OF SPOTTED KNAWEED.....	35
4	LITERATURE REVIEW.....	36
	Introduction.....	36
	Effect of Spotted Knapweed on Forage Production.....	39
	Effect of Picloram on Controlling Spotted Knapweed.....	40
	Spotted Knapweed Response to 2,4-D.....	43
	Summary.....	46
5	THE EFFECT OF REDUCED RATES OF PICLORAM ON THE LONGEVITY OF CONTROL OF SPOTTED KNAWEED.....	48
	Abstract.....	48
	Introduction.....	48
	Materials and Methods.....	49
	Picloram Rate Study.....	49
	Determination of Picloram Residues	52
	Results and Discussion.....	54
	Picloram Rate Study.....	54
	Picloram Residue Study.....	62
	Summary.....	66
6	THE EFFECT OF 2,4-D ON TWO STRAINS OF SPOTTED KNAWEED.....	68
	Abstract.....	68
	Introduction.....	69
	Materials and Methods.....	70
	Field Study.....	70
	Uptake Studies.....	71
	Translocation Studies.....	74

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Results	76
Field Studies.....	76
Uptake Studies.....	80
Translocation Studies	82
Discussion.....	84
Summary.....	85
7 SEED LONGEVITY OF SPOTTED KNAPWEED IN MONTANA SOILS.....	87
Abstract.....	87
Introduction.....	87
Materials and Methods.....	88
Seed Burial Study.....	88
Cultural Practice Study.....	90
Results and Discussion.....	91
Seed Burial Study.....	91
Cultural Practice Study.....	96
Summary.....	104
SUMMARY.....	105
REFERENCES CITED.....	107
APPENDICES.....	115
APPENDIX A. NEWSLETTERS.....	116
APPENDIX B. TABLE 34. LIST OF HERBICIDE DEMONSTRATION PLOTS.....	153
APPENDIX C. SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE.....	165
APPENDIX D. RESEARCH TABLES AND FIGURES.....	170

LIST OF TABLES

Tables	Page
1. The Cost of Publishing the Leafy Spurge News and Knapweed Update.....	16
2. Herbicides Used for Leafy Spurge Demonstration Plots in Fourteen Locations in Montana	16
3. Herbicides Used for Spotted Knapweed Demonstration Plots in Eight Locations in Montana	17
4. Percent Leafy Spurge Control With Various Herbicide at Selected Counties in Montana 12 Months After Application.....	17
5. Percent Spotted Knapweed Control With Various Herbicides at Selected Counties in Montana 12 Months After Application.....	18
6. The Number of People Attending Spotted Knapweed and Leafy Spurge Tours During 1983 and 1984	19
7. The Number of People Attending Weed Tours Held in 1980/1981 Compared to the Attendance at Weed Tours Held at the Same Locations in 1983/1984.....	20
8. Percent of Landowners Able to Recognize That Leafy Spurge or Spotted Knapweed Were Serious Problems on Their Property.....	27
9. Treatment Methods Used by Landowners for Leafy Spurge Control in Four Montana Counties.....	28
10. Treatment Methods Used by Landowners in Four Montana Counties for Spotted Knapweed Control.....	29
11. The Relative Importance of Four Listed Reasons for not Controlling Weeds as Rated by Landowners in Four Montana Counties.....	30
12. The Major Source of Weed Information for Landowners in Four Montana Counties.....	31

List of Tables (Continued)

13. The Most Important Strategies the Extension Service Should Utilize to Improve Weed Control Practices, as Perceived by Landowners in Four Counties.....	33
14. The Areas of Weed Research Needed at Montana State University as Perceived by Landowners in Four Montana Counties.....	33
15. Number of Mature Spotted Knapweed Plants per 0.25 m ² in July 1983 and July 1984, 14 and 26 Months After Picloram Application at Harlowton and Ovando.....	56
16. Number of Immature Spotted Knapweed Plants per 0.25 m ² in July 1983 and July 1984, 14 and 26 Months After Picloram Application at Harlowton and Ovando.....	56
17. Perennial Grass Production on July 20, 1984, 26 Months After the Application of Picloram to Control Spotted Knapweed at Harlowton and Ovando.....	58
18. The Number of Forbs Other Than Spotted Knapweed Per 0.09 m ² in June 1984, 24 Months After Herbicide Application at Harlowton and Ovando.....	59
19. The Biomass of Forb Species Other Than Spotted Knapweed, 26 Months After Herbicide Application at Harlowton and Ovando.....	62
20. The Persistence of Picloram in Montana Soils as Estimated by Hamaker et al. (1967) and This Residue Study	64
21. Length of Time Necessary for Picloram Residues to Decrease Below the Sensitivity Level (8 ppbw) of Spotted Knapweed Seedlings.....	65
22. Injury Ratings for Spotted Knapweed Plants Collected at Ovando and Harlowton 40 Days After Foliar Treatment With Diethanolamine Salt of 2,4-D.....	79
23. Above Ground Dry Weight Biomass of Spotted Knapweed Strains Collected from Harlowton and Ovando. Plants were Treated With 2.24, 1.12, and 0.00 kg/ha of 2,4-D on 6-29-84 and Harvested on 9-8-84.....	80
24. Total DPM's of ¹⁴ C 2,4-D Recovered Over a 48 Hour Period in the Leaf Wash, Solution, and Leaves Excised From Spotted Knapweed Plants Collected From Harlowton and Ovando.....	81

List of Tables (Continued)

25. The Percent of ^{14}C 2,4-D That was Absorbed in Leaves With Pubescence Removed and Pubescence Intact 24 and 48 Hours Following Application.....	81
26. The Concentration of ^{14}C 2,4-D (expressed as DPM's per gram of dry tissue) That Was Recovered in Different Parts of Spotted Knapweed Plants from Harlowton (H) and Ovando (O), Montana, 1,4,8, and 12 Days Following Application.....	83
27. The Density and Above Ground Biomass of Mature Spotted Knapweed Plants Growing at Harlowton and Ovando, Montana.....	84
28. The Amount of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Potassium in the Surface Foot of Soil at Harlowton and Ovando, Montana.....	85
29. Recovery of Buried Spotted Knapweed Seed Samples in the Seed Burial Study Established on Sept. 21, 1982 at Bozeman and Three Forks.....	92
30. Percent Germination During the Burial Period, and the Viability of Dormant Spotted Knapweed Seed in the Seed Burial Study Established Sept. 21, 1982 at Bozeman and Three Forks.....	93
31. Speed of Germination and Speed of Elongation Index Numbers for Seed Recovered From Bozeman and Three Forks 24 Months After Burial.....	96
32. The Predicted Rate of Decline of Spotted Knapweed Seed Based on the Rate of Decline Measured from April, 1983 to October, 1984 at Harlowton and Ovando.....	102
33. The Plant Density of Spotted Knapweed Seedlings in Treated and Control Plots in June 1983 and June 1984 at Harlowton and Ovando.....	103
Appendix Tables	
34. A List of Herbicide Demonstration Plots	153
35. A List of All Species Observed on Site One (Ovando) and Site Two (Harlowton).....	171
36. Monthly Precipitation Data for Harlowton and Ovando, Montana From June, 1982 to October, 1984	172

List of Tables (Continued)

37. Mean Monthly Temperatures for Harlowton and Ovando, Montana From June, 1982 to October, 1984	172
38. Representative Soil Profile for Site One (Ovando, MT).....	173
39. Representative Soil Profile for Site Two (Harlowton, MT).....	173
40. Diversity of Other Forb Species 24 Months Following Application of Picloram at Site One (Ovando).....	174
41. Diversity of Other Forb Species 24 Months Following Applications of Picloram at Site Two (Harlowton).....	174
42. Monthly Precipitation Data for Bozeman and Townsend, Montana from June, 1982 to October, 1984.....	175
43. Mean Monthly Temperatures for Bozeman and Townsend, Montana from June, 1982 to October, 1984.....	175
44. Changes in the Soil Reserve of Spotted Knapweed Seeds After Seed Production was Stopped on 6-20-82 With Various Cultural Practices at Harlowton.....	176
45. Changes in the Soil Reserve of Spotted Knapweed Seeds After Seed Production was Stopped on 6-20-82 With Various Cultural Practices at Ovando.....	176
46. The Concentration of ¹⁴ C 2,4-D (expressed as total DPM's) That Was Recovered in the Leaf Wash and in Different Parts of Spotted Knapweed Plants From Harlowton (H) and Ovando (O) 1, 4, 8, and 12 Days Following Application	177

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Page
1. The Location of 1983 and 1984 Herbicide Demonstration Plots and Field Tours in Montana.....	12
2. The Number of Subscriptions to the Leafy Spurge News and Knapweed Update from April, 1980 to October, 1984.....	15
3. The Location of Four Counties in Montana That Were Selected for the Weed Survey.....	25
4. The Structure of Cnicin (from Kelsey, 1985).....	38
5. Summary of 2,4-D Metabolism by Plants (from Feung et al., 1982).....	45
6. The Standard Curve Used for the Picloram Bioassay.....	55
7. The Correlation Between Perennial Grass Production and Mature Spotted Knapweed Density at Ovando.....	60
8. The Correlation Between Perennial Grass Production and Mature Spotted Knapweed Density at Harlowton.....	61
9. The Amount of Picloram Detected in Soil at Harlowton and Ovando 26 Months After Picloram Application.....	63
10. Spotted Knapweed Plant Parts That Were Collected to Determine Regions of 14 C 2,4-D Accumulation.....	75
11. The Bolt Height of Spotted Knapweed Plants Collected From Harlowton and Ovando, Montana from June 17 to July 26, 1984.....	77
12. The Percent of Spotted Knapweed Plants Collected From Harlowton and Ovando That Were Flowering from July 11 to August 8, 1984.....	78
13. Percent Germination of Seed Buried for 24 Months at Three Forks and Bozeman and the Unburied Control.....	94
14. Rate of Radicle Elongation in Seeds Buried for 9 and 24 Months at Bozeman and Three Forks, and the Unburied Control.....	95

List of Figures (Continued)

15. Photographs Showing the Effect of Aging on Bozeman Seed (top) Compared to the Unburied Control (bottom)..... 97
16. Changes in Spotted Knapweed Seed Reserves With Various Cultural Practices from 0 to 28 Months After Seed Production Was Stopped at Ovando..... 98
17. Changes in Spotted Knapweed Seed Reserves with Various Cultural Practices from 0 to 28 Months After Seed Production Was Stopped at Harlowton..... 99
18. The Decline of Viable Spotted Knapweed Seed Reserves from 0 to 28 Months After Seed Production was Stopped..... 101

Appendix Figures

19. The Oxidizer Efficiency Curves for Spotted Knapweed Leaves..... 178
20. The Oxidizer Efficiency Curves for Spotted Knapweed Root and Crown Material..... 179

ABSTRACT

Leafy Spurge (Euphorbia esula L.) and spotted knapweed (Centaurea maculosa Lam.) are introduced perennial weeds infesting over 1.1 million hectares of range and pastureland in Montana. In an effort to stop the spread of these weeds, a statewide weed education program was expanded in 1983; and research was initiated on the biology and control of spotted knapweed in Montana.

The educational program utilized newsletters, demonstration plots, field tours, and a ransom program to increase awareness and disseminate information on leafy spurge and spotted knapweed. Herbicide demonstration plots and field tours provided information on weed identification, control, and sprayer calibration. A ransom program was initiated in Sweetgrass County, Montana in 1984. The program led to increased public awareness and control of existing spotted knapweed infestations. The effectiveness of the weed education program was evaluated by surveying landowners in four counties. Results of the survey indicated that landowners attending tours were more aware of the weed problem than those that did not attend tours. The number of landowners utilizing weed control practices was also greater among tour participants.

The effect of low rates of picloram (4-amino-3,5,6-trichloropicolinic acid) and picloram in combination with 2,4-D ((2,4-dichlorophenoxy)acetic acid) on controlling spotted knapweed was evaluated at two locations in Montana. All rates of picloram significantly reduced spotted knapweed density compared to the control. The persistence of picloram in the soil determines the length of control of spotted knapweed. The reduction of spotted knapweed density resulted in forage increases of 200 to 770 percent.

Differential tolerance to 2,4-D was observed in spotted knapweed in field experiments conducted at two locations in Montana. When plants from both locations were grown under identical conditions in the field, there was no difference in response to 2,4-D. Laboratory studies indicate that uptake and translocation of ^{14}C 2,4-D was the same in both strains of spotted knapweed. The observed tolerance to 2,4-D in the initial field studies appears to be the result of differences in plant vigor.

The longevity of viability of spotted knapweed seed in the soil was studied from 1982 through 1984. Results of the seed burial study showed that less than 50 percent of the seed had germinated two years after burial. In field studies, about 65 seed per 0.5 m² remained viable in soil 26 months after seed production was stopped.

PREFACE

Weeds are becoming a major threat to the productivity of rangeland in Montana. The two most troublesome weeds include leafy spurge (Euphorbia esula L.) and spotted knapweed (Centaurea maculosa Lam.).

Both weeds have a major impact on cattle carrying capacity of rangeland. Leafy spurge and spotted knapweed reduce the carrying capacity by up to 75 and 90 percent respectively (Alley et al., 1984; Chicoine, 1984). The cost to the cattle industry in Montana is approximately \$5.9 million in lost forage production (French and Lacey, 1983; Reilly and Kaufman, 1979).

The following thesis examines the use of an educational and research program on leafy spurge and spotted knapweed. The major emphasis of the thesis work included:

(1) A public education program was expanded in 1983 in an effort to increase awareness and control of leafy spurge and spotted knapweed. The effectiveness of the program was evaluated.

(2) Chemical control of spotted knapweed with 2,4-D and picloram was evaluated in an effort to determine the optimum herbicide rate necessary to control spotted knapweed.

(3) The longevity of viability of spotted knapweed seed in soil has not been determined. Studies were continued to determine the length of time spotted knapweed seeds remain viable in soil under natural and buried conditions.

PART 1

THE LEAFY SPURGE AND SPOTTED KNAPWEED

PUBLIC EDUCATION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 1

LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

Leafy spurge (Euphorbia esula L.) and spotted knapweed (Centaurea maculosa) are the two most troublesome range weeds in Montana. Leafy spurge currently infests over 221,632 ha of rangeland in the state (Noble et al., 1979) and can reduce cattle carrying capacity 50 to 75 percent (Alley et al., 1984). The extensive root system and vegetative buds of leafy spurge make it difficult to eradicate by chemical, cultural or mechanical means (Dunn, 1979; McIntyre, 1972).

Spotted knapweed currently occupies about 890,000 ha of rangeland in Montana (Harris and Cranston, 1979), and an additional 13.8 million ha are susceptible to spotted knapweed invasion (Bucher, 1984). The weed reduces carrying capacity by as much as 90 percent on severely infested sites and can spread rapidly because it contains an allelopathic chemical which inhibits the growth of competing plant species (Fletcher and Renney, 1963).

Factors which lead to an increase of weeds on range land are: 1) the inability of ranchers to correctly identify noxious weeds and 2) ignorance of the potential threat the invading plants pose to livestock production (Barreto and Fay, 1983). In addition, many ranchers in Montana do not have experience with herbicide application. The key to controlling both leafy spurge and spotted knapweed is to educate

landowners to the importance of early detection of invasion and subsequent treatment.

Extension Techniques

Effective educational programs help bridge the gap between agricultural producers and research results (Myren, 1964; Bradfield, 1966). The information presented must meet the needs and interests of all classes of producers from innovators to late adopters and laggards (Bohlen et al., 1962).

Audio -Visual Techniques

Mass media techniques, such as radio and television, are useful for reaching large numbers of people about agricultural concerns (Dale, 1954; Kelsey and Hearne, 1963; Awa and Van Crowder Jr., 1978). These techniques are suited to increasing overall public awareness of agriculture (Coleman et al., 1962), as well as providing specific information to agricultural producers (Brown and Collins, 1978; Rockwell, 1984). Changes in marketing, planting, and harvesting strategies have been attributed to specific radio and television programs (Kroetz and Cole, 1978; Rockwell, 1984).

Computers are useful educational tools. There are simplified computer programs which make current technical information readily available to county extension agents and agricultural producers (Chase, 1984; Harrison and Rades, 1974; Paisley, 1983). These programs provide easy access to current information on marketing, weather, and production technology. Computer-based videotext information delivery systems are suitable for problem-solving and decision-making tasks with specific information requirements (Paisley, 1983).

Computer programs have been developed which simulate agricultural situations (Amend, 1985). Some of these programs have been used to educate adults and young people about the value of agriculture.

Demonstration Plots and Group Meetings

Field Demonstration plots are effective tools to show new agricultural practices since visual comparisons of various treatments can be made easily (Barreto and Fay, 1983; Cunningham and Simeral, 1977; Witt, 1968). To be most effective, demonstration plots should be established at numerous locations since environmental conditions can effect results.

Group meetings such as symposiums, workshops, seminars, field tours, or formal classes offer an opportunity for farmers and ranchers to discuss specific problems with research and extension personnel (Barreto and Fay, 1983). Involving local producers increases interest and acceptance of new techniques (Witt, 1968). The quantity and quality of publicity that precedes a program has a major impact on the size of the audience (Barreto and Fay, 1983; Cunningham and Simeral, 1977; Bradfield, 1966).

Written Communication

Bulletins, flyers, newsletters, and circulars are an effective way to create interest, publicize events and dispense information (Bradfield, 1966; Myren, 1964; Awa and Van Crowder, 1978). To be effective, the written material must be concise and meet the needs and interests of the reader (Kelsey and Hearne, 1963; Reisbeck, 1980).

Printed media appears to be more effective than audio-visual communication for reaching specific audiences (Awa and Van Crowder, 1978). Bulletins, short pamphlets and newsletters were more effective than television and radio in reaching low income families (Awa, 1974). Dairy farmers in New York state ranked printed media higher than radio, television or interpersonal visits as the most effective extension communication effort (Awa and Van Crowder Jr., 1978).

Newsletters are a preferred form of written communication if concise, well written and pertinent (Brown and Collins, 1978; Kelsey and Hearne, 1963; Reisbeck, 1980; Harshman, 1981). Important articles in newsletters should be headlined to gain the attention of the reader (Harshman, 1981; Reisbeck, 1980).

Evaluation of Delivery Systems

Mailed questionnaires have become a popular method for collecting survey data. Not only are they cheaper than interpersonal surveys, but recent improvements in procedures for conducting mail surveys suggest that limitations of this method (such as low response rates and dependence on short questionnaires) can be overcome (Dillman, 1978).

The mail questionnaire must be well designed to obtain answers from survey participants. The content of the survey, rather than the length, paper color, or amount of personalization, appears to determine response rate (Dillman, 1978). Follow-up letters to mailed surveys have been used effectively to increase response rates (Kanuk and Berenson, 1975).

The effectiveness of various communication channels for transferring agricultural information has been measured by surveying

agricultural producers and researchers (Adams and Parkhurst, 1984; Awa and Van Crowder Jr., 1978). These surveys indicated that farming strategies would be improved if the most efficient delivery system was selected (Adams and Parkhurst, 1984).

The effectiveness of a given communication method varies according to the size of an individual farmer's production unit (Adams and Parkhurst, 1984). In addition, the means of communication which is most effective is dependent upon the type of subject matter being delivered (Brown and Collins, 1978). For example, the primary source of production information for large commercial farms is the Cooperative Extension Service and the university system. Radio was the most effective media for business and management information (Brown and Collins, 1978). Farm magazines were the most important source of agricultural information for farmers in Nebraska (Jaeger and Reifschneider, 1965; Adams and Parkhurst, 1984). However, those farming large acreages in Nebraska rated farm journals higher than those managing smaller acreage (Adams and Parkhurst, 1984).

Income level also affects communication channel effectiveness. Bulletins, flyers and newsletters were more effective than audio-visual media for low income families (Awa, 1974). Experiment station bulletins were rated higher as information channels for those in the middle and upper income levels (Adams and Parkhurst, 1984).

Summary

Spotted knapweed and leafy spurge are the most troublesome range weeds in Montana. The key to controlling both weeds is to educate

landowners so that early identification and control of pioneer infestations occurs.

A variety of techniques should be utilized to educate landowners. Audio-visual techniques including radio and television will increase public awareness of the problem. Field tours and demonstration plots will permit landowners to view and record the results of various practices and permit attendees to interact with researchers and extension personnel. Newsletters, extension bulletins and circulars can provide interested persons with accurate information about specific problems. Mail surveys can be utilized to obtain information about the effectiveness of various communication techniques. Utilizing the most effective communication methods can save money by allowing educators to key in on specific audiences.

CHAPTER 2

EXTENSION TECHNIQUES

Abstract

An on-going statewide weed education program was expanded in 1983. The objectives of the program were to continue the leafy spurge awareness effort started in 1980, and initiate a similar effort on spotted knapweed. Newsletters, herbicide demonstration plots, field tours and a ransom program were techniques utilized to meet the objectives. Leafy spurge and spotted knapweed newsletters provided information on current research programs and research results to agricultural producers, researchers, and extension specialists. Field plots were established at twenty-two locations to demonstrate herbicide efficacy. Field tours were held in twenty-six locations. The tours included information on the biology and control of leafy spurge and spotted knapweed, herbicide efficacy, and sprayer calibration. A ransom program on spotted knapweed was initiated in Stillwater County, Montana. The ransom program led to increased awareness of spotted knapweed, control of existing knapweed infestations, and compilation of a map delineating existing spotted knapweed infestations. The overall education program increased public awareness of leafy spurge and spotted knapweed, established long-term demonstration plots, and served as the catalyst for several localized weed action projects.

Introduction

Leafy spurge and spotted knapweed are major threats to the productivity of range and pastureland in Montana. The key to controlling both weeds is early detection and treatment. Thus, in 1983, an educational program was initiated to help decrease the rate of spread of leafy spurge and spotted knapweed in the state. The overall objective was to increase public awareness of spotted knapweed and leafy spurge. Specifically, the leafy spurge newsletter was continued and a newsletter on spotted knapweed was initiated, a ransom program was started, demonstration plots were established, and field tours were conducted.

Communication techniques must be effective to transfer technological advances to agricultural producers. Newsletters are a preferred form of written communication if they are concise and relate to the needs and interests of the receiver (Awa, 1974, Brown, 1978, Kelsey, 1963, and Reisbeck 1980. Barreto (1982) utilized a newsletter to increase public awareness, coordinate research and provide information to people interested in leafy spurge control.

Demonstration plots are an effective educational tool (Cunningham and Simeral, 1977), if the practices being demonstrated provide practical solutions to local problems (Kelsey and Hearne, 1963). This allows agricultural producers to view and record the results of various treatments under local environmental conditions (Witt, 1968). Field tours held at demonstration sites provide an informal setting where farmers and ranchers can discuss production problems with extension specialists and researchers (Barreto and Fay, 1983). However, field

tours must be carefully planned to meet the needs and interests of producers (Kelsey and Hearne, 1963) and be well advertised to be effective (Cunningham and Simeral, 1977).

Materials and Methods

Newsletters

Leafy Spurge Newsletter: Publication of the Leafy Spurge Newsletter was continued on a quarterly basis. The general format and methodology for printing the newsletter was described by Barreto (1982).

Knapweed Update: A letter was sent to researchers and extension specialists in Idaho, Washington, Oregon, Montana, British Columbia, and Alberta in September, 1983 to determine if there was a need for a newsletter about the knapweeds. These specialists provided suggestions for the format of the newsletter and names of extension agents, researchers, politicians, weed supervisors, and agricultural producers for the initial mailing list. A mailing list was stored on a computer disk and updated monthly as requests for the newsletter were received.

The art work for the letterhead and return address was developed and a silkscreen of the drawing was produced by the photographic department at Montana State University. The letterhead and return address was printed by the Multilith Department.

Researchers and extension specialists were contacted for contributions one month prior to printing the newsletters. The articles were summarized, typed, and sent to the Multilith Department for printing onto the letterhead paper.

Demonstration Plots and Field Tours

Herbicide treatments were applied to spotted knapweed or leafy spurge at twenty two sites in June, 1983 and 1984 (Figure 1). Site locations were selected by county extension agents, weed supervisors and chemical company representatives. The sites were easily accessible and located near population centers when possible. Picloram, (4-amino-3,5,6-trichloropicolinic acid), dicamba (3,6-dichloro-o-anisic acid) and 2,4-D [(2,4-dichlorophenoxy) acetic acid] were applied to plots at each site. The treatments were not replicated. Individual plot size varied from 6.6 X 26.6 meters to 6.6 X 36.3 meters. The herbicide rates and application timing were recommended by weed specialists at Montana State University, chemical company representatives, and county extension agents. Retreatments were made on a portion of the plots in 1984. A detailed description of herbicide demonstration plots at each location is shown in Appendix B. Twenty six field tours were held in 1983 and 1984 (Figure 1). Eighteen of the tours were held at herbicide demonstration locations established in 1983 and 1984. The remainder of the tours were held at locations which contained experimental plots that were previously established (Barreto, 1982).

Local landowners, county extension agents, weed supervisors, and state and federal employees helped plan and execute the educational program. This integrated approach helped improve public relations and increased the overall effectiveness of the program.

