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The hypersonic behavior of a vinylidene fluoride and trifluoroethylene (70/30 mol %) copolymer has been investigated by Brillouin scattering without using index matching liquid. Both the glass and ferroelectric transitions are clearly seen in the acoustic behavior. The home-prepared sample has excellent optical properties and let us obtain sound attenuation information in the temperature range of 23–123 °C, which another investigator did not discuss because of the bad optical properties of the sample surface. Anomalies of sound attenuation near the transition point have been observed.

Random copolymers of vinylidene fluoride (VF₂) and trifluoroethylene (TrFE) with a VF₂ content ranging from 50 to 80 mol % exhibit a ferroelectric phase transition. The ordered ferroelectric phase (β phase) consists of polymer chains with an all-trans conformation, while the paraelectric is disordered with irregular TG, TG₅, and TT chain sequences. Krüger investigated the hypersonic behavior of 70/30 mol % oriented copolymers using Brillouin spectroscopy but failed to obtain sound attenuation information in the temperature range of 23–123 °C.

We investigated isotropic samples of 70/30 mol % (VF₂/TrFE) copolymer. For oriented (stretched) copolymers the transition behavior seems to be different along the X (stretch) and Y (in-plane, 1 stretch) axes. We present results for sound velocities and sound attenuation in the temperature range of −50 to +123 °C. We found clearly anomalous behavior of sound attenuation near the paraelectric-ferroelectric transition point in the cooling run. Upon heating, the ferroelectric-paraelectric transition is complete near 100 °C, which is about 17 °C lower than Krüger's results for sound propagating along the X axis in an oriented sample, but very close to their Y-axis result. We also report the existence of multipeak structure in the Brillouin spectrum near the transition point and give an explanation of this phenomenon.

The copolymer used was provided in powder form by Atochim Co. We prepared it in transparent film form by the following technique. (1) We put the copolymer powder in a milled-out cavity in one of two parallel copper plates, with special thin plastic films of melting point near 400 °C between the copper plates and the powder. Use of these films provides copolymer samples with good surfaces. These pieces of copper were compressed together by a strong spring so that upon heating and melting the powder, the air was driven out, the plates touched, and bubble-free liquid polymer remained in the cavity. (2) We heated the sample up to 200 °C for about 10 min, then quenched it in water at room temperature. (3) The film was then annealed above 120 °C for 3 h. The film thus obtained has very good optical surface properties so that no index matching liquid is necessary to perform Brillouin scattering experiments.

The sample film was placed in an optical cell described previously. Its temperature was controlled within ±0.2 °C using a Scientific Instruments Model 3610 temperature controller. A Lexel Model 95-2 argon-ion laser operating in a single mode at a wavelength of 5145 Å and a power level of 300 mW was used as a light source. The power of the beam incident on the optical cell was reduced to 28 mW by polarizers. The scattered light was analyzed by a piezoelectrically scanned Burleigh Model RC-140 5-pass Fabry–Perot interferometer. Finesse optimization and drift control were achieved by a homemade control system using an AIM-65 microcomputer and interface. The natural-phonon linewidth \( W_{\text{ph}} \) is deduced by

\[
W_{\text{ph}} = \sqrt{\frac{W_{\text{obs}}^2 - W_{\text{aug}}^2}{2}} - W_{\text{inst}}
\]

where \( W_{\text{obs}}, W_{\text{inst}}, and W_{\text{aug}} \) represent the observed, instrumental, and collection optics linewidths, respectively. In our case, \( W_{\text{aug}} = 0.03 \, F_B \) and \( W_{\text{inst}} = 0.01 \, F_B \), where FSR (free spectral range) is 16.655 GHz. The measured frequency shift \( F_B \) is related to the sound velocity \( V \) by

\[
F_B = 2n(V/\lambda)\sin(\theta/2),
\]

where \( n \) is the refractive index, \( \lambda \) is the light wavelength in vacuum, and \( \theta \) is the scattering angle. We used \( \theta = \pi/2 \) geometry scattering, then Eq. (1) reduced to

\[
V = F_B/\sqrt{2},
\]

where \( V \) is independent of \( n \).

Figure 1 shows the data for successive heating runs of isotropic copolymer. The glass transition at \( T_g \) concerns freezing out of motions in the amorphous part of the copolymer. The ferroelectric transition at \( T_f \) concerns the ferroelectric-to-paraelectric transition of the crystalline part of the material. These two transition temperatures separate three regions having different slopes of sound velocity versus temperature.

The \( T_f \) found from the curve is about −23 °C, using a program to fit the data up to 27 °C to two linear segments described by

\[
V(T) = a_1 - a_2 T - a_3 [\text{abs}(T - T_d)].
\]

This is close to Krüger's result of −16 °C for \( T_f \) for the oriented sample.

The transition from ferroelectric to paraelectric begins at about 53 °C. We define \( T_c \) as the temperature 100 °C at which it is complete. The curvature between 53 and 100 °C is due to coexistence of two crystalline phases. Our transition point is about 17 °C lower than Krüger's value of...
117°C. A difference is expected according to Davis’s report in which both dielectric and x-ray diffraction data show that the transition of an oriented sample is about 10°C higher than for an unoriented one. This may be because the interaction (across intervening amorphous material) of similarly oriented crystallites in the oriented case preserves the all-trans structure to higher temperature than in the unoriented case for which these crystallites are oriented randomly.

For the cooling run from 123 to -50°C shown in Fig. 2, we observe a broad hysteresis about 50°C wide, from 100 to 55°C, in comparison with the heating run. The part of the curve from 123 to 100°C is lower than that of the heating run, with almost the same slope. We expected no deviation from heating run curve because the sample is completely paraelectric in this temperature range. The same behavior occurs in Krüger’s X-axis data for a sample annealed for 24 h, but not in their Y-axis data for the sample annealed for only 2 h. These shifts appear not to be an annealing effect as Krüger claimed, because our sample was annealed for only 3 h. They may be a thermal history effect, as explained below.

If the sample is held at high temperature (about 20 min in our case) between the end of the heating run and the beginning of the cooling run, and also if the sample spends a long time in the heating run, a premelting effect on structure may reduce the sound velocity during that time. This premelting effect is reversible because the heating and cooling curves coincide again at low temperature in the ferroelectric phase.

At temperatures 58.5, 57.6, and 52.2°C for the cooling run we found that the Brillouin spectrum exhibits a multipeak structure. At these points we took the center position of the whole envelope as the Brillouin peak location to calculate the sound velocity and sound attenuation. A possible explanation for the multiple peaks is that crystallites in some regions of the sample are still in the paraelectric phase while in the remaining regions they have transformed to the ferroelectric phase. If these regions are large compared to the wavelength λ, multiple peaks should appear even though the crystallite size is expected to be small (100-200 Å) compared to λ. The origin of the regions could be nonuniform heating of the sample by the laser beam or (more interestingly) an elastic interaction between crystallites transmitted by intervening amorphous material. We believe nonuniform heating can be ruled out for the following reason. In Fig. 2 the sharp corner of the cooling run at 55°C extends only over about 3°C, so this is the upper limit for the temperature variation across the active sample volume. However, the steep part of the cooling curve attributed to phase coexistence extends over 8°C, from 55 to 63°C, so a 3°C temperature spread cannot account for the simultaneous appearance, described below, of spectral peaks from both phases.

Figure 3 shows typical split (a) $T = 57.6°C$ and normal (b) $T = 45°C$ Brillouin peaks. The truncated outer peaks (1) are the Rayleigh peaks, (2) are the Brillouin peaks, and (3) were identified as reflection peaks. The lower sound velocity determined by the pair of Brillouin peaks in Fig. 3(a) closer to the Rayleigh peak coincided with the values of the second or third previous (higher-temperature) points. These values, near 1635 m/s, are attributed to regions with paraelectric crystallites. The higher velocity values coincided with the fourth or fifth later (lower temperature) points. These values, near 1860 m/s, are attributed to regions with ferroelectric crystallites. A statistical mixture of crystallites of two phases in their amorphous matrix would result in apparent line broadening.

![FIG. 1. Sound velocity vs temperature curve from heating run for 70/30 mol % VF2/TrFE. The two lines crossing at $T_g$ are lines fit to Eq. (2).](image)

![FIG. 2. Sound velocity vs temperature curves from both heating and cooling runs.](image)

![FIG. 3. Typical split (a) at temperature 57.6°C and normal (b) Brillouin peaks at temperature 45°C. Peak types (1)–(3) are identified in text. All Brillouin peaks belong to their nearest Rayleigh peaks.](image)
The difficulty in nucleating the ferroelectric phase, whose nucleus must be steeper in the transition regime than curves observed previously, perhaps because of smaller local strains giving a narrower local distribution of transition temperatures. A broad distribution would obscure the multipeak structure.

Figure 4 shows the temperature dependence of the Brillouin linewidth (FWHM) $\Gamma/\pi$ for the cooling run. The relation between $\Gamma/\pi$ and the attenuation coefficient $\alpha$ is $\Gamma/\pi = \alpha V/\pi$. A sound attenuation anomaly occurs in the transition range near 55°C. The heating run attenuation shown in Fig. 5 has no clear anomaly. The reason may be that the anomaly is associated with those crystallites which are part ferroelectric and part paraelectric. On cooling, the transition is sharp and many crystallites may be in that class, while on heating the transition is diffuse and few crystallites may be in that class at any given temperature. We attribute the sharper cooling transition to the greater difficulty in nucleating the ferroelectric phase, whose nucleus must consist of several polymer chain segments of proper relative orientation.

Except for the above anomaly, the sound attenuation behaviors are similar for heating and cooling runs. The natural linewidth $\Gamma/\pi$ increases with increasing temperature. At low temperature (−50°C to room temperature) our data are very close to Krüger’s data for oriented samples. Above room temperature, we present the first results of natural linewidth because of the problems in sample surface properties in Krüger’s experiment. This linewidth includes contributions from two origins. One has a dynamic origin (phonon decay) which is usually the major factor. The other has a static origin; either there are two types of crystallite groups with different sound velocities or there is a temperature distribution $\Delta T$ over the laser spot area. We believe the $\Delta T$ effect is not important for the following reason. If the linewidth $\Delta \nu$ or corresponding sound velocity spread $\Delta V$ has mostly a static origin ($\Delta T$), generally there should be a direct relationship between $\Delta \nu$ and $\Delta T$, most likely $\Delta \nu \propto |dV/dT|$. From Figs. 4 and 5, we see the linewidth has some correlation with $T$, but does not vary as $|dV/dT|$. Another estimate of the effect of $\Delta T$ comes from calculating the linewidth resulting from $\Delta T$ alone on the steepest part of the cooling curve where its effect is greatest. There, as $T$ drops from 63 to 55°C, $V$ increases from 1635 to 1860 m/s. For a $\Delta T$ of 3°C discussed above, the corresponding $\Delta V$ is 85 m/s. From Eq. (4), the corresponding linewidth (FWHM) is 0.23 GHz. This is considerably less than the actual linewidth in that temperature range shown in Fig. 4. At low temperature the linewidth approaches that value, but there $dV/dT$ is much smaller, so again $\Delta T$ has little effect on the linewidth. We see that the natural linewidth has mainly a dynamic origin and governs the sound attenuation $\alpha$ over the whole temperature range except in the paraelectric-ferroelectric transition range on the cooling run. In this range, due to the above-mentioned multipeak structure, we can hardly say that the linewidth anomaly in Fig. 4 has a dynamic origin (phonon decay) because this effect appears to be submerged by a static effect. Accordingly, we have not analyzed the attenuation behavior of the sound velocity in detail.
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